

# **TESTING PROTOCOL**

# **ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Version 2.0

Date: 18-10-2018

2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.3 Test of transnational assessment methods and indicators
Deliverable: 3.3.2 – Pilot Testing Result – PP5 Government of Catalonia

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D







### INDEX

URE	BAN SCALE ASSESSMENT	5
1.		5
2.	PREPARATION	9
a.	SNTool structure	9
b.	SNTool criteria selection rationale	. 11
C.	SNTool weights rationale	. 13
d.	SNTool benchmarks rationale	. 17
e.	SNTool Criteria Specifications	. 21
3.	DIAGNOSIS	. 26
a.	Performance scores	. 26
b.	Key Performance Indicators value	. 28
c.	SWOT analysis	. 29
4.	STRATEGIC DEFINITION	. 30
a.	Performance targets	. 30
b.	Constraints and restrictions	. 35
5.	DECISION MAKING	. 36
a.	Description of scenarios	. 36
b.	Scenarios raking	. 37
i.	Performance Scores	. 37
ii.	Key Performance Indicators	. 37
iii.	Financing mechanisms evaluation	. 38
6.	RETROFIT CONCEPT	. 39
BUI	LDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 1	. 40
1.	INITIATION	. 40
2.	PREPARATION	. 41
a.	SBTool structure	. 41
b.	SBTool criteria selection rationale	. 43
C.	SBTool weights rationale	. 45
d.	SBTool benchmarks rationale	. 49
e.	SBTool Criteria Specifications	. 52





3.	DIAGNOSIS	
a.	Performance scores	
b.	Key Performance Indicators value	
C.	Actual performance analysis	
4.	STRATEGIC DEFINITION	
a.	Performance targets	
b.	Constraints and restrictions	
C.	Potential strategies at building scale	
5.	DECISION MAKING	
a.	Description of scenarios	
b.	Scenarios raking	
i.	Performance Scores	
ii.	Key Performance Indicators	
iii.	Financing mechanisms evaluation	
iv.	Synergies at building level	
6.	RETROFIT CONCEPT	
BU	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2	jError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION	jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido.
BU 1. 2.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION	jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido.
BU 1. 2. a.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure	jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale	jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido. jError! Marcador no definido.
BU 1. 2. a. b. c.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BU 1. 2. a. b. c. d.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BU 1. 2. a. b. c. d. e.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. d. e. 3.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. d. e. 3. a.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS Performance scores	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. d. e. 3. a. b.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS Performance scores Key Performance Indicators value	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. 3. a. b. c.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS Performance scores Key Performance Indicators value Actual performance analysis	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. 3. a. b. c. 4.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS Performance scores Key Performance Indicators value Actual performance analysis STRATEGIC DEFINITION	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. 3. a. b. c. 4. a.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS Performance scores Key Performance Indicators value Actual performance analysis STRATEGIC DEFINITION Performance targets	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.
BUI 1. 2. a. b. c. d. a. b. c. 4. a. b.	ILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2 INITIATION PREPARATION SBTool structure SBTool criteria selection rationale. SBTool weights rationale SBTool benchmarks rationale. SBTool benchmarks rationale. SBTool Criteria Specifications DIAGNOSIS. Performance scores Key Performance Indicators value Actual performance analysis STRATEGIC DEFINITION. Performance targets Constraints and restrictions.	iError! Marcador no definido. iError! Marcador no definido.





5.	DECISION MAKING	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
a.	Description of scenarios	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
b.	Scenarios raking	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
i.	Performance Scores	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
ii.	Key Performance Indicators	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
iii.	Financing mechanisms evaluation	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
iv.	Synergies at building level	¡Error! Marcador no definido.
6.	RETROFIT CONCEPT	¡Error! Marcador no definido.





# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# 1. INITIATION

General informatio	n on the selected urban area
City	Barcelona <b>Experimental area:</b> Illa Eficient
Brief description	Barcelona is located on the northeast coast of the Iberian Peninsula, facing the Mediterranean Sea, on a plain approximately 5 km wide limited by the mountain range of Collserola, the Llobregat river to the southwest and the Besòs river to the north. This plain covers an area of 170 km <sup>2</sup> of which 102 km <sup>2</sup> are occupied by the city itself. It is 120 kilometres south of the Pyrenees and the Catalan border with France. <b>Experimental area</b> is delimited by the streets Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, Calàbria, Diputació and Viladomat. This block belongs the Eixample district.
Size (ha)	City area of Barcelona is 102,15 km <sup>2</sup> Experimental area: 1,3ha
Residential population	Barcelona has a population of 1.61 million. In the catchment area of the city live about 3.24 million people (2017). Population density is approx. 15,748 inhabitants / km². The city district with the largest area (21.50 km²) is Sants-Montjuïc, the district with the largest population is Eixample with 263,000 inhabitants <b>Experimental area</b> : 766 residents
Average building density (total m2/land surface m2)	<b>Experimental area</b> : the building density is 0,637 (building surface / land surface)
Plan of the urban area	





A CLASSING













Description of the adjacent areas	The Eixample was designed as an extension of the historic old town of Barcelona as the city's population swelled in the 19th century. Urban planners needed to find a solution to the housing crisis and resulting sanitary risks, and so conceived of this grid-pattern neighborhood of modern houses, which would connect with the then-independent villages Sarrià and Gràcia. As a result, many of the houses in the Eixample date back to the 19th and early 20th century, when the architectural movement known as Catalan Modernism was in full swing. Leading Modernists such as Antoni Gaudí, Lluis Domenech i Montaner, and Josep Puig i Cadafalch all have buildings in the Eixample. The wide roads of the Eixample are lined with restaurants, bars, and shops, which are mostly frequented by local residents. However, as the Eixample is such a large district there are distinct neighborhoods or areas within it. The experimental area, Illa Eficient, is found at east of the district.
Due a carto a como o acida in	The predeminent use of the block is the residential and in buildings of E or C
Property ownership	floors, with 2 or 4 flats in each floor, and with tertiary use in the ground floor. There are 27 urban estates: 22 are residential, 1 is an office, 1 hotel, 1 Public Administration building, and 2 municipal health's buildings.
Social and economic	Inhabitants are living on the block, they correspond worker class
context	The action proposes the energy and comfort conditions improving without modify the social class of the neighborhood.
Legal /administrative	The experimental area belongs the neighborhood Nova Esquerra Eixample, which
boundary lines	is part of the Eixample District of Barcelona.
	Not administrative boundary lines exist due to all neighborhoods and districts follow the same administrative rules of Barcelona city.
Energy supply	The city of Barcelona has covered the supply of water, gas and electricity in all
infrastructure	areas.
Relevance of the	Not relevant
surroundina	
infrastructures	
Reference	Not relevant
stakeholders in	
retrofit process	
Other significant	Not relevant
	NULTERVALL
information	







# 2. **PREPARATION**

### a. SNTool structure

In this section it is described the structure of your SNTool.

Please, enter here the list of the criteria selected from the CESBA MED Generic Framework ay Urban scale. Please remember that KPIs are mandatory.

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1	Urban Structure and Form
A1.2	Urban compactness.
A1.4	Residential density.
A1.7	Conservation of Land.

B- ECONOMY	
B1	Economic Structure and Value
B1.1	Affordability of housing property
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental.
B2	Economic activity
B2.5	Economic contribution from tourism activity.
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.2	Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments.
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.
C1.10	Primary energy for heating - residential buildings.
C1.12	Primary energy for cooling - residential buildings.
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting.
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS		
D1	Atmospheric emissions	
D1.2	GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	







and the set of the second and

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater.	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population.	
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.	

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	
F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes	
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability.	
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility.	
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density.	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS		
G1	Safety and Accessibility	
G1.4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.	
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service.	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	
G4	Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services.	
G6	Management and community involvement	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities.	





# b. SNTool criteria selection rationale

In this section PPs must motivate the selection of the criteria that have been included in the SNTool. Why the criterion has been included? The reason could depend on regional policies, targets, specific characteristics of the territory (i.e. touristic area, agricultural area, etc....).

