

**Interreg - IPA CBC**  
Italy - Albania - Montenegro



**ADRINET**

**TITLE OF THE DOCUMENT: JOINT COASTAL MANAGEMENT TOOL**

TOPIC: The tool starts from fishermen's involvement and consists in

- A) One Survey to identify in GPS-mode fishing routes and places where ghost-nets are supposed to be abandoned
- B) The survey will result in 3 Community Maps
- C) One Protocol on common strategies on joint coastal management and fishing-related issues will be signed by all partners
- D) One Handbook on joint management of pollution-related related risks will be edited and published.

REF. NUMBER: T2.6

AREA: Italy – Albania – Montenegro.

**Interreg - IPA CBC**

Italy - Albania - Montenegro



EUROPEAN UNION

**ADRINET**

## **SURVEYS**

**Interreg - IPA CBC**  
Italy - Albania - Montenegro



**ADRINET**

TITLE OF THE DOCUMENT: SURVEY

TOPIC: Together with local fishermen's communities, will be realized a survey to identify fishing routes and places where gears, traps and longlines are supposed to be abandoned

REF. NUMBER: D.T2.2.1

AREA: Castro marine and coastal area

Content:

- 10 surveys from local fishermen
- GPS Maps of fishing routes and potential points of dumped/abandoned equipment

**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>20-12-2018</b>
Compartimento <b>GALLIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza della costa
<b>ARGENTIERA</b>	<b>39°59'3 N</b>	<b>018°25'1 E</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50 mt</b>

**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>20-12-2018</b>
Compartimento <b>GALIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rale)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbrocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>VIA DE DISU</b>	<b>34°59'4"N</b>	<b>014°25'0"E</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>200 mt</b>



**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>20-12-2018</b>
Compartimento <b>GALUPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbrocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>VILLA ELENA</b>	<b>39°59'3 N</b>	<b>018°25'0 E</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>60</b>

**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>20-12-2018</b>
Compartimento <b>GALLIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbrocco (posta e bremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilcoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>ACQUAVIVA</b>	<b>39°59'2N</b>	<b>018°24'9E</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>50 mt</b>

INFORMAZIONI GENERALI					
Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>			Data <b>20-12-2018</b>		
Compartimento <b>GALIPOLI (LE)</b>			Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>		
ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)					
Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, plombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		
AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)					
Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa	
<b>PORTICELLI</b>	<b>39°59'1N</b>	<b>018°24'8E</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>30 mt</b>	





**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>18-01-2019</b>
Compartimento <b>GALUPOU (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Masse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per miticoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>CUCULE</b>	<b>39°58'41</b>	<b>018°24'4 E</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20 mt</b>



**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>18-01-2019</b>
Compartimento <b>GALLIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbrocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, ecc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>PISCUDDHRU</b>	<b>39°58'2"N</b>	<b>018°24'4"E</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>35 mt</b>



**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>18-01-2019</b>
Compartimento <b>GALLIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per miticoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>ZINZULUSA</b>	<b>40°00'4N</b>	<b>018°25'3E</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10 mt</b>

**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>18-01-2019</b>
Compartimento <b>GALLIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>ROMANELLI</b>	<b>40°00'5N</b>	<b>018°36'0E</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>50 mt</b>



**INFORMAZIONI GENERALI**

Rilevatore <b>PACELLA FRANCESCO</b>	Data <b>18.01.2019</b>
Compartimento <b>GALLIPOLI (LE)</b>	Porto <b>CASTRO (LE)</b>

**ELENCO DELLE RETI/ATTREZZI DA PESCA/MATERIALI PERSI IN MARE (per anno)**

Tipo	numero	metri	Tipo	numero	metri
<input type="checkbox"/> Nasse e trappole			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (rete)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reti da imbocco (posta e tremagli)			<input type="checkbox"/> Reti da traino (sacco)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Palangari e ami			<input type="checkbox"/> Sciabiche		
<input type="checkbox"/> Calze per mitilicoltura			<input type="checkbox"/> Materiali (acciaio, piombo, etc.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)			<input type="checkbox"/> Altro (specificare)		

**AREE DOVE È CONOSCIUTA LA PRESENZA DI RETI FANTASMA (reti, attrezzi, materiali)**

Area (nome e dimensione in mq)	Latitudine	Longitudine	Profondità	Distanza dalla costa
<b>SCORPI</b>	<b>40° 01' N</b>	<b>018° 26' E</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>40 mt</b>



0,630 Mn

CASTRO

CASTRO MARINA



PERICOLI  
USCITA DAL PORTO CON  
VENTI DA SCIROCCO E  
PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E

FONDO MARINO:  
ROCCIOSO



21

10

41

30

25

11

10



30

30

PERICOLI

50

47

50

50

47



Ariva Windcode

Ariva Windcode

Ariva Windcode

Ariva

Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E

224° 0,630Mn

Punto 2 39°59',5 N 018°25',1 E





Punto 1  $40^{\circ}00',0\text{ N } 018^{\circ}25',7\text{ E}$   
 Punto 2  $39^{\circ}59',4\text{ N } 018^{\circ}25',0\text{ E}$   
 $\odot 220^{\circ}$   $\rightarrow 0.745\text{Mn}$

Attiva Mendeas  
 Società di Navigazione Mendeas  
 S.p.A. - Via S. Maria Maddalena, 10 - 00187 Roma (RM)  
 Tel. 06/4781111 - Fax 06/4781112  
 e-mail: amendeas@amendeas.it  
 amendeas.it



Punto 1  $40^{\circ}00',0''\text{N } 018^{\circ}25',7''\text{E}$  ✖  
 219°  $0.826\text{Mn}$   
 Punto 2  $39^{\circ}59',3''\text{N } 018^{\circ}25',0''\text{E}$  ✖

CASTRO MARINA

FONDO MARINO ROCCIOSO

PERICOLI: USCITA DAL PORTO CON VENTI DA SCIROCCO E PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E


PERICOLI

Attiva i Windows



Associazionem... 19811028... 19811028...





Punto 1  $40^{\circ}00',0''$  N  $018^{\circ}25',7''$  E ✕  
 Punto 2  $39^{\circ}59',2''$  N  $018^{\circ}24',9''$  E ✕  
 219°  $\swarrow$  0.960M/n

Attiva Vento:  $\swarrow$   
 Direzione Vento:  $\swarrow$   
 Appogliamenti (1/60) to be used for



1.07 Mn





▲ Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✕  
📍 218° ↗ 1.07Mn  
🚩 Punto 2 39°59',1 N 018°24',8 E ✕

ATTIVA VENTURA



Agencia de Turismo | Calle de la Libertad 10 | 28014 Madrid | España





Punto 1  $40^{\circ}00'0''\text{N } 018^{\circ}25'7''\text{E}$  ✖  
 208° 2.06Mn  
 Punto 2  $39^{\circ}58'2''\text{N } 018^{\circ}24'4''\text{E}$  ✖

2.06 Mn

CASTRO MARINA

MARITTIMA

TORRE CAROLUPO 105MT

MARINA DI ANDRANO


TRE DI ANDRANO

USCITA DAL PORTO CON VENTI DA SOCCO E PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E

Carta Marina

Adm. Marina di Andrano





0.906 Mn

- 
**Punto 1** 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✘
-  137°  0.763Mn
- 
**Punto 2** 39°59',8 N 018°25',9 E ✘
-  000°  0.643Mn
- 
**Punto 3** 40°00',4 N 018°25',9 E ✘



Progetto finanziato dal Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti  
 - Accogliemmo - Act 18 del 1992 for

0.703 MIL




	Punto 1	40°00',0 N	018°25',7 E
		137°	0.263Mn
	Punto 2	39°59',8 N	018°25',9 E
		008°	0.702Mn
	Punto 3	40°00',5 N	018°26',0 E

Castro Marina 71  
 Navigazione  
 Altimetro



1.67 Mm



	Punto 1	40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E	✕
		137°	0.263Mn
	Punto 2	39°59',8 N 018°25',9 E	✕
		008°	1.36Mn
	Punto 3	40°01',1 N 018°26',1 E	✕

USCITA DAL PORTO CON VENTI DA SORCO 2 E PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E

1:100000 1971



Autonavigazione - Not to be used for

# Questionnaire for fishermen

You are invited to take part in a survey on ghost nets in the Adriatic Coast and Sea...

## **Who is organizing this survey?**

This survey is part of INTEREG ADRIION project that aims to develop a series of actions to strengthen and promote an integrated planning to address the problem of ghost nets in Vlorë Bay zone, and ensure sustainable management of the marine and coastal environment of the Adriatic Coast and Sea.

## **What are the main aims of this survey?**

We aim to understand and assess the **socioeconomic impacts** of ghost nets on coastal communities.

## **What happens with the information you give?**

Participation in this survey guarantees confidentiality of the information you provide. Only the research team will have access to the information provided. The analyzed data may be submitted for publication but in a format whereby contributors will not be identifiable.



## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

Interviewer's name	
e-mail	
Interviewee's name	
Profession	<input type="checkbox"/> Fisherman <input type="checkbox"/> Sailor <input type="checkbox"/> Skipper <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____
Phone number	
e-mail	
Since when are you in the fishery sector?	
Location name	
Country	

### 1.1 Vessel characteristics & fishing areas

Vessel port		
Vessel length (meters)		
Vessel tonnage (tonnes)		
Main fishing area (distance from the shore)	<input type="checkbox"/> Within national waters NM (nautical miles): _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Outside national waters NM (nautical miles): _____

### 1.2 Number of fishing days per year (of vessel)

<input type="checkbox"/> <60	<input type="checkbox"/> 100-120	<input type="checkbox"/> 160-180
<input type="checkbox"/> 60-80	<input type="checkbox"/> 120-140	<input type="checkbox"/> 180-200
<input type="checkbox"/> 80-100	<input type="checkbox"/> 140-160	<input type="checkbox"/> >200

### 1.3 Average number of fishing hours per day

<input type="checkbox"/> <4	<input type="checkbox"/> 8-10	<input type="checkbox"/> 14-16
<input type="checkbox"/> 4-6	<input type="checkbox"/> 10-12	<input type="checkbox"/> 16-20
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-8	<input type="checkbox"/> 12-14	<input type="checkbox"/> >20

### 1.4 Type and amounts of fishing gear used throughout the year

Types	Number	Meters	Types	Number	Meters
Seines			Beam trawls (net)		
Pots and traps			Bottom otter trawl		
Rapido trawl			Midwater otter trawls		
Pelagic pair trawls			Otter twin trawls		
Gillnets and similar nets			Surrounding nets and lift nets		
Longlines & hooks					
Other, specify_____			Other, specify_____		

## 2. INFORMATION RELATED TO GHOST NETS

### 2.1 How would you assess the gravity of the ghost nets problem within your area?

insignificant problem
  moderate problem
  serious problem

### 2.2 How would you assess the trend related to the ghost nets issue within your area?

diminishing problem
  no noticeable trend
  growing problem

### 2.3 Do you experience problems with ghost nets caught in your hauls/nets?

never
  rarely
  often
  almost every time

### 2.4 Ghost nets management on board vessels

Are there waste ghost nets on board?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is litter sorted on board?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If no, is nets being discarded at sea?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other, specify	

### 2.5 Ghost nets management on shore

Is there waste collection infrastructure in your port?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	--

If yes, are you satisfied with it?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is it easily accessible?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other, specify	

2.6 Below we've listed the top items of nets found in the Adriatic sea. Please assess the frequency with which these are caught in your hauls/nets.

Fishing nets	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Mussels nets	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Fishing lines	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Ropes	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Other, specify _____	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Other, specify _____	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Other, specify _____	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time

2.7 What percentage of abandoned nets do you think each of these materials represent? (In terms of the number of items found). Please mark your estimates along the % scales. Your estimate for all the materials listed should add up to 100%.

	%
Fishing nets	
Fishing lines	
Synthetic ropes	

2.8. Indicate the impacts/damages caused by ghost nets during your fishing activity.

Ghost nets can reduce the catch by accumulating in the nets	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often <i>Please indicate frequency</i> * .....	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time	
Lost and damaged fishing gear due to obstacles form causes of ghost nets	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often <i>Please indicate frequency</i> * .....	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time	
Navigational hazards for fishing vessel that can result in vessel damage (fouled propellers, fouled anchors, blocked intake pipes & valves)	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often <i>Please indicate frequency</i> * .....	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time	

(Do not consider natural wood)					
Injuries due to marine litter (Do not consider natural wood)	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often <i>Please indicate frequency</i> * .....	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time	
Other, please specify	<input type="checkbox"/> never	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often <i>Please indicate frequency</i> * .....	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time	

\* e.g. once a month, x times a year, x times every 5 years, etc.

## 2.8 Assess the direct and indirect costs arising from ghost nets (per fishing vessel/per year).

	EUR
Loss of time due to clearing and/or repairing nets and other equipment due to ghost nets	
Loss of revenue due to the smaller catch	
Loss of revenue due to the contamination of the catch by contents of containers dumped at sea (e.g. oil filters, paint cans, etc.)	
Cost of repairs of damages produced by ghost nets (fouling incidents such as fouled propellers, fouled anchors, blocked intake pipes & valves)	
Cost of repairs or new nets and other equipment damaged due to ghost nets	
Cost of injuries due to ghost nets (medical bill, days off work to recuperate, etc.)	
Other (please specify)	
<b>Total cost (per vessel)</b>	

## 2.9 Are you insured for damages/costs arising from ghost nets ?

Yes  No

If yes, what does this cost you annually? \_\_\_\_\_ EUR

## 2.10 Could you be somehow compensated for damages/costs arising from ghost nets?

From whom? \_\_\_\_\_

Have you ever been compensated? If yes, specify \_\_\_\_\_ EUR

## INFORMATION RELATED TO ABANDONED, LOST OR DISCARDED FISHING GEAR

### 3.1 Estimate the types and amounts of fishing gear disposed of throughout the year


Types	Number	Meters	Types	Number	Meters
Seines			Beam trawls (net)		
Pots and traps			Bottom otter trawl		
Rapido trawl			Midwater otter trawls		
Pelagic pair trawls			Otter twin trawls		
Gillnets and similar nets			Surrounding nets and lift nets		
Longlines & hooks			<i>Other, specify</i> _____		
<i>Other, specify</i> _____			<i>Other, specify</i> _____		

### 3.2 Estimate the types and amounts of fishing gear you lose at sea throughout the year


Types	Number	Meters	Types	Number	Meters
Seines			Beam trawls (net)		
Pots and traps			Bottom otter trawl		
Rapido trawl			Midwater otter trawls		
Pelagic pair trawls			Otter twin trawls		

Gillnets and similar nets			Surrounding nets and lift nets		
Longlines & hooks			Other, specify_____		
Other, specify_____			Other, specify_____		

**3.3 Estimate the quantities of fishing gear related materials disposed of throughout the year by weight (Kg/y)**

Metal (e.g. cables, chains, trawl doors, etc.)	
Plastic (e.g. cables, traps, buoys, mussel-culture socks, rope, etc.)	
Nets	
Other, specify _____	

**3.4 How would you assess the occurrence of the following practices within the fishing community regarding the usage and disposal of fishing gear?**

Fishing gear is used in a way that increases the risk of losing it at sea	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Derelict fishing gear is stored somewhere by owner	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Derelict fishing gear is dumped somewhere on land (illegal dumpsite)	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Derelict fishing gear is destroyed by the owner (burned?)	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Derelict fishing gear is disposed at land in relevant waste infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
Other, specify_____	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time

**3.5 Please assess the disposal schemes in place for derelict fishing gear**

Is there a specific collection area for derelict fishing gear at the port?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is it easily accessible?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is there any specific infrastructure in place (e.g. containers, bins)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If not, are the derelict fishing gear being disposed together with all other types of waste?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other, specify	

**3.6 Have there been any measures (regulations, establishment of derelict fishing gear schemes, awareness raising, etc.) undertaken to ensure the sustainable management of derelict fishing gear in your area?**

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No    If yes, please list below these measures

**3. INFORMATION RELATED TO GHOST NETS (LOST FISHING GEAR)**

**4.1 How would you assess the occurrence of ghost nets (lost fishing gear) in your area?**

<input type="checkbox"/> insignificant problem	<input type="checkbox"/> moderate problem	<input type="checkbox"/> serious problem
--	---	--

**4.2 How would you assess the trend related to ghost nets (lost fishing gear) in your area?**

<input type="checkbox"/> diminishing problem	<input type="checkbox"/> no noticeable trend	<input type="checkbox"/> growing problem
--	--	--

**4.3 How would you assess the impacts of ghost nets (lost fishing gear) on fisheries and/or biodiversity in your area?**

<input type="checkbox"/> insignificant problem	<input type="checkbox"/> moderate problem	<input type="checkbox"/> serious problem
In case it is a moderate or serious problem, can you specify which species are the ones most affected? (name them)		

**4.4 Which type of fishing gear do you observe being lost at sea in your area?**

<input type="checkbox"/> Seines	<input type="checkbox"/> Trawls	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify below
<input type="checkbox"/> Longlines & hooks	<input type="checkbox"/> Gillnets and similar nets	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify below
<input type="checkbox"/> Pots and traps	<input type="checkbox"/> Surrounding nets and lift nets	_____

**4.6 Have you observed any areas where ghost nets accumulate?**

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No    If yes, list these areas below				
<b>Area</b>	<b>Depth (m)</b>	<b>Distance the from coast</b>	<b>Latitude</b>	<b>Longitude</b>

(name and coverage in m <sup>2</sup> )		(km)	<i>(if possible)</i>	<i>(if possible)</i>

**4.7 Have measures (regulations, cleanup operations, etc.) been taken to mitigate ghost fishing in your area or country?**

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No    If yes, please list below these below

***Thank you for participating in this survey!***





## REPORT OF ADRINET QUESTIONNAIRE

As part of the Adrinet project, a survey was conducted with fishers to gather information to better align project activities with their real needs. There are about 200 registered commercial fishermen in Montenegro, and we interviewed ten of them from the three municipalities of the Bay of Kotor- Kotor, Tivat, and Herceg Novi.

The combined survey method was used, containing 20 questions, of which 15 closed (yes or no answers) and five open questions.

When asked whether they are members of a fishing association, four of them, or 40%, answered affirmatively. Six respondents, or 60%, are not members of fishing associations.

Seven fishers, or 70%, cooperate with system institutions responsible for the sea protection and marine ecosystem. The three of them, or 30%, answered in the negative.

Only one in ten fishers, representing 10% of respondents, does not cooperate with the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, which includes fisheries. Nine of them, or 90%, responded positively.

Only two of the surveyed fishers, or 20%, encountered legal barriers that make it difficult for them to do business in the field of professional fishing. Eight respondents, an 80% percentage, testified that they had no problems. Asked to state what the problems were, respondents who responded positively cited complicated procedures and slow administration.

All fishers, ie 100% of the sample, claim that they regularly inform the Institute of Marine Biology when they encounter unknown species of marine organisms.

Also, everyone agrees that the state, i.e. the competent institutions, should work more and more concretely on supporting fisheries. Asked to indicate how fisheries should be supported, fishers state:

-protection of fishing material from theft, higher state subsidies in the fisheries sector, simplification of administrative procedures, better control and sanctioning of illegal fishing.



Only one in ten survey participants does not use the support provided by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development. The other 90%, i.e. nine surveyed fishermen, are regular beneficiaries of state subsidies.

All fishers agree that a more frequent analysis of the quality of sea fish is needed.

One in ten survey participants does not respect the seasonal catch bans prescribed for certain fish species during the spawning period. The other 90% adhere to the rules prescribed by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development on the advice of the Institute of Marine Biology.

Nine respondents, or 90%, believe that strict protocols and catch records are needed to ensure the sustainability of the fish stock. One of the respondents answered this question in the negative.

90% of fishers have problems with losing fishing gear. One of the participants in the survey answered this question in the negative.

For all respondents, the increased volume of maritime traffic affects fishing, and 90% of them say that they have the problem of losing equipment as a result of maritime traffic.

Asked to list where they often lose their fishing gear, the fishermen listed the Bay of Tivat, the Bay of Kotor, and the waters between Dobreč Bay and Mamula Island.

Only one of the respondents thinks that the chips for marking fishing equipment provided through the ADRINET project will not be useful, while 90% of them answer that this equipment will help them find the lost fishing equipment.

Eight out of ten fishers from the Bay of Kotor, i.e. 80% of them, are not aware of the fact that one of the three planned Reference Centers for Support and Information of Fishers has been established within the ADRINET project in Herceg Novi. Two fishers are familiar with the work of this center, but none of the respondents stated in what way and with what services this Center could be of help to them personally.

Asked to list specific problems in business and give suggestions on how they could be mitigated or eliminated to the satisfaction of all fishers through an institutional approach and projects dedicated to fisheries, respondents generally cite problems that are not closely related to fishing itself. Montenegro does not have a regulated purchase of fish from domestic fishermen. Fishermen believe that taxes on fish imports are too low, which makes the market saturated with imported fish. This makes it difficult for our fishers to sell their catch. Also, they cite the placement of fish from the farm as a problem, which additionally negatively affects the placement and price of fish. The problem is an illegal competition by sport fishermen, who, although it is forbidden to do so, sell their catch. Fishermen also point out that the state should better oppose poaching, especially with explosive devices, because in that way fish fry are killed and marine habitats are destroyed, which in the future leads to a reduction in the fish stock.



**Interreg - IPA CBC**

Italy - Albania - Montenegro



EUROPEAN UNION

**ADRINET**

## **COMMUNITY MAPS**

Interreg - IPA CBC  
Italy - Albania - Montenegro  
ADRINET



# COMMUNITY MAP

MUNICIPALITY OF CASTRO

[www.adrinet.it/aly-albania-montenegro.eu](http://www.adrinet.it/aly-albania-montenegro.eu)



## MAPPA DI COMUNITÀ

Un percorso creativo, una riflessione locale, collettiva e inclusiva che spinge la comunità ad esplorare, esprimere e tutelare ciò che ritiene possa essere importante e di valore nei luoghi di ogni giorno.

### LEGENDA

-  PORTO
-  ANTICO RIFUGIO DEI PESCATORI
-  PIAZZA DANTE

I piatti tipici dei pescatori di Castro hanno come elementi principali il pesce appena pescato, gli odori genuini del basilico, del sedano, dell'olio d'oliva locale, della cipolla, dell'aglio e della mollica di pane.

I pescatori pescano tutto l'anno, tra i pesci più pescati: Scorfano, Triglia, Rana pescatrice, Sardina, Aragosta, Calamaro, Seppia, Sgombro. Se piove o fa freddo escono in barca lo stesso, se c'è troppo vento invece non si parte.

