

# **TESTING PROTOCOL**

# **ASSESSMENT REPORT – Hellenic Pilot Test Results**

# D3.3.2-GR Pilot Test in Greece

K.G. Droutsa, C.A. Balaras, E.G. Dascalaki, S. Kontoyiannidis (NOA) PP 8 - NATIONAL OBSERVATORY OF ATHENS (NOA)

Version 2.0

Date: 22-01-2019

2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.3 Test of transnational assessment methods and indicators
Deliverable: 3.3.2 – Testing Protocol

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D







## INDEX

URE	BAN SCALE ASSESSMENT	5
1.	INITIATION	5
2.	PREPARATION	6
a.	SNTool structure	6
b.	SNTool criteria selection rationale	9
c.	SNTool weights rationale	11
d.	SNTool benchmarks rationale	15
e.	SNTool Criteria Specifications	22
3.	DIAGNOSIS	49
a.	Performance scores	49
b.	Key Performance Indicators value	51
c.	SWOT analysis	52
4.	STRATEGIC DEFINITION	53
a.	Performance targets	53
b.	Constraints and restrictions	56
5.	DECISION MAKING	57
a.	Description of scenarios	57
b.	Scenarios raking	57
i.	Performance Scores	57
ii.	Key Performance Indicators	58
iii.	Financing mechanisms evaluation	60
6.	RETROFIT CONCEPT	60
BUI	LDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 1	61
1.	INITIATION	61
2.	PREPARATION	62
a.	SBTool structure	62
b.	SBTool criteria selection rationale	63
C.	SBTool weights rationale	65
d.	SBTool benchmarks rationale	69
e.	SBTool Criteria Specifications	75





3.	DIAGNOSIS	
a.	Performance scores	
b.	Key Performance Indicators value	
C.	Actual performance analysis	
4.	STRATEGIC DEFINITION	
a.	Performance targets	
b.	Constraints and restrictions	
C.	Potential strategies at building scale	
5.	DECISION MAKING	
a.	Description of scenarios	
b.	Scenarios raking	
i.	Performance Scores	
ii.	Key Performance Indicators	
iii.	Financing mechanisms evaluation	
iv.	Synergies at building level	
6.	RETROFIT CONCEPT	
BU	LDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2	
1.		
2.	PREPARATION	
a.	SBTool structure	
b.	SBTool criteria selection rationale	Error! Bookmark not defined.
C.	SBTool weights rationale	Error! Bookmark not defined.
d.	SBTool benchmarks rationale	Error! Bookmark not defined.
e.	SBTool Criteria Specifications	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.	DIAGNOSIS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
a.	Performance scores	Error! Bookmark not defined.
b.	Key Performance Indicators value	
c.	Actual performance analysis	
4.	STRATEGIC DEFINITION	
a.	Performance targets	
b.	Constraints and restrictions	
C.	Potential strategies at building scale	







5.	DECISION MAKING	135
a.	Description of scenarios	135
b.	Scenarios raking	135
i.	Performance Scores	135
ii.	Key Performance Indicators	136
iii.	Financing mechanisms evaluation	. 137
iv.	Synergies at building level	138
6.	RETROFIT CONCEPT	139





A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A

# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# 1. INITIATION

## General information on the selected urban area

City	Municipality of Eulis - Municipal Unit of Apo Liossia	
Brief description	The selected area for the pilot is located in the center of the Municipal Unit of Ano iosia, a moderately dense urban area of 3845 ha total area, 864 ha residential are and about 30000 residents. The pilot area covers about 27.1 ha, 50% of which are covered by buildings and 33% of residential buildings. There are about 360 buildings, 55% of which esidential, 23% mixed use and 22% non-residential buildings. The specific area has relatively low rise buildings, the majority of which (75%) are one to two floors high.	
Size	27.1 (ha)	
Residential population	1330 (residents)	
Average building density	0.5 (total m²/land surface m²)	
Plan of the urban area	International internatione international international international international	





Significant pictures	<image/>
Description of the adjacent areas	Similar adjacent areas. Mostly residential buildings and small commercial and office buildings.
Property ownership	Most of the properties are privately owned. There are 7 public building included in the area, the town hall, an indoor sports hall, and 5 school buildings
Social and economic context	Relatively low income.
Legal /administrative boundary lines	
Energy supply infrastructure	Main power grid. New central natural gas pipeline in 2018.
Relevance of the surrounding infrastructures	
Reference stakeholders in retrofit process	Municipality, Attika Prefecture, residents, commercial owners
Other significant information	

# 2. **PREPARATION**

# a. SNTool structure

In this section the structure of the Hellenic SNTool (*HE-Attica-SNTool*) is described.