### **A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.2 A1.4	Urban compactness Residential density	Assess the current use of the land Assess the population density in the area to avoid great density zones
A1.7	Conservation of Land	Mandatory KPI

B- EC	ONOMY	
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Affordability of housing property	Assess the ratio between the income of the residents and the properties price to avoid an increase price
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental	Assess the ratio between the income of the residents and the rental price to avoid an increase price
B2.5	Economic contribution from tourism activity.	Assess the income from tourism activity in the area
B3.2	Public contribution in residential retrofitting	Assess the public investment
investm	nents.	
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.	Mandatory KPI

### **C- ENERGY**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	Mandatory KPI
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.	Mandatory KPI
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Mandatory KPI
C1.10 Primary energy for heating - residential buildings.	Assess the ratio of the thermal consumption for heating in residential buildings
C1.12 Primary energy for cooling - residential buildings.	Assess the ratio of the electric consumption for heating in residential buildings
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting.	Assess the energy consumption of the public lighting systems and the ratio between energy consumption and resident
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Mandatory KPI
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Mandatory KPI
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.	Mandatory KPI

the second of th





### **D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

CRITERION

D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.

### **E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

### CRITERION

E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population.

E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.

E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points.

REASON/MOTIVATION Mandatory KPI

REASON/MOTIVATION Mandatory KPI

Mandatory KPI

Assess the availability of the recycling collection points for the residents in the area

### **F- ENVIRONMENT**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.3 paving	Recharge of groundwater through permeable or landscaping.	Mandatory KPI
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates	Mandatory KPI
	Ambient deutime peice conditione	Access the day name lovel in the area to avoid
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	Assess the day holse level in the area to avoid
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	Assess the night hoise level in the area
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability.	Assess the availability of green zones
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility.	Assess the accessibility of green zones
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density.	Assess the ratio between the green areas and the gross area

### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4 physica	Ease of access to and use of public transport for ally disabled persons.	Assess the reduction and elimination the architectonic barriers to access the public transport
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service.	Mandatory KPI
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Mandatory KPI
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human	Mandatory KPI
service	es.	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning	Mandatory KPI
activitie	55.	







# c. SNTool weights rationale

In this section PPs must motivate the value of weights assigned to issues, categories and criteria. Why the weight of a particular issue or criterion is higher (or lower)? Weights should reflect the regional political priorities.

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	This is an existing neighborhood and therefore there is not much scope of action to influence behavior improvement (for the case of Barcelona). Consultation with Local Committee Members
B- ECONOMY	2	Economically unsustainable cities. This point is important to improve it. Consultation with Local Committee Members
C- ENERGY	3	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	It is the environmental consequence of issue C. This axis closely linked to the axis C, with the same impact and possibilities of action (Climate and energy framework 2030). It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	1	It is a consolidated neighborhood where there is little opportunity to promote the use of sustainable materials in new construction. What may have more weight of this axis is the use of drinking water, that it is a limited resource, to guarantee the minimum possible consumption. Consultation with Local Committee Members
F- ENVIRONMENT	3	It is very important due to directly affect the health of people. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	2	It is not considered priority, although it is important because it takes into consideration the relationship of people with that studied area. Consultation with Local Committee Members





### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

Note: the categories weight results automatically from the criteria level

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	100
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	0
TOTAL	100
B1- Economic Structure and Value	40
B2- Economic activity	20
B3- Cost and Investment	40
TOTAL	100
C1- Non-renewable energy	66,67
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	33,33
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0
TOTAL	100
D1- Atmospheric emissions	100
TOTAL	100
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	66,67
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	33,33
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	0
	100
F1- Environmental impacts	14,29
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	42,86
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	42,86
	100
G1- Safety and Accessibility	20
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	40
G3- Communication services	0
G4- Public and private facilities and services	20
G5- Local Food	0
G6- Management and community involvement	20
G7- Society, Culture and Hemage	U
	100
	100

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS									
A1- Urban Structure and Form									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
A1.2	1,94	3	2	4	1	Confirmed			
A1.4	1,94	3	2	4	1	Confirmed			
A1.7	2,58	2	4	4	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
TOTAL	6,46								







### **B- ECONOMY**

B1- Economic Structure and Value									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B1.1	2,91	3	2	3	1	Confirmed			
B1.2	1,94	3	2	2	1	Confirmed			
B2- Economic activity									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B2.5	1,29	2	2	2	1	Confirmed			
B3- Cost and Investment									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B3.2	1,94	3	2	2	1	Confirmed			
B3.3	0,97	3	2	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
TOTAL	9.05								

C- ENERGY											
C1- Non-Renewable energy											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
C1.1	1,45%	2	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members					
C1.4	2,18%	3	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members					
C1.7	1,45%	2	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members					
C1.10	2,42%	3	5	2	1	Confirmed					
C1.12	2,42%	3	5	2	1	Confirmed					
C1.20	0,81%	1	5	2	1	Confirmed					
C2- Renewable and	d Decarbon	ised	energ	У							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
C2.1	4,36	2	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members					
C2.4	2,91	2	2	3	1	Confirmed					
C2.7	8,72	3	4	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members					
TOTAL	26,74										

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS										
D1- Atmospheric emissions										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
D1.2	7,3	2	5	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members				
TOTAL	7,27									

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES										
E1- Potable water, storm water and greywater										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
E1.6	0,97	3	4	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members				
E1.7	0,97	3	4	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members				
E2- Solid and liquid wastes										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
E2.1	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed				
TOTAL	7,27									







## **F-ENVIRONMENT**

F1- Environmental impacts						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.3	5,82	2	4	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members
F2-						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
F2.3	10,90	3	3	5	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members
F2.10	4,36	3	2	3	1	Confirmed
F2.11	4,36	3	2	3	1	Confirmed
F3-						
CRITERION	$W_{aight}(0/)$	В	6	<b>D</b>	1 6	
	weight (%)	D	U.	U	L.F.	
F3.1	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed
F3.2	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed
F3.3	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed
TOTAL	31,26					

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS							
G1- Safety and Acc	G1- Safety and Accessibility						
CRITERION G1.4	Weight (%) 1,94	<b>В</b> 2	<b>C</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed	
G2- Traffic and mobility services							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G2.1	1,94	3	3	2	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members	
G2.4	5,82	3	4	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members	
G4- Public and priv	vate facilitie	es an	d ser	vices			
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G4.2	5,17	2	4	4	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members	
G6- Management and community involvement							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G6.3	0,48	1	3	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members	
TOTAL	15,35						





## d. SNTool benchmarks rationale

In this section PPs must motivate the value of benchmarks assigned to the different criteria for score zero (minimum acceptable performance) and for score 5 (excellent and ideal performance). The value of indicators corresponding to score zero is usually depends on regulations, standards or a typical performance in the region. Please keep in mind that score 3 represents a best practice performance. Score 5 is an excellent performance.

A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
			0: 10	Minimum value		
A1.2	Urban compactness	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	5: 40	Increase the urban compactness in the area according to the Urban planning		
A1.4	Residential density		0: 125			
		pp/ha	5: 350	Reduce the residential density in the area according to the Urban planning		
			0:4	Minimum value desired		
A1.7	Conservation of Land	%	5: 15	Increase the green zones in the area according to the Urban planning		

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
D1 1	Affordability of housing	0/	0: 30	
51.1	property	70	5: 20	Maximum value desired
<b>P1</b> 2	Affordability of housing	0/	0: 30	
<b>D1.2</b> <i>r</i> e	rental.	%	5: 20	Maximum value desired
B2.5	Economic contribution from tourism activity.	Elropidant	0: 250	
		Tesideni	5: 1000	
<b>B</b> 2.0	Public contribution in		0: 40	
D3.2	investments.	%	5: 25	
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.	€/m²/y	0: 20	Maximum value desired
			5: 10	Passive or NZEB Building

the second s





C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
	Total final thermal	. 2.	0: 75	Maximum value desired
C1.1	energy consumption for building operations.	kWh/m²/y	5: 20	Passive or NZEB Building
o., ,	Total final electrical	kWh/m²/y	0: 70	Maximum value desired
C1.4	energy consumption for building operations.		5: 20	Passive or NZEB Building
C4 7	Total primary energy	kWh/m²/y	0: 225	Maximum value desired
C1.7	operations.		5: 70	Minimum value desired
04.40	Primary energy for	<b>0</b> (	0: 100	Local minimum value
C1.10	heating - residential buildings.	%	5: 70	Value desired
C1.12	Primary energy for cooling - residential buildings.	%	0: 100	Local minimum value
			5: 60	Value desired
C1 20	Energy consumption of public lighting.	k\\/n/nn	0: 50	Current index
01.20			5: 20	Value desired
	Share of renewable		0: 25	Value desired
C2.1	final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	%	5: 90	Passive or NZEB Building
	Share of renewable		0: 30	Value desired
C2.4	energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	%	5: 80	Passive or NZEB Building
	Share of electric energy		0: 15	Value desired
C2.7	generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.	%	5: 75	Passive or NZEB Building