CASTRO 

# LEGENDA



CASTELLO ARAGONESE  
PIAZZA VESCOVADO  
PIAZZA PEROTTI



CHIESA DELL'ANNUNZIATA



TORRE CAPANNE



INFOPOINT



GROTTE

- 1 GROTTA ZINZALENSA
- 2 GROTTA AZZURRA
- 3 GROTTA ROMANELLI



B&B

- 4 ENER
- 5 L'INCANTO
- 6 IL GIARDINO
- 7 LA PERLA
- 8 ACQUA MARINA
- 9 LA ROSA ANTICA
- 10 BELLAVISTA
- 11 MINERYA



ARTIGIANATO

- 12 ARTEFACTO
- 13 DOMUS ARTIS
- 14 MAJU COLORS
- 15 CALIPSO




TRATTORIE

- 16 LA PESCHERIA
- 17 DELIZIE IN CONTERA
- 18 TRNA
- 19 OSTERIE VECCHIE MANTERE
- 20 UNDERGROUND



PESCHERIE

- 21 MAREVIVO
- 22 CIVILLO
- 23 MEDITERRANEA



CASTRO 

## COMMUNITY MAP MUNICIPALITY OF CASTRO

Il territorio non è soltanto una superficie di terreno caratterizzata dalla presenza di insediamenti, strade, popolazione, elementi naturali e paesaggistici. Il territorio è qualcosa di più di un'area sulla quale si vive, ci si sposta e si lavora. Esso ingloba soprattutto memorie individuali e collettive, azioni, relazioni, avvenimenti e valori che hanno a che fare con le persone, piuttosto che con la geografia.

Il territorio, per questo, contiene in sé i segni della storia culturale e sociale degli uomini che lo hanno abitato e plasmato, attraverso conoscenze e pratiche frutto di una lunga interazione tra essi e l'ambiente circostante.

L'insieme delle tracce materiali (come le modifiche del paesaggio o le tipologie costruttive) e immateriali (come le leggende o le peculiarità linguistiche) rappresentano ciò che viene definito come il patrimonio culturale di un luogo.

La Mappa di Comunità realizzata nel progetto Adrinet (Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem), riflette sul senso di appartenenza della comunità dei pescatori ai luoghi in cui ciascun pescatore si è trasformato in esperto, liberando le conoscenze sommerse e innescando processi di cura nei riguardi del territorio. La Mappa di Comunità si è arricchita di informazioni e di dati del sapere locale, altrimenti non prese in considerazione dalle carte ufficiali. Essa si rivela utile non soltanto per un recupero della memoria collettiva del territorio, ma anche per passare da questa ad una fase più progettuale, in quanto può essere utilizzata nel quadro delle conoscenze che si acquisiscono nella pianificazione urbanistica tradizionale. La Mappa di Comunità, infatti, recependo stimoli ed esigenze specifiche - altrimenti non indagate o approfondite - rappresenta una buona base di partenza per la lettura e l'analisi del contesto territoriale, oltre a far emergere criticità inespresse delle quali il sapere esperto deve tener conto.

Quando si parla di patrimonio locale emerge con una certa evidenza l'esigenza di individuare strumenti idonei in grado di rappresentare l'unicità e l'importanza - soprattutto per gli abitanti - dei propri luoghi. Durante la fase progettuale infatti abbiamo cercato di rendere nota - prima di tutto ai pescatori stessi - la ricchezza che ogni luogo custodisce, rafforzando la consapevolezza locale su ciò che ogni comunità possiede e che ha a disposizione.

Partendo dal presupposto che non si apprezza ciò che non si conosce, una mappa di comunità - costruita assieme a coloro che abitano un territorio - consente di riscoprire il valore dei luoghi e di recuperare tutte quelle informazioni (spesso trascurate o ritenute poco apprezzabili dalla cartografia e dai documenti ufficiali) stratificate nel territorio, e fornisce l'opportunità di scegliere cosa includere e cosa escludere dalla rappresentazione di una comunità.

I dati statistici, le analisi e le rilevazioni scientifiche contenuti nelle carte formali escludono proprio quegli aspetti significativi che rendono un luogo “importante” per coloro che lo abitano e che lo conoscono bene. La cartografia ufficiale offre indubbiamente un’immagine analitica e oggettiva del territorio, ma perde tutta quella conoscenza puntuale del luogo e tutto quel bagaglio di saperi condivisi trasmessi per generazioni.

Per evitare che tutto questo patrimonio di saggezza sedimentata vada disperso, la mappa di comunità può essere lo strumento che lo raccoglie e lo ri-attualizza come base di una nuova e più consapevole interazione tra la comunità ed il proprio territorio.

Inoltre, le carte ufficiali - disegnate con il linguaggio del sapere esperto – spesso rendono difficile la lettura dei contenuti illustrati da parte di coloro che non sono in possesso delle chiavi interpretative per decifrarle, ed eventualmente ragionarle. Questa incomprendibilità del linguaggio e delle tecniche rappresentative, rischia di escludere la maggior parte degli abitanti dalle decisioni riguardanti la gestione del territorio.

Nella mappa di comunità infatti abbiamo privilegiato i luoghi e i percorsi che si conoscono per esperienza diretta, piuttosto che l’anonimato molte volte espresso delle carte ufficiali. Abbiamo utilizzato un codice di rappresentazione più immediato e diretto che rende accessibile a tutti la lettura dei caratteri e dei valori del territorio, recuperando la memoria collettiva del territorio.

Durante i mesi progettuali abbiamo cercato di stimolare i pescatori ad individuare le cose familiari a cui dare importanza intorno a sé, manifestando attivamente l’affetto per i luoghi di ogni giorno, spesso non considerati. La mappa di comunità infatti spinge la comunità ad esplorare, esprimere e tutelare ciò che ritiene possa essere importante e di valore nei luoghi di ogni giorno.













Costruire una mappa significa creare un'espressione di valori comuni, permettendo la messa in comune delle diverse soggettività di una comunità, costituirne la carta d'identità, evidenziando quei tratti di unicità – siano essi ambientali, storici o sociali - che rendono un luogo unico e speciale.

La mappa di comunità non si pone come obiettivo soltanto quello di realizzare una rappresentazione del territorio (e delle storie che vi sono legate) più estesa e descrittiva rispetto a quella delle carte e dei documenti ufficiali. La mappa di comunità è - prima di tutto - un processo partecipato che coinvolge tutti gli abitanti, in un esercizio di auto-rappresentazione identitaria e di riconoscimento dei valori tipici del luogo che abitano.


Per cui non è importante - alla fine del percorso - produrre una mappa aderente a particolari canoni estetici. Piuttosto si tratta fare in modo che la popolazione, costruendola, prenda coscienza della ricchezza materiale e simbolica del proprio territorio, creando le condizioni per mettere in atto azioni di tutela e sviluppo.






Pertanto il risultato più interessante di questo processo partecipativo è stato il fatto che ogni pescatore, realizzando in prima persona la mappa, scegliendo sin dall'inizio che cosa inserire e che cosa escludere della rappresentazione grafica dei contenuti, è stato in grado di comprenderla e farla propria, compiendosi della posizione di esperto e di protagonista.











Abbiamo organizzato un ciclo di incontri in cui abbiamo illustrato i contenuti e le finalità del progetto, nonché l'importanza della partecipazione del maggior numero di pescatori e abitanti.

La comunità coinvolta ha individuato un suo preciso riferimento territoriale grazie al quale abbiamo potuto individuare l'area geografica e la sua ampiezza. Abbiamo poi formato un gruppo di lavoro che ha iniziato ad interrogarsi su quali siano gli elementi che definiscono il carattere di un territorio.

Le domande di partenza sono state:

- Cosa è importante, per me, in questo territorio?
- Cosa mi piace e cosa non mi piace?
- Cosa rende questo posto diverso da tutti gli altri luoghi?

Ogni componente del gruppo, poi, si è adoperato per coinvolgere altre persone, invitandole sia a partecipare alle riunioni e sia interpellandole come fornitori di conoscenze, in modo da raccogliere il maggior numero di dati e di conoscenze sul territorio.

Dopo le prime riunioni è stato possibile lavorare per gruppi tematici (ricordi storici, tradizioni locali). Il gruppo di lavoro si è incontrato almeno una volta al mese. Una volta individuati gli elementi che caratterizzano il territorio, abbiamo iniziato con il disegno della mappa.

Interreg - IPA CBC  
Italy - Albania - Montenegro  
ADRINET



## MAPPA DI COMUNITÀ

Un percorso creativo, una riflessione locale, collettiva e inclusiva che spinge la comunità ad esplorare, esprimere e tutelare ciò che ritiene possa essere importante e di valore nei luoghi di ogni giorno.

### LEGENDA

-  PORTO
-  ANTICO RIFUGIO DEI PESCATORI
-  PIAZZA DANTE

I piatti tipici dei pescatori di Castro hanno come elementi principali il pesce appena pescato, gli odori genuini del basilico, del sedano, dell'olio d'oliva locale, della cipolla, dell'aglio e della mollica di pane.

I pescatori pescano tutto l'anno, tra i pesci più pescati: Scorfano, Triglia, Rana pescatrice, Sardina, Aragosta, Calamaro, Seppia, Sgombro. Se piove o fa freddo escono in barca lo stesso, se c'è troppo vento invece non si parte.

CASTRO 

# LEGENDA



CASTELLO ARAGONESE  
PIAZZA VESCOVADO  
PIAZZA PEROTTI



CHIESA DELL'ANNUNZIATA



TORRE CAPANNE



INFOPOINT



GROTTE

- 1 GROTTA ZINZALENSA
- 2 GROTTA AZZURRA
- 3 GROTTA ROMANELLI



B&B

- 4 ENEA
- 5 L'INCANTO
- 6 IL GIARDINO
- 7 LA PERLA
- 8 ACQUA MARINA
- 9 LA ROSA ANTICA
- 10 BELLAVISTA
- 11 MINERYA



ARTIGIANATO

- 12 ARTEFACTO
- 13 DOMUS ARTIS
- 14 MAJU COLORS
- 15 CALIPSO




TRATTORIE

- 16 LA PESCIERIA
- 17 DELIZIE IN CONTERA
- 18 TRNA
- 19 OSTERIE VECCHIE MANTERE
- 20 UNDERGROUND



PESCHERIE

- 21 MAREVIVO
- 22 CIVILLO
- 23 MEDITERRANEA




CASTRO 

0,630 Mn

CASTRO

CASTRO MARINA




FONDO MARINO:  
ROCCIOSO

PERICOLI:  
USCITA DAL PORTO CON  
VENTI DA SCIROCCO E  
PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E

Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✕

224° 0,630Mn

Punto 2 39°59',5 N 018°25',1 E ✕



Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

Ariva Marina

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N

0,630 Mn

018°25'11"E 39°59'55"N


0,630 Mn



Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✕  
 220° 0.745Mn  
 Punto 2 39°59',4 N 018°25',0 E ✕

Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura delle Malattie di S. Anna  
 Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura delle Malattie di S. Anna  
 Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura delle Malattie di S. Anna





Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✕  
 219° 0.960Mn  
 Punto 2 39°59',2 N 018°24',9 E ✕

Attiva Vento  
 Accostamenti (160 ft) da usare



1.07 Mn




▲ Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✕  
📍 218° ↗ 1.07Mn  
🚩 Punto 2 39°59',1 N 018°24',8 E ✕

ATTIVA VENTURA



Agente di Viaggi | Turismo | Nautica | Corsi di Guida  
Agente di Viaggi | Turismo | Nautica | Corsi di Guida





Punto 1  $40^{\circ}00',0''\text{N } 018^{\circ}25',7''\text{E}$   
 Punto 2  $39^{\circ}58',4''\text{N } 018^{\circ}24',4''\text{E}$   
 211° 182Mn

1.82 Mn

USCITA DAL PORTO CON  
 VENTI DA SCIROCCO E  
 PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E'

MARITTIMA

TORRE CAPOLUPE  
105MT


MARINA  
DI ANDRANO

TORE DI ANDRANO

Atlas Vivaldi's  
 Atlases and Charts of the World

Acknowledgments: Istit. It. Idrogr. e Mare





Punto 1  $40^{\circ}00',0''\text{N } 018^{\circ}25',7''\text{E}$  ✖  
 208° 2.06Mn  
 Punto 2  $39^{\circ}58',2''\text{N } 018^{\circ}24',4''\text{E}$  ✖

2.06 Mn

CASTRO MARINA

MARITTIMA

TORRE CAROLUPO  
105MT

MARINA  
DI ANDRANO


TRE DI ANDRANO

21  
 41  
 USCITA DAL PORTO CON  
 VENTI DA SOCOCCO E  
 PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E  
 25  
 11

Carta Marina



Adm. Navigazione / N. 170 24.10.2017  
 Edizione 2017



Punto 1 40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E ✕  
 ☉ 137° 0.763Mn  
 Punto 2 39°59',8 N 018°25',9 E ✕  
 ☉ 000° 0.643Mn  
 Punto 3 40°00',4 N 018°25',9 E ✕



Marina Militare 71  
 Ministero della Difesa - Via XX Settembre, 100 - 00187 Roma  
 Acquisizione - Act 18 del 1992 for

0.703 MIL




	Punto 1	40°00',0 N	018°25',7 E
		137°	0.263Mn
	Punto 2	39°59',8 N	018°25',9 E
		008°	0.702Mn
	Punto 3	40°00',5 N	018°26',0 E

Castro Marina 71  
 Navigazione in barca  
 Altimetro digitale / Fisso da 1000 ft



1.67 Mi



	Punto 1	40°00',0 N 018°25',7 E	✕
		137°	0.263Mn
	Punto 2	39°59',8 N 018°25',9 E	✕
		008°	1.36Mn
	Punto 3	40°01',1 N 018°26',1 E	✕

USCITA DAL PORTO CON  
VENTI DA SORCO 2 E  
PERICOLOSA ENTRATA E

Scala 1:100.000

Autonavigazione / Not to be used for



**Interreg - IPA CBC**  
Italy - Albania - Montenegro



**ADRINET**

# ADRINET MAPPING REPORT

Activity A.T2.2: Survey and GPS mapping

Municipality of  
Herceg Novi  
85340 Herceg Novi  
+382 (0) 31 321 052  
kabinet@hercegnovi.me

This project is co-financed  
by the European Union  
under the Instrument for  
Pre-Accession Assistance  
(IPA II).

# Contents

“Survey and GPS mapping” aims at identifying fishing routes and points where ALDFG is supposed to be.

01



## Introduction

---

This chapter presents the Adrinet's Activity A.T2.2 “Survey and GPS mapping”, and its objective and purpose.

13 Moluptae pellecume?  
13 Ommoluptas nectur?

02



## Data and sources

---

This chapter explains how the information were obtained and what sources were used for the realization of Activity A.T2.2 “Survey and GPS mapping”.

13 Moluptae pellecume?  
13 Ommoluptas nectur?

03



## Maps of the areas

---

This chapter specifies the GPS locations location which have been identified within the investigation area, in which the presence of the ALDFG is the most probable.

13 Moluptae pellecume?  
13 Ommoluptas nectur?

# Survey and GPS Mapping Report

04



## Discussion and conclusions

---

This chapter summarizes how the mapping activities carried out within this project have contributed to the knowledge of this particular problem in the Adriatic area and highlights that the presence of ghost nets is a fairly widespread situation.

13 **Moluptae pellecume?**  
13 **Ommoluptas nectur?**

**A very special thank you goes to the fishermen and divers who helped with their experience and expertise in locating the areas where the ALDFG are likely to be found.**

Thanks to their ingenuity and hard work, an impressive amount of ghost gear has been located in the waters of the Gulf of Herceg Novi.

Their hard work was crucial in learning where they lose gear and where the gear is further taken by the seawaves and tides.

We hope that this knowledge and will be useful for the wider fishermen community, and that the awareness of this issue will spread to the general public.



**Simonida Kordić**  
Head of International  
Cooperation Department



# GLOSSARY

## What is ALDFG?

Sometimes, fishing gear gets lost. Storms, tangles with other fishermen, incidents where vessels cut or drag gear, and natural deterioration of lines can all cause gear loss.



APEROREPE  
PLABORE



APEROREPE  
PLABORE



FISHING VESSELS



APEROREPE  
PLABORE



APEROREPE  
PLABORE



APEROREPE  
PLABORE

### ALDFG

Abandoned, Lost or Discarded Fishing Gears.

### Ghost nets

Fishing nets that have been left or lost in the ocean by fishermen. These nets, often nearly invisible in the dim light, can be left tangled on a rocky reef or drifting in the open sea. They can entangle fish, dolphins, sea turtles, sharks, dugongs, crocodiles, seabirds, crabs, and other creatures, including the occasional human diver. Acting as designed, the nets restrict movement, causing starvation, laceration and infection, and suffocation in those that need to return to the surface to breathe.

### Ghost fishing

It's what fishing gear does when it has been lost, dumped or abandoned. Nets, long lines, fish traps or any manmade contraptions designed to catch fish or marine organisms are considered capable of ghost fishing when unattended, and without anyone profiting from the catches, they are affecting already depleted commercial fish stocks. Caught fish die and in turn attract scavengers which will get caught in that same net, thus creating a vicious circle.

### Longline

Deep-sea fishing line from which are suspended many short lines with baited hooks.

### Trap

A trap used for fishing. Fish traps can have the form of a fishing weir or a lobster trap.



---

Ghost nets

---

Ghost  
fishing

---

Longline

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## What is this report all about?

**This report presents the locations where the ALDFG is supposed to be in the Gulf of Herceg Novi, as well as the detailed descriptions of how we came up with these information. We hope this will be useful to fishermen and other organizations, and of interest to the general public.**

The problem of the assessment of ALDFG presence along the Gulf of Herceg Novi has been addressed by interviewing the local fishermen community, as well as divers.

Survey was carried out together with local fishermen's communities, to identify fishing routes and places where gears, traps and longlines are supposed to be abandoned.

This pointed out to numerous GPS positions (toponyms) in the Gulf of Herceg Novi. Most of these are located off the coastline of the Gulf of Herceg Novi, within the survey area

preliminarily identified as the target for the Project.

The information obtained from the interviewing of the local fishermen community have been useful to get an insight in direct observations of ALDFG within the study area as well as to get indications of points where loss or disposal of derelict fishing gear is more probable. They have reported the occurrence of ALDFG in 21 GPS locations, located within the study area (where not only nets, but also longlines and traps have been observed).




Carried out with  
the inputs of  
the local fishermen  
and divers

Medium  
probability  
locations  
4

Divers  
10

10t






GPS locations  
21

They also prioritized these locations to 1) very high probability of ALDFG presence, 2) high probability of ALDFG presence, and 3) medium probability of ALDFG presence.

Following these criteria, a total of 21 GPS locations have been classified, corresponding to ?? Km<sup>2</sup> of seabed; among these, 8 covering a surface area of ?? Km<sup>2</sup> and encompassing ?? outcrops, have been ranked as “at very high probabilities” due to the direct observation of ALDFG by divers, and 9 areas (11 km<sup>2</sup>, 20 outcrops) as “at high probability”

due to the detection of an overlapping of trawling/gillnet entanglement points with specific outcrop locations.





## 1. INTRODUCTION

### Activity A.T2.2 “Survey and GPS mapping”

The Activity A.T2.2 “Survey and GPS mapping”, has the objective of identifying fishing routes and points where gears – nets, traps and longlines are supposed to be lost or abandoned in order to tackle ‘ghost fishing’.

To achieve this goal, information from the local fishermen community was collected, processed and integrated. In this way, it was possible to obtain a graphic representation and, consequently, to identify the areas in which the probability of presence of the ALDFGs is greater, taking into account, in particular, the following information:

1. reports of the presence of ALDFG by fishermen and divers (this figure contributes to defining the area with a very high probability);
2. reporting of stranding areas or the possibility of stranding fishing tools on submerged obstacles (areas considered to be highly probable);
3. overlap or contiguity between the points that identify the fishing routes and the presence of tegrùe (areas defined as having a medium probability of ALDFG presence).



“Synthetic materials from ghost gear degrade and are consumed by birds, fish, lobsters, and other marine life and can cause serious internal problems, or even death to the animal.”



## 2. DATA AND SOURCES

The interviews were conducted with the operators of the fishing sector who carry out the activity at sea and with the divers, which allowed us to collect valuable information regarding the areas frequented by fishing boats dedicated, and the presence of ALDFG observed during recreational diving.

**Thanks to the collaboration of members of the local fishermen and usage of the GPS equipment purchased within the Adrinet project, the GPS positions of numerous fishing points, known for some time to those in the sector, were obtained, where it is possible to apply tools on submerged fishing gear.**

As regards ALDFG, the analysis of the results of the interviews with the fishermen shows that the gears are lost with a certain frequency, especially during storms and thunderstorms, but also due to the passage of other boats (therein including longlines); for fishermen it is difficult, if not impossible, to assess where the lost nets subsequently went to recline, whether on the reefs or on the sandy seabed, and at what distance from the site of the cove they were transported. The fishermen, although not able to provide the precise coordinates, were nevertheless

able to identify some areas in which the loss of gear is more frequent, particularly on 21 fishing routes within the Gulf of Herceg Novi.

In addition to the reports on these fishing points, further information was obtained from talks with the local fishermen regarding the areas characterized by the presence of abandoned or lost fishing tools, which is located within the Project area.

The information on the location of ALDFG was obtained from the divers as well. Although precise coordinates or topographical indications have been provided to identify the place of sighting only partially, information on the presence of ALDFG were pretty accurate.



80%

information obtained  
from local fishermen



---

Fishing  
points

---

Fishing  
routes

---

Reefs



### 3. MAPS OF THE AREAS WITH THE PROBABILITY OF ALDFG PRESENCE


21 location has been identified within the investigation area in which the presence of the ALDFG is the most probable.


In accordance with the criteria described above and which we briefly summarize here:

- 1) signaling of the presence of ALDFG by fishermen and divers (areas with a very high probability of ALDFG presence);
- 2) signaling of grounding points or possible grounding of fishing tools on submerged obstacles (areas with a high probability of ALDFG presence);
- 3) overlapping or proximity of the points that identify the fishing routes, the presence of reefs and sightings of ALDFG

(areas with a medium probability of ALDFG presence).

21 location has been identified within the investigation area (Gulf of Herceg Novi) in which the presence of the ALDFG is the most probable and which are reported and described in the next paragraph in descending order of “probability“. Among these, the areas in which to proceed with the mapping of the ALDFG for their possible removal will be selected.






---

These are mainly locations along the coastline, with plenty of reefs and rocks, where the tides and waves bring the nets and other ALDFG. The fishing activities are

also intensive in these areas, because fish and other catch live and feed in the vicinity of these reefs. When ALDFG is lost in the middle of the bay, it also ends up near the

coast, because the middle of the bay has sandy bottom, so ALDFG has nothing to hold on to.

## 3.1 Points where the probability of ALDFG presence is very high



1	Kumbor	N 42°26'03" E 18°35'00"
2	Vučja cove (Zlatne vale)	N 42°23'04" E 18°34'23"
3	Njivice - Herceg Novi	N 42°26'21" E 18°31'23"
4	Kumbor	N 42°26'09" E 18°34'24"
5	Vučja cove (Mirište)	N 42°23'05" E 18°34'16"
6	Žanjic cove	N 42°23'56" E 18°33'56"
7	Njivice	N 42°26'13" E 18°31'15"
8	Rose cove	N 42°25'41" E 18°32'58"

**Enis estia doluptam remperum quati  
odicimi nvende volornatur sitae  
doluptio. Nequia debitia aut endus  
aperis nis verferro es et volorectis ab  
ipsundi tem eum faceseque odist, nus  
eium nis volorum reicia dolum voloriaes  
et etur?**

Editaer iamusan danducilis ut evenduci  
volorum recersp ientia dolupta nonseca  
ectotas solore mod maion nienihi liquidus  
velenissimus dia volor am, sum eaque  
pos millendem que et de dolut fugia  
dolent molecustis et rendem vel inimolo  
repudam, ullabo. Itature aut possit omnim  
conem et plitatatur, corpor mi, omnim fugiti  
ea idellia ecaboris maximax imporporibus  
as excesti bea cus adit mi, ut modis atam  
ad quides et aliquatur? Pietumq uiduci  
blabo. Fere natem ipient laborpor sunt  
enditiis re necabore, ullit voloria id quo  
exerias ad mil mi, con rem et odis et aut  
que nonsequo tet reperibus venia dolor  
sum dolorioritem que rem volorem  
idundeni deliquae re vit, quamet et dolupti  
ant, que conem que verite pos simpos aut  
accum ad que accum excerchilis dolori.