There was a screening of the initial GF-U **178** available indicators. The first "screening rule" was the applicability to the national conditions, resulting to 118 indicators. The next step was to circulate the screened list of indicators within the National Local Committee Members and have their feedback. Their reaction was that there were too many indicators for an assessment tool, so the second "screening rule" was to exclude those who were very difficult to define or assess, resulting to 77 indicators. Consulting the





is g

the and the second

National Local Committee Members from Municipalities for the indicators that were most important from the local Authorities' point of view, as well as for data availability, we resulted to 57 indicators. Finally, talking with the National Local Committee Members from Municipality of Fylis, the indicators were limited to 44.

The list of the criteria selected from the CESBA MED Generic Framework ay Urban scale and included in the *HE-Attica-SNTool* is presented in the following Table (KPIs are marked with an \*, new or modified criteria are marked with  $\gg$ ).

### A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS

A1	Urban Structure and Form
A1.2	Urban compactness
A1.4	Residential density
A1.5	Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)
A1.7	Conservation of Land *

B- ECONOMY	
B2	Economic activity
B2.3	Unemployment rate 🕱
B2.4	Economic viability of commercial occupancies
B2.5	Energy poverty of households 😹
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings *

C- ENERGY		
C1	Non-renewable energy	
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations *	
C1.3	Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations 🖎	
C1.4	Total final electric energy consumption for building operations *	
C1.6	Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building operations 🖎	
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations *	
C1.9	Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations 🖎	
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting	
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy	
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation *	
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings Operation *	
C2.6	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions *	
C2.8	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	
C2.13	Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings a	
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS		
D1	Atmospheric emissions	
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations *	





and the second and the second and

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population *	
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public office/educational building systems *	
E1.8	Consumption of potable water in public spaces 🛰	
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points	

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping *	
F1.10	Light pollution caused by exterior public lighting systems 🛸	
F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period *	
F2.6	Ambient air quality - ozone	
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes	
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	
F3.5	Flood protection 🛰	
F3.11	Emergency response plan 🖎	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS			
G1	Safety and Accessibility		
G1.1	Public buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons $>$		
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled		
	persons		
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services		
G2.1	Performance of the public transport *		
G2.3	Smart services 🖎		
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network *		
G4	Public and private facilities and services		
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services *		
G4.3	Availability and proximity of public schools 🖎		
G4.6	Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities 🛰		
G6	Management and community involvement		
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities *		
G8	Perceptual		
G8.3	Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians		
G8.5	Impact of overhead electric distribution system 🕱		





# b. SNTool criteria selection rationale

In this section the reason / motivation of the selection of the criteria that have been included in the HE-Attica-SNTool is described.

#### A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS

#### CRITERION

- A1.2 Urban compactness
- A1.4 Residential density
- A1.5 Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)

#### **REASON / MOTIVATION**

Useful and can be calculated Useful and relatively easy to calculate Very important parameter for the building performance, as it influences the microclimate and determines the solar and daylight access KPI

**REASON / MOTIVATION** More easy to find data for unemployment rates of

the specific area's inhabitants from social

services of the Municipality

KPI

Interesting and can be calculated

Interesting and can be calculated

the first and the second

#### A1.7 Conservation of Land \*

### B- ECONOMY

#### CRITERION

B2.3 Unemployment rate 🕿

B2.4 Economic viability of commercial occupancies

- B2.\* Energy poverty of households a
- B3.3 Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings \*

## C- ENERGY

CRITERION	REASON / MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations *	KPI
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations 🖎	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Office and educational buildings are the majority of public buildings. More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects
C1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations *	KPI
C1.6 Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building operations to the second secon	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Office and educational buildings are the majority of public buildings. More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations *	KPI
C1.9 Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations to the second statement of the second state	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Office and educational buildings are the majority of public buildings. More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting	Useful. Municipalities have relevant data





C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation \* C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation \*

C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational building operations a