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
D1.2 G pu pu op	GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq /m²/y	0: 30	Current value		
			5: 10	Passive or NZEB Building		





### **E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
54.0	Consumption of potable	m <sup>3</sup>	0: 150	Average regional value
E1.6	water for residential population.	/occupant/ year	5: 40	Maximum value desired
E1.7	Consumption of potable	$m^3/m^2$	0: 15	Average regional value
	water for non-residential building systems.		5: 5	Maximum value desired
	Solid waste and		0: 75	
E2.1	recycling collection points.	%	5: 95	Value desired

F- ENVIRONMENT						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
	Recharge of		0: 20	Minimum value desired		
F1.3	groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	%	5: 70	Maximum value desired		
	Ambient air quality with		0: 15	Maximum value desired		
F2.3	respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	days/year	5: 11	Minimum value desired		
E2 10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	%	0: 30	Maximum value desired		
12.10			5: 10	Minimum value desired		
E9 11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	%	0: 20	Maximum value desired		
12.11			5: 5	Minimum value desired		
	Green zones &	2	0: 5	Minimum value desired		
F3.1	recreation areas availability.	m⁴/inh	5: 50	Maximum value desired		
<b>F</b> 0.0	Green zones &		0: 500	Minimum value desired		
F3.2	recreation areas accessibility.	m	5: 100	Maximum value desired		
	Green zones &		0: 20	Minimum value desired		
F3.3	recreation areas density.	%	5: 50	Maximum value desired		





the second s

G-SOCIAL ASPECTS	5			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
	Ease of access to and		0: 60	
G1.4 fc	for physically disabled persons.	%	5: 100	Current value
G2.1	Performance of the	0/	0: 30	
	public transport service.	%	5: 100	Current value
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	m / 100	0: 20	
		innabitant s	5: 80	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services.		0: 30	
		%	5: 80	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities.		0: 0	Degrees of tokenism: Information / Consultation / Placation (in the Arnstein ladder).
		Level	5:5	Degrees of citizen power: Partnership, delegated power and citizen power (in the Arnstein ladder), at every stages.





# e. SNTool Criteria Specifications

In this section PPs must indicate for each selected criterion:

- Information source: The source of the data/information that will be used to characterize the value of the indicator. Example: monitored data, measured data, statistic data, models and simulation, studies, data banks, etc.
- Assessment method: Short and concise description of the assessment method used to verify the value of indicators. Example: calculation steps, data analysis process, monitoring procedure, content of a study, use of statistic data, etc.
- Standards: technical documents taken as reference for the assessment method.

### A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
	Urban compactnes	Information source	Master Plan and Cadastre		
A1.2		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Urban planning		
A1.4	Residential density.	Information source	Master Plan and Cadastre		
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Urban planning		
A1.7	Conservation of Land.	Information source	Master Plan and Cadastre		
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Urban planning		

B- ECONOMY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	TIONS		
		Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council		
B1.1	Affordability of housing property	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Report ERD 2016 of Barcelona council Report 76 of Barcelona council		
B1.2	Affordability of boursing	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council		
	rental.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Report ERD 2016 of Barcelona council Report 76 of Barcelona council		







and the second and the second and

	Economic contribution from tourism activity. Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
B2.5		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
		Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
B3.2		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.	Information source	Bills
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
	Total final thermal	Information source	Master plan (surveys) and bills	
C1.1	energy consumption for building operations.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
	Total final electrical	Information source	Master plan (surveys) and bills	
C1.4	energy consumption for building operations	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
	0,	Standard	NA	
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Information source	Master plan (surveys) and bills	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	IDEA, coefficients of primary energy passage of different final energy sources consumed	
	Primary energy for	Information source	Master plan	
C1.10	heating - residential buildings	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
	J.	Standard	NA	
C1.12	Primary energy for cooling - residential buildings.	Information source	Master plan	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
	-	Standard	NA	





C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations
	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total	Information source	Master plan and bills
C2.1	final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Information source	Master plan and bills
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.	Information source	Master plan and bills
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
D1.2	GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	Information source	Master plan and bills
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Factor GHG Emissions by Government of Catalonia

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population.	Information source	Master plan (surveys)	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	NA	







E1.7	Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	Information source	Master plan (surveys) and bills
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.	Information source	Master plan
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
	Recharge of	Information source	Google earths images	
F1.3	permeable paving or	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
	landscaping.	Standard	NA	
	Ambient air quality with	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council	
F2.3	<10 mu (PM10) over a	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
	one-year period.	Standard	Municipal regulations	
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	Municipal regulations	
	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council	
F2.11		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	Municipal regulations	
	Green zones & recreation areas availability.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council and Google earth images	
F3.1		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	Municipal regulations	
	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council and Google earth images	
F3.2		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	Municipal regulations	







A FIRE STATE

F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density.	Information source Assessment method	Statistical data from Barcelona council and Google earth images According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations

G-	SO	CIAL	ASP	ECTS

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
	Ease of access to and	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
G1.4	for physically disabled	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	persons.	Standard	Mobility plan
	Performance of the	Information source	Google maps
G2.1	public transport service.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
G2.4		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Mobility plan
	Availability and proximity of key public human services.	Information source	Google maps
G4.2		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Community involvement	Information source	Master plan
G6.3	in urban planning activities.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA





# 3. DIAGNOSIS

### a. Performance scores

Evaluation of the actual performance and relative level of sustainability of the urban area. PPs have to indicate the scores reached.

		SCORE
A – BU	ILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1 - Ur	ban Structure and Form	
A1.2	Urban compactness	2,62
A1.4	Residential density	5,00
A1.7	Conservation of Land	-1,00
B - Eco	nomy	
B1 - Ec	conomic Structure and Value	
B1.1	Affordability of housing property	-1,00
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental	-1,00
B2 - Ec	conomic activity	
B2.5	Economic contribution from tourism activity	2,60
B3 - Co	ost and Investment	
B3.2	Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments.	2,59
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.	1,72
C - Ene	ergy	
C1 - No	on-renewable energy	
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	1,83
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.	1,67
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.	1,70
C1.10	Primary energy for heating for residential buildings.	-1,00
C1.12	Primary energy for cooling for residential buildings.	-1,00
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting.	-1,00
C2 - Re	enewable and Decarbonised energy	
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	-1,00
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary energy consumption for building operations.	-1,00
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to final electric energy consumption.	-1,00





D - Atm	ospheric emissions	
D1 - Atr	mospheric emissions	
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	0,90
E - Non	-Renewable Resources	
E1 - Po	table water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	5,00
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.	5,00
E2 - So	lid and Liquid Wastes	
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.	5,00
F - Envi	ironment	
F1 - En	vironmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	-1,00
F2 - Ou	itdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	5,00
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	-1,00
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	-1,00
F3 - Ec	osystems and landscapes	
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability	1,21
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	1,21
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	-1,00
G - Social Aspects		
G1 - Sa	afety and Accessibility	
G1.4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.	5,00
G2 - Tra	affic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport system.	5,00
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	5,00
G4 - Pu	iblic and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	5,00
G6 - Ma	anagement and community involvement	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	3,00







the second s

# b. Key Performance Indicators value

КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
A 1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	%	0,00
B.3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated indoor useful floor area	Euro/m <sup>2</sup> /year	16,57
C.1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	54,82
C.1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	53,28
C.1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m2/year	172,16
C.2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	%	1,25
C.2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	0,73
D.1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m <sup>2</sup> /yr	26,39
E.1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Annual potable water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> per occupant*yr	35,80
E.1.7 Consumption of potable water for non- residential building systems	Annual water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0,58
F.1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Area of permeable surfaces on total neighborhood area	%	0,12
F.2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	days/year	5
G.2.1 Performance of the public transport	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	100
G.2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path or "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.	m/100 inhabitants	115,54
G.4.2 Availability and proximity of key services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	%	100
G.6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level (score)	2





## c. SWOT analysis

#### Where are we now?

A SWOT analysis is a study undertaken to identify its strengths, weaknesses, available opportunities, and possible threats. The analysis is based on a quadrant matrix, in which strengths and weaknesses (internal factors) are presented above the x-axis, and opportunities and threats (external factors) are presented below. Typically, strengths and opportunities (positive factors) are listed on the left of the y-axis, while weaknesses and threats (negative factors) are listed on the right.