**Evelibeat as ea que nonsequos enim qui  
si iniaernatem voloreptate et ommolori  
re, exerferio. Nam fugitatur, tetusa  
destium rest aspit omnis nes ipiciet  
dolenis ad ent fuga. Ut explaut atecus.**

Faccaec estiunt explabori autatur? Ut  
qui nes esecto blaut quaspicia quissin  
reperferitas nihicae doles modipsanimos  
ut qui consequ atatem. Nem quatus  
etur, sim rerchitio. Nem alibusa quos sit  
repelique esto tem ape endi alit quidbus  
ea consedi con etusae sequam excesendae  
lant moluptaesci dit rempora des seque  
voluptinvel eaturibus quae volum net ute  
accatemque pa eatem dunt.

-----



## 3.2 Points where the probability of ALDFG presence is high



1	Dobrec (Submarine undercut)	N 42°25'27" E 18°32'53"
2	Mamula island	N 42°23'50" E 18°33'17"
3	Žanjic cove (Patrolac)	N 42°25'43" E 18°33'14"
4	Ploča cove	N 42°26'34" E 18°33'59"
5	Špiljice	N 42°23'55" E 18°34'17"
6	Rose cove	N 42°25'43" E 18°33'14"
7	Zelenika	N 42°26'34" E 18°33'59"
8	Mamula (Žanjic)	N 42°23'55" E 18°34'17"
9	Mamula (open sea)	N 42°23'32" E 18°33'29"

**Enis estia doluptam remperum quati odicimi nvende volorernatur sitae doluptio. Nequia debitia aut endus aperis nis verferro es et volorectis ab ipsundi tem eum faceseque odist, nus eium nis volorum reicia dolum voloriaes et etur?**

Editaer iamusan danducilis ut evenduci volorum recersp ientia dolupta nonseca ectotas solore mod maion nienihi liquidus velenissimus dia volor am, sum eaque pos millendem que et de dolut fugia dolent molecustis et rendem vel inimolo repudam, ullabo. Itature aut possit omnim conem et plitatur, corpor mi, omnim fugit ea idellia ecaboris maximax imporporibus as excesti bea cus adit mi, ut modis atam ad quides et aliquatur? Pietumq uiduci blabo. Fere natem ipient laborpor sunt enditiis re necabore, ullit voloria id quo exerias ad mil mi, con rem et odis et aut que nonsequo tet reperibus venia dolor sum dolorioritem que rem volorem idundeni deliquae re vit, quamet et dolupti ant, que conem que verite pos simpos aut accum ad que accum excerchilis dolori.


**Evelibeat as ea que nonsequos enim qui si iniaernatem voloreptate et ommolori re, exerferio. Nam fugitatur, tetusa destium rest aspit omnis nes ipiciet dolenis ad ent fuga. Ut explaut atecus.**

Faccaec estiunt explabori autatur? Ut qui nes esecto blaut quaspicia quissin reperferitas nihicae doles modipsanimos ut qui consequ atatem. Nem quatus etur, sim rerchitio. Nem alibusa quos sit repelique esto tem ape endi alit quidbus ea consedi con etusae sequam excesendae lant moluptaesci dit rempora des seque voluptinvel eaturibus quae volum net ute accatemque pa eatem dunt.

-----



## 3.3 Points where the probability of ALDFG presence is medium



1	Mamula island (Arza)	N 42°23'21" E 18°33'44"
2	Mamula (open sea)	N 42°23'26" E 18°33'05"
3	Rose cove	N 42°23'21" E 18°33'44"
4	Pristan	N 42°23'21" E 18°33'44"

**Enis estia doluptam remperum quati odicimi nvende volorernatur sitae doluptio. Nequia debitia aut endus aperis nis verferro es et volorectis ab ipsundi tem eum faceseque odist, nus eium nis volorum reicia dolum voloriaes et etur?**

Editaer iamusan danducilis ut evenduci volorum recersp ientia dolupta nonseca ectotas solore mod maion nienihi liquidus velenissimus dia volor am, sum eaque pos millendem que et de dolut fugia dolent molecustis et rendem vel inimolo repudam, ullabo. Itature aut possit omnim conem et plitatur, corpor mi, omnim fugiti ea idellia ecaboris maximax imporporibus as excesti bea cus adit mi, ut modis atam ad quides et aliquatur? Pietumq uiduci blabo. Fere natem ipient laborpor sunt enditiis re necabore, ullit voloria id quo exerias ad mil mi, con rem et odis et aut que nonsequo tet reperibus venia dolor sum dolorioritem que rem volorem idundeni deliquae re vit, quamet et dolupti ant, que conem que verite pos simpos aut accum ad que accum excerchilis dolori.

**Evelibeat as ea que nonsequos enim qui si iniaernatem voloreptate et ommolori re, exerferio. Nam fugitatur, tetusa destium rest aspit omnis nes ipiciet dolenis ad ent fuga. Ut explaut atecus.**


Faccaec estiunt explabori autatur? Ut qui nes esecto blaut quaspicia quissin reperferitas nihicae doles modipsanimos ut qui consequ atatem. Nem quatus etur, sim rerchitio. Nem alibusa quos sit repelique esto tem ape endi alit quidbus ea consedi con etusae sequam excesendae lant moluptaesci dit rempora des seque voluptinvel eaturibus quae volum net ute accatemque pa eatem dunt.

-----





## 4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS



The basic premise behind the analyses is that ALDFG are created in areas where there is overlap between different maritime activities as well as in areas where fishing gear can be hooked on wrecks or reefs.

Experts in marine debris, oceanography, and marine policy outlined a strategy to develop the capability to detect and ultimately remove DFG from the seas. The strategy includes three interrelated components: understanding the characteristics of the targeted ALDFG, indirectly detecting ALDFG by modeling likely locations, and interviewing the local fishermen. Together, these components aim to refine the search area, increase the likelihood of detection, and decrease mitigation response time, thereby providing guidance for removal operations.

If location of gear is undertaken to remove gear, project managers must determine whether location of lost gear will be conducted prior to launching gear removal

operations or whether gear location and removal work will be conducted together. Simultaneous location and removal operations can be successful if managers have a good general knowledge of where the gear is located or confidence that concentrations of lost gear occur in a general area. In many fisheries throughout the world, the extent and location of lost fishing gear is unknown. The following methods can assist in initial assessments of locations and concentrations of lost gear to inform and guide subsequent removal operations.

Adrinet aimed at quantifying ALDFG impacts on rocky habitats in the Adriatic Sea, precisely - Gulf of Herceg Novi, locating and removing ALDFG, and

# “Adrinet aimed at quantifying ALDFG impacts on rocky habitats in the Gulf of Herceg Novi.”

preventing further impacts from ALDFG by working collaboratively with fishermen and divers on prevention. The Project worked with divers to locate/survey for ALDFG and they used topologic knowledge of the rocky outcrops, to map and quantify ALDFG (and any other type of marine litter visualized).

Adrinet relied on fishermen to direct them to areas or locations where fishing gear is lost. Immediate reports from fishermen related to lost gear are the most reliable. Fishermen and diver interviews have also proved fruitful in identifying areas or locations of concentrations of lost gear. While this method is not real time, it has proved very accurate in identifying ‘hot spots’ of concentrated gear.

Visual surveys from boats are an excellent method to locate the buoys of lost shellfish traps or lost gillnets. However, boat-based surveys are best conducted in areas of high concentration of lost gear as fuel costs will prohibit extensive surveying in large areas with low concentrations of lost gear. This method is best used after closures of shellfish or trap-based fisheries where traps are abandoned or drift away from set locations. Many fisheries enforcement agencies use this method to locate lost traps, with removal occurring simultaneously.

We also successfully worked with divers to survey identified areas of suspected concentrations of lost fishing gear to both provide exact locations of gear to be removed and to verify that targets are actually ALDFG.

The basic premise behind the analyses is that ALDFG are created in areas where

there is overlap between different maritime activities as well as in areas where fishing gear can be hooked on wrecks or reefs. It follows from this, that such areas would have a higher concentration of ALDFG than areas without such conflicts.

One of the main sources of ALDFG is the conflict between active and passive fishing, i.e. when trawlers, seiners and flyshooters collide with gillnets and drag away the marker buoys or worse, drag away both buoys and gillnets. If the marker buoy is lost, the fisherman will have difficulty finding his gillnets again, which may then end up as ALDFG. If the gillnet is caught in the trawl, it is up to the trawler to salvage the net and bring it ashore, but this might not always happen, and so the gillnet may end up as ALDFG.

Another source of ALDFG is fishing gear getting hooked on the several thousand wrecks found in Adriatic waters. This can happen with both active gears like trawls and seines and passive gears like gillnets. When it happens with active gears it is often by mistake if the fisherman was not aware of the wreck. Contrary to this, there is a dedicated wreck fishery with gillnets, where the nets are set very close to or sometimes across the wreck. Fishing gear hooked on wrecks can be very difficult to retrieve and may therefore end up as ALDFG.

Yet another source of ALDFG is fishing gear getting hooked on natural structures like stone reefs, biogenic reefs and bubble reefs. In these cases, the problem is not just the creation of ALDFG but also the risk of damaging the reef structures.

ALDFG can also be created where marine traffic collides with passive gear like gillnets. Such collisions often result in the marker buoys being severed from the anchors or dragged away, which means that the fisherman will have difficulty finding his gillnets again, and they may then end up as ALDFG.

Taking all of the abovementioned into account, Adrinet’s Activity A.T4.1 “Sea-bed cleaning missions”, will deal with the reclamation of those areas of the coast of Herceg Novi which are endangered by ALDFG. This Activity will also confirm whether the estimates of ALDFG quantities, made within the scope of this report, were accurate.



**Simonida Kordić**  
Head of International  
Cooperation Department



This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of Municipality of Herceg Novi and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union and of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme Authorities.



**“ADRINET”**

**No: 244/ 1<sup>st</sup> call of standard Project**

**Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro 2014-2020**

**“External Expertise in HR for fishermen's involvement and for the concept, the preparation, the implementation and use of a survey to identify fishing routes and places where gears, traps and longlines are supposed to be abandoned”**

## **INDEX**

**Chapter 1: Introduction**

**Chapter 2: Methodology**

**Chapter 3: Concept and preparation of Surveys to identify fishing routes and places where gears, traps and longlines are supposed to be abandoned**

**Chapter 4: Meetings with fishermen, illustrative photos and data**

**Chapter 5: Conclusions**

---

*Introduction:*

---

Habitats, coastal zone and ecosystems services always attracted humans and human activities. But this intensive concentration of population and excessive exploitation of natural resources puts enormous pressure on our coastal ecosystems leading to biodiversity loss, habitats destruction, pollution, as well as conflicts between potential uses, and space congestion problems. Because the well-being of populations and the economic viability of many businesses in coastal zones depend on the environmental status of these areas, it is essential to make use of long term management tools, such as integrated coastal management, to enhance the protection of coastal resources whilst increasing the efficiency of their uses. Integrated coastal management covers the full cycle of information collection, planning, decision-making, management and monitoring of implementation. It is important to involve all stakeholders across the different sectors to ensure broad support for the implementation of management strategies. Coherent application with maritime spatial planning will improve the sea-land interface planning and management, such as for instance the protection of marine ecosystems. Marine litter and ghost-fishing, for instance, are some of the most relevant threats for the water landscape. Effective measures to tackle marine litter in the region are seriously hampered by the lack of reliable scientific data. Within this context the need for accurate, coherent, and comparable scientific data in the Adriatic and Ionian Seas is clear in order to set priorities for action and address marine litter effectively, thus ensuring the sustainable management and use of the marine and coastal environment of the Adriatic-Ionian macro region.

The objectives of the project that is to develop an innovative model for addressing in a cooperative, perspective environmental issues related to pollution, overexploitation of fish-stocks, and ghost-fishing. Professional fishermen, engaged as fundamental pillars in any realistic sea-protection policy. The project is also addressed to the community of scientists and scholars, who could benefit from the data gathering and the in-depth studies carried out by the project. Then, it is addressed to the communities: families, students, tourists who are directly connected with the subject as fish-consumers.

A staggering 640,000 tons of discarded fishing gear is left in our oceans each year. Ghost fishing gear refers to any fishing equipment or fishing related litter that has been abandoned, lost or otherwise discarded; also referred to as 'derelict fishing gear' and/or 'fishing litter'. It is one of the biggest threats to animals in our oceans.

---

## *Methodology*

---

While compiling the survey the expert was focusing in defining the purpose of the survey in clear, unambiguous terms. The expert has been very attentive in identifying the right people to collect proper and comprehensive data, relevant to the purpose of the study. The identification of the right sample is another critical aspect of survey and bears heavily on the structure and mode of survey.

The most important part of the survey is compiling the right question by expressing in a clear and understandable manner. Questions are the tools of the survey and picking the wrong question type can be as awkward as using a screwdriver to knit a pullover.

The survey is structured in a good mix of close ended questions – dichotomous (yes/no), multiple choice, and ordinal scale (rank, preference) – after considering the purpose that each question type will serve.

Once the survey is ready, the expert executed the plans through a robust collection mechanism. The expert has attended consultation meetings with the actors involved in order to make sure that fishermen understand completely the technicalities and purpose of the survey and are capable to ask all the questions.

*The survey serves at achieving to two important results:*

- Realization of a survey to identify fishing routes and places where gears, traps and longlines are supposed to be abandoned.
- Empowering the local fishermen community on how to deal with environmental challenges related to fishing.

The main purpose of the interview was collecting data on the identification of accumulation spots and recovery of different types of nets lost on the seabed as well as identification of some of the sites abandoned ghost nets.

*Concept and preparation of Surveys to identify fishing routes and places where gears, traps and longlines are supposed to be abandoned The interview of 20 fishermen's and distribution the questionnaires.*

In this regard, were conducted meetings with fishermen from all fishing forms in general to provide the field data on the situation, the probabilities, the presence and impacts of lost or abandoned fishing gears in the Vlora Gulf area. The conversation with different group fishermen in regard of best practices on fishing, on fishing methodologies used in Vlora Bay, on coastal ecosystem and marine ecosystems in general, on environmental and biodiversity aspects, fish resources and preserving a healthy sea conditions, what are the ghost nets, the relationship of ghost nets with the seabed condition, their economic and new-technologies challenges related to fishing and for ghost-fishing tracking and tackling, how to deter and select the areas where are accumulated ghost nets, the causes and factors, etc. The mostly concentrated areas with ghost nets (lost or abandoned). Twenty fishermen according the list below are interviewed:

The list of interviews of small-scale fishery (Vlora Bay)

No	Small-scale fishery	Fisherman Name
1	AMALTEA	Sami Bajrami
2	KLAUS	Agim Cacaj
3	ROMOLETO	Berti Thanasi
4	ESEA	Kosta Kristo
5	DENIS	Gezim Haruni
6	ALEKS	Besnik Muhaj
7	PARIS	Myqerem Cela
8	REI	Blendi Elmazi
9	RESI	Rexhep Ahmeti
10	FURORA	Aleks Grabovaj
11	KLODI	Anesti Tiko
12	ALBA	Ditor Brahimaj
13	KELI	Enton Mishtaku
14	VELI	Hasime LlangoziI
15	FEA	Lorenc Ahmeti
16	ARI	Festim Hajdini
17	BLERTI	Edison Vangjeli
18	RANIERI	Pellumb Memokondaj
19	XHULIO	Novruz Ahmetaj
20	BIANKA	Mezan Dulaj



Questionnaire tip used for fishermen:

This survey is part of project that aims to develop a series of actions to strengthen and promote an integrated planning to address the problem of ghost nets in Vlora Bay zone, and ensure sustainable management of the marine and coastal environment of the Adriatic Coast and Sea. We aim to understand and assess the socioeconomic impacts of ghost nets on coastal communities. Participation in this survey guarantees confidentiality of the information you provide. Only the research team will have access to the information provided. The analyzed data may be submitted for publication but in a format whereby contributors will not be identifiable.

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Interviewer's name</b>	
<b>e-mail</b>	
<b>Interviewee's name</b>	
<b>Profession</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fisherman <input type="checkbox"/> Sailor <input type="checkbox"/> Skipper <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____
<b>Phone number</b>	
<b>e-mail</b>	
<b>Since when are you in the fishery sector?</b>	
<b>Location name</b>	
<b>Country</b>	

The interviewer's are fisherman from small scale fishery that operate in Vlora Bay.

### 1.1 Vessel characteristics & fishing areas

<b>Vessel port</b>		
<b>Vessel length (meters)</b>		
<b>Vessel tonnage (tonnes)</b>		
<b>Main fishing area</b> (distance from the shore)	<input type="checkbox"/> Within national waters	<input type="checkbox"/> Outside national waters
	NM (nautical miles): _____	NM (nautical miles): _____

The fisherman (small scale fishery) that operate in Vlora Bay use the small vessel with length 5 to 10 meters.

**Number of fishing days per year (of vessel)**

<input type="checkbox"/> <60	<input type="checkbox"/> 100-120	<input type="checkbox"/> 160-180
<input type="checkbox"/> 60-80	<input type="checkbox"/> 120-140	<input type="checkbox"/> 180-200
<input type="checkbox"/> 80-100	<input type="checkbox"/> 140-160	<input type="checkbox"/> >200

The average of fishing days per year ( small scale fishery ) is 120-140 days


**1.2 Average number of fishing hours per day**

<input type="checkbox"/> <4	<input type="checkbox"/> 8-10	<input type="checkbox"/> 14-16
<input type="checkbox"/> 4-6	<input type="checkbox"/> 10-12	<input type="checkbox"/> 16-20
<input type="checkbox"/> 6-8	<input type="checkbox"/> 12-14	<input type="checkbox"/> >20

The average of fishing hours per day is 6 -8 hours (small scale fishery)

**1.3 Type and amounts of fishing gear used throughout the year**

Types	Number	Meters	Types	Number	Meters
Seines			Beam trawls (net)		
Pots and traps			Bottom otter trawl		
Rapido trawl			Midwater otter trawls		
Pelagic pair trawls			Otter twin trawls		
Gillnets and similar nets			Surrounding nets and lift nets		
Longlines & hooks					
<i>Other, specify</i> _____			<i>Other, specify</i> _____		



## 2. INFORMATION RELATED TO GHOST NETS

### 2.1 How would you assess the gravity of the ghost nets problem within your area?


- insignificant problem       moderate problem       Serious problem

### 2.2 How would you assess the trend related to the ghost nets issue within your area?


- diminishing problem       no noticeable trend       growing problem

### 2.3 Do you experience problems with ghost nets caught in your hauls/nets?


- never       rarely       often       almost every time



Grafic 2.: How would you assess the gravity of the ghost nets problem within your area?



Grafic 3. How would you assess the trend related to the ghost nets issue within your area



Grafic 4. Do you experience problems with ghost nets caught in your hauls/nets?

#### 2.4 Ghost nets management on board vessels

Are there waste ghost nets on board?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is litter sorted on board?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If no, is nets being discarded at sea?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other, specify	

The answer of fisherman's was no waste ghost nets on board vessels.

#### 2.5 Ghost nets management on shore

Is there waste collection infrastructure in your port?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	--

The answer of fisherman's was no waste collection infrastructure in our port.

If yes, are you satisfied with it?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is it easily accessible?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other, specify	

**2.6 Are you insured for damages/costs arising from ghost nets?**

Yes  No

The answer of fishermen's was : no insured for damages/ costs arising from ghost nets.

If yes, what does this cost you annually? \_\_\_\_\_ EUR

**2.7 Could you be somehow compensated for damages/costs arising from ghost nets?**

From whom? \_\_\_\_\_

Have you ever been compensated? If yes, specify \_\_\_\_\_ EUR

**INFORMATION RELATED TO ABANDONED, LOST OR DISCARDED FISHING GEAR**

**3.1 Estimate the types and amounts of fishing gear disposed of throughout the year**


All fishermen interviewed have claimed that have in some cases loss of their nets due the bad weather, but have been able to extract fishing nets by diving.

**3.2 How would you assess the occurrence of the following practices within the fishing community regarding the usage and disposal of fishing gear?**

<b>Fishing gear is used in a way that increases the risk of losing it at sea</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
<b>Derelict fishing gear is stored somewhere by owner</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
<b>Derelict fishing gear is dumped somewhere on land (illegal dumpsite)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
<b>Derelict fishing gear is destroyed by the owner (burned?)</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time
<b>Derelict fishing gear is disposed at land in</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time

relevant waste infrastructure			time
Other, <b>Derelict fishing gear are repaired</b> _____	<input type="checkbox"/> rarely	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> often	<input type="checkbox"/> almost every time

### 3.3 Please assess the disposal schemes in place for derelict fishing gear

Is there a specific collection area for derelict fishing gear at the port?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is it easily accessible?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is there any specific infrastructure in place (e.g. containers, bins)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If not, are the derelict fishing gear being disposed together with all other types of waste?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Other, specify	

The answers of fisherman up are with red notification

### 3.4 Have there been any measures (regulations, establishment of derelict fishing gear schemes, awareness raising, etc.) undertaken to ensure the sustainable management of derelict fishing gear in your area?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, please list below these measures
<p><b>Neni 108 “ Ligji per peshkimin “ Nr. 64, date 31.01.2012</b>  <b>Gjetja e mjeteve të humbura</b></p> <p>1. Anijet e peshkimit duhet të jenë të pajisura me pajisje që mundëson gjetjen e mjeteve të peshkimit të humbura.</p> <p>2. Në rastin kur mjeti i humbur nuk është gjetur, atëherë zotëruesi i anijes lajmëron inspektorin përkatës për:</p> <p>a. numrin e jashtëm të identifikimit dhe emrin e anijes së peshkimit;</p> <p>b. tipin e mjetit të humbur; c. kohën e humbjes së këtij mjeti;</p> <p>ç. pozicionin e mjetit të humbur;</p> <p>d. masat e marra për të gjetur mjetin.</p> <p>3. Në rastin kur mjetet e humbura gjenden nga Inspektorati i Peshkimit dhe që nuk janë raportuar nga zotëruesi i anijes, atëherë inspektori i peshkimit i ngarkon koston e nxjerrjes së mjetit zotëruesit të anijes.</p>	

37. Shkelja e dispozitave të nenit 107 të këtij ligji dënohet me gjobë, nga 10 000 lekë deri në 50 000 lekë.

38. Shkelja e dispozitave të nenit 108 të këtij ligji dënohet me gjobë, nga 30 000 lekë deri në 50 000 lekë.

**Article 108 Fishery Law “ Nr. 64, date 31.01.2012**

**Finding lost assets**

1. Fishing vessels shall be fitted with equipment enabling them to locate lost fishing gear.
2. Where the lost vehicle is not recovered, the shipowner shall notify the relevant inspector of:
  - a. the external identification number and name of the fishing vessel;
  - b. type of vehicle lost; c. the time of loss of this vehicle;
  - ç. lost vehicle position;
  - d. measures taken to find the remedy.
3. Where lost gear is found by the Fisheries Inspectorate and not reported by the shipowner, the fisheries inspector shall bear the cost of bringing the vessel to its owner.