C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions \* C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric

energy consumptions for public office/educational building operations &

C2.13 Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings a

KPI

Useful

Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Important to show the public authority's attitude towards environmental friendly strategies, More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects KPI

Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Important to show the public authority's attitude towards environmental friendly strategies, More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects Easy to calculate

**REASON / MOTIVATION** 

the local and a feat starting the second

is C

## **D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

CRITERION

D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in KPI building operations \*

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES										
CRITERION	<b>REASON / MOTIVATION</b>									
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population *	KPI									
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for public office/educational building systems *	KPI									
E1.8 Consumption of potable water in public spaces a	Significant for municipalities.									
E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points	Recycling is becoming more and more popular. Easy to define.									

## **F- ENVIRONMENT**

**CRITERION REASON / MOTIVATION** F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving KPI or landscaping \* F1.10 Light pollution caused by exterior public lighting This could be described through "minor, moderate, major" but it's better to be associated systems 🙇 with the type of the street lights causing discomfort F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 KPI mu (PM10) over a one year period \* F2.6 Ambient air quality - ozone Significant criterio for urban areas F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density Green areas are of vital importance for the sustainability





F3.5 Flood protection 🕿

F3.11 Emergency response plan 🔈

Significant criterio for urban areas Significant criterio for urban areas

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	
CRITERION	<b>REASON / MOTIVATION</b>
G1.1 Public buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons a	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Important for public authority's to demonstrate "good practice"
G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Significant criterio
G2.1 Performance of the public transport *	KPI
G2.3 Smart services	Significant criterio for the future
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network *	KPI
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key services *	KPI
G4.3 Availability and proximity of public schools a	Better to evaluate puiblic schools in one indicator.
G4.6 Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities a	Better to evaluate public leisure facilities in one indicator.
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities*	KPI
G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians	Safety is significant for urban areas
G8.5 Impact of overhead electric distribution system 🕿	Significant criterio since it affects human health

# c. SNTool weights rationale

In this section the motivation for the value of weights assigned to issues, categories and criteria is presented.

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
B- ECONOMY	2	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
C- ENERGY	3	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	2	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
F- ENVIRONMENT	2	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	3	Consultation from National Local Committee Members

### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

Note: the categories weight results automatically from the criteria level







CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	4.6
TOTAL	4.6
B2- Economic activity	3.2
B3- Cost and Investment	0.7
TOTAL	3.9
C1- Non-renewable energy	15.3
C2- Renewable and Decarbonized energy	15.8
TOTAL	31.1
D1- Atmospheric emissions	13.6
TOTAL	13.6
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	6.8
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	3.9
TOTAL	10.7
F1- Environmental impacts	4.2
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	6.2
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	6.4
TOTAL	16.8
G1- Safety and Accessibility	1.5
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	6.9
G4- Public and private facilities and services	4.4
G6- Management and community involvement	2.2
G8- Perceptual	4.4
TOTAL	19.3

## **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1:minor, 2:moderate, 3:major), C=Extent of potential effect (1:block, 2:neighborhood, 3:district, 4:urban region, 5:global), D=Duration of potential effect (1:1-3years, 2:3-10 years, 3:10-30 years, 4:30-75 years, 5:>75 years) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

The pre-assigned values of weighting factors in the CESBA MED GF-U have been reviewed and some of them were accepted and some were modified.

The L.F. weighting factors were reviewed with experts from Municipality of Fylis.

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS									
A1- Urban Structure and Form									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON / MOTIVATION			
A1.2	1.2	3	2	4	1				
A1.4	1.2	3	2	4	1				
A1.5	0.8	2	2	4	1	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of buildings			
A1.7	0.6	2	2	3	1	The duration of potential effect is based on current practices			
TOTAL	3.8								

#### **B- ECONOMY**

B2- Economic activity									
CRITERION	$M_{0}$ whet $\langle 0/\rangle$	B	<u> </u>						
CRITERION	weight (%)	В	L L	U	L.F.	REASON/WOTTVATION			
B2.3	0.6	3	3	1	1	The extent of potential effect is based on common demographics that unemployment is not so localized			
B2.4	0.8	2	2	2	1				
B2.5	1.8	2	2	2	1.5				







B3- Cost and Investment									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION			
B3.3	0.9	1	2	3	1.5				
TOTAL	4.1								