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
<ul> <li>Good performance of the public transport service</li> <li>Good performance of recycling process</li> <li>Good performance of solid waste and recycling collection points</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non land to development</li> <li>High residential density</li> <li>High density of traffic</li> <li>High noise level</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>OPPORTUNITIES</li> <li>Increase the implementation of renewable energy (thermal solar and photovoltaic)</li> <li>Reduce the energy consumption in public buildings</li> <li>Reduce the cost of operational energy in public buildings</li> <li>Reduce the energy consumption in residential buildings (master plan)</li> <li>Increase the quality of pedestrian and bicycle network</li> <li>Increase the community involvement in urban planning activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>THREATS</li> <li>Ageing of the population</li> <li>Increased the cost of the housing price (both rental and properties)</li> <li>New energy national regulations more strict</li> <li>Impoverishment of the population</li> </ul>





# 4. STRATEGIC DEFINITION

## a. Performance targets

The overall Environmental, Social and Economic targets have to be described

Environmental targets	The environmental targets have a common central focus with city targets in the improvement of air quality and in increasing the green zones. Specifically the area should reduce the $CO_2$ emissions per inhabitant through increasing the use of renewable energy in buildings and mobility.
Social targets	The mechanisms to involve users and land lords should be improved and innovated.
Economy targets	The access to public funds for urban renovation should be facilitated by public administrations with competences in this field.

Each partner must establish a target value for each criterion in the SNTool reflecting the overall targets.

A – BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS			
A1 - Urban Structure and Form			
A1.2 - Urban compactness		Actual value	25,73
Relation between the usable space of the buildings (volume) and the urban space (area).	m <sup>3</sup> / m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	30,00
A1.4 - Residential density		Actual value	900,12
The ratio of total residential population relative to the total land area for all developed residential blocks within the local area.		Target value	610,00
A1.7 - Conservation of Land		Actual value	0,00
Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / %		Target value	0,01
B - Economy			
B1 - Economic Structure and Value			
B1.1 - Affordability of housing property		Actual value	32,70%
Housing properties in the local area that are financially accessible for purchase by the lowest 50% of the area population.	%	Target value	22,00%

and and a set of the s





B1.2 - Affordability of housing rental		Actual value	46,20%
Percentage of the average salary of the lowest quintile of the population used for rental payments.	%	Target value	30,00%
B2 - Economic activity			
B2.5 - Economic contribution from tourism activity		Actual value	640,48
Estimate of iaverage annual income from tourism activity (overnight stays and local purchases) on a per-resident basis.	€/ resident	Target value	1000,00
B3 - Cost and Investment			
B3.2 - Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments.		Actual value	32,22%
Funds provided by governments or other public sources provided over a 5-year period, as a proportion of total investments in residential renovation projects in the local area, percent.	%	Target value	40,00%
B3.3 - Operating energy costs for public buildings.		Actual value	1657,00%
Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated internal useful floor area €/m²/y		Target value	1000,00%
C - Energy			
C1 - Non-renewable energy			
C1.1 - Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.		Actual value	54,82
Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area	kWh/m²/y	Target value	20,00
C1.4 - Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.		Actual value	53,28
Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area	kWh/m²/y	Target value	15,00
C1.7 - Total primary energy demand for building operations.		Actual value	172,16
Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area	kWh/m²/y	Target value	75,00
C1.10 - Primary energy for heating for residential buildings.		Actual value	1,56
Ratio of average total primary energy consumption for heating of residential buildings to the local minimum value (%).	%	Target value	0,80
C1.12 - Primary energy for cooling for residential buildings.		Actual value	1,13
Ratio of average total primary energy consumption for cooling of residential buildings to the local minimum value (%).	%	Target value	0,90
C1.20 - Energy consumption of public lighting.		Actual value	54,00
Annual electrical consumption by outdoor public lighting systems (MWh/y).	kWh/pp	Target value	35,00







and the second and the second and

C2 - Renewable and Decarbonised energy			
C2.1 - Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.		Actual value	1,25%
Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-siterenewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy%consumption		Target value	75,00%
C2.4 - Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary consumption for building operations.	energy	Actual value	0,99%
Aggregated total annual primary energy consumption from on- site renewable energy sources / aggregated total annual primary energy consumption	%	Target value	40,00%
C2.7 - Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to final electric energy consumption.		Actual value	0,73%
Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumption.	%	Target value	40,00%
D - Atmospheric emissions			
D1 - Atmospheric emissions			
D1.2 - Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations		Actual value	26,39
CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq /m²/y		Target value	20,00
E - Non-Renewable Resources			
E1 - Potable water, stormwater and greywater			
E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population		Actual value	35,80
E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population Annual water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear	Actual value Target value	35,80 25,00
E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population Annual water consumption per occupant E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear	Actual value Target value Actual value	35,80 25,00 0,58
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> <li>E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> <li>E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes</li> <li>E2.1 - Solid waste and recycling collection points.</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10 95,00%
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> <li>E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes</li> <li>E2.1 - Solid waste and recycling collection points.</li> <li>Proximity of the resident population to the solid waste and recycling collection point.</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10 95,00% 100,00%
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> <li>E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes</li> <li>E2.1 - Solid waste and recycling collection points.</li> <li>Proximity of the resident population to the solid waste and recycling collection point.</li> <li>F - Environment</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10 95,00% 100,00%
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> <li>E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes</li> <li>E2.1 - Solid waste and recycling collection points.</li> <li>Proximity of the resident population to the solid waste and recycling collection point.</li> <li>F - Environment</li> <li>F1 - Environmental impacts</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10 95,00% 100,00%
<ul> <li>E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population</li> <li>Annual water consumption per occupant</li> <li>E1.7 - Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems.</li> <li>Annual water consumption per m2.</li> <li>E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes</li> <li>E2.1 - Solid waste and recycling collection points.</li> <li>Proximity of the resident population to the solid waste and recycling collection point.</li> <li>F - Environment</li> <li>F1.3 - Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.</li> </ul>	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/y ear m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	35,80 25,00 0,58 0,10 95,00% 100,00%





F2 - Outdoor environmental quality			
F2.3 - Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.		Actual value	5
Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year. days/year		Target value	0
F2.10 - Ambient daytime noise conditions.		Actual value	100,00%
Percentage of building area over noise limit.	%	Target value	75,00%
F2.11 - Ambient night-time noise conditions.		Actual value	100,00%
Proportion of population exposed to non recommended levels of night noise.	%	Target value	75,00%
F3 - Ecosystems and landscapes			
F3.1 - Green zones & recreation areas availability		Actual value	15,92
Availability of green zones & recreation areas	m²/inh	Target value	25,00
F3.2 - Green zones & recreation areas accessibility		Actual value	403,00
Accessibility of green spaces within the area. m		Target value	150,00
F3.3 - Green zones & recreation areas density		Actual value	0,00
Density of green spaces within the area. %		Target value	0,10
G - Social Aspects			
G1 - Safety and Accessibility			
G1.4 - Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.		Actual value	100,00%
Features of public transport to facilitate access physically disabled persons, such as kneeling buses and wide entries	%	Target value	100,00%
G2 - Traffic and Mobility Services			
G2.1 - Performance of the public transport system.		Actual value	100,00%
Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	Target value	100,00%
G2.4 - Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.		Actual value	115,00
Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and metersm/100of bicycle path and "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.inhabitants		Target value	250,00
G4 - Public and private facilities and services			
G4.2 - Availability and proximity of key services		Actual value	100,00%
Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	%	Target value	100,00%