37. Violation of the provisions of Article 107 of this Law shall be punishable by a fine from 10,000 up to 50,000.leke (Albanian money)

38. Violation of the provisions of Article 108 of this Law shall be punishable by a fine from 30,000 to 50,000 leke (Albanian money).

**4.6 Have you observed any areas where ghost nets accumulate?**

Yes  No If yes, list these areas below

Area (name and coverage in m <sup>2</sup> )	Depth (m)	Distance from the coast (km)	Latitude <i>(if possible)</i>	Longitude <i>(if possible)</i>
Bovat	30	1,5	40° 29' 04 61'' N	19° 24' 29 40'' E
Dragamina e mbytur	.35	1,5	40° 28' 50 13'' N	19° 24' 15 61'' E



<b>Kampi i Pionereve</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>40°27' 16 61'' N</b>	<b>19° 28' 04 07'' E</b>
<b>Eskavatori i mbytur</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40° 34'07'78''N</b>	<b>19°17'55 60''E</b>
<b>Shpella e Haxhi Aliut</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>Cave</b>	<b>40°25'53 57'' N</b>	<b>19°18' 17 05'' E</b>
<b>Gjiri i mermerit</b>	<b>45.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>40° 21' 59 62''N</b>	<b>19° 25' 43 10'' E</b>
<b>Spitalieri</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40° 20' 05 65''N</b>	<b>19° 27' 05 61'' E</b>
<b>Avioni i mbytur</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1,5</b>	<b>40° 29' 19 91''N</b>	<b>19° 24' 18 72'' E</b>

**4.7 Have measures (regulations, cleanup operations, etc.) been taken to mitigate ghost fishing in your area or country?**

Yes    No   If yes, please list below these below


The answer of fisherman was no regulations, cleanup, operation about mitigate of ghost nets fishing.

---

*Meetings with fisherman illustrative photos and data*

---



Radhime (Vlora Bay), 23/06/2019

Some of the areas in Vlora Bay like the zone of abandoned ghost nets:

- Place “Shyqyri Alierko” (the ships drowned in the sea in 1940 year). The depth 12 meter and distance from the coast about 1 km.
- Place “Spitalieri” (The Hospital ship is drowned in the sea in 1943 year). The depth 32 meter and distance from the coast 1,5 km.
- Place “Mermeri” (Marable), the depth 45 meters and distance from the coast 3 km.
- Place Shengjan. (two drowned ships during second war). The depth 30 meter and the distance from the coast 2 km.

Prepared the list of licensed fishing vessels in Vlora Bay taken from Inspector of Fishery Directory of Agriculture Ministry Tirana.

LICENSED FISHING VESSELS IN VLORA (2018)

No	Boat	Administrator	Period licence	Fishing type
1	GABRIEL	Latif Azemi	2022	Trawler
2	ODISEA 1	Fjodor Bala	2021	Selective
3	MEHMETI	Qani Alushi	2019	SELECTIVE
4	GJYZELI	Jonita Alimuca	2022	TRAWLER
5	DIAMANTE	Engjellushe Dalipi	2021	SELECTIVE
6	DENIS	Llambi NushiI	2019	TRAWLER
7	XHOKLA	Maks Merko	2019	TRAWLER
8	KLODI 1	Klodian Isai	2019	TRAW+PELAG.
9	RICIOLA	Agron Nuredini	2020	TRAWLER
10	OQEANIA	Flamur Alimani	2021	TRAW+PELAGIC
11	MELISA	Zija Bejto	2019	TRAWLER
12	DE RADA	Mezan Jakupi	2020	TRAWLER
13	FABIANO	Dritan Kacaj	2021	TRAW.+PELAGIC
14	GERTA	Altin Nazdri	2021	TRAWLER
15	FIORE	Elham Zhegu	2020	TRAWLER
16	FORTUNELA	Isuf Nuredini	2018	TRAWLER
17	ANDI II	Ali Cakerri	2021	TRAWLER
18	PAVARSIA	Orgest Serjani	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
19	KELI	Enton Mishtaku	2021	SELECTIVE
20	SELMAN LEVANI	Astrit Levani	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
21	ROZETA	Besnik Pilinci	2021	SELECTIVE
22	AQUARIO II	Skender Saliu	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
23	RICIOLA 1	Robert Nuredini	2020	TRAWLER
24	DEVI	Elham Malaj	2019	TRAWLER
25	MEDI	Pelagicumb Isai	2020	SELECTIVE
26	SULEJMAN HASANI 1	Gentian Xhema	2021	TRAWLER
27	ERIKLA	Llazar Nushi	2021	TRAWLER
28	LEDA	Fiqiri Refati	2019	SELECTIVE
29	IL-PU	Qemal Lato	2020	TRAWLER
30	POJANI	Agron Shermeti	2019	RRETHIME
31	RIGELS	Pelagicumb Lato	2020	TRAWLER
32	ELTJON	Flogert Arifi	2019	TRAWLER
33	LUCO-1	Flamur Isufi	2021	TRAWLER
34	PADAJ	Arben Nuredini	2020	SELECTIVE
35	BABALE	Mustaf Mustafa	2018	RRETHIME
36	AGIMI	Muhamet Feimi	2019	RRETHIME
37	BISTRICA	Sami Sulioti	2020	RRETHIME
38	ORGESTI	Ilirjan Haxhiu	2019	PELAGICAGJIKE
39	LA SPERANCA	Edmond Hyseni	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
40	KRISTO	Azem Lato	2018	TRAWLER

41	MIKAEL	Illir Rakipi	2020	TRAW.+PELAGIC
42	KARABURUNI	Ronja Laze	2022	TRAWLER
43	QEPAROI	Agim Cacaj	2022	SELECTIVE
44	MUHARREM REXHO	Haxhi Rexho	2019	TRAWLER
45	BABALE II	Mustaf Mustafa	2019	RRETHIME
46	AGIOS NIKOLAOS 2-HIM	Ilija Gjezo	2018	SELECTIVE
47	LINDA	Muharrem Budo	2019	TRAWLER
48	ARDIT	Sami Zeneli	2020	TRAW.+PELAGIC
49	SHANIKO	Arjan Abazi	2019	
50	ARDIANO	Shefik Muho	2019	TRAWLER
51	AMERIKA	Thoma Diamanti	2019	TRAWLER
52	ACQUARIO 4	Skender Saliu		TRAW.+PELAGIC

On Field mission: meeting with fishermen from all fishing forms in general to provide the field data on the situation, the probabilities, the presence and impacts of lost or abandoned fishing gears in the Vlora Gulf area.

#### Topic covered

The legal & illegal fishing forms the probabilities, the presence and impacts of lost or abandoned fishing gears in the in Vlora Bay, etc. The conversation with different group fishermen in regard of best practices on fishing, on fishing methodologies used in Vlora Bay, on coastal ecosystem and marine ecosystems in general, on environmental and biodiversity aspects, fish resources and preserving a healthy sea conditions, what are the ghost nets, the relationship of ghost nets with the seabed condition, their economic and new-technologies challenges related to fishing and for ghost-fishing tracking and tackling, how to deter and select the areas where are accumulated ghost nets, the causes and factors, etc. Used the questionnaire tip.



Porti I peshkimit - Treport (Gjiri I Vlores). 21 August 2019

In regards of the fishing trips and fishing routes we should stress that Vlora Bay is a Protected Area and according to its protection status and the Fishery Law Nr. 64 of date 31.05 2012 “On Fisheries”, it is prohibited to apply Bottom Trawl Fishing on the Vlora Bay. In such condition we should say definitely that almost all fishing form applied in Vlora Bay is Artisanal Fisheries. This fishing form don't use fishing port facilities, they are based on capillary way along the sea coast. Some of the artisanal fishing boats we meet in the Treport Fishing Port with which we have the meeting.

All conversations and discussions attracted the attention of fishermen we met although in their perception there are other factors that damage the fishery resources and/or the marine environment.

Those meetings with fishermen took place at the dock of the fishing port, in meetings oriented by the President of the Fishery Management Organization, Vlora.

We believe that further training sessions, planned to take place in the premises of fishing Port and/or Vlora RC (Vlora Reference Center) will shed light on the causes and the consequences of ghost nets and ghost fishing in Vlora area to be followed on the awareness of protecting the marine area from the destructive practices like nets abandoning.

On 05/10/2019 was organized a workshop with fishermen of Radhima area ( Vlora Bay) The announcement of the fishermen attending this workshop was carried out in cooperation with the Vlora Region and the fishery inspectors who are directly linked to the fishermen practicing artisanal fishing in the Bay of Vlora.

Topics discussed were ghost nets, and the negative impact they have on fishing and the environment. The topic of the discussion was based on the completion of the type questionnaire with all fishermen participating in the training. The most problematic sites of abandoned nets in

the Bay of Vlora were identified. Issues related to fisheries and the marine environment were discussed. The tematic discussed were ghost nets as a phenomenon (abandoned, stalled, dumped), the magnitude of this phenomenon among the different forms of fishing, and what are the most common reasons for the phenomenon of abandoning nets at sea.



Fisherman Meeting (Radhime, Vlora Bay), 05/10/2019

Ghost nets according to fishermen's testimonies are fishing nets (nets, pur sein, hooks) that have not been thrown / abandoned by the fishermen with their conscience.

They are stranded in stagnant objects such as boats stranded in the Vlora Bay, brought in by sea currents from fishing areas, fixed with anchors but pulled from there by large bottom fishing vessels that just launch depart from the fishing port and exit the bay with the launcher.

The trawls released during their voyage into the Gulf of Vlora to the depth of the bottom fishing (off the Gulf of Vlora) carry with them the nets that are badly damaged and dumped offshore by industrial fishing fishermen, often cutting them into pieces. small.

Another contingent are those stormwater fishing nets for stationary fishing. After being overthrown, they are displaced by the force of the hull and stuck in end objects like shipwrecks, in which case they are not tracked by their owners.

There was a very positive phenomenon in the group of fishermen in the row: there were artisanal fishing subjects that brought their nets out of the sea in the event of loss from bad weather or for other reasons but beyond their will. This category of fishermen, but also capable divers, also provided this service to third parties in the event of network bottlenecks. But only when stagnation occurred at depths up to 20m. At depths of about 40 m it is impossible to pull them out with just a simple polar coating.

Another positive thing is that the nets were never discarded after damage, they were repaired until they were no longer valuable. Before each trash was thrown into the trash, the top rope (along with the tap) and bottom (along with the lead) were removed. Only unarmed nets containing a small amount are disposed of at the designated waste site.

---

## CONCLUSIONS

---

1. Craft fishermen generally carry out pre-season fishing practices;
2. Their fishing nets are all repaired;
3. Their nets are drawn from the bottom of the sea when they are stranded, by fishermen themselves or by service fr others.
4. Vlora bay and artisanal fishermen are subject to violations and abuses by large fishing vessels;

The most position of the ghost nets in Vlora Bay identified from fisherman.

The mostly concentrated areas with ghost nets (lost or abandoned) are:


1.



*“Eskavatori i mbytur” : “drowned excavator Is situated in the area between Vjosa River mouth and Sazani Island. The depth 40meters The distance 3 km, the , coordination 40o 34’07’78’’N 19o17’55 60’’E)*

- *From the fisherman interview about eight from them declared about the position of “drowned excavator” when there are many quantity ghost nets. The “drowned excavator stay in Vlora bay from 1970 year and use as snag for ghost nets.*





*Gjiu i Zvernecit, where we put together three topics:*

*“Dragamina”, the depth 35meters, the distance from the coast 1,5km, the coordinates 40o28’ 50 13’’ N 19o24’ 15 61’’E*

*“Avioni i mbytur” “drowning aircraft” .the the depth 30meters, the distance from the coast 1,5km and the coordinates 40o 29’ 19 91’’N 19o 24’ 18 72’’ E*

*“Bovat e benzines”. gasoline buckets The depth 30 meters the distance from the coast about 1,5 km and the coordinates 40o 29’04 61’’ N 19o 24’ 29 40’’ E*

*In The Zverneci gulf includes three positions such as “Dragamina, “.Drowning aircraft” and “Gasoline buckets”, which were mentioned by all the fishermen interviewed as they were remotely close to shore and the fishermen deviated during fishing so that their nets would not be stuck in these objects. Because the “Drowning aircraft” is situated since the second war world .(1944) as well the Dragamine around 1960 year and “Gasoline boats around 1970 year.*

*Three objects have become in this position (Zverneci Bay) to many ghost nets that are lost at sea and stuck there causing great damage to the marine environment, as many fish die in these ghost nets and the marine environment is endangered by their annual increase.*



*Kampi i pioniereve. It is a site near Vlora city. The depth 10 meters, the distance from the coast 1km, the coordinates 40o27' 16 61'' N 19o 28' 04 07''E  
Another well known position of all the fishermen interviewed was the "pioneer camp" in close proximity to the shore and depth 10 meters. Six of fishermen declared that they had damaged their nets in this area, but had taken them out for several hours. later by dives with payment. The divers have declared that there are large quantities of ghost nets in this zone.*



*Spitalieri : "Drownde hospila ship" . It is an area near Orikumi city and the Orikumi Lagoon.. the depth 35 meters, the distance from the coast 2 km, the coordiantes 40o 20' 05 65''N 19o 27' 05 61'' ET*

- The position of the drowned hospital ship since World War II (1943 year) is a position well known to fishermen's. But as the shoreline is large from the coast about 2 km distance and the depth more than 35 meters , the area has become more fishing from big fishing vessel like purse and gill nets, thus as they may have been lost and damaged by bad weather.. The fishermen decelerated that by being in the bay position it is possible for many ghost nets to be found, as marine currents strand these abandoned nets in parts of the wreck.



*Shpella e Haxhi Aliut. " the cave of Haxhi Ali" It is an area in the Karaburuni Cape the depth 55meters the coordinate 40o25'53 57" N 19o18' 17 05" E The " Haxhi Aliu" cave is an area not frequented by fishermen (small scale fishery), because these fisherman fishing along the coast, but is well known as an area of ghost nets.. These ghost nets as they are stuck during e by high winds even during sea storms. this has caused many large fishing boat nets, or even from neighboring countries, to be deposited during marine storms. Because of that causing extensive damage to fish and marine Eco fauna in this area that is also designated a protected area.( Caraburun Zone)*

**Interreg - IPA CBC**

Italy - Albania - Montenegro



EUROPEAN UNION

**ADRINET**

# **PROTOCOL**

Date: 30 June 2020

### Memorandum of Understanding for coordination on sustainable use of marine ecosystems

The Parties of this Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter referred to as “MoU”) are:

- University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine
- Municipality of Castro
- Municipality Herceg Novi
- University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology
- Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
- Regional Council of Vlora

#### *Preamble*

**Whereas** University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university. Lead partner;

**Whereas** Municipality of Castro local public authority;

**Whereas** Municipality of Herceg Novi local public authority;

**Whereas** University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Regional Council of Vlora regional public authority;

**Whereas** the project “Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem” (hereinafter referred to as “ADRINET”) aims at improving a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems of selected regions;

**Whereas** ADRINET calls specifically for the drawing up of a fisheries coordination arrangement for the sustainable use of sea bottoms and for ghost-fishing tackling;

**Whereas** University of Bari, University of Montenegro, Regional Council of Vlora, Municipality of Castro, Agriculture University of Tirana, Municipality of Herceg Novi (hereinafter referred to as “Parties”) share these common goals and objectives and recognize the importance of undertaking concerted and coordinated actions to further these goals and objectives and assist their respective members in the implementation of ADRINET;

**Recalling** the commitments of Parties to ADRINET vision of healthy marine ecosystems that are adequately valued and protected through robust, integrative and inclusive governance arrangements at local levels;



Now therefore Parties have agreed as follows:

### **Article 1 – Objective of Cooperation**

The overall objective of this MoU is to enhance a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems.

More specifically, this MoU aims at formalizing an arrangement to facilitate, support and strengthen a common, multilateral and trans-border policy through the assistance of sustainable fishing for the maintenance of the marine ecosystem.

### **Article 2 – Areas of Cooperation**

The Parties agree to collaborate in order to:

1. promote coordination and mutual cooperation in:
  - areas and subjects covered by ADRINET (such as sea pollution, illegal, unreported and unregulated fisheries, over-exploitation of fish stocks, ghost-fishing);
  - areas and actions identified in ADRINET that are of relevance to the mandate/scope of work of the Parties, subject to their internal rules and procedures; and
  - other areas of collaboration that contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of ADRINET in the field of promoting responsible fisheries in the areas covered by the project.
2. ensure a free flow of mutually useful information (including data) concerning fish stocks, fishery activities and marine ecosystems;
3. facilitate the definition of a common strategy and shared tools in order to provide guidance on how to apply the various concepts of environmental and spatial assessment and planning in a risk-management structure focusing on fishing impact on environment;
4. cooperate on relevant scientific and fisheries management projects of mutual interest;
5. establish reciprocal observer arrangements according to their respective internal rules and procedures.

### **Article 3 – Implementation of the Cooperation**

For each specific target, each Party will take a lead coordinating role in the appraisal and formulation of fisheries management advice. The Parties will agree amongst themselves which Party will provide direction to and facilitate the work of any eventual specific joint technical working group.



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI DI BARI  
ALDO MORO



Comune di Castro



Opština Herceg Novi



UCG



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I RIBARSTVO



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I RIBARSTVO



Këshilli i Qarkut Vlorë

In implementing projects and programmes in the agreed areas of cooperation, the Parties will conclude separate agreements appropriate for the implementation of such initiatives. Nothing in this MoU obligates any of the Parties to negotiate and enter into any project implementation agreements.

This MoU implies no financial or other resource commitment by the Parties.

#### **Article 4 – Coordination, communication and management**

The University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine will coordinate the implementation of this MoU in close cooperation with the other Parties. However, the Parties may agree amongst themselves on another lead coordinator.

The Parties will hold regular meetings to plan, coordinate and review the progress made in the execution and implementation of the MoU.

All correspondence regarding the implementation of this MoU will be addressed to: [elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it](mailto:elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it).

Except as otherwise specified in this MoU, electronic communications (including formal notices) will be used as preferred means of formal communication between the Parties.

#### **Article 5 – Intellectual Property Rights**

Intellectual property rights, in particular copyright, in material such as information and designs, available by the Parties to be used to carry out activities under this MoU will remain with the originating Party. Appropriate authorizations for use of such materials by the other Party will be addressed in the agreements concluded in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 3, above.

#### **Article 6 – Use of name and logo**

The Parties agree not to use in any press release, memo, report or other published disclosure related to this MoU the other Party's name or logo without the prior consent of the Party concerned.

#### **Article 7 – Confidentiality**

None of the Parties or their personnel will communicate or disclose to any other person or entity any confidential information made known to them by another Party in the course of the implementation of this MoU without the prior written consent of the Party providing the information.

#### **Article 8 – Applicable law**

The present MoU and any document or arrangement relating thereto will be governed by general principles of law, to the exclusion of any single national system of law.

#### **Article 9 – Settlement of disputes**

Any dispute between any of the Parties, arising out of the interpretation or execution of the present MoU, or any document or arrangement relating thereto, will be settled by negotiation between the Parties



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI DI BARI  
ALDO MORO



Comune di Castro



Opština Herceg Novi



UCG



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I AGROBILOGIJU



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I AGROBILOGIJU



Këshilli i Qarkut Vlorë

# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

#### **Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine

  
\_\_\_\_\_



For Municipality of Castro

\_\_\_\_\_

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology

\_\_\_\_\_

For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlorë

\_\_\_\_\_



Date: 30 June 2020

### Memorandum of Understanding for coordination on sustainable use of marine ecosystems

The Parties of this Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter referred to as "MoU") are:

- University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine
- Municipality of Castro
- Municipality Herceg Novi
- University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology
- Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
- Regional Council of Vlora

#### Preamble

**Whereas** University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university. Lead partner;

**Whereas** Municipality of Castro local public authority;

**Whereas** Municipality of Herceg Novi local public authority;

**Whereas** University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Regional Council of Vlora regional public authority;

**Whereas** the project "Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem" (hereinafter referred to as "ADRINET") aims at improving a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems of selected regions;

**Whereas** ADRINET calls specifically for the drawing up of a fisheries coordination arrangement for the sustainable use of sea bottoms and for ghost-fishing tackling;

**Whereas** University of Bari, University of Montenegro, Regional Council of Vlora, Municipality of Castro, Agriculture University of Tirana, Municipality of Herceg Novi (hereinafter referred to as "Parties") share these common goals and objectives and recognize the importance of undertaking concerted and coordinated actions to further these goals and objectives and assist their respective members in the implementation of ADRINET;

**Recalling** the commitments of Parties to ADRINET vision of healthy marine ecosystems that are adequately valued and protected through robust, integrative and inclusive governance arrangements at local levels;



Now therefore Parties have agreed as follows:

### **Article 1 – Objective of Cooperation**

The overall objective of this MoU is to enhance a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems.

More specifically, this MoU aims at formalizing an arrangement to facilitate, support and strengthen a common, multilateral and trans-border policy through the assistance of sustainable fishing for the maintenance of the marine ecosystem.

### **Article 2 – Areas of Cooperation**

The Parties agree to collaborate in order to:

1. promote coordination and mutual cooperation in:
  - areas and subjects covered by ADRINET (such as sea pollution, illegal, unreported and unregulated fisheries, over-exploitation of fish stocks, ghost-fishing);
  - areas and actions identified in ADRINET that are of relevance to the mandate/scope of work of the Parties, subject to their internal rules and procedures; and
  - other areas of collaboration that contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of ADRINET in the field of promoting responsible fisheries in the areas covered by the project.
2. ensure a free flow of mutually useful information (including data) concerning fish stocks, fishery activities and marine ecosystems;
3. facilitate the definition of a common strategy and shared tools in order to provide guidance on how to apply the various concepts of environmental and spatial assessment and planning in a risk-management structure focusing on fishing impact on environment;
4. cooperate on relevant scientific and fisheries management projects of mutual interest;
5. establish reciprocal observer arrangements according to their respective internal rules and procedures.

### **Article 3 – Implementation of the Cooperation**

For each specific target, each Party will take a lead coordinating role in the appraisal and formulation of fisheries management advice. The Parties will agree amongst themselves which Party will provide direction to and facilitate the work of any eventual specific joint technical working group.



In implementing projects and programmes in the agreed areas of cooperation, the Parties will conclude separate agreements appropriate for the implementation of such initiatives. Nothing in this MoU obligates any of the Parties to negotiate and enter into any project implementation agreements.

This MoU implies no financial or other resource commitment by the Parties.

#### **Article 4 – Coordination, communication and management**

The University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine will coordinate the implementation of this MoU in close cooperation with the other Parties. However, the Parties may agree amongst themselves on another lead coordinator.

The Parties will hold regular meetings to plan, coordinate and review the progress made in the execution and implementation of the MoU.

All correspondence regarding the implementation of this MoU will be addressed to: [elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it](mailto:elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it).

Except as otherwise specified in this MoU, electronic communications (including formal notices) will be used as preferred means of formal communication between the Parties.

