



Εγχειρίδιο εφαρμογής της Εφαρμογής 2 (Αγγλική γλώσσα)

Παραδοτέο Ε4

Σχετιζόμενο Πακέτο Εργασίας IMPULSE (1MED15_2.1_M2_178): WP3-Testing

Ανάπτυξη Διαδικτυακών Εφαρμογών για την χαρτογράφηση Τυπολογιών Δημοτικών Κτιρίων και για την αυτοματοποιημένη ιεράρχηση μέτρων ενεργειακής αναβάθμισης

Αρ. Πρωτ.: Υ4700/25.07.2018

Τεχνική υποστήριξη: AVMap GIS S.A.



Ημ/α υποβολής στο ΚΑΠΕ: 31/8/2018

Αύγουστος 2018

Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund



at the second state of the second

Table of contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Step-by-step user's guide	3
2.1 Inputs	3
2.1.1 Provision of basic information of the initial sample of buildings	3
2.1.2 Provision of available data for each Ambassador building	5
2.2 Outputs	10
2.2.1 Impacts for the Ambassador buildings	10
2.2.2 Prioritization	13
2.2.3 Impacts for the sample of buildings	15
3. Download area	
4. Limitations	19
5. Initial registration	19
Conclusion-Remark	20





the section of the section

1. Introduction

This report stands for a user's manual for the I3ERB application (*Energy, Environmental and Economic Indicators' Processor for buildings' renovation plans*) which is developed in the framework of the MED project "IMPULSE (1MED15_2.1_M2_178)" coordinated by the Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES). The objective of the I3ERB app is to process energy, environmental and economic indicators (the so-called Key Performance Indicators, KPIs) of few representative buildings, each one of which being the Ambassador building of a specific group/Typology¹ in its current situation (base-case) and for various retrofit levels i.e. minor, medium, major and deep retrofit, towards:

- The prioritization of up to 3 energy-upgrading scenarios for each retrofit level (minor, medium, major or deep) for each Typology's Ambassador building.
- The prioritization of all scenarios (up to 12 scenarios) among all retrofit levels for each Ambassador building.
- The extrapolation of energy, environmental and economic indicators' impacts from the optimal scenario of each retrofit level to each individual building of each group/Typology.
- The aggregated energy, environmental and economic impacts of all buildings of each group/Typology.

The application presumes that the user has already defined an initial sample of up to 90 buildings, and that he/she has already classified the buildings into up to 15 groups/Typologies based on predefined criteria (in case of energy-oriented classification, suggested criteria may be found in footnote 1). The application also presumes that the user has already selected one (and only one) Ambassador building from each Typology (i.e. up to 15 Ambassadors), and for which its energy, environmental and economic indicators are already known in its current situation as well as in various retrofit situations i.e. for up to 12 retrofit options (up to 3 scenarios per each retrofit level minor, medium, major or deep).

It is noted that the application is built using the algorithm developed in the framework of the excel file "KPIs processing tool: Prioritizing building typologies and retrofit scenarios and extrapolating KPIs to the entire building stock of Communities" which is developed in the framework of the IMPULSE project and maybe downloaded from the following url: <u>https://impulse.interreg-med.eu/what-we-achieve/deliverable-</u>

database/detail/?tx_elibrary_pi1%5Blivrable%5D=3123&tx_elibrary_pi1%5Baction%5D=show&tx_elibrary_pi1%5Bcontroller%5D=Frontend%5CLivrable&cHash=661c222449fa8c5ae7eea9b1d0696d9f.

It is also noted that the aforementioned excel platform is initially developed for processing data regarding public buildings, such as schools, offices, etc. However, it can be also used for other types of buildings e.g. residences, stores, etc. as long as they are well classified into Typologies, an Ambassador is selected for each Typology, and the KPIs are known for each Ambassador.