G6 - Management and community involvement			
G6.3 - Community involvement in urban planning activities		Actual value	2
Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level	Target value	3







the second s

# b. Constraints and restrictions

CONSTRAINTS / RESTRICT	TIONS
Legal constraints	The studied area is within a Cultural Heritage Protection plan of Barcelona council. The majority of residential buildings in the area are more than 100 years old and their façades (both, front and back) are modernist architecture style. These buildings have several architectural constraints when it comes to carry out energy efficient measures, for instance the improvement the external thermal isolation with SATE and also the improvement the windows frames. In some cases, the municipality forces the properties to maintain the windows and the wooden shutters, having to restore them instead of exchanging them for other aluminum ones.
Technical constraints	The only technical constraints would be when carrying out photovoltaic systems on roof due to lack of available space.
Financial constraints	The investments cost for carry out all measures to improve the studied urban system is high. The most financial constraints are from the residents, who don't have enough money to carry out the analyzed energy efficient measures to reduce the energy consumption in their residential buildings. These analyzed EEMs are both passive and actives measures.
Environmental condition constraints	Not relevant
Stakeholder based restrictions	No relevant
Other relevant constraints	No relevant





# 5. DECISION MAKING

# a. Description of scenarios

NAME OF SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
A – ILLA EFICIENT	Illa Eficient' is an initiative by the Department of Territory and Sustainability of the Government of Catalonia along with Grup Habitat Futura, with the support of Barcelona City Council.
	The aim is to stimulate a new form of solving sustainable renovation projects by getting citizens actively involved in the process which will change their home. Sustainable renovation is about lots of things, not just energy efficiency, which is the main advantage, as it also means comfort for the residents.
	The first step was organizing a contest for ideas, where a total of 15 proposals had been selected on how to sustainably renovate an entire block of the Eixample district of Barcelona. The participants were to present the design of a new business model for energy rehabilitation of a block of buildings, financial and legal structuration of the project.
	The winning proposal in the contest is carrying out an information process for local residents get underway to explain the advantages and benefits of the suggested renovation, finance options and existing grants available
	The challenge is for the 22 different residents groups that live there to reach agreement. There are a variety of technical proposals and if they agree which project and commission it jointly the cost of the renovation work will be much lower.
	Nowadays, there are different agreements between the Housing Consortium of Barcelona (Government of Catalonia and Barcelona council) and the communities of owners: - 4th. Semester of 2018: Agreements Communities of Owners and obtaining of aids - 2nd. Semester of 2019: Start of works in five communities and agreements in 8 communities (pending to confirm)
	The estimated investments cost into the 5 first communities amount to 7.587.762,30€, pending to final revision.







# b. Scenarios raking

# i. Performance Scores

Issues	Current state	Scenario A
TOTAL SCORE	1,63	2,95
A – Built Urban Systems	1,29	1,90
B – Economy	1,38	4,45
C – Energy	-0,48	2,85
D – Atmospheric	0,90	2,50
E – Non-renewable sources	5,00	5,00
F - Environment	1,74	1,74
G – Social aspects	4,84	4,84

# ii. Key Performance Indicators

### SCENARIO A

КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
A 1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	%	4,0
B.3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated indoor useful floor area	Euro/m <sup>2</sup> /year	10
C.1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	2015
C.1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	75
C.1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m2/year	75
C.2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	%	40
C.2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	40
D.1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m2/yr	20
E.1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Annual potable water	m <sup>3</sup> per occupant*yr	25

and the second and the





E.1.7 Consumption of potable water for non- residential building systems	Annual water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0,10
F.1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Area of permeable surfaces on total neighborhood area	%	10
F.2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	days/year	0
G.2.1 Performance of the public transport	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	100
G.2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path or "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.	m/100 inhabitants	250
G.4.2 Availability and proximity of key services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	%	100
G.6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level (score)	3

# iii. Financing mechanisms evaluation

### Scenario A

For the moment the majority of buildings owners communities will constitute a cooperative to develop a renovation project of these buildings with the support of National, Regional and Local public Administrations.







# 6. **RETROFIT CONCEPT**

SELECTED SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
A. ILLA EFICIENT	<ol> <li>Making a cooperative to reduce the investment cost of implementation measures</li> <li>Recovering space for public use</li> <li>Implementation of renewable energies</li> <li>Encouraging the residents to energy refurbishment in buildings</li> <li>Increase the public grants and aid</li> <li>Removing the access barriers buildings</li> </ol>

### **KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT**

Retrofits Strategies	Implementation of photovoltaic systems (PV on roof and walls, BIPV)
	Changing the engines of old lifts
	Improvement of the thermal isolation in façades
	Improvement of the windows frames and glasses
	Implementation of green roofs
	Implementation of aerothermal systems to production of DHW and Heating /Cooling
Performance improvement	<ul> <li>Environment:</li> <li>Reduction of GHG emissions</li> <li>Reduction of energy consumption</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Society:</li> <li>Promoting the contact among neighbor thanks of the cooperative.</li> <li>Increase the thermal comfort inside buildings</li> </ul>
	Economy: - Reduction of the energy cost
Financial mechanism	Housing Consortium of Barcelona has made a special program for the Illa eficient, where the 50% of energy measures will be subsidized.
	The residents may be requested National aids for refurbishment residential buildings







and the second of the second o

# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 1**

# **1. INITIATION**

General information on the selected building		
Housing Agency of	Catalonia	
Address	92 Diputació Street 08015 Barcelona	
Building use	Offices	
Owner	Government of Catalonia	
Year of construction	1976	
Building method	This building was renovated in 1989 when the Government of Catalonia became owner. The main structure is the concrete. The windows were reformed ten years ago, with double glasses and broken thermal bridge. The east wing has solar protections blades, provides a highly efficient solar protection in windows.	
Number of levels above earth	10	
Number of levels underground	<i>4 parking floor s</i> <i>1 of them is for the use of the public building and the other 3 of them are for renting</i> <i>for the area residents</i>	
Heating system	Boilers in the technical room on the roof	
Cooling system	Cooler in the technical room on the roof	
DHW system	Not existing	
Ventilation system	Including in the air-conditioned system	
Lighting system	Fluorescent system. The lighting system needs a renovation	
Average U value	3.5	
Number of occupants	310	
Hours of occupation per year	3.132	





# 2. **PREPARATION**

### a. SBTool structure

In this section it is described the structure of your CESBA MED SBTool. Please, enter here the list of the criteria selected from the CESBA MED SBT Generic Framework.

A – SITE REGE	ENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE
A1	Site regeneration and development
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking
A2	Urban Design
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Total life cycle non-renewable energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand	
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	
B1.7	Consumption of renewable energy for all building operations	
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy – Not applicable	
B2	Electrical peak demand	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	
B2.2	Scheduling of building operations to reduce peak loads on generating facilities.	
B3	Use of Material	
B3.5	Recycled materials – Not applicable	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses.	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	

and the second and the





C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste – Not applicable
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations
C5	Other Local and Regional Impacts
C5.8	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air – Not applicable	
D1.5	CO2 concentration in indoor air	
D1.10	Ventilation rate.	
D2	Air Temperature and Relative humidity	
D2.1	Time outside of the thermal comfort range	
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	

E- SERVICE QUALITY		
E1	Safety and Security	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	
E2	Functionality and Efficiency	
E2.5	Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.	
E3	Controllability	
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system	
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems	
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants	
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability	
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	
E5	Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance	
E5.1	Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility systems	
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	
F1	Social Aspects
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building





G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	
G1	Cost and Economics
G1.4	Use stage energy cost
G1.5	Use stage water cost – Only for Residential occ.

# b. SBTool criteria selection rationale

In this section PPs must motivate the selection of the criteria that have been included in the regional CESBA MED SBTool. Why the criterion has been included? The reason could depend on regional policies or targets.