#### **Article 5 – Intellectual Property Rights**

Intellectual property rights, in particular copyright, in material such as information and designs, available by the Parties to be used to carry out activities under this MoU will remain with the originating Party. Appropriate authorizations for use of such materials by the other Party will be addressed in the agreements concluded in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 3, above.

#### **Article 6 – Use of name and logo**

The Parties agree not to use in any press release, memo, report or other published disclosure related to this MoU the other Party's name or logo without the prior consent of the Party concerned.

#### **Article 7 – Confidentiality**

None of the Parties or their personnel will communicate or disclose to any other person or entity any confidential information made known to them by another Party in the course of the implementation of this MoU without the prior written consent of the Party providing the information.

#### **Article 8 – Applicable law**

The present MoU and any document or arrangement relating thereto will be governed by general principles of law, to the exclusion of any single national system of law.

#### **Article 9 – Settlement of disputes**

Any dispute between any of the Parties, arising out of the interpretation or execution of the present MoU, or any document or arrangement relating thereto, will be settled by negotiation between the Parties



# Interreg - IPA CBC Italy - Albania - Montenegro



## ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

### **Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.


This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine



For Municipality of Castro



For Municipality of Herceg Novi

\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology

\_\_\_\_\_

For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlora

\_\_\_\_\_



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

Date: 30 June 2020

#### Memorandum of Understanding for coordination on sustainable use of marine ecosystems

The Parties of this Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter referred to as "MoU") are:

- University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine
- Municipality of Castro
- Municipality Herceg Novi
- University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology
- Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
- Regional Council of Vlora

#### Preamble

**Whereas** University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university. Lead partner;

**Whereas** Municipality of Castro local public authority;

**Whereas** Municipality of Herceg Novi local public authority;

**Whereas** University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Regional Council of Vlora regional public authority;

**Whereas** the project "Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem" (hereinafter referred to as "ADRINET") aims at improving a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems of selected regions;

**Whereas** ADRINET calls specifically for the drawing up of a fisheries coordination arrangement for the sustainable use of sea bottoms and for ghost-fishing tackling;

**Whereas** University of Bari, University of Montenegro, Regional Council of Vlora, Municipality of Castro, Agriculture University of Tirana, Municipality of Herceg Novi (hereinafter referred to as "Parties") share these common goals and objectives and recognize the importance of undertaking concerted and coordinated actions to further these goals and objectives and assist their respective members in the implementation of ADRINET;

**Recalling** the commitments of Parties to ADRINET vision of healthy marine ecosystems that are adequately valued and protected through robust, integrative and inclusive governance arrangements at local levels;



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

Now therefore Parties have agreed as follows:

#### **Article 1 – Objective of Cooperation**

The overall objective of this MoU is to enhance a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems.

More specifically, this MoU aims at formalizing an arrangement to facilitate, support and strengthen a common, multilateral and trans-border policy through the assistance of sustainable fishing for the maintenance of the marine ecosystem.

#### **Article 2 – Areas of Cooperation**

The Parties agree to collaborate in order to:

1. promote coordination and mutual cooperation in:
  - areas and subjects covered by ADRINET (such as sea pollution, illegal, unreported and unregulated fisheries, over-exploitation of fish stocks, ghost-fishing);
  - areas and actions identified in ADRINET that are of relevance to the mandate/scope of work of the Parties, subject to their internal rules and procedures; and
  - other areas of collaboration that contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of ADRINET in the field of promoting responsible fisheries in the areas covered by the project.
2. ensure a free flow of mutually useful information (including data) concerning fish stocks, fishery activities and marine ecosystems;
3. facilitate the definition of a common strategy and shared tools in order to provide guidance on how to apply the various concepts of environmental and spatial assessment and planning in a risk-management structure focusing on fishing impact on environment;
4. cooperate on relevant scientific and fisheries management projects of mutual interest;
5. establish reciprocal observer arrangements according to their respective internal rules and procedures.

#### **Article 3 – Implementation of the Cooperation**

For each specific target, each Party will take a lead coordinating role in the appraisal and formulation of fisheries management advice. The Parties will agree amongst themselves which Party will provide direction to and facilitate the work of any eventual specific joint technical working group.



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



EUROPEAN UNION

### ADRINET

In implementing projects and programmes in the agreed areas of cooperation, the Parties will conclude separate agreements appropriate for the implementation of such initiatives. Nothing in this MoU obligates any of the Parties to negotiate and enter into any project implementation agreements.

This MoU implies no financial or other resource commitment by the Parties.

#### **Article 4 – Coordination, communication and management**

The University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine will coordinate the implementation of this MoU in close cooperation with the other Parties. However, the Parties may agree amongst themselves on another lead coordinator.

The Parties will hold regular meetings to plan, coordinate and review the progress made in the execution and implementation of the MoU.

All correspondence regarding the implementation of this MoU will be addressed to: [elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it](mailto:elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it).

Except as otherwise specified in this MoU, electronic communications (including formal notices) will be used as preferred means of formal communication between the Parties.

#### **Article 5 – Intellectual Property Rights**

Intellectual property rights, in particular copyright, in material such as information and designs, available by the Parties to be used to carry out activities under this MoU will remain with the originating Party. Appropriate authorizations for use of such materials by the other Party will be addressed in the agreements concluded in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 3, above.

#### **Article 6 – Use of name and logo**

The Parties agree not to use in any press release, memo, report or other published disclosure related to this MoU the other Party's name or logo without the prior consent of the Party concerned.

#### **Article 7 – Confidentiality**

None of the Parties or their personnel will communicate or disclose to any other person or entity any confidential information made known to them by another Party in the course of the implementation of this MoU without the prior written consent of the Party providing the information.

#### **Article 8 – Applicable law**

The present MoU and any document or arrangement relating thereto will be governed by general principles of law, to the exclusion of any single national system of law.

#### **Article 9 – Settlement of disputes**

Any dispute between any of the Parties, arising out of the interpretation or execution of the present MoU, or any document or arrangement relating thereto, will be settled by negotiation between the Parties



# Interreg - IPA CBC Italy - Albania - Montenegro



## ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

### **Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine



For Municipality of Castro

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology

For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

For Regional Council of Vlora





# Interreg - IPA CBC

Italy - Albania - Montenegro



## ADRINET

Shkolla Gërra  
UNIVERZITETI CRNE GORE

Date: 30 June 2020

Bro: 01/4-398/1

Podgorica, 24. 07. 2020 god.

### Memorandum of Understanding for coordination on sustainable use of marine ecosystems

The Parties of this Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter referred to as "MoU") are:

- University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine
- Municipality of Castro
- Municipality Herceg Novi
- University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology
- Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
- Regional Council of Vlora

#### Preamble

**Whereas** University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university. Lead partner;

**Whereas** Municipality of Castro local public authority;

**Whereas** Municipality of Herceg Novi local public authority;

**Whereas** University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Regional Council of Vlora regional public authority;

**Whereas** the project "Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem" (hereinafter referred to as "ADRINET") aims at improving a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems of selected regions;

**Whereas** ADRINET calls specifically for the drawing up of a fisheries coordination arrangement for the sustainable use of sea bottoms and for ghost-fishing tackling;

**Whereas** University of Bari, University of Montenegro, Regional Council of Vlora, Municipality of Castro, Agriculture University of Tirana, Municipality of Herceg Novi (hereinafter referred to as "Parties") share these common goals and objectives and recognize the importance of undertaking concerted and coordinated actions to further these goals and objectives and assist their respective members in the implementation of ADRINET;

**Recalling** the commitments of Parties to ADRINET vision of healthy marine ecosystems that are adequately valued and protected through robust, integrative and inclusive governance arrangements at local levels;



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

Now therefore Parties have agreed as follows:

#### *Article 1 – Objective of Cooperation*

The overall objective of this MoU is to enhance a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems.

More specifically, this MoU aims at formalizing an arrangement to facilitate, support and strengthen a common, multilateral and trans-border policy through the assistance of sustainable fishing for the maintenance of the marine ecosystem.

#### *Article 2 – Areas of Cooperation*

The Parties agree to collaborate in order to:

1. promote coordination and mutual cooperation in:
  - areas and subjects covered by ADRINET (such as sea pollution, illegal, unreported and unregulated fisheries, over-exploitation of fish stocks, ghost-fishing);
  - areas and actions identified in ADRINET that are of relevance to the mandate/scope of work of the Parties, subject to their internal rules and procedures; and
  - other areas of collaboration that contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of ADRINET in the field of promoting responsible fisheries in the areas covered by the project.
2. ensure a free flow of mutually useful information (including data) concerning fish stocks, fishery activities and marine ecosystems;
3. facilitate the definition of a common strategy and shared tools in order to provide guidance on how to apply the various concepts of environmental and spatial assessment and planning in a risk-management structure focusing on fishing impact on environment;
4. cooperate on relevant scientific and fisheries management projects of mutual interest;
5. establish reciprocal observer arrangements according to their respective internal rules and procedures.

#### *Article 3 – Implementation of the Cooperation*

For each specific target, each Party will take a lead coordinating role in the appraisal and formulation of fisheries management advice. The Parties will agree amongst themselves which Party will provide direction to and facilitate the work of any eventual specific joint technical working group.



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

In implementing projects and programmes in the agreed areas of cooperation, the Parties will conclude separate agreements appropriate for the implementation of such initiatives. Nothing in this MoU obligates any of the Parties to negotiate and enter into any project implementation agreements.

This MoU implies no financial or other resource commitment by the Parties.

#### **Article 4 – Coordination, communication and management**

The University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine will coordinate the implementation of this MoU in close cooperation with the other Parties. However, the Parties may agree amongst themselves on another lead coordinator.

The Parties will hold regular meetings to plan, coordinate and review the progress made in the execution and implementation of the MoU.

All correspondence regarding the implementation of this MoU will be addressed to: [elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it](mailto:elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it).

Except as otherwise specified in this MoU, electronic communications (including formal notices) will be used as preferred means of formal communication between the Parties.

#### **Article 5 – Intellectual Property Rights**

Intellectual property rights, in particular copyright, in material such as information and designs, available by the Parties to be used to carry out activities under this MoU will remain with the originating Party. Appropriate authorizations for use of such materials by the other Party will be addressed in the agreements concluded in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 3, above.

#### **Article 6 – Use of name and logo**

The Parties agree not to use in any press release, memo, report or other published disclosure related to this MoU the other Party's name or logo without the prior consent of the Party concerned.

#### **Article 7 – Confidentiality**

None of the Parties or their personnel will communicate or disclose to any other person or entity any confidential information made known to them by another Party in the course of the implementation of this MoU without the prior written consent of the Party providing the information.

#### **Article 8 – Applicable law**

The present MoU and any document or arrangement relating thereto will be governed by general principles of law, to the exclusion of any single national system of law.

#### **Article 9 – Settlement of disputes**

Any dispute between any of the Parties, arising out of the interpretation or execution of the present MoU, or any document or arrangement relating thereto, will be settled by negotiation between the Parties



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



# ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

### Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.


This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine

  
\_\_\_\_\_



For Municipality of Castro

\_\_\_\_\_

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology

  
\_\_\_\_\_



For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlora

\_\_\_\_\_



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

#### **Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine



For Municipality of Castro

\_\_\_\_\_

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology



For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlora

\_\_\_\_\_



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

#### Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine



For Municipality of Castro

---

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

---

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology



For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

---

For Regional Council of Vlora

---



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

#### Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine





For Municipality of Castro

\_\_\_\_\_

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology





For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlora

\_\_\_\_\_



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

#### **Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine



For Municipality of Castro

---

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

---

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology



For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

---

For Regional Council of Vlora

---





# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

**Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.


This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine  




For Municipality of Castro  
\_\_\_\_\_

For Municipality of Herceg Novi  
\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology  




For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlora  
\_\_\_\_\_



*Mr. 1194 Prot  
Date: 21.07.2020*

Date: 30 June 2020

### Memorandum of Understanding for coordination on sustainable use of marine ecosystems

The Parties of this Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter referred to as "MoU") are:

- University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine
- Municipality of Castro
- Municipality Herceg Novi
- University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology
- Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
- Regional Council of Vlora

#### Preamble

**Whereas** University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university. Lead partner;

**Whereas** Municipality of Castro local public authority;

**Whereas** Municipality of Herceg Novi local public authority;

**Whereas** University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Regional Council of Vlora regional public authority;

**Whereas** the project "Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem" (hereinafter referred to as "ADRINET") aims at improving a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems of selected regions;

**Whereas** ADRINET calls specifically for the drawing up of a fisheries coordination arrangement for the sustainable use of sea bottoms and for ghost-fishing tackling;

**Whereas** University of Bari, University of Montenegro, Regional Council of Vlora, Municipality of Castro, Agriculture University of Tirana, Municipality of Herceg Novi (hereinafter referred to as "Parties") share these common goals and objectives and recognize the importance of undertaking concerted and coordinated actions to further these goals and objectives and assist their respective members in the implementation of ADRINET;

**Recalling** the commitments of Parties to ADRINET vision of healthy marine ecosystems that are adequately valued and protected through robust, integrative and inclusive governance arrangements at local levels;



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

Now therefore Parties have agreed as follows:

#### **Article 1 – Objective of Cooperation**

The overall objective of this MoU is to enhance a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems.

More specifically, this MoU aims at formalizing an arrangement to facilitate, support and strengthen a common, multilateral and trans-border policy through the assistance of sustainable fishing for the maintenance of the marine ecosystem.

#### **Article 2 – Areas of Cooperation**

The Parties agree to collaborate in order to:

1. promote coordination and mutual cooperation in:
  - areas and subjects covered by ADRINET (such as sea pollution, illegal, unreported and unregulated fisheries, over-exploitation of fish stocks, ghost-fishing);
  - areas and actions identified in ADRINET that are of relevance to the mandate/scope of work of the Parties, subject to their internal rules and procedures; and
  - other areas of collaboration that contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of ADRINET in the field of promoting responsible fisheries in the areas covered by the project.
2. ensure a free flow of mutually useful information (including data) concerning fish stocks, fishery activities and marine ecosystems;
3. facilitate the definition of a common strategy and shared tools in order to provide guidance on how to apply the various concepts of environmental and spatial assessment and planning in a risk-management structure focusing on fishing impact on environment;
4. cooperate on relevant scientific and fisheries management projects of mutual interest;
5. establish reciprocal observer arrangements according to their respective internal rules and procedures.

#### **Article 3 – Implementation of the Cooperation**

For each specific target, each Party will take a lead coordinating role in the appraisal and formulation of fisheries management advice. The Parties will agree amongst themselves which Party will provide direction to and facilitate the work of any eventual specific joint technical working group.



In implementing projects and programmes in the agreed areas of cooperation, the Parties will conclude separate agreements appropriate for the implementation of such initiatives. Nothing in this MoU obligates any of the Parties to negotiate and enter into any project implementation agreements.

This MoU implies no financial or other resource commitment by the Parties.

**Article 4 – Coordination, communication and management**

The University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine will coordinate the implementation of this MoU in close cooperation with the other Parties. However, the Parties may agree amongst themselves on another lead coordinator.

The Parties will hold regular meetings to plan, coordinate and review the progress made in the execution and implementation of the MoU.

All correspondence regarding the implementation of this MoU will be addressed to: elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it.

Except as otherwise specified in this MoU, electronic communications (including formal notices) will be used as preferred means of formal communication between the Parties.

**Article 5 – Intellectual Property Rights**

Intellectual property rights, in particular copyright, in material such as information and designs, available by the Parties to be used to carry out activities under this MoU will remain with the originating Party. Appropriate authorizations for use of such materials by the other Party will be addressed in the agreements concluded in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 3, above.

**Article 6 – Use of name and logo**

The Parties agree not to use in any press release, memo, report or other published disclosure related to this MoU the other Party's name or logo without the prior consent of the Party concerned.

**Article 7 – Confidentiality**

None of the Parties or their personnel will communicate or disclose to any other person or entity any confidential information made known to them by another Party in the course of the implementation of this MoU without the prior written consent of the Party providing the information.

**Article 8 – Applicable law**

The present MoU and any document or arrangement relating thereto will be governed by general principles of law, to the exclusion of any single national system of law.

**Article 9 – Settlement of disputes**

Any dispute between any of the Parties, arising out of the interpretation or execution of the present MoU, or any document or arrangement relating thereto, will be settled by negotiation between the Parties

# Interreg - IPA CBC Italy - Albania - Montenegro



## ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

### Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine



For Municipality of Castro

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology

For Agricultural University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

For Regional Council of Vlorë



Date: 30 June 2020

### Memorandum of Understanding for coordination on sustainable use of marine ecosystems

The Parties of this Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter referred to as “MoU”) are:

- University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine
- Municipality of Castro
- Municipality Herceg Novi
- University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology
- Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
- Regional Council of Vlora

#### *Preamble*

**Whereas** University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university. Lead partner;

**Whereas** Municipality of Castro local public authority;

**Whereas** Municipality of Herceg Novi local public authority;

**Whereas** University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine higher education/research center/university;

**Whereas** Regional Council of Vlora regional public authority;

**Whereas** the project “Adriatic Network for Marine Ecosystem” (hereinafter referred to as “ADRINET”) aims at improving a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems of selected regions;

**Whereas** ADRINET calls specifically for the drawing up of a fisheries coordination arrangement for the sustainable use of sea bottoms and for ghost-fishing tackling;

**Whereas** University of Bari, University of Montenegro, Regional Council of Vlora, Municipality of Castro, Agriculture University of Tirana, Municipality of Herceg Novi (hereinafter referred to as “Parties”) share these common goals and objectives and recognize the importance of undertaking concerted and coordinated actions to further these goals and objectives and assist their respective members in the implementation of ADRINET;

**Recalling** the commitments of Parties to ADRINET vision of healthy marine ecosystems that are adequately valued and protected through robust, integrative and inclusive governance arrangements at local levels;



Now therefore Parties have agreed as follows:

### **Article 1 – Objective of Cooperation**

The overall objective of this MoU is to enhance a joint coastal management system to preserve biodiversity and marine ecosystems.

More specifically, this MoU aims at formalizing an arrangement to facilitate, support and strengthen a common, multilateral and trans-border policy through the assistance of sustainable fishing for the maintenance of the marine ecosystem.

### **Article 2 – Areas of Cooperation**

The Parties agree to collaborate in order to:

1. promote coordination and mutual cooperation in:
  - areas and subjects covered by ADRINET (such as sea pollution, illegal, unreported and unregulated fisheries, over-exploitation of fish stocks, ghost-fishing);
  - areas and actions identified in ADRINET that are of relevance to the mandate/scope of work of the Parties, subject to their internal rules and procedures; and
  - other areas of collaboration that contribute to the fulfilment of the objectives of ADRINET in the field of promoting responsible fisheries in the areas covered by the project.
2. ensure a free flow of mutually useful information (including data) concerning fish stocks, fishery activities and marine ecosystems;
3. facilitate the definition of a common strategy and shared tools in order to provide guidance on how to apply the various concepts of environmental and spatial assessment and planning in a risk-management structure focusing on fishing impact on environment;
4. cooperate on relevant scientific and fisheries management projects of mutual interest;
5. establish reciprocal observer arrangements according to their respective internal rules and procedures.

### **Article 3 – Implementation of the Cooperation**

For each specific target, each Party will take a lead coordinating role in the appraisal and formulation of fisheries management advice. The Parties will agree amongst themselves which Party will provide direction to and facilitate the work of any eventual specific joint technical working group.



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI DI BARI  
ALDO MORO



Comune di Castro



Opština Herceg Novi



UCG



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I RIBARSTVO



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I RIBARSTVO



Këshilli i Qarkut Vlorë

In implementing projects and programmes in the agreed areas of cooperation, the Parties will conclude separate agreements appropriate for the implementation of such initiatives. Nothing in this MoU obligates any of the Parties to negotiate and enter into any project implementation agreements.

This MoU implies no financial or other resource commitment by the Parties.

#### **Article 4 – Coordination, communication and management**

The University of Bari – Department of Veterinary Medicine will coordinate the implementation of this MoU in close cooperation with the other Parties. However, the Parties may agree amongst themselves on another lead coordinator.

The Parties will hold regular meetings to plan, coordinate and review the progress made in the execution and implementation of the MoU.

All correspondence regarding the implementation of this MoU will be addressed to: [elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it](mailto:elisabetta.bonerba@uniba.it).

Except as otherwise specified in this MoU, electronic communications (including formal notices) will be used as preferred means of formal communication between the Parties.

#### **Article 5 – Intellectual Property Rights**

Intellectual property rights, in particular copyright, in material such as information and designs, available by the Parties to be used to carry out activities under this MoU will remain with the originating Party. Appropriate authorizations for use of such materials by the other Party will be addressed in the agreements concluded in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 3, above.

#### **Article 6 – Use of name and logo**

The Parties agree not to use in any press release, memo, report or other published disclosure related to this MoU the other Party's name or logo without the prior consent of the Party concerned.

#### **Article 7 – Confidentiality**

None of the Parties or their personnel will communicate or disclose to any other person or entity any confidential information made known to them by another Party in the course of the implementation of this MoU without the prior written consent of the Party providing the information.

#### **Article 8 – Applicable law**

The present MoU and any document or arrangement relating thereto will be governed by general principles of law, to the exclusion of any single national system of law.

#### **Article 9 – Settlement of disputes**

Any dispute between any of the Parties, arising out of the interpretation or execution of the present MoU, or any document or arrangement relating thereto, will be settled by negotiation between the Parties



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI DI BARI  
ALDO MORO



Comune di Castro



Opština Herceg Novi



UCG



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I AGROBIOLOGIJU



INSTITUT ZA  
BIOLOGIJU  
I AGROBIOLOGIJU



Këshilli i Qarkut Vlorë



# Interreg - IPA CBC

## Italy - Albania - Montenegro



### ADRINET

concerned. Any differences that may not be so settled will be brought to the attention of the Executive Heads of the Parties for final resolution.

#### **Article 12 – Entry into force, duration, amendment and termination**

This MoU will enter into effect upon signature by all Parties.

This MoU will have an initial duration of 5 (five) years. Subject to its satisfactory past implementation, this MoU may be extended by mutual written agreement between the Parties.

This MoU may be amended by written mutual agreement between all Parties.

Any Party may withdraw from this MoU at any time, by giving advance 6 (six) months written notice of such withdrawal to the other Parties. The withdrawal will become effective three months after the date of receipt of the notice by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities.

This MoU may be terminated jointly by all Parties. In that event, the Parties will jointly agree on measures required for the orderly conclusion of on-going activities. The rights and obligations set out in Articles 5 and 6 of the MoU will survive the expiration or termination of this MoU.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representatives of the Parties affix their signatures below.

For University of Bari Aldo Moro – Department of Veterinary Medicine

  
\_\_\_\_\_



For Municipality of Castro

\_\_\_\_\_

For Municipality of Herceg Novi

\_\_\_\_\_

For University of Montenegro – Institute of Marine Biology


\_\_\_\_\_

For Agriculture University of Tirana – Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

\_\_\_\_\_

For Regional Council of Vlores

  
\_\_\_\_\_



UNIVERSITÀ  
DUCALI STUDI DI BARI  
ALDO MORO



Comune di Castro



Opština Herceg Novi



Liceo



INSTITUT ZA ISTRAŽIVANJE  
MORSKOG BILOŠKOG  
RESURSA



Opština Herceg Novi



Opština Vlores

**Interreg - IPA CBC**

Italy - Albania - Montenegro



EUROPEAN UNION

**ADRINET**

# **HANDBOOK**

**Interreg - IPA CBC**  
Italy - Albania - Montenegro



ADRINET

# HANDBOOK

on joint management of  
pollution-related risks



This project is co-financed by the European Union under the Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (IPA II)



## **HANDBOOK ON JOINT MANAGEMENT OF POLLUTION-RELATED RELATED RISKS**

This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of University of Bari, Agricultural University of Tirana and University of Montenegro and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union and of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme Authorities.

