Steering Group Meeting

March 13, 2019

Milan

Palazzo Pirelli, Sartori room, 26th floor

Introduction

Check the London Steering Group report "to do list":

PP2's proposal: write articles about the sectorial project results for large public with the support of PP06 is discussed. PP02 has to present a clear explanation of this idea.

Report Semester 4 Deadlines:
- By 15 January 2019: send the draft report to the Lead Partner (LP) (activities description, PI changes and expenses);
- By 25 January 2019: response from the LP;
- By 28 February 2019: FLC certifies your report.
- By 1 March 2019: send the certified report to the LP.
- By 29 March 2019: LP sends the joint progress report to the JS.

Financial: each PPs for the next reporting period has to prepare the table (described above) to show how it intends to recover the underspending.

Introduction

Check the London Steering Group report "to do list":

To Dss - Communication:

- Continue to send articles/actualities /GP news and pictures always with a pictures in good quality

- Fill the database link on GD

- Fill the agenda on GD

- Share CircE's tweets on your own media

Dissemination Regional SHs events: Question from PP05 this event can be held during the ….. Road Map (end-June), in order to be more effective. The Steerig Group agrees on that.

Mid-term Review

Thanks for the contribution

Good

We will share the report

Several feedbacks

Mid-term Review

Feedbacks an Action Plan 2/2

- **Watch the webinar: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WC6C5Nm1kwM**

- **Actions envisaged in our proposal seem to be too many**

- **Actions have to be concrete. They should start during the project lifetime**

- **The link between the action and the project (i.e. the good practice or aspect discussed during the project that inspired the action) has to be clear**

Mid-term Review

Feedbacks on Action Plan 2/2

- **The way the action contributes to improving the policy instrument has to be explained. It is possible to make reference to the type of policy improvements described in the programme manual:**
  - new project
  - improved governance
  - structural change

- **It is recommended to focus on a small number of actions well defined. There are usually between 2 and 5 actions in an AP.**

- **Endorsement of the APs may require time and has to be anticipated by the partners. Specific meetings with the MAs during the preparation of the AP can help. If an AP addresses several policy instruments, it can be divided in several sections endorsed by the responsible bodies.**

Mid-term Review

Feedbacks on Policy Instrument

One action plan, one policy instrument: it's better

Decide to steer another PI:
        who likes?
        PP08, why not?
        justify it in the introduction of the action plan

Not put some actions in the Action plan, if the actions are not for the PI addressed
Foresee, keep them, but then monitor them in Phase 2

ASK US concerning new PI – we will share it with the JS

Mid-term Review

Feedbacks on Self defined indicators

Keep the indicators and built a new indicator once you get a policy change, in order to make it measurable

It is possible to add a new one that fits better the need of the partner when reporting a territorial impact following a policy change

An indicator must monitor after the Policy change occurs

Mid-term Review

Feedbacks on Managing authority (MA) and Action plan

        Develop a dialogue with MA

        Agreement with the MA

        JS's ok

        Signature of the MA

PP06: need to obtain the endorsement

PP6 to finalise the AP and get the endorsement from the MA.

It seems that the MA did not take part in the stakeholder meetings and that the work on CIRCE is not discussed sufficiently with the MA. Additional meetings may have to be organised between PP6 and the MA to prepare the AP and ensure the commitment of the Region in the implementation of the AP.

Mid-term Review

Feedbacks on Common Action

Good idea

At this stage, there is not yet any project that has developed a common action

At least one project envisage to do so but the action is not finalised yet

The JS will check if examples of common actions to project partners exist

Mid-term Review

Feedback on Pilot Actions

Do you know what it is?

Check the Manual page 49:

file:///C:/Users/dacomoa/Desktop/Interreg_Europe_Programme_manual.pdf

There may be cases where the good ideas discovered during phase 1 in a given region will first need to be tested in another region before being rolled out. If this testing requires funding and the 'importing' region does not have this funding for different reasons, the project may apply to the Interreg Europe programme before the end of phase 1 to carry out a pilot action.

Mid-term Review

Feedback on Pilot Actions

Pilot action PP8:

yes

speed up the procedure

Diffused hotel: put it as a good practice in PLP

Pilot action PP3?