A SITE DECENEDATION AND DEVELODMENT		
A - SHE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT	. UKDAN DESIGN AND INFRASI KUGI UK	
		_

CRITER	ION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	Assess the quality of bicycle pathways
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)	Assess the passive solar solutions potential
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services	Assess the capacity of recycled
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	Assess the use of private vehicle in the workers

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION								
CRITER	ION	REASON/MOTIVATION						
B1.1	Primary energy demand	Assess the energy consumption						
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	Assess the energy consumption						
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	Assess the energy consumption						
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy						
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy						
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy						
B1.7	Consumption of renewable energy for all building operations	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy						
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Assess the required power for building operations						
B2.2	Scheduling of building operations to reduce peak loads on generating facilities.	Assess the reduce the energy power						
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses.	Assess the water consumption for the building operations						







### C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS

#### CRITERION

- C1.3 Global Warming Potential
- C3.2 Solid waste from building operations
- **C5.8** Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

Assess the GHG emissions to reduce

Assess the capacity of recycled

Assess the lighting polution

### **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

CRITERION

- D1.5 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in indoor air
  D1.10 Ventilation rate.
  D2.1 Time outside of the thermal comfort range
  D2.2 Thermal comfort index
- Assess the quality of interior air Assess the quality of interior air Assess the thermal comfort Assess the thermal comfort

### E- SERVICE QUALITY

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	Assess the risk of flooding
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	Assess the auto-energy-capacity of the building
E2.5	Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.	Assess the work properly of the lift
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system	Assess the management system of facilities
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems	Assess the management system of facilites
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	Assess the management system of facilites
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants	Assess the management system of facilites
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Assess the management system of facilites
E5.1	Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility systems	Assess the management system of facilites
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	Assess the management

### F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building

Assess the universal access of the building

### **G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS**

### CRITERION

**G1.4** Use stage energy cost

REASON/MOTIVATION

Assess the cost of the energy







### c. SBTool weights rationale

In this section PPs must motivate the value of weights assigned to the different issues, categories and criteria. Why the weight of a particular issue or criterion is higher (or lower)? Weights should reflect the regional political priorities.

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	This is an existing building and therefore there is not much scope of action to influence in its design.
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	It is very important due to directly affect the health of people. Consultation with Local Committee Members
E- SERVICE QUALITY	2	It is not considered priority, although it is important because it takes into consideration the relationship of people with that studied building. Consultation with Local Committee Members
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	3	It is considered top priority due to directly affect the quality of the workers. Consultation with Local Committee Members
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030.
		Consultation with Local Committee Members

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	25,00
A2- Urban design	25,00
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	50,00
TOTAL	100
B1- In use energy consumptions	77,78
B2- Embodied energy	11,11
B3- Use of materials	0,00
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	11,11
TOTAL	100







C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	33,33
C2- Other atmospheric emissions	0,00
C3- Solid and liquid waste	33,33
C4- Impact on project site	0,00 33 33
TOTAI	100
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation D2- Thermal comfort D3- Visual comfort	50,00 50,00 0,00
D4– Acoustic comfort	0,00
TOTAL	100
E1- Safety and Security	20,00
E2- Functionality and efficiency	10,00
E3- Controllability	40,00
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	10,00
	20,00
TOTAL	100
F1- Social aspects	100
F2- Culture and heritage	0
F3- Perceptual	0
TOTAL	100
G1- Cost and economics	100
TOTAL	100

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE									
A1- Site Regeneration and Development									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
A1.12	0,84	2	3	2	1	Confirmed			
A2- Urban Design									
A2.3	2,11	1	5	3	1	Confirmed			
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services									
A3.6	0,28	2	3	2	1	Confirmed			
A3.13	1,69	2	2	3		Confirmed			
TOTAL	4.92%								

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION								
B1-Total Life Cycle Non-Renewable Energy								
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
B1.1	7,02	5	5	2	1	Confirmed		
B1.2	7,02	5	5	2	1	Confirmed		
B1.3	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed		
B1.4	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed		

and the second and the





B1.5	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed		
B1.6	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed		
B1.7	10,53	5	5	3		Confirmed		
<b>B2-Electrical Pe</b>	eak Demand							
B2.1	6,32	5	5	3	1	Confirmed		
B4-Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater								
B1.1	3,79	4	3	3	1	Confirmed		
TOTAL	62,78%							

### C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS

C1-Greenhouse Gas Emissions								
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
C1.3	13,2	5	5	3	1	Confirmed		
C3-Solid and Liquid Wastes								
C3.2	2,53	4	3	2	1	Confirmed		
C5-Other Local and Regional Impacts								
C5.8	3,37	4	3	2	1	Confirmed		
TOTAL	19,07%							

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY								
D1-Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation								
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D1.5	0,63	1	3	3	1	Confirmed		
D1.10	0,21	1	3	2	1	Confirmed		
D2-Air Temperatu	ire and Relative	Hum	idity					
D2.1	0,63	1	3	3	1	Confirmed		
D2.2	0,63	1	3	3	1	Confirmed		
TOTAL	2,11%							

E- Service Quality									
E1-Safety and Security									
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
D1.3	2,53	2	4	3		Confirmed			
D1.6	1,90	2	3	3		Confirmed			
<b>E2-Functionality</b>	and efficiency								
D2.15	0,63	1	3	3		Confirmed			
E3-Controllabilit	у								
E3.1	0,14	1	2	2		Confirmed			
E3.2	0,14	1	2	2		Confirmed			
E3.3	0,14	1	2	2		Confirmed			
E3.4	0,28	1	2	2		Confirmed			
E4-Flexibility and	d Adaptability								
E3.1	1,26	1	3	3		Confirmed			
E5-Optimization	and Manteinance	e of Op	oerating	g Perf	ormance				
E5.1	0,21	1	2	3		Confirmed			
E5.6	0,11	1	1	3		Confirmed			
TOTAL	7.97%								







and the state of the second and

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS						
F1-Social Aspec	F1-Social Aspects					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.1	1,90	2	3	3		Confirmed
TOTAL	1,9%					

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS							
G1-Cost and Economics							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G.1.4	0,63	2	3	3		Confirmed	
G1.5	0,21	2	3	1		Confirmed	
TOTAL	0.84%						





# d. SBTool benchmarks rationale

In this section PPs must motivate the value of benchmarks assigned to the different criteria for score zero (minimum acceptable performance) and for score 5 (excellent and ideal performance). The value of indicators corresponding to score zero is usually depends on regulations, standards or a typical performance in the region. Please keep in mind that score 3 represents a best practice performance. Score 5 is an excellent performance.

A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
Δ1 12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
71112	parking		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
AD 3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
AZ.3			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	Spaces / 100m <sup>2</sup>	0: 1,50	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 0,50	Confirmed by CLC members		

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
B1.1	Primary energy demand	kWh/m²/y	0: 225	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 70	Confirmed by CLC members		
B1.2	Delivered energy	$kMh/m^2/v$	0: 22	Confirmed by CLC members		
	aemana	KVVN/M /Y	5: 12	Confirmed by CLC members		
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	kWh/m²/y	0: 75	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 20	Confirmed by CLC members		
D1 /	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	%	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members		
B1.4			5: 90	Confirmed by CLC members		
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption		0: 30	Confirmed by CLC members		
		%	5: 100	Confirmed by CLC members		





B1.6	Energy from renewable	%	0: 40	Confirmed by CLC members
	electrical energy consumption		5: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
B1.7	Consumption of	kWh/m²/y	0: 2	Confirmed by CLC members
	building operations		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	W/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
			5: 20	Confirmed by CLC members
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses (in use stage)	m <sup>3</sup> /per/y	0: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
			5: 20	Confirmed by CLC members

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
_	Global Warming	kaCO_ea/	0: 30	Confirmed by CLC members		
C.1.3.	emissions from primary energy	m2/y	5: 10	Confirmed by CLC members		
C.3.2.	Solid waste from building operations	%	0: 15	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 100	Confirmed by CLC members		
C.5.8	Degree of atmospheric		0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members		
	project exterior lighting systems		5: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASUR E	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
D.4.5	CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations in	ppm	0: 600	Confirmed by CLC members		
D.1.5	indoor air		5: 500	Confirmed by CLC members		
D.1.10	Ventilation rate	l/s/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 6	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 12	Confirmed by CLC members		
	Time outside of thermal comfort range	%	0: 30	Confirmed by CLC members		
D.2.1.			5: 10	Confirmed by CLC members		
D.2.2.	Thermal comfort index -	%	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members		
	PMV/PPD		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		







E- SERVICE QUALITY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
E1.3	Risk to occupants and		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
	facilities from hooding		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E1.6	Maintenance of core	Days	0: 1	Confirmed by CLC members		
	during power outages		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E2.5	Service quality and	Minutes	0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
	horizontal transportation systems in building.		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	m²	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 10	Confirmed by CLC members		
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5:5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E4.5	Adaptability to future		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
	energy supply		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E5.1	Operating functionality		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
	facility systems		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members		
E5.6	Retention of as-built		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members		
	documentation		5:5	Confirmed by CLC members		

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building.	Universal access on		0: 0	Insert your comment here		
		5: 5	Insert your comment here			

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
G.1.4.	Use stage energy cost	€/m²/y	0: 60	Insert your comment here		
			5: 40	Insert your comment here		

a fer and the ferral and the ferral





# e. SBTool Criteria Specifications

In this section PPs must indicate for each selected criterion:

- Information source: The source of the data/information that will be used to characterize the value of the indicator. Example: monitored data, measured data, statistic data, models and simulation, studies, data banks, etc.
- Assessment method: Short and concise description of the assessment method used to verify the value of indicators. Example: calculation steps, data analysis process, monitoring procedure, content of a study, use of statistic data, etc.
- Standards: technical documents taken as reference for the assessment method.