Deliverable D.T2.3.2

Output T2.6

# **HANDBOOK**

on joint management of  
pollution-related related risks

***Responsible***

Prof. Giuseppina Tantillo, ADRINET Scientific Coordinator

***Participants***

PP1 Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro:  
Prof. Giuseppina Tantillo

PP4 Univerzitet Crne Gore:  
Research Associate Aleksandra Huter

PP5 Universiteti Bujqesor i Tiranës:  
Assoc.Prof Fatmira Shehu

October 2020.





## PREFACE

This Handbook aims to provide a *vademecum* for the correct application of best fishing practices to respect the marine environment considering also the impact of the anthropogenic contamination of the fishing area of the Castro Bay, located in the North- Western Ionian Sea (GSA19) on the border of the Southern Adriatic Sea (GSA18), of the Vlora bay (GSA18) and of the Boka Kotorska Bay (GSA 18).

ADRINET collected and analyzed the data relating to the current situation of the marine ecosystem, connected to the issues of greatest impact, such as fishing techniques and pollution, for the assessment and management of risks related to the maintenance of the “fragile” equilibrium of marine ecosystems.

The objective is to offer an expanded awareness of the studies on knowledge exchange in the Mediterranean area relative to the development of sustainable fishing practices.

The ADRINET Project has achieved the objectives set with the technical-scientific competence of the participating Partners and experts and with the necessary and essential involvement of fishing communities. The dissemination of the results obtained are of political-administrative, scientific and technical interest.

## Acknowledgements

The ADRINET’s Partnership wish to extend their gratitude to all those who contributed in one way or another to the development and production of this Handbook, with particular reference to fishermen who were involved in the training activities.

We would like to acknowledge: COISPA Tecnologia & Ricerca – a cooperative of researchers and technicians which carries out applied research to the study of living marine resources, marine environments, fisheries, aquaculture and production of eco-system services; ARPA Puglia for their support and feedback.

# CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2. MARINE FISHERY .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1. Italy – Castro Bay .....	9
2.2. Albania – Vlora Bay.....	14
2.3. Montenegro – Boka Kotorska Bay .....	17
<b>3. CURRENT STATE OF AFFEIRS IN FISHERY .....</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1. Italy - Castro.....	19
3.2. Albania – Vlora Bay.....	31
3.3. Montenegro – Boka Kotorska Bay .....	36
<b>4. IMPACT OF FISHERIES ACTIVITIES ON MARINE ECOSYSTEM .....</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1. Italy - Castro.....	39
4.2. Albania – Vlora Bay.....	42
4.3. Montenegro – Boka Kotorska Bay .....	46
<b>5. SMALL SCALE FISHERY AND APPROACHES .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>6. FUTURE ACTIVITIES OF FISHERY FOR PREVENTION OF MARINE ECOSYSTEM .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>7. REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>57</b>



# 1. INTRODUCTION

Since the early nineties, following the Rio de Janeiro Conference<sup>1</sup>, the perception the excessive overexploitation of resources and the need to preserve fish stocks for the future generations have been increasingly spreading globally.

At the EU level, however, it was necessary to wait until the early 2000s for achieve a review of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP). With the Council Regulation (EC) 2371/2002 significant changes have been made related to Stock Recovery Plans and Management Plans.

The objective of the CFP is to lay down rules to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are sustainable in ecological, economic and social terms, and that they represent a source of healthy food for EU citizens.

There are many elements in the new CFP that benefit small-scale fishermen using gear low impact. The reform of the CFP also included decent living conditions for small-scale fishermen among its objectives and promotion of coastal fishing activities, and calls on the Member States to give preferential access to local artisanal fishermen working in the area within 12 miles.

Even more important is Article 17, which requires Member States to use transparent criteria and objective, including those of an environmental, social and economic nature, in the assignment of rights to fishing, and to provide incentives to vessels using selective gear and techniques with low impact on the environment, for example by committing to reduce fuel consumption and damage to habitat.

The Marine Strategy Framework Directive, adopted on 17 June 2008, is a European Directive that aims to achieve good environmental status in the marine waters of the Member States by 2020 considering the marine environment a precious heritage to be protected, safeguarded and, where possible and necessary, restored.

The four regions identified by this Directive are: the Baltic Sea, the North-East Atlantic Ocean, the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea. The Mediterranean Sea, in turn, is divided into four sub-regions: Western Mediter-

ranean Sea, Adriatic Sea, Ionian Sea and Central Mediterranean Sea, and Aegean and Levantine Seas.

The Marine Strategy Framework Directive constitutes the pillar of the current maritime policy of the EU and is therefore designed to integrate all sectoral policies affecting the marine environment in terms of impacts that affect the quality of marine waters.

The Marine Strategy Framework Directive requires each Member State to implement a strategy to achieve good environmental status, for each region or sub-region.

## 2. MARINE FISHERY


### 2.1. Italy – Castro Bay



The North West of the Ionian Sea (GSA 19) in which the fishing area of the Project Partner Municipality of Castro (PP2) is located, is characterized by a varied bathymetry which is reflected in an exceptional presence of different fish species, some of which are of specific interest for fishing sector due to their high nutritional and commercial value.

The most used fishing gear in the considered fishing area are gillnets and trawl nets, as well as purse seines near the coast.

However, in the Southern Adriatic Sea and in the Ionian Sea it is trawling, mostly carried out by small to medium-sized fishing vessels, that provides the greatest amount of catch, accounting for 36% of the total volume of the global capture and contributes substantially to the national fish trade.



**Figure 1.**  
Natura 2000 SCI  
IT9150002  
*Source: COISPA  
Tecnologia & Ricerca*

In the last century, the pressure of anthropic activities present on the Ionian coasts have led to rapid and drastic changes in biodiversity, the causes of which are attributable to a multiplicity of known factors (overfishing, urban and industrial growth, pollution, alteration of hydrological regimes , etc.) and, more recently, also due to the phenomenon of invasions of alien species, a phenomenon that has assumed great importance, so much so that this part of the Mediterranean Sea, together with the Adriatic Sea is now considered the sea most influenced by the entry of non-native species

The anthropogenic concentration of the coasts of the Municipality of Castro at certain times of the year and the excessive exploitation of fish resources exert considerable pressure on the marine ecosystem with consequent loss of biodiversity, destruction of the habitat for various fish species of commercial interest, situations that compromise the enormous socio-economic potential of this fishing zone.

The economic profitability of the fishing communities and some fish farms present in the coastal area of interest strictly depend on the environmental status of this marine area and it is therefore essential to know and use tools for the prevention and conservation of the present fish stocks. The commitment to the protection of marine ecosystems and the global fight against water pollution are not exclusive aspects for research activities; in fact, preserving biodiversity allows the sustainable development of human activities related to the use of marine resources.

The key word is, therefore, “balance” between man and the environment, for the growth of territories and sustainable work; to achieve these objectives it is essential to allow the leading role of all the social and economic actors concerned (fishermen, entrepreneurs, Authorities), in addition to the work of researchers belonging to multidisciplinary scientific sectors.

Among the threats to the marine ecosystem of the Mediterranean Sea, and Ionian Sea in particular, in addition to fishing efforts and the presence of environmental contaminants, the problem of plastic material discarded or dispersed in the sea is of great relevance, a problem that still complains about the lack of certain and reliable scientific data, even if by now microplastics represent an important contaminant for determining the sanitary quality of the water and fish.

Scientific data relating to the presence of plastic material in this fishing area are sporadic and limited; the ADRINET project has launched a specific study in this context, both with preventive actions related to the technology of microchips (RFID and GPS systems) installed on fishing gear to banish the so-called 'ghost fishing' (abandoned, lost or discarded fishing gear), considered among the most dangerous of the marine ecosystem, and with corrective actions that must effectively address the economic and environmental problems of plastic waste brought ashore by fishing vessels.

All the coast from Otranto to Santa Maria di Leuca forms part of the Natura 2000 – Site of Community Interest (SCI) network under code number IT9150002 (see Figure 1).

It is a site of outstanding natural beauty made of calcareous rocky shores overlooking the sea. The peculiar south-eastern exposure confers the site particular warm-humid microclimatic conditions. The marine area has hard seabed substrate with high level of diversity and submerged – and partially submerged – sea caves are widely distributed (e.g. the Zinzulusa Cave).

The presence of endemic and trans-Adriatic species makes the site highly important, as well. The coastal substrate is made of bio-concretions by encrusting algae, Coralligenous and a significant red coral (*Corallium rubrum*) facies. For the Natura 2000 network, the Coralligenous is part of the Habitat type 1170 “Reefs”; such a category – which consists of a great variety of natural biogenic habitats with different levels of ecological relevance – is extremely challenging to be managed. The population includes – among shellfish – protected species (i.e. date shell – *Litophaga lithophaga*). The Coralligenous communities represent the second most important “hot spot” of Mediterranean biodiversity just after *Posidonia oceanica* beds. Studies have been done in the recent years highlighting the presence in the concerned area of Coralligenous bio-concretions at a depth of between 10 m. and 100-150 m.; the Coralligenous wall may cover a range between 20-25 cm. in the shallow waters down to 2 m. in the deeper water. Such populations play a key role as nursery and spawning area for a relevant number of demersal species, many of them having an extremely high commercial importance.

According to recent studies<sup>2</sup> in the mid-19th century, good amounts of red coral were fished from Spartivento Cape to Colonne Cape<sup>3</sup>; important banks were also exploited off Roccella Ionica and Soverato villages, as well as off Rizzuto and Colonne Capes<sup>4</sup>. Other banks were exploited at 4 NM off St. Pietro and St. Paolo Islands<sup>4</sup> (Taranto) all the way to Santa Maria di Leuca (Ristola Point, 90 m depth).

Today, in the Ionian sea, small red coral banks are reported at 60-75 m. depth at Santa Caterina, 7 NM off West Gallipoli, at Santa Maria di Leuca, 3 NM off the coast, and at Campomarino, 5 NM off coast, towards East. Other banks are reported close to Porto Cesareo<sup>5</sup>. No information are available with reference to recent legal fishing activities in the concerned area although size and density of red coral ancient colonies provide the evidence of a progressive exploitation which asks for a urgent need of management and protection measures.

## 2.1.1 The legal framework

In accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006 Of 21 December 2006 in Italy it is forbidden to trawl at less than 3 nautical miles (nm) from the coast or inside the 50m isobath when this distance is reached at a smaller distance from the shore. Moreover, in the Ionian Sea a closure of 30 days in september have been enforced in 2020 for the Italian trawl fleet.

## 2.2. Albania – Vlora Bay

### 2.2.1. The legal framework

The legislative framework for the fisheries and aquaculture sector includes several laws and by-laws. Albania is in the process of becoming an EU Candidate Country and, in this regard, is also in the process of aligning its legislation with the EU's *acquis communautaire*. Several by-laws have been approved that transpose some of the principles of the Common Fishery Policy into Albanian legislation. The legislation also contains the main principles of FAO's Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries, and establishment of the Fishery Management Organisation for marine and inland waters has begun.

Law "On Fisheries" (No. 64/2012 dated 31.05.2012), regulates all fishery activities and their management and aims at ensuring the protection of the marine life and internal waters through promoting sustainable development in the maritime space and the internal waters. This law does not regulate matters related to food safety and fishery products, consumer protection and fish diseases which are regulated by separate laws.

Law no. 8905 on the Protection of the Marine Environment from Pollution and Damage (dated 06.06.2002, as amended by the Law "On Some Additions and Amendments to Law No. 8906, dated 06.06.2002" (9868/04.02.2008). the marine environment of the Republic of Albania from pollution and damage, through their prevention and avoidance, caused by human activities at sea and coastal zone. Other important instruments In addition to the aforementioned law, (Management Protected Area-s) Law "On Environmental Protection" (No. 10.431, dated 09.06.2011) and Law "On Environmental Impact Assessment" (No. 10.440, dated 07.07.2011), are also important for MPAs.



## 2.2.2. Marine fishing fleet

In 2019, there are 651 entities licensed to exercise fishing activity (Table 1). The fleet operates almost entirely in the Geographic Sub-Zone (GSZ) 18 (South Adriatic). There are 19 entities licensed more

Description	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Distribution of Vessels by Vessel Type						
Trawlers	166	156	156	157	170	180
Seiners	4	3	3	5	4	9
Purse seiners	9	8	8	8	7	3
Dredgers	0	5	5	5	5	5
Gill netters	389	367	368	360	424	434
Multipurpose vessels	13	25	25	24	22	20
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>559</b>	<b>632</b>	<b>651</b>

**Table 1:**

*Marine Fleet by vessel types,  
2014 - 2019*

**Source:** *MARDWA*

## 2.2.3. Marine fishing fleet by ports

In 2019, the port with the largest number of licensed vessels is the port of Durres, with 37.33% of the total fleet. The **port of Vlora** has 30.41% of the total fleet number, followed by the port of Saranda with 15.82%. The fishing port with the lowest percentage of licensed fishing entities is that of Himara with 2.30%. The following tables show the Albanian navy from ports and fishing catches by water categories in Albania, respectively for the years 2014-2019

Description	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Distribution of Vessels by Vessels by Port</b>						
Durrës	219	209	209	204	233	243
Vlora	210	183	184	181	198	198
Saranda	91	86	86	84	99	103
Shëngjini	52	65	65	73	78	79
Himara	4	11	11	10	12	15
Lushnja -Fier	5	10	10	7	12	13
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>559</b>	<b>632</b>	<b>651</b>

**Table 2.**  
*Marine fleet by ports,  
2014 – 2019*  
**Source:** MARDWA

Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Aquatic categories</b>					
I Total fishing (1+2+3+4)	7.875	7.884	8.289	8.629	8.707
1 Marine	5.052	4.646	4.609	5.537	5.499
2 Coastal line	614	952	1.074	315	342
3 Coastal lagoons	550	598	599	350	94
4 Inland waters	1.659	1.688	2.007	2.427	2.772
II Acquaculture	3.000	3.200	4.000	5.138	5.229
III <i>Mitylus galloprovincialis</i>	295	1.450	430	1.108	1.075
<b>TOTAL (I+II+III)</b>	<b>11.170</b>	<b>12.534</b>	<b>12.719</b>	<b>14.875</b>	<b>15.011</b>

**Table 3.**  
*Fishing catches by  
water categories in Albania*  
**Source:**  
*Fishery INSTAT 2019*

## 2.3. Montenegro – Boka Kotorska Bay

Marine fishery in Montenegro is governed by the Law on Marine Fishery and Mariculture (Official gazette 56/2009, 47/2015) and related Rulebooks. All professional fishermen have to be registered as businessmen in the Central Register of the Business Court of Montenegro.

Overall, the fisheries sector in Montenegro is small, without the industrial fisheries, and is carried out along the coast and in the Skadar Lake (freshwater fisheries).

In 2019, the Montenegrin fleet consisted of **224** active vessels, while the total number of vessels issued with licences in 2019 was 244, with only 13 vessels longer than 15 meters (source of data, MARD – Directorate for fisheries). Data gathered within the MAREA-SEDAF project indicate that Montenegrin fleet in all its segments is on the average older than 30 years, while in some segments, the average age even reaches 45 years. The majority of the Montenegrin current fleet, around 80%, consists of small fishing vessels, less than 12 m LoA, which use a variety of coastal, non-trawling gears (beach or boat seines, gill nets, trammel nets, longlines, traps, hooks and lines) (Figure 2), that belongs to the segment of small-scale fisheries<sup>6</sup>.

Based on the data collected from logbooks and catch reports majority of Montenegrin catches comes from the segment of small-scale fisheries. The trend of Montenegrin catches in the last decade shows a slight increase, and in 2018 a total of 1147 tons of marine fish and other organisms were landed (932 tons in 2017, 875 tons in 2016). In any case, the total catch made by Montenegro is only a small percentage of the catches made in the Adriatic and the Mediterranean<sup>6</sup>



**Figure 2.**  
*Fishing gears*

The main species in catches of the Montenegrin fishing fleet, in terms of quantities and economic value, are sardine, anchovy, hake, red mullet, deep water rose shrimp and tuna. Sardine and anchovy catches originate mostly from the beach seine and small purse seine catches inside and at the entrance to the Boka Kotorska Bay, since the industrial fishing on those species is still undeveloped in Montenegro. Purse seine vessels operating in the area of the open sea of Montenegro have several obstacles limiting their activity. There is a lack of trained fishermen for this fishing operations, high water transparency and strong currents in the South Adriatic makes it difficult to deploy the net and bring the school of fish to the surface, vessels are old and have a limited number of fishing days, lack of organized purchase of fish and absence of fish processing industry forces the fisherman to sell the fish at local markets in small quantities, uneven distribution of market demand for fresh fish products during the year, are just some of the reasons for the small activity of purse seine fishing fleet in Montenegro. On the other hand, hake and red mullet come from all the segments of the fishing fleet, but mostly from demersal trawl fishery. Regarding red mullet, 85% of catches originate from demersal trawl fishery, while for hake approximately 70% (source of data MARD – Directorate for fishery). Deep water rose shrimp is the species that is caught only with demersal trawl nets. Tuna fishing in Montenegro is conducted partly by purse seine fishery and partly through big game fishery<sup>6</sup>.

The small vessels have limited autonomy. Many will fish part-time and effort may be opportunistic according to weather, demand and alternative work options. Depending on their size, target fishery and length of trip, small boats will be manned by one or two people. The average crew is 1.5. However, for the majority, this would not be full-time employment.

Small boats fish within 20 nm of the coast and most inside 5 nm on day trips. Fishing days are slightly higher than for the bigger fleet, but the fishing hours are likely to be less. Static gear such as gill nets is set and left with the fishermen returning to check for the catch. Activity is restricted by the weather and the market. For the entire fishing fleet monthly days-at-sea are lowest from October to March and higher in the remaining months with a peak in June and July; reflecting both weather and market demand.

Currently, Montenegrin fishermen are organized in 7 associations, some of them include representatives of large-scale and small-scale commercial fishing, while some of them are only for small-scale fishing. In recent years, they have become two national associations<sup>6</sup>.

## 3. STATO ATTUALE DEL SETTORE DELLA PESCA I

### 3.1. Italy – Baia di Castro

The fishing concerned area is located on the border of the Southern Adriatic Sea (GSA18) and the North-Western Ionian Sea (GSA19) (see Figure 3<sup>7</sup>).



**Figure 3.**

General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM) –  
Geographical subareas (GSAs)

**Source:** Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations website

The geographical concerned macro-area covers a surface of about 16.500 km<sup>2</sup> – between Cape Otranto (Lecce) and Cape Passero (Siracusa)<sup>8</sup> – is 10-800 m. deep and has a coast line of about 1.000 km along the Apulia, Lucania, Calabria and Sicily regions, where eight maritime compartments are located. Sea fishing occurs from coastal waters to about 800 m. and different fishing techniques are used. The fleet composition by fishing technique and vessel size class (Length overall – LOA) is reported in the table below (see Table 4).


Fishing techniques	LOA	Number of vessels	Tonnage (GT)	Engine power (kW)	Average LOA	Average age of vessels
<b>Demersal trawlers (DTS)</b>	VL 12-18	199	3228	27604	14	24
	VL 18-24	26	1739	7101	22	28
<b>Longliners (LLS)</b>	VL 12-18	51	838	8662	14	25
	VL 18-24	27	1979	9692	22	24
<b>Polyvalent passive gears (PG)</b>	VL 00-06	352	352	1875	5	36
	VL 06-12	735	1823	25536	8	31
	VL 12-18	83	1320	11633	14	22
<b>Purse seiners (PS)</b>	VL 12-18	18	429	2687	16	29
	VL 24-40	3	537	1837	35	21
	VL 40-XX	1	264	705	42	14
<b>TOTALE</b>	<b>GSA19</b>	<b>1495</b>	<b>12509</b>	<b>97332</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>30</b>

**Table 4**

*Fleet composition by fishing techniques and vessel size class (LOA) in GSA19*

**Source:** Italian DCF National Programme (December 2016)

Different fishing techniques are used: small scale fishing, which utilizes mostly trammel nets, longlines and traps, is widespread in the whole area (see Figure 4). Trawlers represent about 15% in number, 40% in gross tonnage and 36% in engine power. Recreational fishing also occurs mostly in coastal waters.



**Figure 4**

Vessels per fishing techniques  
(main gear) in GSA19

**Source:** Italian DCF National Programme

However, in all Ionian fisheries fishing boats registered as polyvalent fishing vessels often change type of fishing according to the season and sea-weather conditions as well as to the changing availability of resources and market demand.

Trawling is carried out during daily trips, from Monday to Friday, at different depths, generally from 200 to about 800 m; fishing is not allowed at night or weekends. The mean annual catch of trawling is due to the three main fisheries of the North-West Ionian Sea (Crotona, Taranto and Gallipoli) representing about 3% of the whole Italian production<sup>9</sup>.

The most important resources in the GSA19 are represented by the red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*) on the continental shelf, hake (*Merluccius merluccius*), deep-water rose shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris*) and Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) on a wide bathymetric range and by the deep-water shrimps (*Aristeus antennatus* and *Aristaeomorpha foliacea*) on the slope. Table 5 shows the data landing of these species.

Species	Total landing (in Kilos)
<i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	1.094.922
<i>Merluccius merluccius</i>	706.868
<i>Aristaeomorpha foliacea</i>	690.495
<i>Parapenaeus longirostris</i>	647.408
<i>Sardina pilchardus</i>	512.274
<i>Octopus vulgaris</i>	347.141
<i>Boops boops</i>	308.008
<i>Mullus Barbatus</i>	277.858
<i>Lophius Budegassa</i>	178.888
<i>Illex coindetii</i>	176.487
<i>Aristeutis antennatus</i>	103.020
<i>Nephrops norvegicus</i>	87.110
<i>Eledone cirrhosa</i>	49.352
<i>Pagellus Erythrinus</i>	45.547
<i>Lophius piscatorius</i>	33.437
<i>Diplodus annularis</i>	21.960
<i>Helicolenus dactylopterus</i>	13.731
<i>Plesionika spp</i>	13.154
<i>Micrimesistius poutassou</i>	9.337
<i>Phycis blennoides</i>	1.126


**Table 5**

Total landing in GSA19

Source: ITAFISHSTAT (2016)



Anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) is the most fished species, followed by hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) and giant red shrimp (*Aristaeomorpha foliacea*) (see Figure 5).



**Figure 5** Most fished species in GSA19  
**Source:** ITAFISHSTAT (2016)

Other important commercial species in the GSA19 are the octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*), the cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) and common pandora (*Pagellus erythrinus*) on the shelf, the horned octopus (*Eledone cirrhosa*), the squids (*Illex coindetii* and *Todaropsis eblanae*), the blue whiting (*Micromesistius poutassau*), the anglers (*Lophius piscatorius* and *Lophius budegassa*) on a wide bathymetric range, the greater forkbeard (*Phycis blennoides*), the rockfish (*Helicolenus dactylopterus*) and the shrimps *Plesionika heterocarpus* and *Plesionika martia* on the slope.