¹ A group or Typology constitutes of buildings with similar energy-related typical properties that are all represented by the Ambassador building of the group/Typology. The terms "Ambassador building" and "Building Typology" are well defined the following document: Deliverable D3.2.1, Preparatory set-up for pilot-activities' implementation, Project IMPULSE (1MED15_2.1_M2_178), June 2017 (url: <u>https://impulse.interreg-</u> <u>med.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/Sites/Efficient_Buildings/Projects/IMPULSE/D3.2.1_Preparatory_setup.pdf</u>).





C IN S ANG

2. Step-by-step user's guide

2.1 Inputs

2.1.1 Provision of basic information of the initial sample of buildings

First of all, the user must insert typical information of each one of the initial sample of buildings. To do that, the user clicks on the button "Go to the process" existing in the "About" page (see screenshot below).

Mediterranean	ENERGY, ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC INDICATORS' DOWNLOAD AREA Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund
	About the Application
ABOUT	The application serves for prioritizing energy-upgrading scenarios for various public-buildings' Typologies and for assessing the corresponding energy, environmental and economic impacts on large sample of buildings constituting the Typologies. More specifically:
*	 Inputs: 1.1 The application presumes that the user has already defined an initial sample of up to 90 public buildings (e.g. office, school, etc.) and that he/she has already categorized the buildings into up to 15 Typologies based on predefined classification criteria. 1.2 The application also presumes that the user has already calced and (and apply categorized the buildings from each building).
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	 group/typology of buildings as being the one which represents the expected performance of all buildings of the same group/typology, the so-called "Ambassador" building. 1.3 For each Typology, the user must insert all buildings one-by-one indicating which one is selected as the Ambassador building. 1.4 For each Ambassador building, the user inserts pre-calculated energy, environmental and economic indicators (the so-called Key Performance Indicators, KPIs) for the base-case situation (the building as it is today) and for several retrofit levels, including up to 3 scenarios for each level, i.e. Minor retrofit, Medium retrofit, Major retrofit and Deep retrofit.
IMPACTS FOR THE AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	 Outputs: 1 For each Ambassador building, the energy, environmental and economic impacts, for each retrofit level and scenario in relation to the base-case situation, are calculated. 2.2 Based on the indicator "Total investment cost per total annual energy saved" both the energy-upgrading scenarios of each retrofit level of each Ambassador building are prioritized and the Ambassador buildings themselves are also prioritized
PRIORIZITATION OF AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	meaning which building is the most affordable one to be retrofitted. 2.3 The energy, environmental and economic impacts are then extrapolated to all buildings of each Typology for the base- case situation as well as for the various retrofit levels as long as the user selects one scenario for each retrofit level (preferably the top-ranked scenario).

Clicking on the "Go to the process", the page "SAMPLE of BUILDINGS" appears





Mediterranean	ENERGY, ENVIR PROCESSOR	ONMENTAL AN FOR BUILDING	D ECONOMI GS' RENOVA	C INDICATORS' TION PLANS	DOWNLOAD AREA Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund
M	Number of Building Please provide the num	gs nber of buildings under ir	vestigation	Country	۲
ABOUT	•		n 1	City	8
※	Buildings' Basic Info Please provide the follo	ormation owing info for each build	ing		
	Building Number		1		Ψ
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	Building Name				8
	Building Floor Area	(m2)			8
\sim	Public Building Type	ology Category			•
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	Ambassador buildin	g	Tick if yes (Plea	se indicate one Ambassador buil	ding per Typology)
	PBT Category	Nb of Appearance		Ambassador Appointme	nt Check
	PBT1	0			
	PBT2	0			
IMPACTS FOR THE	PBT3	0			
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	PBT4	0			
	PBT5	0			
	PBT0 PBT7	0			
66	PBT8	0			
100	PBT9	0			
PRIORIZITATION OF	PBT10	0			
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	PBT11	0			
	PBT12	0			
	PBT13	0			
	PBT14	0			
	PBT15	0			
IMPACTS FOR THE SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS				Version 1.2.1	Developed by CRES Copyright © 2018

In the screen above, initially the number of entities (buildings) of the initial sample should be defined in the first sliding marker in the field "Number of buildings". It is highlighted that the app works for not more than 90 buildings. Right beside the slider, the user should insert the Country and City where the buildings are located. Then, in the following field "Buildings' basic information" the user can start inserting basic information of each building as follows:

- Building number: Give a numbering of each building by selecting it from the dropdown menu.
- Building name: The user types the name of the building.
- Building floor area: Insert the floor area in sq.m.
- Public building typology category: Select from the dropdown menu the Typology (PBT1, PBT2,...,PBT15) that the building belongs to. Notification: Only up to 15 PBTs are available, i.e. the app works for entities/buildings classified into only up to 15 groups/Typologies. The typology allocation should not be arbitrary and should start from PBT1, then PBT2, then PBT3, ..., PBT15, i.e. do not complete buildings with random selection of typologies e.g. PBT9, PBT4, etc.

A LONG AND CHARTER





the state of the s

- Finally, it is extremely important that the user notifies which building is an Ambassador building of the specific typology. In case for example the 45th building is an Ambassador of the 4th Typology, then the user should insert:
 - o 45 in the "building number"
 - o PBT4 in the "Public building typology category"
 - Tick the tick-box in the field "Ambassador building".

It is highlighted that one (and only one) building should be defined as Ambassador for each Typology (PBT).

The third table in the above screen is just to help the user validate that for each Typology only one building is selected as the Ambassador building of the Typology. When this is not the case for any of the Typologies, the table will return an error message. For example, when for Typology PBT4 two Ambassadors are defined then the following message appears in the table:

PBT Category	Nb of Appearance	Ambassador Appointment Check
PBT1	7	©
PBT2	15	S
PBT3	12	S
PBT4	6	You have set more than one Ambassador Building in this category
PBT5	6	S
PBT6	6	S

2.1.2 Provision of available data for each Ambassador building

Once completing the above step, the user can move to the following page "Ambassador buildings" wherein the available energy, environmental and economic indicators' data should be inserted. Moving to that page the following screen appears:





the set of the set of the

Mediterranean	ENERGY, ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC INDICATORS' PROCESSOR FOR BUILDINGS' RENOVATION PLANS	DOWNLOAD AREA 👱 oject co-financed by the European gional Development Fund
ABOUT	Retrofit Scenarios: Base-Case Currency: Euro (€) Please provide the following Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for the Ambassador buildings PBT1 PBT2 PBT3 PBT4 PBT5 PBT6 PBT7 PBT8 PBT9 PBT10 PBT11 PBT11 Building name: Heraklion 19th Primary School (old building part) Building floor at the second se	2 PBT13 PBT14 PBT15 area (m2): 1277
	Energy Performance Indicators Cost indicators Optional fields	ators
6	Total annual primary energy consumption kWh/m2/yr Annual final energy consumption for space heating kWh/m2/yr Annual final energy consumption for space cooling kWh/m2/yr	22.7624 (S) 6.578 (S) 0.364 (S)
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	Annual final energy consumption for domestic hot water kWh/m2/yr	0
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Annual electricity consumption kWh/m2/yr	5.354
IMPACTS FOR THE AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	Annual consumption of rossil tuel kWh/m2/yr Annual generation of Renewable Energy kWh/m2/yr	6.578 X
	Energy class (According to national energy regulations)	\otimes

First of all, the currency adopted in the concerned Country should be selected from the dropdown menu of the top right field "Currency". Then, in the field "Retrofit scenario" the situation of the building should be selected from the dropdown menu as follows:



It is advised that initially, the user should select the Base-case situation (standing for the building as it is today) in order to provide all the indicators referring to the current situation. In a next step, the user should select the Typology among PBT1 to PBT15 for which he/she will start providing indicators. For the first combination "Base-case" – PBT, the app returns the Ambassador building in terms of building name and floor area. Thereafter, the user can start providing inputs regarding KPIs related to energy, environment and cost as follows:



Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund



A LOO ANE MARKEN

Optional fields
Total annual primary energy consumption kwh/m2/yr 22.7624
Annual final energy consumption for space heating kwh/m2/yr 6.578
Annual final energy consumption for space cooling kwh/m2/yr 0.364
Annual final energy consumption for domestic hot water kwh/m2/yr 0
Annual final energy consumption for lighting kwh/m2/yr 3.815
Annual electricity consumption kwh/m2/yr 5.354
Annual consumption of fossil fuel 6.578
Annual generation of Renewable Energy kwh/m2/yr 0
Energy class (According to national energy regulations)

