



Biomarker Commercialization Review Tool

Authors:
Piia von Lode and Valérie Daussin







IMPRINT

BIOMARKER COMMERCIALIZATION (BIC) REVIEW TOOL

Designed to improve communication

The BIC Review Tool is a comprehensive checklist for selection of the most promising invention for further commercialization of IVD-applicable biomarkers.

The BIC Review Tool allows an "inventors interview"-type of approach, in addition to being a checklist for Technology Transfer Offices and Researchers. It is designed to improve communication between the stakeholders involved in the commercialization process and to facilitate collaboration. The tool is therefore in a completely different format than the Biomarker Commercialization (BIC) Guide. All introductions and explanations are missing by intention since they can already be found in the BIC Guide, Best & Pitfall Practices handbook or the Regulatory Guide.

LEAD PARTNER

Ideklinikken - Aalborg University Hospital The North Denmark Region Hobrovej 18-22 9100 Aalborg Denmark

AUTHORS

Piia von Lode, Ph. D., University of Turku Valérie Daussin, LL.M., Aalborg University Hospital

WITH THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE ENTIRE BIC CONSORTIUM

Picture used from Colourbox with permission.

For more information: biomarker.nu







nventors (name, affiliation):	
nventors' contact person and contact information:	
nventor disclosure/report code and topic (where applicable):	
Number of biomarkers:	
Name(s), synonym(s), acronym(s) and all different codes of the biomarker(s) at the different molecular levels:	
Disease/condition under investigation:	
Type of disease (e.g. infectious, congenital, cancer, occupational):	
Potentially applicable molecular forms for the current test:	
DNA	
mRNA	
Modification of polynucleotide (e.g. post-transcriptional modification):	
Protein	
Modification of protein (e.g. glycovariant):	
Metabolite	
Other, which:	
DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANNED IN VITRO DIAGNOSTIC (IVD) TEST	
Test type	
Diagnostic	
Prognostic	
Predictive, for which therapy:	
Screening	
Risk/susceptibility	
Companion diagnostics, for which drug:	
Non-IVD applicable marker, specify type of use:	
Other:	
Intended use/purpose of the test:	

SIGNIFICANT, DOCUMENTED CLINICAL NEED
Which important clinical question the test result answers:
Who is the intended end user:
Does the important clinical question come from the intended end users and is it confirmed by them:
Yes, documented contact(s):
Additional literature referral(s):
No
How the test result expectedly affects the treatment or the outcome of the patient:
STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT & CLINICALLY MEANINGFUL RESULTS ESTABLISHED
Clinical study type
Clinical study type Paired case-control study,
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) = Cohort study, n (controls) =
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) =
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) = Cohort study, n (controls) =
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) = Cohort study, n (controls) = n (cases) = Statistically significant (p < 0.05) Yes, p =
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) = Cohort study, n (controls) = n (cases) = Statistically significant (p < 0.05)
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) = Cohort study, n (controls) = n (cases) = Statistically significant (p < 0.05) Yes, p =
Clinical study type Paired case-control study, n (pairs) = Cohort study, n (controls) = n (cases) = Statistically significant (p < 0.05) Yes, p = No

PRACTICAL ROUTINE ASSAY FEASIBLE Is the clinical concentration of the biomarker high enough to be measured by a practical routine assays? Yes, conc. range: _ No Which practical assay platform you envision for the final product: Which specimen types are feasible: Non-invasive matrices (e.g. blood, urine): Invasive matrices (e.g. CSF, biopsy):_____ Invasive specimen is routinely taken regardless: Yes No, but performing the test requires one Is the biomarker stable in the (stored) clinical specimens? Yes, results of preliminary stability studies (e.g. freeze-thaw cycles, recovery-% etc.): Specimen type and storage conditions: No, not tested No, specify: ____ Is the biomarker present in fresh specimens from healthy people: No Does the test involve complex result interpretation? Yes, multiplex biomarker panel Yes, multiple molecular types of biomarkers Yes, personalized decision tree or sequential multi-parameter testing No BIOMARKER OR ITS CORRELATION TO DISEASE IS A NOVEL FINDING Is/are the biomarker(s) previously known in the literature? Yes, in the same indication (which biomarker(s)): Yes, in another indication, (which biomarker(s), which indication): If Yes, are they routinely measured in clinical settings (how and in what conc. range)?