For some of the above mentioned species, stocks are overfished<sup>10</sup>; this is the case of the hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) which is considered as one of the most important commercial species in the area. Furthermore, many other species are generally caught and totally discarded due to their lack of economic value.

### 3.1.1. The Fishery District of Castro

According to the European Fleet Register (update 2018) the whole number of the vessels operating in Castro is 25 (see Table 6)

N	Main gear	Second gear	LOA	Tonnage (GT)	Engine power (kW)	Entry service year
1	LLS	GNS	5,29	1	13,2	1973
2	GNS	LHP	6,06	1	19	1978
3	LLS	GNS	6,43	1	13,25	1981
4	PS	LLS	6,16	1	15	1981
5	LLS	GNS	5,81	1	19,1	1982
6	PS	LLS	6,2	1	15,4	1984
7	LLS	GNS	6,99	1	59	1985
8	LLS	GNS	7,9	2	33,08	1985
9	LLS	GNS	6,05	1	17,6	1986
10	LLS	GNS	6,7	2	24	1986
11	GNS	n.a.	4,6	1	14,4	1987
12	LLS	GNS	6,37	2	53	1988
13	GNS	n.a.	5,8	1	17,5	1994
14	GND	GNS	5,78	1	18	1994
15	PS	LLS	4,69	1	0	1972
16	GNS	n.a.	4,92	1	0	1987
17	LLS	GNS	6,22	1	18	1998
18	LLS	GNS	10,84	6	52	1998
19	LLS	GNS	5,5	1	0	2007
20	LLS	GNS	6,98	1	25	2008
21	LLS	GNS	5,96	1	18,4	2008
22	LLS	GNS	6,67	1	34,5	2009


N	Main gear	Second gear	LOA	Tonnage (GT)	Engine power (kW)	Entry service year
23	LLS	GNS	6,12	1	84,6	2011
24	LLS	GNS	6,12	1	18,38	2015
25	LLS	GNS	6,3	1	25	2017

**Table 6**

Number of vessels, GT and kW per metier in Castro

Source: EU Fleet Register, update 2018

The fleet is composed of small fishing boats (length overall of less than 12 m.) (see Figure 6) using mainly passive gears which are the most ancient type of fishing gears.




**Figure 6**


Number of vessels per LOA in Castro

Source: EU Fleet Register, update 2018

These gears are most suitable for small scale-fishing and are, therefore, often the gear types used in artisanal fisheries; this is the case in Castro where the small-scale fisheries using longlines (LLS) and gillnets (GNS) have the greatest number of vessels overall (see Figures 7 and 8). Very few vessels use the purse seine which belongs to the category of the “active gears”



**Figure 7**  
Vessels per fishing techniques  
(main gear) in Castro  
**Source:** EU Fleet Register, update 2018



**Figure 8**  
Vessels per fishing techniques (second gear) in Castro  
**Source:** EU Fleet Register, update 2018


The main target species of the fleet operating in Castro are as follow:

- European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*);
- European anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*);
- European Pilchard or Sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*);
- Mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*);
- Horse mackerel (*Trachurus sp.*);
- Bogue (*Boops boops*);
- Red mullet (*Mullus barbatus*);
- Annular sea bream (*Diplodus annularis*);
- Cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*);
- Octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*).

In the following sections a short description of the above mentioned gear types is given, including their catching principle, selectivity and properties related to ecosystem effects of fishing<sup>11</sup>.

### 3.1.2 Set Longlines (Standard Abbreviation: LLS)

A set longline consists of a mainline and snoods with baited (occasionally unbaited) hooks at regular intervals and which is set, in general, on or near the bottom. The number of hooks, distance of snoods on the main line and length of the snoods depends on the target species, the handling capacity and technology used. Longlines can be set as bottom lines or, less commonly, in mid-water or even not far from the surface. Its length in coastal fisheries can go down to few hundred meters.



The fish are attracted by the natural or artificial bait (lures), hooked and held by the mouth until they are brought aboard the operating vessel which periodically hauls the gear.

Longliners, which account for almost 3% of the fleet of the GSA19, have fallen by almost 70% in terms of the number of vessels and more than 50% in

terms of GT and KW, in the period 2004-2015. Incidental catch of turtle, of certain species of sharks or other endangered species are possible negative impacts. Incidental catch of seabirds when setting and/or hauling the line are also possible. Technologies exist for avoiding the catch of seabirds while reducing the by-catch, in general, (turtle, sharks or other) is more difficult.

Despite the fact that longlines may attract and catch a large variety of fish species and sizes, this gear is considered to have medium to good species and size selective properties. The species selectivity of longlines can clearly be affected by the type of bait used, as different species have been shown to have different bait preferences. The size selective properties can partly be regulated by the hook and bait size as many studies have shown a correlation between the size of hook and bait and the size of the fish caught.


The longline attracts fish from several hundred meters away, and as large fish have a greater swimming and feeding range than smaller fish, this adds to the size selective properties of longlines. Little is known about the by-mortality of fish in longlines fishing, but fish that are lost during retrieval of longlines do often suffer mortality.

“Ghost” fishing may be regarded as a problem with longlining and this gear is not considered to cause significant adverse habitat effects when they are accidentally lost in the deep gorgonians communities. The energy efficiency of longlining is generally high, with typical energy coefficients from 0,1 to 0,3 (kilogram fuel per kilogram of landed catch), which is in the same range as that of gillnetting.

Longline caught fish are in general of high quality, but as is the case for gillnetting, long soak times may lead to reduced catch quality mainly due to bottom scavengers that may attack and eat parts of the hooked fish.

### 3.1.3. Set Gillnets anchored (Standard Abbreviation: GNS)

The gillnet is named after its catching principle, as fish are usually caught by “gilling” (i.e. the fish is caught in one of the meshes of the gillnet, normally by the gill region – between the head and the body). Thus, fish capture by gillnets is based on fish encountering the gear during feeding or migratory movements. As fish may avoid the gillnet if they notice the gear, catches are normally best at low light levels or in areas with turbid water.



In general gillnets are considered to be very size selective, with catches of fish sizes that correspond well to the chosen mesh size. However, due to entangling a small proportion of larger and smaller fish may be taken. The species selectivity of gillnets is not particularly

good and as different fish species grow to different sizes, there is always a possibility of catching juveniles of a large species when using small mesh gillnets for a smaller target species.


Another negative impact of gillnets is the by-catch of sea birds, marine mammals and turtles. Although little information exists on the real effect of such by-catches on the populations of these organisms, it has generated concerns, particularly for pelagic gillnet fishing.

Information on by-mortality of fish after escapement from gillnets is scarce. However, observations of fish with wounds from gillnet meshes are commonly made in catches by other gears, but the actual mortality rates from such injuries are not known.

Fixing the floats to the netting with biodegradable material could reduce the problem.

Gillnets are of special interest for artisanal fisheries because it is a low cost fishery. It is a gear with low energy consumption calculated on the relationship of fuel/fish. The catch quality of gillnet caught fish can be high; however, gillnets that are operated with soak times of several days tend to produce catches of inferior quality, as fish caught early in the fishing period may die and start to deteriorate long before the nets are retrieved.

### 3.1.4. Drift Gillnets (Standard Abbreviation: GND)



Drift gillnets consist of a string of gillnets kept more or less vertical by floats on the upper line (head-rope) and weights on the lower line (ground-rope) (sometimes the ground-rope

is without weights), drifting with the current, in general near the surface or in mid-water.


These nets drift freely with the current connected to the operating vessel. The method of capture is by gilling and driftnets are highly size selective on the targeted species.

The principal negative environmental impact produced by this type of nets is related to the by-catch of nontarget species like marine mammals, seabirds and to a minor extent turtles. In general gillnets are a fishing gears with a high degree of size selectivity for fish, efficiently regulated by the mesh-size.

It is also a gear with low energy consumption calculated on the relationship of fuel/fish. Various instruments are developed to reduce the negative impact of drift netting on the non-targeted biological resources.

### 3.1.5. Purse seines (Standard Abbreviation: PS)

As for purse seine – which is operated by 3 vessels – it is made of a long wall of netting



framed with float line and lead-line (usually, of equal or longer length than the former) and having purse rings hanging from the lower edge of the gear, through which runs a purse line made from steel wire or rope which allow the pursing of the net. For most of the situation, it is the most efficient gear for catching large and small pelagic species that is shoaling.



Purse seining is a non-selective gear regarding fish size, as the mesh size is chosen to be so small that there should be no risk of mass meshing of fish, even by the smallest size groups of the target species. However, in cases where the fish size in the catch is too small, as estimated from samples taken from the seine, there is usually an opportunity to release the fish. The species selectivity is fairly high and both from the fishers experience and by use of modern sonar equipment it is not too difficult to identify the species before the seine is set. There is a certain risk of by-mortality in purse seining. Pelagic fishes are in general sensitive to contact with fishing gears which easily leads to loss of scales and resulting mortality. This can be related to the abovementioned release of unwanted species or sizes of fish, but the main cause of by-mortality in purse seining is the escape-ment of fish after net rupture due to large catches and/or bad weather. There is extremely low risk of ghost fishing with lost purse seines. The energy efficiency is high because of the relatively large catches that give a high catch-per-unit-effort in this fishery. Catch quality is normally also high, particularly in modern purse seining, where the catch is pumped directly into refrigerated tanks on the fishing vessel.

Purse seining has generated some adverse publicity as a result of by-catches of dolphin in some tuna fisheries, but effective methods to avoid such capture have been developed.

## 3.2. Albania – Vlora Bay

### 3.2.1. The fishing Port of Vlora

(i.e., Triport) and the shelter port of Orikum (Fishing Center Orikum [FCO]/ Radhime). Triport where 30-40 commercial fishing boats anchor, located 5 km north of Vlora, is one of the most important fishing ports in Albania, with the second- largest fishing fleet (including industrial fishing vessels) in the country.

Marine fisheries are divided into professional industrial fisheries and professional artisanal fisheries (Figure 9). The difference between industrial and artisanal fisheries is based on the type of fishing gear used by license holders. All forms of trawling and purse seining, regardless of the technical characteristics of the nets that are used, are regarded as industrial fishing activities.



**Figure 9**  
*The locations of the two Fishing Ports of Vloora (Triport and Oriku)*

### 3.2.2. Small - scale fisheries

Small-scale fisheries in Albania have developed remarkably since the 1990s as an alternative to unemployment and low income in coastal areas. The availability of SSF data is known to be crucial for devising proper management strategies (Guidetti et al. 2010; Di Franco et al. 2016).

Small-scale fisheries represents the unique sustainable fisheries activity in the Bay of Vloora, close to the office of Marine Protected Area (MPA) of Karaburun - Sazan. The Fishing Center Oriku (FCO) is located near the Info Point of the Regional Administrative of Protected Areas (RAPAV) in Vloora and the related fisheries activity represent the example of good collaboration

between the MPA managers and the fisherman (blueboost.adrioninterreg.eu.)

This fishing fleet segment represents the small boats with 5-40 HP that fish no more than 3 NM from the coast, with around 100-120 fishing days per year, with small incomes only for daily consume. The artisanal boats don't use a fishing harbor, so are not easy to be monitored. Mostly of them are not licensed, so they don't report their catches. It is rather familiar activity where women give a good contribution. This kind of fishing is rather marginalized, neglected but it is a big reality in Albanian fishery and Vlora also.

In the outside borders of MPA-s this kind of fishing should be more attractive for operators, so, became most imperative knowing that situation through evaluation of the number of boats, their production per fishing day, the legality of their activity by the purpose of differentiation from the legal operators. With the legal operators should be built a healthy partnership with MPA-s administrators.

### 3.2.3 The fishing methods used

In the area of Vlora are exercised a variety of fishing activities and forms, aquaculture as well. Fishing activities are equally with the same variegation in the area (Table 7).

In regards of the fishing trips and fishing routes we should stress that Vlora Bay is a Protected Area and according to its protection status and the Fishery Low Nr. 64 of date 31.05 2012 "On Fisheries", it is prohibited to apply Bottom Trawl Fishing on the Vlora Bay. In such condition we should say definitely that almost all fishing form applied in Vlora Bay is Artisanal Fisheries. This fishing form don't use fishing port facilities, they are based on capillary way along the sea coast. Some of the artisanal fishing boars we meet in the Treport Fishing Port.

*Artisanal fishery* covers all forms of fishing activity using fixed and selective gear such as hooks, fixed nets, trammel nets, and gill nets (<https://www.eurofish.dk/member-countries/albania>).

Artisanal fisheries have the roots of traditions since in ancient time and the coastal communities have inherited that skills generation to generation.

Here coexist the artisanal or traditional fishing, entertainment or leisure fishing, sport fishing with the industrial, (pelagic or bottom trawl). Marine aquaculture by intensive floating cage has not damaged this coexistence, at least, as long as there is not an uncontrolled expands of the aquaculture sites or unmonitored for the impact of irreversible environmental effects that can cause. It is a big number of Artisanal fishing, fishers and boats that are unlicensed, acting illegally fishing practices.

No	Boat	Administrator	Period licence	Fishing type
1	GABRIEL	Latif Azemi	2022	Trawler
2	ODISEA 1	Fjodor Bala	2021	Selective
3	MEHMETI	Qani Alushi	2019	SELECTIVE
4	GJYZELI	Jonita Alimuca	2022	TRAWLER
5	DIAMANTE	Engjellushe Dalipi	2021	SELECTIVE
6	DENIS	Llambi Nushil	2019	TRAWLER
7	XHOKLA	Maks Merko	2019	TRAWLER
8	KLODI 1	Klodian Isai	2019	TRAW+PELAG.
9	RICIOLA	Agron Nuredini	2020	TRAWLER
10	OQEANIA	Flamur Alimani	2021	TRAW+PELAGIC
11	MELISA	Zija Bejto	2019	TRAWLER
12	DE RADA	Mezan Jakupi	2020	TRAWLER
13	FABIANO	Dritan Kacaj	2021	TRAW.+PELAGIC
14	GERTA	Altin Nazdri	2021	TRAWLER
15	IORE	Elham Zhegu	2020	TRAWLER
16	FORTUNELA	Isuf Nuredini	2018	TRAWLER
17	ANDI II	Ali Cakerri	2021	TRAWLER
18	PAVARSIA	Orgest Serjani	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
19	KELI	Enton Mishtaku	2021	SELECTIVE
20	SELMAN LEVANI	Astrit Levani	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC

No	Boat	Administrator	Period licence	Fishing type
21	ROZETA	Besnik Pilinci	2021	SELECTIVE
22	AQUARIO II	Skender Saliu	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
23	RICIOLA 1	Robert Nuredini	2020	TRAWLER
24	DEVI	Elham Malaj	2019	TRAWLER
25	MEDI	Pelagicumb Isai	2020	SELECTIVE
26	SULEJMAN HASANI 1	Gentian Xhema	2021	TRAWLER
27	ERIKLA	Llazar Nushi	2021	TRAWLER
28	LEDA	Fiqiri Refati	2019	SELECTIVE
29	IL-PU	Qemal Lato	2020	TRAWLER
30	POJANI	Agron Shermeti	2019	RRETHIME
31	RIGELS	Pelagicumb Lato	2020	TRAWLER
32	ELTJON	Flogert Arifi	2019	TRAWLER
33	LUCO-1	Flamur Isufi	2021	TRAWLER
34	PADAJ	Arben Nuredini	2020	SELECTIVE
35	BABALE	Mustaf Mustafa	2018	RRETHIME
36	AGIMI	Muhamet Feimi	2019	RRETHIME
37	BISTRICA	Sami Sulioti	2020	RRETHIME
38	ORGESTI	Ilirjan Haxhiu	2019	PELAGICAGJIKE
39	LA SPERANCA	Edmond Hyseni	2019	TRAW.+PELAGIC
40	KRISTO	Azem Lato	2018	TRAWLER

**Table 7**


*Licensed fishing vessels in VLORA (2018)*

### 3.3. Montenegro – Baia di Boka Kotorska

Boka Kotorska Bay is a relatively closed ecosystem, which is very sensitive and required special measures to maintain its environmental as well as development status. It is area of high interest for tourism development thus being under pronounced pressures by tourism and related urban development. It creates negative impact on marine ecosystem especially to fish stocks.

With a view to protecting the fish stocks and biocenoses in the Boka Kotorska Bay, the law prohibits use of certain types of fishing gear within the Bay, thereby the Bay was to a certain extent proclaimed **a fisheries restricted area**. In the area of the Bay fishing with the bottom trawls, pelagic trawls and encircling purse seine nets of large-scale fishery is prohibited (Official gazette 56/2009). Only the small commercial fishing gear may be used within the Bay, such as set nets, seine nets, longlines, traps spears and harpoons. Minimum mesh sizes are set for specific nets in order to prevent catch of juveniles, as well as the maximum length of the net that may be cast into the sea. Length of a single set net in the Bay may not exceed 160 m and a fisherman may have two or five nets, depending on whether he is engaged in small-scale or large-scale commercial fishing (Official gazette 8/2011) . For all these gear types, the law las down also the period in which their use is allowed or prohibited in order to protect the species in spawning period. Fishery resources management in Montenegro is based on the principles of sustainable fishery in order to prevent overfishing of certain species and hence distortion in the entire ecosystem community.

As a country in the process of accession to the European Union, Montenegro is bound to accept, incorporate into its legislation and implement all the regulations ang rules of the Common Fishery policy. Some types of fishing gear that have been in use in Montenegro for centuries, which are used mainly in the Boka Kotorska Bay, are not fully harmonized with the EU legislation. This particularly refers to the use of seine nets for pilchard and anchovy. This fishing gear has centuries long tradition in this area, a strong sociological and culturological significance for the population of the Boka Kotorska Bay. At the same time, fishing with seine nets has major significance for tourism as well, primarily because it provides fresh, healthy



**Figure 10**  
*Fisherman throwing the net ričak*

food from the sea, rich in Omega 3 fats acids, and it is at the same time a tourist attraction, since this fishing method, involving a large number of people, is quite attractive to tourists. Significance of fishing by seine nets is recognized by the Fishery Development Strategy of Montenegro (M.o.A.a.R. development 2015), which states that efforts would be made to preserve this traditional fishing manner on the principle of sustainable development through drawing up of a management plan for use of seine nets. The management plan will set the maximum number of seine nets to be used in the Bay and continuous supervision and control of the catch would be provided, as well as monitoring of other biocenoses as regards use of seine nets, particularly as regards biocenoses of marine flowering plants (*Posidonia oceanica*).

The number of fishermen using traditional fishing gears today has been decreasing. Intensive tourism development and construction of tourist facilities on the coast has resulted in reduction in number of fisherman's posts, while cruising tourism development resulted in increase of noise and water turbidity, which affects fish stocks. Just around 20 fishermen in the entire Bay use seine nets, a few of them use *ričak* nets (Figure 10).

In order to enable use of this traditional fishing gears in the future it is necessary to ensure protection of fish stocks; otherwise, if there is no fish, there will be no fishermen and seine nets.



## 4. Impact of fisheries activities on marine ecosystem

### 4.1. Italy – Castro

Investigations on the occurrence of environmental contaminants in water, sediment and some fishery products of the Castro Bay carried out in the ADRINET project (i.e. ERMP) allow us to assert that there is no significant risk to the marine environment and suggest that consumption of these fish species can be safe for human health; on the other hand, they attest to the little pollution of the water, a situation probably linked to the absence of waste water from large industrial groups, the absence of large river mouths, but also the presence of marine currents. The Ionian Sea is the crossroads of waters connecting the Western and the Eastern Mediterranean basins with the Adriatic and the Aegean Seas. Its circulation is highly variable and characterized by two main states: a cyclonic or an anticyclonic circulation.

The greatest negative impact both for the environment and for the safety of fish products, on the contrary, seems to be the occurrence of microplastics in the fish viscera assessed by the ADRINET study.

However, on the basis of the data obtained from the investigations and from the scientific literature, it is not clear whether this contamination could be correlated to a risk for human health; the data obtained should be further investigated to check the type of the plastic fragment, the composition of the plastic and the presence of both chemical and microbiological adsorbed contaminants.

The discovery of plastic fragments in the viscera of the fish analysed confirms the serious risk to which the aquatic ecosystem is now exposed also considering the phenomena of bioaccumulation and biomagnification in the marine trophic chain.

A problem related to the presence of microplastics and nanoplastics in this marine area, is the presence of ALDFG (“Abandoned, Lost or otherwise Discarded Fishing Gear”).

The “Ghost fishing” represents serious damage to fishing and to the marine ecosystem.

To reduce this phenomenon it is necessary to implement appropriate training and close collaboration between fishermen who should make use of fishing gear that is always recoverable, through the use of appropriate technologies, and the involvement of institutions so that the recovery of lost nets, their disposal or possible reuse is organized and managed.

The fishing nets lowered along the coast, together with the bottom trawls, are among the tools that most often become Ghost fishing; both types of equipment present additional problems related to the protection of the marine ecosystem

For the nets lowered below the coast it is absolutely necessary to review what is indicated in the now dated main legislation governing Italian fisheries, Law 963/1965 and Decree of the President of the Italian Republic no. 1639/1968 regarding “Regulation for the execution of the law of 14 July 1965, no. 963, concerning the discipline of marine fishing”, establishing a greater minimum distance from the coast of the gill nets, enlarging the size of the net mesh and limiting their length.

For bottom trawls, the most evident damage concerns the destruction of the seabed and Posidonia meadows, especially if practiced on shallow waters; it is a non-selective fishing technique, which involves bycatching; according to the FAO, every year in the world 7 million tons of unmarketable fish are thrown back into the sea.

All this entails a serious impoverishment of biodiversity and, moreover, this fishing practice goes against the European Directives that protect and preserve the conservation of the seabed. The ‘good environmental status’ discussed by the EU, in fact, concerns measures relating to the protection of biodiversity, the effective management of critical issues related to excessive fish exploitation, damage to the seabed, the presence of marine litter and contaminants.

The coastline of Apulian Region consists mainly of coralligenous, characterized by the presence of bioconstructions mainly made of encrusting red calcareous algae belonging to the genera *Lithophyllum*, *Mesophyllum* and *Peyssonnelia* and by benthic invertebrates with carbonate skeleton, such as annelids, anthozoan cnidarians, bryozoans and several specimens of Pori-feri: the use of bottom trawls is a serious problem for the habitat.

The coralligenous of the Castro Bay considered by ADRINET mainly affects the infralittoral, where already at 10 m depth it can alternate with *Posidonia oceanica* or *Cymodocea nodosa* meadows.

The coralligenous is considered an important biodiversity hotspot, since thanks to its conformation, it hosts a rich associated fauna that exploits the coralligenous cavities as a habitat refuge from predation and as a place to reproduce.

Because of this important biodiversity to be preserved, we suggest creating a “Marine Park” in this area of the Apulian coast, where the deep coral already begins at 30-40 meters deep; marine parks are extremely precious environments that are increasingly of specific scientific and tourist interest; Guided tours also by marine biologist experts to the park and caves, already known and sought by tourist visiting the Apulia Region, can contribute to the creation of an economic return also for the fishing communities of Castro.