Energy Performance Indicators

Environmental indicators

Optional fields		
Total annual CO2 emissions kg/m2/yr	7.415962	\otimes
Annual CO2 emissions from electricity consumption kg/m2/yr	5.685948	\otimes
Annual CO2 emissions from fossil fuels consumption kg/m2/yr	1.730014	8
Total annual GHG emissions kg/m2/yr		8
Number of occupancy hours in annual basis within which PMV is retained in the range (-0.7 to 0.7)		0
Hourly-averaged PMV value (within occupancy hours) on a hot summer day of the year		⊗
Hourly-averaged PMV value (within occupancy hours) on a typical winter day of the year		\odot
Number of hours of overheating during the occupied period in the year		8
Minimum winter indoor temperature (or)	\otimes
Maximum summer indoor temperature (of)	\otimes
Number of occupancy hours within which Breathing-zone pollutant is retained below maximum allowed thresholds		0

Energy Performance Indicators	Cost indicators				
Annual total energy-related operation	al cost	€/m2/yr	1.293344	\otimes	
Annual electricity cost		€/m2/yr	0.8031	8	
Annual fossil fuel cost		€/m2/yr	0.490244	8	



Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund



the second se

It is noted that the KPIs coloured in grey are optional.

It is noted that whenever a value is inserted the user must click somewhere out of the input box or press "enter" in order for the value to be inserted properly.

The inserting process is continued for the rest available PBTs in the base-case situation.

To provide KPIs for the various retrofit levels for each Ambassador building of each Typology, the user selects first of all the Retrofit scenario:



For each selected retrofit level, the following screen appears (for example, in the minor retrofit case):





ALC YEAR THE

Mediterranean	ENERGY, ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC IN PROCESSOR FOR BUILDINGS' RENOVATIO	IDICATORS' ON PLANS	Project co-fin Regional Dev	DOWNLOAD AREA anced by the European elopment Fund
ABOUT	Retrofit Scenarios: Minor retrofit Cur Please provide the following Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for the PBT1 PBT2 PBT3 PBT4 PBT5 PBT6 PBT7 PBT8 PB PBT8 PB	rency: Euro (e Ambassador bu 19 PBT10 PBT	€) iildings 11 PBT12 PBT13	• PBT14 PBT15
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	Building name: Heraklion 19th Primary School (old building part) S1 Scenario 1 - Short description S2 Scenario 2 (Optional) • Energy Performance Indicators	Buildi 53	ing floor area (m2) Scenario 3 (Option ost indicators	: 1277 nal)
6	Optional fields Total annual primary energy consumption kWh/m2/yr	Scenario 1 (S1) 17.3895	Scenario 2 (52) 16.0473	Scenario 3 (S3) 15.3037
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	Annual final energy consumption for space neating kwh/m2/yr Annual final energy consumption for space cooling kwh/m2/yr Annual final energy consumption for domestic hot water kwh/m2/yr	0.362 ×	0.36	0.36 😒
IMPACTS FOR THE AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	Annual final energy consumption for lighting kWh/m2/yr Annual electricity consumption kWh/m2/yr	1.907 × 3.444 ×	1.43 S 2.966 S	1.43 S 2.966 S
	Annual consumption of fossil fuel kWh/m2/yr	6.729	6.769 🛞	6.093

In the above screen, the user must insert the requested energy, environmental and cost indicators in the same manner as presented above in the base-case situation, with the option to insert KPIs for up to 3 studied energy-upgrading scenarios. It is strongly advised that the user provides descriptions to each scenario by clicking in the relevant boxes and simply by typing the descriptions, For example:



The inserting process can be repeated for all Ambassador Buildings of PBTs and for each scenario of each retrofit level considered.