C- ENERGY										
C1- Non-renewable energy										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
C1.1	2.69	3	2	3	1.5					
C1.3	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system				
C1.4	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system				
C1.6	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system				
C1.7	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system				
C1.9	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system				
C1.20	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The impact of Potential Effect is based on a national average of 36% of the energy cost of municipalities for public lighting. The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of lighting systems				
C2- Renewable	and Decarboni	zed e	energ	IУ						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
C2.1	2.7	3	3	3	1	The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.4	2.7	3	3	3	1	The impact of Potential Effect is instrumental in meeting the national/ regional objectives and goals. The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.6	0.9	1	3	3	1	The impact of Potential Effect is limited due to the low number of public buildings. The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.7	6.1	3	3	3	1.5	The impact of Potential Effect is instrumental in meeting the national/ regional objectives and goals				
C2.8	1.3	1	3	3	1.5	The impact of Potential Effect is limited due to the low number of public buildings. The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.13	1.8	2	3	3	1	The extent of potential effect is based on common				

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS									
D1- Atmospheric emissions									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION			
D1.2	16.8	3	5	5	1.5				
TOTAL	16.8								

practices

and the second and a second and

# E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES

E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater

34.4



TOTAL



CRITERION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.6	3.0	3	4	2	1.25	The extent of potential effect is based on water availability which is (or maybe) a regional issue
E1.7	2.0	2	4	2	1.25	The impact of Potential Effect depends on building use which is significant for hotels, hospitals, sports facilities. The extent of potential effect is based on water availability which is (or maybe) a regional issue
E1.8	1.6	2	4	2	1	The extent of potential effect is based on water availability which is (or maybe) a regional issue
E2- Solid and Liq	uid Wastes					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.1	4.0	2	4	4	1.25	The extent of potential effect is based on the impact scale. The duration of potential effect is based on the average lifetime of waste
TOTAL	10.6					

F-ENVIRONMENT										
F1- Environmental impacts										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
F1.3	2.7	3	3	3	1	The impact of Potential Effect is instrumental in preventing floods. The extent of potential effect is based on the impacts that may extend beyond neighborhood boundaries to nearby districts				
F1.10	0.8	2	2	2	1	- ·				
F2- Outdoor environmental quality										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
F2.3	2.7	3	3	3	1					
F2.6	2.4	2	3	1	1	The extent of potential effect refers to the entire district not to the neighborhood scale, unless there are major topographic irregularities. The duration of potential effect is based on average lifetime of 0.05 years				
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
F3.3	0.8	2	2	2	1					
F3.5	3.4	3	3	3	1.25					
F3.11	1.8	3	3	2	1					
TOTAL	14.5									

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS										
G1- Safety and Accessibility										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
G1.1	0.6	2	2	1	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for adapting existing infrastructures and buildings				
G1.2	0.6	2	2	1	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for adapting existing infrastructures and buildings				
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
G2.1	2.7	3	2	3	1					
G2.3	1.2	2	2	2	1					







G2.4	1.8	2	2	3	1	
G4- Public and priv	vate facilitie	s anc	l servi	ces		
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G4.2	1.2	2	2	2	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for reallocation of public services
G4.3	1.2	2	2	2	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for reallocation of public schools
G4.6	1.2	2	2	2	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for reallocation of public sports and cultural infrastructures
G6- Management a	ind commun	ity in	volve	ment		
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G6.3	1.8	2	2	3	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for urban design plans
G8-Perceptual						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G8.3	1.8	3	2	2	1	The impact of Potential Effect is detrimental for the citizens' quality of life
G8.5	1.8	2	3	2	1	
TOTAL	15.9					

**L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION**: the municipality's priorities is to reduce energy consumption and energy cost, to produce electricity from PV, to reduce CO2 emissions. Self-efficiency in water, recycle and anti-flooding protection are secondary targets.

# d. SNTool benchmarks rationale

In this section the motivation of the value of benchmarks assigned to the different criteria for score 0 (minimum acceptable performance) and for score 5 (excellent and ideal performance) is described. In order to set the benchmark values, we have integrated national/ local policies, guidelines, statistics and good practice. The Regional benchmarks are set for Attica Prefecture, while the Local benchmarks for the Municipality of Fylis (differentiation only in C1:Non-renewable energy, presented in brackets).