Our suggestion is closely linked to the “protection of the marine environment” topic that has been the focus of EU attention for a long time, given the fact that the programs of measures set up by the Member States under the “Marine Strategy Framework Directive” have not yet had any tangible results.

Therefore, further programs of measures are needed, which will have mainly to address economically advantageous aspects for fishing communities.

As regards the problem relating to the “fishing effort” and as highlighted in the meetings with the fishermen of Castro, it is very important to make closed fishing seasons divided into neighboring Marine Fisheries Department.

Currently the closed season is divided into very large macro-areas (Adriatic sea from Trieste to Ancona; Adriatic sea from San Benedetto del Tronto to Termoli, Adriatic sea from Manfredonia to Bari, Ionian sea and southern Tyrrhenian sea from Brindisi to Naples, Tyrrhenian sea from Gaeta to Civitavecchia, Tyrrhenian sea from Livorno to Imperia, Region of Sardinia, Region of Sicily) with very different fish species and various reproductive timing.

Therefore, in order to safeguard above all the reproduction of “native” fish species, it is advisable to restrict these fishing zones and implement the closure of fishing based on specific areas and the fish species that reproduce in certain periods.

## 4.2. Albania – Vlora Bay

**Ghost nets** according to fishermen’s testimonies are fishing nets (nets, purse sein, hooks) that have not been thrown / abandoned by the fishermen with their conscience. They are stranded in stagnant objects such as boats stranded in the Vlora Bay, brought in by sea currents from fishing areas, fixed with anchors but pulled from there by large bottom fishing vessels that just launch depart from the fishing port and exit the bay with the launcher.

The trawls released during their voyage into the Gulf of Vlora to the depth of the bottom fishing (off the Gulf of Vlora) carry with them the nets that are badly damaged and dumped offshore by industrial fishing fishermen, often cutting them into pieces. small.

Another contingent are those stormwater fishing nets for stationary fishing. After being overthrown, they are displaced by the force of the hull and stuck in end objects like shipwrecks, in which case they are not tracked by their owners.

There was a very positive phenomenon in the group of fishermen in the row: there were artisanal fishing subjects that brought their nets out of the sea in the event of loss from bad weather or for other reasons but beyond their will. This category of fishermen, but also capable divers, also provided this service to third parties in the event of network bottlenecks. But only when stagnation occurred at depths up to 20 m. At depths of about 40 m it is impossible to pull them out with just a simple polar coating.

Another positive thing is that the nets were never discarded after damage, they were repaired until they were no longer valuable. Before each trash was thrown into the trash, the top rope (along with the tap) and bottom (along with the lead) were removed. Only unarmed nets containing a small amount are disposed of at the designated waste site.


***Fish depletion, assessments and related problems and impacts.*** Vlora bay has been from years under protection consideration from bottom trawl and pelagic fishing activities for the high environmental value that the area carries itself, but above all, for high positive effects on the maintenance and regeneration of the stock of some important marine reserve species in the area

Indeed, has not been fulfilled yet any real assessment of the fish reserve status on the area as well as fish stock or group stocks evaluation. On the other hand there is no assessment of fish production, yearly tendencies since no accurate statistical data's applied during last 25 years. To conclude on the tendency (decreasing or increasing) fish production must be implemented the accurate statistics over the years of all fishing impact on a stock or group of stocks, including the clear perception of the dimension of illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing activities in a selected area. If the legal aspects can be considered rather well we cannot say the same for their application in the field and moreover for inter-institutional cooperation.

***Illegal fishery activities occurring in the area*** Continuously has been reported for the illegal bottom trawl within the Gulf of Vlora. Moreover, the illegality of the fishery activities is not only within the bay but is extended outside the Gulf of Vlora, caused not only from the National operators but even from foreigner, mostly from Italian vessels. Some of them are evidenced, processed but never penalized. If we analyze the fishing fleet according the structure of them we can declare that only about 90% of professional fishing boats are licensed and registered in the National Fishing Fleet. When speaking for the artisanal fishery and Small Scale Fisheries less than 30-40% of them are licensed and or registered. So, the non licensed categories don't report and is out of monitoring for their activity and fish production. And the result comes directly in the fishing nets of the legal fishermen community which are landing fewer and fewer fish. The mostly illegal of activities identified in the area are fishing without license/Authorization, fishing in the prohibited areas, prohibited fishing gears and/or with smaller mesh size, by exterminator means, irregular fishing and with bad practices that results unfavorable for the fish reserve in area, that harm/mismanage the coastal lagoons with which the coast of Vlora is rich and the role of lagoons is irreplaceable to the fish resources.

**Fishing practice by diving equipped with compressor**, has led not only damages the target fish but this kind of fishing is associated with the exploration and exploitation of corals, sponges, sea cucumbers, species prohibited by law and by which Vlora coast is rich. The coast of Vlora is rich on a variety of ecosystems which are not studied enough; such ecosystems appreciated for their combination of their impact on the maintenance and regeneration of fisheries resources. Empiricism and irresponsibility have accompanied economic activities in this area, which is so rich environmentally and of diverse

**Gillnets** are sets of panels of uniform mesh size, which form a large net-wall hanging vertically in the water. Suspended in the top- or mid-depths of the water (a drift gillnet), or anchored to the seafloor (bottom gillnet), gillnets trap fish by their gills. They are very effective – and particularly destructive.



**Trawling** involves dragging a large fishing net with heavy weights behind a boat, either mid-water or across the bottom. The net indiscriminately catches or crushes everything in its path. Consequently, by-catch is extremely high and nets are often lost due to snagging on the bottom.

Trawling is a common fishing technique. **It is forbidden to trawl within Vlora Bay.**

**Purse seine** is a long wall of netting deployed around a school of fish and pulled tight, thus enveloping the school of fish (and any other animals) in a purse-like structure.



Purse seines target pelagic fish of all sizes, including tuna, and are therefore frequently used in the western Indian Ocean, often in combination with FADs.

On the other **hand, pole and line**, are other traditional fishing technique associated with a low impact and **is sustainable fishing technique**.

#### 4.2.1. Pollution risk assessment through the analytical results performed

The most delicate phenomena of marine coastal water pollution in past years in Vlora Bay were heavy metal pollution (mainly metallic mercury from past discharges of a soda plant near the city of Vlora) and organochlorine pesticides (OCs). Moreover, urban waste is dumped into the sea without any treatment. In Albania, OCs were mainly used as insecticides such as dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), hexachlorobenzene (HCB), and lindane before 1990. As a result of recent transformations in agriculture, pesticide use has generally declined after 1990. From year to year, the distribution of PCBs has changed in favor of less volatile technical mixtures (such as Aroclor 1260), indicating a ground-based contribution, probably due to the importation of contaminated transformer oils or their malfunctioning existing.

No data have been available on the levels of those pollutants in biota from the coastal areas of the Gulf of Vlora until 2010. Implementing decisions on actions to monitor and evaluate marine pollution, in coherence with all partners, the AUT carried out analytical testing for: heavy metals were measured in 86 cefalopodes samples (54 samples *sepia.spp* + 32 *Loligo spp*), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (56 samples), residues of antibiotics (68 samples) in fish were measured. PCBs and heavy metals were measured in 2 samples, and PCBs in 2 sediments samples. OCs pesticides and PCB were found to be below the detection limit, in fish, water and sediments. Overall, the results show an environmental quality of the Bay of Vlora, which similar to those a marine protected area. In fact, what is to be discussed is that in the past years Hg levels have been problematic as a result of the of past industrial activities (especially soda

plant discharges), those over the years, after its closure have improved significantly. Analyzes of antibiotic residues in fish also show satisfactory results

The results of analysis performed in samples fish, cefalopodes and water from Vlora Bay also support its suitability in environmental quality assessment of marine coastal areas.

There was small variability of different microplastics found in all species of fish, since we found only filaments and smaller fragments. We didn't expect to find so many filaments in fish gut, but this result is in correlation to high abundance of filaments in sea surface samples. There is also difference in number of filaments and fragments, which we can correlate to the specific fish feeding habits. Therefore, we found the most microparticles, mostly filaments, in *Pagellus Erythrinus* that feeds low in the food chain, It is omnivorous, but mainly feeds on smaller fish and benthic invertebrates either as direct primary consumers and detritivores, or at a secondary level feeding on small macro fauna, what means it eat on the shallow bottom floor and on the sea surface, preferably near waste waters and marinas. The least of microplastics we extracted from the gut of *Solea solea* specimen, which lives and feed mostly on the sea floor, were is not so much exposed to floating filament and fragments in the water column.

### 4.3. Montenegro – Boka Kotorska Bay

Impact of fishery on marine environment cannot be neglected in any part of the world, as well as in Boka Kotorska Bay. However, the Bay is already protected from use of fishing gears that has most negative effect on marine environment – bottom trawl nets. Most numerous fishing gears used inside the Bay are set nets (trammel nets and gillnets) which are very selective and have minimal negative effects on marine environment. Mesh size on those nets are determined by legislation and they depend on target species and period of year, and they are already fully harmonized with the EU legislation. Other types of nets that can have more significant impact on the marine environment are beach seine nets. Those nets are pulled to the fishermen's post on the shore, and biocenoses of marine flowering plan-



ts (*Posidonia oceanica*) can be endangered, which is the main reason why EU regulations prohibit the use of those nets in the areas inside 3 nautical miles from the coast. In Boka Kotorska Bay beach seines are used for centuries and pulled always on the same places, fishermen's post, which are strictly localized and accurately defined dimensions. In this way impact on the marine environment and *Posidonia oceanica* is reduced to a minimum. Maximal number of licences for this type of fishery is determined and management plan will be developed in order to monitor impact of this type of fishery on fishery stocks and marine environment, and to prevent any possible negative effects.

Considering all, it can be concluded that fishery in Boka Kotorska Bay has no such significant impact on the environment, but lost and abandoned fishing gears are significant and persistent problem for marine habitats and wildlife. Ghost fishing presents commercial fishing nets that have been lost, abandoned, or discarded at sea. Abandoned nets, long lines, fish traps without anyone profiting from the catches, have affect on already depleted commercial fish stocks. Caught fish die and in turn attract scavengers which will get caught in that same net, thus creating a vicious circle. Every year ghost gears are responsible for trapping and killing millions of marine animals including sharks, rays, bony fish, turtles, dolphins, whales, crustaceans, and birds. Ghost nets cause further damage by entangling live coral, smothering reefs and introducing parasites and invasive species into reef environments.

Ghost nets are also a major contributor to the ocean plastics crisis. Most modern nets are made of nylon or other plastic compounds that can last for centuries. Marine animals mistake this microplastic for food and eat it, which can harm internal organs, keep them from eating, and expose them to toxic chemicals.

In addition, ghost nets affect the sustainability of well-managed fisheries by damaging boats and killing species with economic value. They also impact the beauty of shorelines, resulting in expensive cleanup costs and financial loss for the tourism and diving industry.

To reduce the impact of ghost fishing in Montenegro coast it necessary to organize sea bed cleaning every few years coordinated by the Municipality.


Before and above all, the areas with the highest amount of marine waste (fishing nets) should be mapped. Equally essential is the identification of land sites where deposited nets would be storage and deposited.

Due to problems with abandoned fishing gears, one of the main objectives of ADRINET project is to envisage benefits of investments in new technologies, which will provide endowing fishing boats with RFID and GPS systems to map fishing routes and ghost-nets, monitoring sea pollution, tracing fish caught and preventing over exploitation of the fish stocks.

This RFID technologies have been used for identification of the origin of the items that contains a RFID tag, and its movement history. It has been widely used in supply chain and logistics management. In fisheries research, the technology has been used for tagging and tracking fish to understand stock structure, migration, and movement. Nets and ropes set in the aquatic environment can often accidentally entangle whales and other megafauna species. Sometimes the animals stuck in the gear and die, while in other cases the gear can be towed away, which can also cause injury and mortality. In order to assess which fishing gear types and seasons/locations are posing the greatest risk to these animals, it is necessary to determine the origin of fishing gear components (e.g. rope sections) remained on animals after accidentally entangled with the gear. This would provide scientific basis for implementing technical measures for specific gear types, at specific locations and in specific fishing seasons. Determining the origin (ownership and gear type) is also necessary for identifying fishing gear lost at sea for assessment of ghost fishing capacity and owner responsibility.



**Figure (11-14)** of abundant fishing gears, marine litter on the bottom (photo from 11-14 by Slavica Petovic);



**Figure 15.**

<https://oliveridleyproject.org/what-are-ghost-nets>

**Ghost nets** are fishing nets that have been left or lost in the ocean by fishermen. These nets can be left tangled on a rocky reef or drifting in the open sea. They can entangle fish, dolphins, sea turtles, sharks, dugongs, seabirds, crabs, and other creatures, including the occasional human diver. Acting as designed, the nets restrict movement, causing starvation, laceration and infection, and suffocation in those that need to return to the surface to breathe.

“Some studies estimate that over 90% of species caught in DFG are of commercial value, which can contribute to a significant loss of revenue for fishermen.”

A United Nations (UN) Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and UN Environment Programme (UNEP) report states that while most gear is not deliberately discarded, the problem of abandoned, lost and discarded fishing gear is getting worse due to the increased scale of global fishing operations and the introduction of highly durable fishing gear made of long-lasting synthetic materials<sup>12</sup>. This suggests that the likelihood of ghost fishing may be increasing, although it is difficult to know exact numbers due to incomplete reporting of how much gear is actually lost and the difficulty in monitoring or retrieving DFG.

Preventive measures would reduce the likelihood that fishermen will discard gear at sea and make gear less likely to ghost fish and could include:

- Reducing ghost fishing efficiency of gear (improve biodegradable aspects for release or disabling of lost gear over time).
- Gear marking, integrated GPS to allow for immediate recovery, port or state monitoring, and inspection of gear.
- Provide affordable port disposal facilities and incentives to discourage improper disposal at sea. Many preventive mechanisms are already being implemented in various ways. Gear improvements such as the use of integrated GPS tags are already widely used in EU fisheries

The cost of disposing fishing gear properly can be high, so in some cases it is dumped at sea as a low cost disposal method (Pooley, 2000). The need for affordable port reception facilities and incentives for bringing DFG

back to shore for disposal is vital to the prevention of marine debris and DFG .

### 4.3.1. Water (and sediment) quality monitoring for prevention of pollution

Activities in this part of the coast are increasing during last decades and pollution problems (due to communal wastewater, maritime activities and industry) are expressed, exacerbated by the enclosed nature of the Bay and slow exchange of water with the open sea. The Bay is composed by three major basins (Herceg-Novi, Tivat and Kotor), connected by two narrow straits (Kumbor and Verige) with a maximum depth of 60m. Marine ecosystems are highly vulnerable, especially in the Bay's narrow part, in the section between Bijela Shipyard and Porto Montenegro Harbor, as well as in Igalo Bay- part of Herceg-Novi Bay.

For monitoring of marine environment trace metals pollution, which represent a basis for pollution control in marine environment has generally a lack of interest. Trace elements are considered serious pollutants of the marine environment because of their toxicity and persistence, poor biodegradability and tendency to concentrate in aquatic organisms

In frame of ADRINET project some chemical analysis were performed. Although analysis data indicates a low level of heavy metals, pesticides, PAHs and PCBs in fish samples, sediment and water it is very important to emphasize that continuous monitoring of heavy metals as well as various contaminants in this region are of crucial importance. Reduced speed of water exchange with the open sea affects the smaller capacity of the Bay for the reception and degradation of pollutants, which further burdens the living organisms in the Bay.

## 5. Small scale fishery and approaches

There is a global concern and empathy for small-scale fisheries today. While the social and cultural contributions of small-scale fisheries can hardly be overestimated, the management objectives for small-scale fisheries are not that different from those of large-scale fisheries, especially when we think about the need to maintain fish stocks and associated ecosystems in healthy conditions and avoid wasteful use of the means of production, in particular, over-investment into vessels, engines, nets, fuel, etc.

If a country wishes to consider a management approach, the approach which must be taken for management of small-scale fisheries will depend largely on the geographic, socio-economic and political systems in the country

The ecosystem approach to fisheries (EAF) should be the overarching approach to fisheries management including small-scale fisheries. As in large-scale fisheries, also small-scale fishing activities, though often to a much lesser degree, affect other components of the ecosystem in which the harvesting occurs; for example, there is often bycatch of non-targeted species, physical damage to habitats, food-chain effects or changes to biodiversity. In the context of sustainable development, responsible fisheries management must consider the broader impact of fisheries on the ecosystem as a whole, taking biodiversity into account. The objective is the sustainable use of the whole system, not just a targeted species.

In many countries exist different management plan for small-scale fisheries. Some suggestions on the approach which can be adopted are:

1. The overall fishery management framework, as well as the principles and objectives behind the approaches and institutional arrangements involved, must be articulated in simple terms. Easy-to-read documents which provide the essence of the management framework and the planning process should be available in the language of the fishers.
2. Organized efforts need to be made to ensure that these documents are effectively distributed and reach the communities. If there are

- no genuine and representative organizations of small-scale fishers, then this task may be entrusted to civil society organizations that have the capacity and the empathy to do so.
3. There must be structured opportunities for communities to discuss the documents.
  4. A finalized management plan needs to be discussed with the communities. This is necessary for awareness creation and to obtain greater credibility for repeating the process of participatory planning in the future. This will also be a major step in ensuring compliance with the management plan, as there is a sense of ownership over the process by which it was formulated.
  5. When such a plan is finally 'put to the test', there is need for participatory monitoring of its application. There are likely to be numerous changes and adjustments which will have to be made when the management tasks are implemented. The results and reactions will need to be weighed against the objectives which were set out at the outset. This forms the basis of an important feedback loop – learning and relearning – ensuring that the management system which evolves from the plan remains vibrant and dynamic (A Fishery Manager's Guidebook, FAO 2009).

The modern fishery manager faces a difficult legacy. The last 60 years have demonstrated the capacity of the sector to increase production and more than match the growing demands fuelled by development and demography. They have equally demonstrated in most places that, for various reasons including poor implementation of scientific advice, conventional management had been unable to avoid a significant degradation of fishery resources. Nonetheless, significant expectations are maintained regarding the continuing role of fisheries as a source of livelihood and food security while maintaining biodiversity and ecosystems.

## 6. Future activities of fishery for prevention of marine ecosystem

Some activities which can be useful for future fishery activities to prevent pollution and protect marine ecosystem are:

- Continuously monitoring and conduction of action related to removing of lost fishing gears to reduced ghost fishing
- Organizing seminars, interviews with fishermen, visits of fishermen to other countries (as provided in frame of ADRINET project), scuba divers with aim to continue with collection of data about ghost fishing
- Identify a site on land to be a storage area for lost gears
- Establish contact relevant local authorities regarding the recovery activities in order to have support on the ground
- Supporting the use of RFID technologies which will help in identification of lost gears.





*Figure Meeting of fishermen from Italy to Institute of Marine Biology (in frame of ADRINET project)*



*Figure Meeting of fishermen from Italy to Vlora  
(in frame of ADRINET project)*

## 7. References

1. A Fishery Manager's Guidebook Second Edition 2009. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and Wiley-Blackwell. 544pp.
2. Balzano P. 1859. Della origine e storia del corallo, della sua pesca, ed incremento che potrebbe questa ricevere. Giurisprudenza Amministrativa. pp. 71-110.
3. BOOSTing the innovation potential of the triple helix of Adriatic-Ionian traditional and emerging BLUE growth sectors clusters through an open source/knowledge sharing and community based approach [https://blueboost.adrioninterreg.eu/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Albania\\_BBwinners\\_22-26\\_ADF.pdf](https://blueboost.adrioninterreg.eu/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Albania_BBwinners_22-26_ADF.pdf)
4. Cattaneo-Vietti, M. Bo, R. Cannas, A. Cau, C. Follesa, E. Meliadó, G. F. Russo, R. Sandulli, G. Santangelo & G. Bavestrello 2016. An overexploited Italian treasure: past and present distribution and exploitation of the precious red coral *Corallium rubrum* (L., 1758) (*Cnidaria: Anthozoa*), Italian Journal of Zoology, 83:4, 443-455, DOI: 10.1080/11250003.2016.1255788.
5. Cataudella S. e Spagnolo M., 2011. Lo stato della pesca e dell'acquacoltura nei mari italiani.
6. Di Franco, A., P. Thiriet, G. Di Carlo, C. Dimitriadis, P. Francour, N. L. Gutiérrez, A. Jeudy de Grissac, D. Koutsoubas, M. Milazzo, M. del Mar Otero, C. Piante, J. Plass-Johnson, S. Sainz-Trapaga, L. Santarossa, S. Tudela, and P. Guidetti. 2016. Five key attributes can increase marine protected areas performance for small-scale fisheries management. Scientific Reports | 6:38135 | DOI: 10.1038/srep38135
7. FAO, 2003b. State of the world's forests, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
8. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations – Fisheries and Aquaculture Department.

9. Further information on the state of the fish stock – by species common name and GSA – are available on the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) website (<https://stecf.jrc.ec.europa.eu/web/stecf/dd/medbs/sambs>).
10. Gaetani G 1867. Il corallo nella Provincia di Reggio di Calabria. Relazione alla Camera di Commercio ed Arti per l'Esposizione. Universale di Parigi. Reggio Calabria.
11. Guineti P., Bussolti S., Pizzolante F., Ciccolella A. Assessing the potential of an artisanal fishing co-management in the Marine Protected Area of Torre Guaceto (southern Adriatic Sea, SE Italy). Volume 101, Issue 3, 15 January 2010, Pages 180-187
12. Macfadyen, G., Huntington, T., & Cappell, R. 2009. Abandoned, lost or otherwise discarded fishing gear. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies No.185. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper, No. 523. Rome, UNEP/FAO. 115p.
13. Marea Mediterranean halieutic resources evaluation and advice, Specific Contract no 10 – SEDAF «Improved knowledge of the main socioeconomic aspects related to the most important fisheries in the Adriatic Sea, draft report,” 2014.
14. Mazzarelli, 1915. Banchi di corallo esplorati dalla R. Nave “Volta” nell'estate del 1913. Annali dell'Industria. Roma: Ministero di Agricoltura, Industria e Commercio. pp. 1–173.
15. Resolution GFCM/33/2009/2 on the establishment of geographical subareas in the GFCM area of application, amending Resolution GFCM/31/2007/2.
16. Pooley, S. G. (2000). Proceedings of the International Marine Debris Conference: Derelict Fishing Gear and the Ocean Environment, In International Marine Debris Conference: Derelict Fishing Gear and the Ocean Environment (McIntosh, N., Simonds, K., Donohue, M. J., Brammer, C., Mason, S., and Carbajal, S., Eds.) p 217, NOAA National Marine Sanctuaries, Hawai'i Convention Center, Honolulu, HI.
17. <https://www.eurofish.dk/member-countries/alban>

18. Statistical Yearbook, Podgorica: Statistical Office of Montenegro, 2019.
19. <http://www.fao.org/fishery/docs/DOCUMENT/ec-marking/Inf3.pdf>
20. <https://oliveridleyproject.org/what-are-ghost-nets>
21. United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED)  
Rio de Janeiro, June 1992.

## Addition





*This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of University of Bari, Agricultural University of Tirana and University of Montenegro and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union and of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme Authorities.*







*This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of University of Bari, Agricultural University of Tirana and University of Montenegro and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union and of the Interreg IPA CBC Italy-Albania-Montenegro Programme Authorities.*