the section of the se

2.2 Outputs

2.2.1 Impacts for the Ambassador buildings

After completing the above process, the user can move to the page "Impacts for the Ambassador buildings" where he/she can see the automatically calculated impacts of each considered scenario in terms of the energy, environmental and economic performance of the Ambassador building. First of all, the summary of KPIs results either in the base-case or in any retrofit level can be viewed simply by selecting the scenario from the dropdown menu in the following screen:

Mediterranean	ENERGY, ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC I PROCESSOR FOR BUILDINGS' RENOVATI	NDICATORS'	DOWNLOAD AREA 🚽 oject co-financed by the Europea igional Development Fund
ABOUT	Outputs: Energy, environmental and cost performance of Ambassador buil PBT1 F Energy, environmental and cost impacts of Ambassador buildings	dor buildings in their curre dings in their current situation for the various retrofit scenario	nt situation 🔹
) <u> </u>	Energy Performance In	dicators	
4	Total annual primary energy consumption	kWh/yr	29067.58
**	Annual final energy consumption for space heating	kWh/yr	8400.11
	Annual final energy consumption for space cooling	kWh/yr	464.83
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	Annual final energy consumption for domestic hot water	kWh/yr	0.00
1	Annual final energy consumption for lighting	kWh/yr	4871.76
	Annual electricity consumption	kWh/yr	6837.06
~	Annual consumption of fossil fuel	kWh/yr	8400.11
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	Annual generation of Renewable Energy	kWh/yr	0.00
	Environmental indic	ators	
W	Total annual CO2 emissions	kg/yr	9470.18
IMPACTS FOR THE	Annual CO2 emissions from electricity consumption	kg/yr	7260.96
ANDASSANDON DOLENINGS	Annual CO2 emissions from fossil fuels consumption	kg/yr	2209.23
	Cost indicators	5	
. 11111	Annual total energy-related operational cost	€/yr	1651.60
PRIORIZITATION OF AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	Annual electricity cost	€/yr	7260.96
	Annual fossil fuel cost	€/yr	2209.23

When clicking to page "2" at the bottom right of the screen above, the above KPIs appear in user-friendly graph form:





and the second sec



The above refers to the summary of KPIs in the base-case scenario (current situation of each Ambassador building). By selecting the other option "...for the various retrofit scenarios", the following screen appears:

Mediterranean	ENERGY, EN PROCESSC	ivironmental) Dr for Buildi	and ec NGS' R	ONOM ENOV	C INDICATORS' ATION PLANS	DOWNLOA Project co-financed by t Regional Development	D AREA
	Outputs: Energ	y, environmental and co crofit Me	st impacts o dium retrofi	of Ambassad	dor buildings for the variou Major retrofit	s retrofit scenarios Deep retrofi	• it
ABOUT	Scenarios: Scer	nario1_PBT1 (available)	×	Impacts:	Energy Performance India	ators impacts	
*			Am	bassador 1	- Scenario 1		
1	Total appual	primany operaty savings	kWh/	m2/yr	5.37	22.60	~
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	iotat annuat	a annuar primary energy savings		kWh/yr		23.00	~
6	Annual final energy savings for space heating Annual final energy savings for space cooling		kWh/	m2/yr	-0.15	.2.20	~
			kWi	n/yr	-192.83	-2.30	~
			kWh/	m2/yr	0.00	0.55	e.
			kWI	n/yr	2.55	0.55	~
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	Annual f	Annual final energy savings for domestic hot water		m2/yr	0.00		
	for do			n/yr	0.00		
	Annual final energy savings		kWh/	m2/yr	1.91	50.01	e e
	1	kWi	n/yr	2436.52	50.01		
IMPACTS FOR THE AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	Annual	Annual electricity savings		m2/yr	1.91	35.67	e e
~	Annaa	kWi	n/yr	2439.07	33.07	~~	
ale	Annual	savings of fossil	kWh/	m2/yr	-0.15	-2.30	æ
	fue	l consumption	kWI	n/yr	-192.83	-2.30	~
PRIORIZITATION OF AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	Annual in	crease of Renewable	kWh/	m2/yr	0.00		e
	Ene	rgy generation	kWi	n/yr	0.00		70