A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
		m³/ha	0: 60,000			
	Relation between the usable space		5: 30,000			
A1.2	of the buildings (volume) and the net developable area (area).	Based on the Hellenic New Building Regulation the ratio of the usable space of the buildings (volume) to the net developable area (area) ranges between 6 and 3 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> . [Νόμος 4447/2016: Χωρικός σχεδιασμός - Βιώσιμη ανάπτυξη και άλλες διατάξεις].				
A1.4	The ratio of total residential population relative to the total land area for all developed residential blocks within the local area.	pp/ha	0: 600 5: 100			
		Values betv urban and s 285_2004_1	veen 100-600 per semi-urban areas ПОЛЕОΔОМІКА .	sons / ha are typical for most [ΦΕΚ ΣΤΑΘΕΡΟΤΥΠΑ]		





A1.5	The ratio of typical building heights compared to the distance between building facades on the other side of the street	- In order to urban areas ratio of buil building fac	0: 0.1 5: 0.5 have efficient so s for the location of ding heights comp ades on the other	plar exposure during winter in of Attica, a typical value for the pared to the distance between is side of the street is 0.5
A1.7	Undeveloped land considered to be of value for ecological or agricultural purposes	0/	0: 10	
		70	5: 20	
		Indicative e	mpirical values.	

B- ECONOMY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
	Average unemployment rate over a		0: 10	Score 0 corresponds to		
B2.3	5-year period	%	5: 5	statistical data for Attica for the years before 2008.		
B2.4	Ratio of vacancies in commercial buildings (offices, shops), over a 5-	%	0:25	Score 0 corresponds to statistical data for Attica for		
<i>DL</i> . 1	year period.	,,,	5: 5	the years before 2008.		
5	Ratio of households suffering from	<u>^</u>	0:10	Score 0 corresponds to		
B2.5	energy poverty	%	5: 5	statistical data for Attica for the years before 2008.		
		Euro/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 17.7			
B3.3.	Annual energy costs of public office/educational buildings	Euro/III*       5:4.1         Score 0 corresponds to the energy cost for the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the public office/ educationals building of the dominant energy class (as estimated in C1.3 and C1.6), while Score 5 to the energy cost for consumptions of energy class A+. It is assumed that thermal energy is covered by fuel oil. An increase of 20% is considered in order to take into account energy cost for equipment and installations.         COMMENT: All uses are taken into account, including equipment and installations (unlike energy related indicators). Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.				

C- ENERGY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
C1.1	Urban thermal energy consumption of building operations	kWh/m2	0: 314.0 (323.2)	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately	
			5: 21.1 (16.1)	owned non-residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.	







and the second and the

		Thermal energy consumption for all building uses, was defined as the weighted average for different building uses. The breakdown of whole buildings per building use in Attica Prefecture was defined using data from the Hellenic Statistical Authority (HSA). Due to lack of significant sample for the Municipality of Fylis, the weighted average was not used for the local benchmarking. The average thermal consumption for whole buildings per building use and energy class in Attica Prefecture (Municipality of Fylis) was defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert). For this reason, calculated data for thermal consumption for space heating (SH) and Domestic Hot Water (DHW) from a single fuel (fuel oil, natural gas or biomass), were used, and also adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. For each building use, <u>score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while <u>score 5</u> to the consumption of energy class A+ (33% of class B).			
C1.3	Urban thermal energy consumption of public office/educational building operations	kWh/m2	0: 68.1 (62.3) 5: 11.5 (10.5)	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical / calculated data.	
		No weighted average for building uses. Averages from EPC for office/ educational building uses, similar to C1.1.			
C1.4	Urban electrical energy consumption of building operations	kWh/m2	0: 64.2 (67.6) 5: 7.9 (10.8)	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately owned non-residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.	
		Similar to C1.1 with the exception that all end uses (space heating, space cooling and domestic hot water) were taken into account.			
C1.6	Urban electrical energy consumption of public office/	kWh/m2	0: 90.1 (100.5) 5: 24.1 (32.6)	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical / calculated data.	
		No weighted average for building uses. Averages from EPC for office/ educational building uses, similar to C1.4.			
C1.7	Annual total primary energy consumption per internal useful floor area	kWh/m2	0: 461.9 (528.2) 5: 38.2 (41.9)	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately owned non-residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.	
		Similar to C heating, spa into accoun	1.1, with the exce ace cooling and d t.	ption that all end uses (space omestic hot water) were taken	
C1.9	Annual total primary energy consumption per internal useful floor area of public office/ educational buildings	kWh/m2	0: 286.4 (346.9) 5: 74.5 (94.4)	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical / calculated data.	