### A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITERION INDICATOR		SPECIFICATIONS		
	Provision and quality of	Information source	Maintenance staff	
A1.12	bicycle pathways and parking	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
	Impact of orientation on	Information source	Maintenance staff	
A2.3	the passive solar potential of building(s)	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
<b>A3.6</b> P	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services	Information source	Maintenance staff	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	Information source	Maintenance staff	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
B1.1 B1.2	Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand	Information source	Maintenance staff		
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool		
		Standard	NA		
		Information source	Maintenance staff		
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool		
		Standard	NA		

A FILL AND AND A FILL AND A FILL





	Delivered electric	Information source	Maintenance staff
B1.3	demand	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
54.4	Energy from renewable	Information source	Maintenance staff
B1.4	sources in total primary energy consumption	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Energy from renewable	Information source	Maintenance staff
B1.5	sources in total thermal	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	energy consumption	Standard	NA
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total	Information source	Maintenance staff
elect	electrical energy	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	consumption	Standard	NA
B1.7 Consumption of renewable energy for all building operations	Information source	Maintenance staff	
	building operations	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses (in use	Information source	Maintenance staff
	stage)	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	
C.1.3.	Global Warming potential - GHG emissions from primary energy	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
C.3.2.	Solid waste from building operations	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA







C.5.8	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by	Information source	Maintenance staff
	project exterior lighting systems	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	-	Standard	NA

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information source	Maintenance staff
D.1.5	CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations in indoor air	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Ventilation rate	Information source	Maintenance staff
D.1.10		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Time outside of thermal comfort range	Information source	Maintenance staff
D.2.1.		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
D.2.1.	Time outside of thermal comfort range	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

E- SERVICE QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
E2.5	Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA







	Effectiveness of facility management control	Information source	Maintenance staff
E3.1		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	- <b>,</b>	Standard	NA
	Capability for partial	Information source	Maintenance staff
E3.2	operation of facility technical systems	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	,	Standard	NA
		Information source	Maintenance staff
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Information source	Maintenance staff
E4.5		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility systems	Information source	Maintenance staff
E5.1		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Retention of as-built documentation	Information source	Maintenance staff
E5.6		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
<b>1.4</b> Us	Use stage energy cost	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA







# 3. DIAGNOSIS

### a. Performance scores

Evaluation of the actual performance and relative level of sustainability of the Building. PPs have to indicate the scores reached.

	SCORE
A - Site regeneration and development, Urban design and infrastructure	
A1 - Urban Design	
A1.12. Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	3,00
A2 - Urban Design	
A2.3. Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)	1,00
A3 - Project Infrastructure and Services	
A3.6. Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services	5,00
A3.13. Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	0,50
B - Enery and Resource Consumption	
B1 - Total life cycle non-renewable energy	
B.1.1. Primary energy demand (in use stage)	-1,00
B.1.2. Delivered thermal energy demand (in use stage)	1,88
B.1.3. Delivered electric energy demand (in use stage)	-1,00
B.1.4. Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption (in use stage)	-1,00
B.1.5. Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption (in use stage)	0,00
B.1.6. Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption (in use stage)	-1,00
B.1.7. Consumption of renewable energy for all building operations	0,27
B2 - Electrical peak demand	
B.2.1. Electrical peak demand for building operations	4,02
B3 - Use of materials	
B4 - Use of water	
B.4.5. Water consumption for indoor uses (in use stage)	4,13
C - Environmental loadings	
C1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C.1.3. Global Warming potential - GHG emissions from primary energy	4,70
C3 - Solid and Liquid Waste	
C.3.2. Solid waste from building operations	5,00
C5 - Solid and Liquid Waste	
C.5.8 Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems	5,00
D - Indoor Environmental Quality	
D1 - Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation	
D.1.5 CO2 concentrations in indoor air	5,00
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	5,00
D2 - Air Temperature and Relative Humidity	
D.2.1. Time outside of thermal comfort range	5,00
D.2.2. Thermal comfort index - PMV/PPD	4.50





E - SERV	ICE QUALITY	
E1 - Safe	ty and Security	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	1,50
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	0,00
E2 - Func	tionality and Efficiency	
E2.5	Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.	3,00
E3 - Func	tionality and Efficiency	
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system	0,00
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems	1,00
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	3,33
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants	3,00
E4 - Flexi	bility and Adaptability	
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	3,00
E5 - Optir	nization and Maintenance of Operating Performance	
E5.1	Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility systems	3,00
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	4,00
F - Social	, Cultural and Perceptual Aspects	
F1 - Socia	al Aspects	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	4,00
G - Cost and Economic Aspects		
G1 - Cost	and Economics	
G.1.4.	Use stage energy cost	0,00





# b. Key Performance Indicators value

КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m²/yr	279,39
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m²/yr	18,47
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m²/yr	128,26
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	1,68
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non-renewable energy	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	Not applicable
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	Not applicable
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant /year	6,74
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m²/yr	11,22
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	Not applicable
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	100
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m³	Not applicable
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m <sup>2</sup>	12
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	7
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	16,90
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	1,01





# c. Actual performance analysis

WEAKNESSES ASPECTS	<ul> <li>Old and pre-existent building</li> <li>High energy consumption</li> <li>Low use of renewable energies</li> <li>Low thermal comfort</li> </ul>
STRENGHT ASPECTS	<ul> <li>Good universal access on site and within the building</li> <li>Good levels of indoor air quality</li> <li>High levels of waste recycling</li> <li>Implementation of campaigns to increase users' consciousness about recycling.</li> <li>Easy access by public transport</li> </ul>
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT	<ul> <li>Reduction of the energy consumption</li> <li>Reduction of the cost of operational energy</li> <li>Increase the implementation of renewable energy (photovoltaic)</li> <li>Improvement of the energy efficiency of the building</li> </ul>





# 4. STRATEGIC DEFINITION

### a. **Performance targets**

Each partner must establish a target value for each criterion in the SBTool.

The target values have to reflect the global Environmental, Social and Economic targets established at urban level.

		SCOF	E
A - Site regeneration and development, Urban design and infrastruc	cture		
A1 - Urban Design			
A1.12. Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking		Actual value	3,00
Type and extent of bicycle paths in the project, connectivity with off-site bicycle paths, amount of sheltered and unsheltered bicycle parking, location of bicycle parking facilities relative to building entrances.		Target value	5,00
A2 - Urban Design			
A2.3. Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of built	lding(s)	Actual value	1,00
Deviation, in degrees (°) of main building axis from East-West (to ensure a maximum possible insolation)		Target value	1,00
A3 - Project Infrastructure and Services			
A3.6. Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services		Actual value	5,00
Solid non-organic waste generation during operations, excuding amounts used for energy production, capacity and location of communal (multi-building) non-organic solid waste and sorting facilities, and provision of appropriate management and staffing.		Target value	5,00
A3.13. Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles		Actual value	1,40
The ratio of parking spaces for private vehicles per dwelling unit, plus the ratio of parking spaces for private vehicles per 100 m2 of usable area (ua) of non-residential occupancies.	spaces/100 m2	Target value	1,00
B - Enery and Resource Consumption			
B1 - Total life cycle non-renewable energy			
B.1.1. Primary energy demand (in use stage)		Actual value	279,39
Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/y	Target value	163,00
B.1.2. Delivered thermal energy demand (in use stage)		Actual value	18,47
Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/y	Target value	14,30
B.1.3. Delivered electric energy demand (in use stage)		Actual value	128,26
Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/y	Target value	53,00