CINEAR

In the above screen, simply by selecting one of the level of retrofits among Minor, Medium, Major and Deep, the scenario considered (any of the ones inserted in the page "Ambassador buildings") from the dropdown menu of the field "Scenarios" and any of the energy, environmental and cost indicators impacts from the dropdown menu of the field "Impacts" (note that the dropdown menu provides a note "available" or "not available" when retrofit scenarios are considered or not, respectively, in the previous field "AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS".



the table provides the summary of KPIs' impacts in relation to the base-case situation of the corresponding Ambassador building.

By clicking the page "2" at the bottom right of the above screen, the impacts in graphical form are presented:





ALCONTRACTOR STATE



2.2.2 Prioritization

In the page "Prioritization" there are three output tables:

a) Table in Page 1: Calculated total investment cost per total annual primary energy saved

ABOLIT		M	inor retro	fit	Me	dium retr	rofit	M	ajor retro	ofit	D	eep retro	fit
	Ambassador	Scenario1	Scenario2	Scenario3	Scenario1	Scenario2	Scenario3	Scenario1	Scenario2	Scenario3	Scenario1	Scenario2	Scenario
al 🔼	PBT1	3.24	2.90	2.80	9.80			18.54			11.76		
- *	PBT2	1.03	0.98	17.70	9.89			9.89			7.60		
	РВТЗ	0.91			0.34			0.34			1.91		
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	PBT4	0.53	1.27	0.56	3.88			3.88			4.15		
	РВТ5	2.84	2.57		6.17			10.04			7.30		
	РВТб	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.92			3.41			3.80		
	PBT7	1.31	1.86	2.03	3.22			3.22			2.42		
MBASSADOR BUILDINGS	РВТ8	0.39	0.53	1.03	1.13			1.54			2.31	2.49	
	РВТ9	1.25	0.90	2.42	9.09			9.09			4.98		
	PBT10	0.18	0.20	0.53	2.35			2.35			2.37		
	PBT11												
IMPACTS FOR THE BASSANDOR BUILDINGS	PBT12												
	PBT13												
	PBT14												
	PBT15												
PRIORIZITATION OF													





b) Table in Page 2: Prioritization of scenarios for each Ambassador building (ranking in ascending order of the indicator "investment cost per energy saved")

(U)	PRIORITIZAT 1st Ranking Pla	ION OF SC ace=lowest	ENARIOS t referenc	5 FOR EAC	CH AMBAS	SSADOR st); Last r	anking plac	ce=highest	: referenc	e cost ind	icator (wo	rse)	
ABOUT	Ambarradon	M	inor retro	ofit	Me	dium retr	ofit	M	ajor retro	ofit	C	eep retro	fit
	Ambassador	Scenarior	Scenarioz	Scenarios	scenarior	SCENARIOZ	scenarios	scenarior	scenarioz	scenarios	Scenario	Scenarioz	scenarios
4	PB11	3	2	1	4			0			5		
	PBT2	2	1	6	4			4			3		
	РВТЗ	3			1			1			4		
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	PBT4	1	3	2	4			4			6		
	PBT5	2	1		3			5			4		
	PBT6	2	1	3	4			5			6		
	PBT7	1	2	3	5			5			4		
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	РВТ8	1	2	3	4			5			6	7	
	РВТ9	2	1	3	5			5			4		
	PBT10	1	2	3	4			4			6		
	PBT11												
IMPACTS FOR THE	PBT12												
AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	PBT13												
	PBT14												
ាក់ 🖓	PBT15												
PRIORIZITATION OF													
AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS													
													1 2 3