Mid-term Review

Main Conclusions on Action plan and related issues

As soon as possible:
- Submit to the JS the draft of the action plans
- It is recommended that a short description of the pilot action ideas is checked with the JS (**by phone or email**) to check the relevance before preparing the full request.
- Remind PP6 its obligations regarding the work to be done with the MA and the preparation

Before the end of phase 1:
- Send pilot action request template fully filled in with budget.

At the end of phase 1:
- Submit finalised APs. All APs have to be approved by the JS in order that the PR5 can be approved.

Mid-term Review

Semester 5 outputs… good news

8 "Report" of regional stakeholder meetings

1 "Synoptic Report"

Mid-term Review

Specific clarification with some PPs

PP04 – elections

PP05 – policy tools

Specific consideration on indicators (see LP's report in Mid-term review)

Report 3

Summary:

    PI

    rich story telling

Policy instrument section

    A Change achieved: Catalonia Region

Report 3

Story telling

Story 1: Cities dialogue. During the seminar in Lille the representatives of PP07 discussed with PP05 an issue regarding the future waste incinerator on the Sofia's territory

Story 2: CE networking in Sofia.

Story 3: PP05 Policy Advocacy in London.

Story 4: A Master student. CircE contributed to the Mrs Hanna Fux's Master dissertation

Story 5: Vitality in Catalonia. Catalonia set up the CE observatory

Story 6. Circular Lower Silesia. Meeting "Why do we need a CE? - challenges for Lower Silesia"

Story 7. Partners weaved on textile. LP, PP02, PP05 and PP04 all see great opportunities for textile recycling: fashionable sustainable clothing.

Future reporting (Semester 4)

The documents to feed will be sent soon

Policy Instrument: feed the iOLF dedicated part, even if you have nothing to report. **: live check**

new P.I. as Catalonia did

Last call for indicators

"Number of people with increased professional capacity":
        where we are; TO DOs.

                PP01 5

                PP08 5

                Declared in the Mid-term report: 5 from PP05; 4 from PP04

"Good practices indicator"
        where we are; TO DOs.

NOW… It's time for money

NOW…   It's time for money

Exchange of experiences and Management Semester 3 and 4

Semester 3

1 Synoptic Report
1 ERDF transfer to the PPs
8 Stakeholder's meeting

Semester 4

8 Reports Policy instrument
1 Synoptic Report
1 ERDF transfer to the PPs
1 IE event attended
16 Stakeholder's meeting

Exchange of experiences and Management Semester 5

Semester 5

8 "Report" of regional stakeholder meetings;

1 "Synoptic Report";

8 "Action plan"

1 minute of the Steering Group meeting

1 detailed work-plan

1 progress report

1 ERDF transfer to the PPs

1 Phase 2 workplan

8 events in partners' regions

8 Stakeholder's group meeting

1 Policy learning platform event attended

1 final publication (both electronic and paper, 3000 copies)

1 abstract of the final publication (electronic, in English + partners languages)

3 paper final publication in Catalan, Polish and Italian

PP 06

Issues:

      the delays;
      Carlos left;
      the region – managing authority: how are you trying to involve it;
      the SHs' event.

The new members of team

NOW… **It's time for COMMUNICATION**

NOW…   **It's time for COMMUNICATION**

Voici Florence

Next CircE meetings

8 SHs Group meeting Semester 5:

What about you?

Sofia: when?

Brussels: October – the JS agrees – Justify it

Dissemination Regional SHs events:

What about you?

Next CircE meetings

Dissemination Regional SHs events:

       CD2E proposal

Participation of partners

JS:
- **organising an event at the occasion of the green fashion week is a good opportunity to disseminate the progress made.**
- **a dissemination event makes sense only if there are results to be disseminated, namely an action plan to be presented. At least a draft of the action plan, discussed with the managing authority, will have to be ready.**
- **the involvement of the managing authority has to be ensured in the event.**
- **we strongly recommend that those external participants are the one coming from regions where good practices identified inspired the action plan prepared by partner 6.**
- **finally, we would like to receive the agenda as soon as it is ready. As the event is not far from the JS premise, we may consider going if this fits with our agendas.**

In the end: Semester 5 Work plan

I will share it in the coming weeks

Follow the one approved

Events held

Do you have any?

Other coming events

Brussels Interreg Europe "Europe, let's cooperate" event, on April 10 and 11

Do you have any?