B.1.4. Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy c (in use stage)	consumption	Actual value	1,49
The predicted or actual proportion of the total primary energy consumption of the building that is met by renewable energy during the use phase, expressed as a percent of the annual total.%Target value25,00			
B.1.5. Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy c (in use stage)	consumption	Actual value	0,00
Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	Target value	0,00
B.1.6. Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy content (in use stage)	onsumption	Actual value	1,68
Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	Target value	64,00
B.1.7. Consumption of renewable energy for all building operati	ons	Actual value	2,16
Average annual kWh of renewable energy, including power produced by photovoltaics or wind turbines, per m2 of net area as predicted by means of an acceptable method or tool.	kWh/m2	Target value	4,10
B2 - Electrical peak demand			
B.2.1. Electrical peak demand for building operations		Actual value	35,63
Average of peak monthly electrical demand for one year, W/m2, as predicted by means of an acceptable method or tool.	W/m2	Target value	20,00
B3 - Use of materials			
B4 - Use of water			
B.4.5. Water consumption for indoor uses (in use stage)		Actual value	6,74
Potable water consumption per occupant per year m3/per/y		Target value	5,00
C - Environmental loadings			
C1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions			
C.1.3. Global Warming potential - GHG emissions from primary	energy	Actual value	11,22
Annual CO2-equivalent emissions per Kg. per m2 of net area, as determined by an hour-by-hour simulation program and calculations based on regional fuel emission values.	kgCO2eq/ m2/y	Target value	10,00
C3 - Solid and Liquid Waste			
C.3.2. Solid waste from building operations		Actual value	100,00
Facilities provided in the design for the storage and sorting of solid wastes in both dispersed and central locations.	%	Target value	100,00
C5 - Solid and Liquid Waste			
C.5.8 Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project e lighting systems	exterior	Actual value	0,00
Percentage of total exterior light output that lies outside a vertical 120 degree cone, as indicated by drawings and specifications.	%	Target value	0,00
D - Indoor Environmental Quality D1 - Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation			
D.1.5 CO2 concentrations in indoor air		Actual value	450.00
During design phase, designs for HVAC systems that conform to ASHRAE, CIBSE or other acceptable protocol; actual monitoring results during use phase.	ppm	Target value	450,00





D.1.10 Ventilation rate		Actual value	12,00
Percent of ventilation air reaching work surfaces, as indicated by an analysis of proposed HVAC system and room characteristics.	l/s/m2	Target value	12,00
D2 - Air Temperature and Relative Humidity			
D.2.1. Time outside of thermal comfort range		Actual value	2,50
Compliance of mechanical ventilation systems with recognized design standards such as ASHRAE or CIBSE.	%	Target value	2,50
D.2.2. Thermal comfort index - PMV/PPD		Actual value	7,00
Predicted ability of natural ventilation systems to maintain temperatures within an acceptable range, as indicated by drawings and specifications.	%	Target value	5,00
E - SERVICE QUALITY			
E1 - Safety and Security			
E1.3 Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding		Actual value	1,50
Probability of injury or death or major property damage in case of 100-year flood event or other foreseeable flood risk.		Target value	3,00
E1.6 Maintenance of core building functions during power outa	ages	Actual value	1,00
Probability of injury or death or major property damage in case of an accidental or wilful explosion in or near the building.	Days	Target value	3,00
E2 - Functionality and Efficiency			
E2.5 Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transverse in building.	nsportation	Actual value	4,00
Adequacy of the facility unloading and temporary storage capacity and measures to prevent excessive noise and visual pollution from disturbing occupants.	Minutes	Target value	3,00
E3 - Functionality and Efficiency			
E3.1 Effectiveness of facility management control system		Actual value	0,00
The presence of a computerized building management control system whose capability is consistent with the complexity of building systems.		Target value	3,00
E3.2 Capability for partial operation of facility technical system	S	Actual value	1,00
The predicted ability of building systems to provide partial heating, ventilation, cooling or lighting services, according to design documentation.		Target value	3,00
E3.3 Degree of local control of lighting systems		Actual value	15,00
The area of typical lighting control zones in perimeter areas in m2, as shown in design documentation.	m2	Target value	10,00
E3.4 Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occu	pants	Actual value	1,50
The degree of control over key indoor environment systems that can be exercised by occupants, according to design documentation.		Target value	3,00







E4 - Flexibility and Adaptability			
E4.5 Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply		Actual value	3,00
The ease or difficulty in installing heating or cooling equipment that require a different fuel, or to install photovoltaic systems.		Target value	5,00
E5 - Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance			
E5.1 Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility syste	ms	Actual value	3,00
Commissioning plans developed and/or implemented and commissioning staff assigned.		Target value	5,00
E5.6 Retention of as-built documentation		Actual value	4,00
The scope and quality of design documentation retained for use by building operators, according to design documentation.		Target value	5,00
F - Social, Cultural and Perceptual Aspects			
F1 - Social Aspects			
F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building.		Actual value	4,00
The scope and quality of design measures planned to facilitate access and use of building facilities by persons with disabilities.		Target value	5,00
G - Cost and Economic Aspects			
G1 - Cost and Economics			
G.1.4. Use stage energy cost		Actual value	16,90
Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/y	Target value	10,00

# b. Constraints and restrictions

CONSTRAINTS / RESTRICT	TIONS
Legal constraints	Not relevant
Technical constraints	The only technical constraints would be when carrying out photovoltaic systems on roof due to lack of available space.
Financial constraints	The Government of Catalonia cannot get into debt because of political issues
Environmental condition constraints	Not relevant
Stakeholder based restrictions	Not relevant
Other relevant constraints	Not relevant







# c. Potential strategies at building scale

Synergy zones	
Energetic synergies	A contract ESCO is under development to reduce the energy consumption of the building. The contract is focusing on the lighting and air-conditioned systems.
Water synergies	Not at the moment
Waste synergies	The building has a strict waste collecting
Mobility synergies	The building has three electrical charge points for electrical vehicles into the parking.
Other synergies	





# 5. DECISION MAKING

# a. Description of scenarios

NAME OF SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
1. Scenario A	The scenario A would be the best practice that the manager of the building would like to achieve. The great focus is to achieve the reduction of energy consumption without reducing the thermal comfort of the users. In addition, the reduction of the cost of operational energy, the increase of the implementation of renewable energy (photovoltaic) and the improvement of the energy efficiency of the building.
	A contract ESCO is under development to reduce the energy consumption of the building. The contract is focusing on the lighting and air-conditioned systems.
	The building has a good universal access on site and within the building, a good levels of indoor air quality, high levels of waste recycling and the implementation of campaigns to increase users' consciousness about recycling.

# b. Scenarios raking

# i. Performance Scores

Issues	Current state	Scenario A
TOTAL SCORE	1,65	2,63
A – Site regeneration	1,4	2,4
<b>B</b> – Energy and Resources C.	0,5	1,7
C – Environmental Loadings	4,8	5,0
D – Indoor Env. Quality	4,9	5,0
E – Service Quality	1,7	3,0
F – Social Aspects	4,0	5,0
G – Cost and Economic Asp.	1,6	5,0





# ii. Key Performance Indicators

SCENARIO A			
КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m²/yr	163
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m²/yr	14,30
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m²/yr	53
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	25
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	0
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non-renewable energy	W/m <sup>2</sup>	20
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	Not applicable
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	5
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m <sup>2</sup> /yr	10
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	Not applicable
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	100
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m <sup>3</sup>	Not applicable
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m <sup>2</sup>	12
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	5
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	10
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	5





en ander the second and the second a

# iii. Financing mechanisms evaluation

# **Scenario A** The government of Catalonia cannot get into debt, therefore it need a alternative financing schemes to achieve its targets (over all in energy and resource consumption). For instance, a contract ESCO is a good solution to achieve them.

# iv. Synergies at building level





and the second and the second and

# 6. **RETROFIT CONCEPT**

SELECTED SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
1. Scenario A	A contract ESCO is under development to reduce the energy consumption of the building. The contract is focusing on the lighting and air-conditioned systems.

### **KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT**

Retrofits Strategies	Improve lighting systems
	Improve air-conditioned systems
	Reduce energy consumption
Performance improvement	Reduce GHG emissions
	Implementation of campaigns to: - increase users' consciousness about recycling - reduce the energy consumption
	Easy access by public transport
Financial mechanism	ESCO contract
	Synergies with other European projects