c) Table in Page 3: Prioritization of building typologies

$\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{v}}}$		Minor	retrofit	Mediu	n retrofit	Major	retrofit	Deep	retrofit
	Ambassador	Ranking of Buildings	Corresponding Best Scenario	Ranking of Buildings	Corresponding Best Scenario	Ranking of Buildings	Corresponding Best Scenario	Ranking of Buildings	Correspondin Best Scenario
	PBT1	23	Scenario3	9	Scenario1	10	Scenario1	11	Scenario1
	PBT2	13	Scenario2	10	Scenario1	8	Scenario1	10	Scenario1
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	РВТЗ	12	Scenario1	1	Scenario1	1	Scenario1	1	Scenario1
	PBT4	5	Scenario1	6	Scenario1	6	Scenario1	7	Scenario1
	PBT5	22	Scenario2	7	Scenario1	9	Scenario1	9	Scenario1
	РВТ6	8	Scenario2	2	Scenario1	5	Scenario1	6	Scenario1
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	РВТ7	18	Scenario1	5	Scenario1	4	Scenario1	4	Scenario1
	РВТ8	3	Scenario1	3	Scenario1	2	Scenario1	2	Scenario1
	РВТ9	11	Scenario2	8	Scenario1	7	Scenario1	8	Scenario1
	PBT10	1	Scenario1	4	Scenario1	3	Scenario1	3	Scenario1
IMPACTS FOR THE AMBASSANDOR BUILDINGS	PBT11		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
-	PBT12		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
	PBT13		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
	PBT14		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
PRIORIZITATION OF	PBT15		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A





Comment And Call

In the first table above, the app calculates and returns the so-called affordability indicator investment cost per energy saved for each scenario of each retrofit level considered. Obviously, the lower is the indicator the better the scenario as it corresponds to an intervention which ensures the highest energy saving with the least investment cost. Based on that observation, the scenarios are ranked in ascending order for each Ambassador building of each PBT in the second table above (in a row direction), i.e. the 1st Ranking Place is taken by the scenario with the lowest value of the affordability indicator (best), while the last ranking place is taken by the scenario with the highest affordability indicator (worse).

In the third table, the app ranks the Ambassador buildings with each other (in a column direction) for each level of retrofit. In that case, the app identifies the optimal scenario (i.e. the one with the lowest affordability indicator) for each Ambassador and for each retrofit level and it ranks it in comparison with the minimum affordability indicators of the other Ambassadors. As it can be seen in the example herein, when more than just one scenario is considered for any level of retrofit (refer to minor retrofit) the consecutiveness of the ranking is destroyed. Nonetheless, the ranking is always correct and indeed reveals the correct order among Ambassador buildings. This is a small bug which will be improved in the near future.

2.2.3 Impacts for the sample of buildings

In the final tab "Impacts for the sample of buildings" the app provides the following results:

1. The projected results of KPIs for the base-case and for each retrofit level, extrapolated from the Ambassador building to each one of the same Typology of the initial sample of buildings.

2. The aggregated values of KPIs for each typology (i.e. the total values of indicators for all buildings of each typology).

In the first screen, the user can select from the dropdown menu "Outputs" the various situations of the buildings considered. It is advised that initially, the current situation should be selected in order to check the extrapolated results from the Ambassador buildings to each building of the initial sample.





the second second second



In the first table the extrapolated indicators to each building appear, while in the field "AGGREGATED INDICATORS..." the total values of all buildings of each typology are presented, both at the current situation of the buildings.

In a next step, the user can select from the dropdown menu in the field "Outputs" the retrofit level should be selected. For example, when selecting the option "...-Minor retrofit", the following screen appears:







For each building, the user can select different retrofit scenarios among the up-to-three ones considered in the tab "AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS" for each retrofit level. By default, the app selects Scenario No.1, however it is advised here to declare the scenario which is prioritized in the tab "PRIORITIZATION OF AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS". At the right hand-side of this selection tab, the app provides the proposed scenario according to the prioritization in the aforementioned tab. In the above example, the proposed scenario for the building "Heraklion 14th Kindergarten" is Scenario2 because it had the lowest indicator "investment cost per energy saved". After selecting the scenario for each building, the user can see the extrapolated values of KPIs for each building in the table "EXTRAPOLATED INDICATORS...". Finally, in the table "AGGREGATED INDICATORS..." the app provides the total values of KPIs for all buildings of each typology.