2 Biomarker verification and preliminary scientific validation studies

TRL-2 PROOF OF PRINCIPLE STUDIES

No

CLINICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS ESTABLISHED	
CENTICALET STORM TEATTY RESULTS ESTABLISHED	
Description of the clinical cohort used in verification studies:	
cases:	
Exclusion criteria:	
(n) of controls =	
Specimen matrix =	
Is the original observation repeated with increased sample size:	
Yes, p =	_
No, p =	_
Difference in affected vs. healthy people, effect size, and 95 % CI:	_
RR, OR or HR (where applicable):	_
Is the magnitude of the observed difference of practical importance, i.e. clinically significant: Yes, how:	
No	
Have the findings been confirmed at other sites? Yes:	_
No, could not be confirmed	
SCIENTIFIC VALIDITY: ASSOCIATION AND SPECIFICITY TO DISEASE CONFIRMED	
Is the underlying biological pathway linking the biomarker to the disease known or under investigation: Yes: Shared molecular pathology (e.g. cellular stress) across diseases can be excluded because:	

POSITIVE DOCUMENTED FEEDBACK FROM CLINICIANS / CLINICAL LABORATORIES

What is the significant clinical question (i.e. the need) the test answers: Is the magnitude of the observed difference of practical importance, i.e. clinically significant: Yes, documented feedback: __ Additional literature referrals: No LARGE TARGET POPULATION IDENTIFIED Prevalence of the disease/condition in (different) population(s): Who are to be tested (mark all): **Entire population** Specific subgroup of the population, which: Entire affected population (with already established diagnosis) Specific population of the sick, which: _ Share amongst all sick: _____ % Newborn / children / teenagers (select) Adults with age range of:_____ Elderly Female Male Specific ethnicities: _____ Specific timing or other trigger: Contraindications for testing (which, when): Estimated yearly number of cases and tests for the above target group Locally: ___ Internationally (specify): _____ Estimated price of test: _____€ Estimated maximum yearly size of the specified market (cases x €) = _____ € Is the market potential significant (profits >> patenting costs?) Yes No

QUANTITATIVE ASSAY TECHNOLOGIES USED Assay technology(ies) used in the verification studies: Are the used assay technologies: quantitative target-specific high-throughput / up-scalable automatable currently used in routine sensitive (clinical concentrations fall within the linear measuring range) No, another assay technology to be used in prototype assay for proof-of-concept studies, which: Have plans and specifications been made for the prototype assay: Yes: Reagent plan Instrument plan Technical specifications No, reason:_ Are specialized instrumentation or chemically modified reagents required in the prototype assay? Yes which and why:__ No BIOMARKER OR ITS CORRELATION TO DISEASE IS INVENTIVE AND PATENTABLE Internal novelty and patentability survey performed: External novelty and patentability survey performed: Previous publications by inventors (incl. abstracts, posters and presentations) reviewed: Yes: invention not previously published by the team Yes: invention published and non-patentable No The invention is novel Yes, the novel features concern: Singular biomarker(s) Panel of biomarkers Method of detection Other (please specify): _ No Is comprehensive patent protection yet achievable? Yes, target of protection: Computer algorithm Sequences of binders Method of producing (instead of product) Other (please specify): _ No Is the use of the method evident in the final product? Yes, how: _ No The invention is inventive:

Yes, the non-obvious, surprising features concern:

No

3 Development of a specific biomarker assay (prototype)

TRL-3 PROOF OF CONCEPT ASSAY ESTABLISHED

GOOD PRELIMINARY CLINICAL PERFORMANCE
Good preliminary clinical performance
o Description of clinical specimens used in setting up the prototype assay:
Specimen matrix:
Specimen preparation:
Selection criteria and (n) of cases:
Selection criteria and (n) of controls:
Results obtained with prototype correlate well with the original observations: Preliminary cut-off concentration used: Preliminary diagnostic sensitivity: Preliminary diagnostic specificity: Other applicable measures:
Stability of the biomarker in the matrixes studied: RT:
Freeze-thaw cycles:
Recovery-%:

COMPETITIVE ADVANTAG	SE ACHIEVED
Clear purpose and need f	or the test has been established and verified by end-users in writing:
	changed:
List all competing metho	ds (commercial or under development)
	et molecule (also when different molecular class):
_	t molecule:
	based test or imaging approach:
Advances of the new test	over the competing methods:
Increased accurac	ту
Earlier diagnoses	
Earlier therapeuti	c actions
Improved conven	ience
Decreased number	er of tests of procedures
	to other reasons:
	ods do not exist, reason:
Are the results of the new	test comparable to the golden standard (or equivalent) approach:
Yes, method teste	d against and correlation:
No, not tested be	cause:
RISK MANAGEMENT	
RISK MANAGEMENT	
CLINICAL	per each true positive (100/PPV):
CLINICAL Number of false positives	s per each true positive (100/PPV):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possik	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possib Yes:	
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possik	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possib Yes: No	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possib Yes: No Number of false negative	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics poss	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics poss	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possible Yes: No	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possible Yes: No	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possible Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patic	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possible Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patie	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possible Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patic	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possibly Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possibly Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patient Yes No	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possibly Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possibly Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patient Yes No	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations): ents allows commercial use (incl. patenting) of results:
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possible Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possible Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patien Yes No MTA's made allow comment	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations): ents allows commercial use (incl. patenting) of results:
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possibly Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possive Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of pation Yes No MTA's made allow comment Yes No	ole? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note invasive testing or therapy steps): s per each true negative (100/NPV): sible? If yes, what are the consequences to a patient (especially note life-threatening situations): ents allows commercial use (incl. patenting) of results:
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possibly Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possibly Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patient Yes No MTA's made allow comment Yes No PR AND FTO	sper each true negative (100/NPV):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possibly Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possibly Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patient Yes No MTA's made allow comment Yes No PR AND FTO Sufficient IP rights and FT	sper each true negative (100/NPV):
CLINICAL Number of false positives Is over-diagnostics possibly Yes: No Number of false negative Is under-diagnostics possibly Yes: No LEGAL Informed consent of patient Yes No MTA's made allow comment Yes No PR AND FTO Sufficient IP rights and FT Yes	sper each true negative (100/NPV):

SPECIFIC AND PRACTICAL PROTOTYPE (PROOF-OF-CONCEPT ASSAY) ESTABLISHED

Limit of quantification:	
Linear measuring range:	
Clinical concentrations fall within linear measuring range?	
Yes:	
No:	
Hook-effect controlled?	
Yes:	
No:acticability characteristics conform to predefined specifications:	
Specimens accepted:	
Required skills and labor in specimen preparation:	
Low, steps:	
High, steps:	
Pre-analytical requirements for specimens (collection, processing, stora	
Exclusion criteria:	
Total turn-around-time of assay	
eliability characteristics conform to predefined specifications:	
Trueness of measurement (bias):	
Precision of measurement (repeatability, reproducibility)	
Recovery in spiked specimens:	
Interferences detected:	
No	
No Yes:	
Yes:	
Yes:	
Yes:EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO)	
Yes:EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m	
Yes:EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m Yes No, commercial license for following components required:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes: EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m Yes No, commercial license for following components required: No, only singular vendors exist for following components:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes: EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m Yes No, commercial license for following components required: No, only singular vendors exist for following components: No, following components are available only low-scale (or high-cost):	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes: EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m Yes No, commercial license for following components required: No, only singular vendors exist for following components:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes: EDOM-TO-OPERATE (FTO) n-house or IPR-free, multi-vendor commercial key components are available/can be m Yes No, commercial license for following components required: No, only singular vendors exist for following components: No, following components are available only low-scale (or high-cost):	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Pes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:
Yes:	nade available economically at sufficient scale:

DECISION ON PATENTING:

Yes, patenting to be initiated

No

Can alternative commercialization routes be considered:

4 Clinical performance of the prototype in laboratory settings

TRL-4 PROOF OF CONCEPT STUDIES WITH PROTOTYPE ASSAY

NICAL PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES MET
Description of the clinical cohort used in proof-of-concept studies:
Specimen matrix:
Selection criteria and (n) of cases:
Exclusion criteria:
(n) of controls =
Are the specimens representative of the target population?
Yes, minimal specimen picking
No, describe the picking criteria:
Results obtained with prototype correlate well with the condition:
AUC (in ROC analysis):
Suggested cut-off value:
Diagnostic sensitivity: %
Diagnostic specificity: %
Negative predictive value (NPV):
Positive predictive value (PPV):
Other applicable measures, e.g. relative risk ratio, hazard ratio, etc.:

POSITIONING IN CLINICAL CARE PATHWAY CONFIRMED Clear purpose and need for the test verified by end-users in writing: No, feedback obtained: Expected positioning in the clinical care pathway: Stand-alone test (preceding or confirmatory tests not required) Add-on test; to be incorporated in existing testing sequence Preceded by following test(s): Performed simultaneously with following test(s): Replaces an existing test of: Improves an existing test of:_____ Improvements achieved: Intended use settings: Clinical laboratory Near-patient testing Emergency (acute testing) Infectious disease Chronic disease Other, specify: _____ Self-testing

How the	e test improves the patient outcome:
	e test improves the cost-efficiency of the clinical care pathway:

Improved diagnostic accuracy

Decreased need of (more) expensive tests or therapies

EVIDENCE OF HEALTH BENEFITS AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS

Other:

Does not decrease costs

PATENTING IN PROGRESS

riority year development plan followed:
Yes, milestones met and new experimental evidence established:
No, alternative plan followed:
No, new evidence not established, or it does not support the patent application:
atenting with sufficient scope of patent protection seems likely based on Office Actions received Yes
No, specify hindrances identified:
PR covers all self-made changes and improvements:
Yes
No, specify:
ontinuing active contribution of inventors available
Yes
No
ased on above, proceeding to international PCT phase is feasible:
Yes
No

TRL-5 CONFIGURATION TO INDUSTRIAL APPLICATION (BETA PROTOTYPE)

TRL-6 TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATED IN RELEVANT ENVIRONMENT

COMMERCIAL VIABILITY

FURTHER CLINICAL EVIDENCE ACCUMULATED IN COLLABORATION WITH CLINICIANS / INDUSTRY	
Description of studies conducted:	
Cohort study:	
Retrospective randomized trial:	_
Prospective randomized trial:	_
Clinical concentrations and reference ranges	
• Healthy persons:	
Affected persons:	
Are all clinical concentrations detectable by the beta prototype:	
Yes	
No, specify:	
Within-subject variation:	
Yes:	
No	
Between-subject variation:	
Yes:	
No	
Endogeneous and/or exogeneous interference encountered:	
Yes:	
No	
Cross-reacting substances:	
Yes:	
No	
What kind of feedback is obtained from the intended end users (including modifications suggested):	
FURTHER KNOWLEDGE OF BIOMARKER BIOLOGY	
Are following factors of the biomarker known:	
Where it is expressed (e.g. intracellular, intra-nuclear, excreted):	
When and how long it is expressed:	
What molecular classes can be detected, which one is preferred:	
How many different manifestations of the molecule exists (in selected molecular class):	
How stable are the molecular forms in the circulation:	
How the fasting state, circadian rhythm, age, gender or ethnicity affects the results:	
Other, specify:	_

CLINICAL NEED

ASSESSMENT OF BUSINESS MODELS

Considerations for establishing a start-up (select applicable):

High degree of readiness, reasonable amount of research and product development required

Large size and high growth rate of market; high market share can be obtained

Low competition, few alternative solutions in sight

Wide scope of patent protection achieved further prevents competition

Motivated team with entrepreneurial mindset

Sound business plan, realistic funding options available

FEASIBILITY & IPR

BETA PROTOTYPE ESTABLISHED

Documented specifications, optimizations and measured performance:

- Analytical performanc
- Clinical performance