In case the impacts of scenarios, i.e. in relation to the base-case, are concerned the tab "Buildings' performance impacts indicators" should be clicked which returns the following screen:





and the second sec

Mediterranean	ENERGY, I PROCESS	ENVIRON SOR FO	imental R Build	AND ECO	ONOMIC ENOVAT	INDICAT TON PL	iors' Ans	D Project co-fina Regional Deve	OWNLOAD AREA 👱 nced by the European lopment Fund
	Outputs: Ene	ergy, environr	mental and o	cost performa	nce of all buil	dings-Minor	retrofit		•
\mathbf{U}	Building No.	1	,	Buildi	ng name: Hei	raklion 14th	Kindergarte	n	
ABOUT	Scenario	1	D2 C)3 Propo	sed Scenario:	Scenario2	. Bu	ilding typology	/: PBT1
475		Buildings' per	formance in	dicators		Buildin	gs' performa	nce impacts in	dicators
	Energy India EXTRAPOLAT	cators	NDICATORS F	O Environm	ental Indicato	ors G OF THE IN	Cost I	ndicators F	
SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS	Building	Total annu	al primary	Annual el	ectricity	Annual s	savings of	Annual ir	ncrease of
	No.	kWh/yr	%	kWh/yr	8	kWh/yr	%	kWh/yr	%
U	1	1,354.2	12.2//	492.84	21.17	-68.08	-1./5	0	0
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	PBT1 PBT	2 PBT3 PE	ST4 PBT5	PBT6 PBT7	PBT8 PBT9	PBT10 PE	ST11 PBT12	PBT13 PBT14	PBT15 ALL
\sim	Typology	Total annu energy	ial primary savings	Annual e sav	lectricity ings	Annual : fossil fuel (savings of consumption	Annual i renewable en	ncrease of ergy generation
IMPACTS FOR THE AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS	PBT1	kWh/yr 268857.28	% 96.53	kWh/yr 56950.96	% 96.88	kWh/yr 94256.10	% 95.97	kWh/yr 0.00	%
6	5								
PRIORIZITATION OF									
AMBASSADOR BUILDINGS			8						
1									
IMPACTS FOR THE SAMPLE OF BUILDINGS						1	/ersion 1.2.1	Developed by CF	RES Copyright © 2018

In the above, the app presents first of all the extrapolated impacts for each selected building (from the dropdown "Building No.") and finally, the aggregated impacts for all buildings of each building typology in a table and bar-graph form (Blue: After retrofit; Green: Before retrofit). In that way the app provides the opportunity to scale-up and view impacts from few buildings (Ambassador buildings) to all buildings of the initial sample considered.

3. Download area

The download area appears once the user clicks on the relevant top right icon of the app. The click moves to the following screen which will give the option to the user to extract a small pdf report of the exercise in order to keep the data for further external processing.





C IOLE OF

E CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE



4. Limitations

The following limitations when using the app should be considered:

1. The best functionality (speed and graphics) is ensured when using Internet Explorer or Chrome.

2. For all fields/tabs for which KPIs are inserted or different options are selected for the different PBTs the user must make sure that the correct PBT is clicked.

3. The app does not save the session started by the user for a continuation of the exercise in case of reboot. The case study should be completed in a single session of using the app.

5. Initial registration

In order to use the app, the user must first of all register as follows: Enter the link <u>https://cres.mygis.gr</u> then click on "Register" and complete the form:

_	Coordinating parts	ner
ser Re	gistration	
* Requir	ed field	
Name *		
Usernar	ne *	
Passwo	rd *	
Confirm	Password *	



Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund



- Inter

141 (120)

By providing typical information mainly professional. GDPR provisions have been thoroughly considered as stated in the terms and conditions. Once clicking on "register" the user will receive an activation email of his/her account and then enter the app.

Conclusion-Remark

The I3ERB application is a useful tool targeted to facilitate the processing of data related to the energy performance of large sample of buildings and it is based in the logic of entities' bundling. It is strongly believed that the app will help the stakeholders draw affordable energy efficiency action plans for large sample of buildings in accordance to the objectives of the IMPULSE project.