		heating, space cooling and domestic hot water) were taken into account.			
		kWh/m2	0: 0.72 5: 0.50	For calculated data.	
C1.20	outdoor public lighting systems	From discu expert on lig public lighti Score 5¨ab	ssions with a men ghting, Score 0 th ng planning for ne out 30% decrease	hber of Local Committee, e consumption for a typical ighborhoods during 1990. for new led lighting fixtures	
		%	0:4	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated/	
C2.1	Ratio of on-site renewable thermal energy consumption to the total thermal energy consumptions of all	<u>Score 0</u> cor of their DH energy sou <u>Score 5</u> cor 100% of the	5. 14 responds to 50% V energy consum rces. responds to 100% eir DHW energy co	calculated data. of the buildings covering 60% ption from on-site renewable 6 of the buildings covering pnsumption from on-site	
	bunungs	renewable energy sources. The average ratio of the DHW energy consumption to the thermal energy consumption for whole buildings in Attica Prefecture was defined using calculated data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert). (Buildings with fuel oil, natural gas or biomass for space heating and electricity, fuel oil, natural gas or biomass for DHW).			
C2.7	Ratio of on-site renewable electrical energy consumption to the total electrical energy consumption of all buildings	%	0: 1 5: 47	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated data.	
		The breakdown of whole buildings in residential and non- residential in Attica Prefecture was defined using data from the Hellenic Statistical Authority (HAS) (the breakdown is almost similar for the Municipality of Fylis). <u>Score 0</u> corresponds to 5% of the residential buildings covering 25% of their electrical energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to 50% of the residential and 20% of the non-residential buildings covering 100% of their electrical energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources.			
C2.8	Ratio of on-site renewable electrical energy consumption to the total electrical energy consumption of public office/ educational building	%	0: 0 5: 20	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated data	
		Score 0 con buildings co consumptio Score 5 con educational energy con sources.	responds to 0% o overing a part of th on from on-site ren responds to 20% I buildings coverin sumption from on-	f the public office/ educational neir electrical energy ewable energy sources, of the public office/ g 100% of their electrical site renewable energy	







C2.13	Ratio of residential buildings with		0: 38	<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the average number of
	renewable systems for thermal	%		households with solar
	energy production		5: 100	collectors (data from the
				Hellenic Statistical Authority)

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
		kg CO2	0: 46		
		eq./m2/yr	5: 5		
D1.2	D1.2 CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year		responds to CO2 I electrical energy nant energy class responds to the C ns of energy class assumed that ther	equivalent emissions for the consumption of the buildings CO2 equivalent emissions for s A+ (as estimated in C1.1 and mal energy is covered by fuel	

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
			0: 62.1	Use of statistical/ estimated	
			5: 18.6	data	
<b>E16</b>	Water consumption per inhabitant	т³ /	<u>Score 0</u> correspondences	onds to the average water a typical household in Attica	
L1.0	in residential buildings (annually)	occupant	Prefecture, base	ed on statistical data from	
			ELSTAT.		
			<u>Score 5</u> corresp	onds to a reduction up to 70%	
			of the typical	consumption, based on a	
			published article		
		m³ / m²	0: 0.65		
			5: 0.33		
	Water consumption per m <sup>2</sup> in public	Score 0 cor	responds to the w	l veighted average of the water	
E1./	onice/educational buildings (appually)	consumption for school and educational buildings, based			
	(annually)	on their surface.			
		Score 5 corresponds to a reduction up to 50%, based on			
		discussions with National Local Committee Members.			
		m³ / m²	0: 0.73		
		watered	5.054		
		surface	5: 0.51		
E1.8	Water consumption in public	Score 0 corresponds to the weighted average of the water			
	spaces	consumption for school and educational buildings, based			
		on their surface.			
		<u>Score 5</u> corresponds to a reduction up to 30%, based on			
	Detie of vestiments loss (adv. 'd' is a	013003310118	with Induorial LOC		
E2.1	Ratio of residents located within a walking distance of 100 m from	%	0: 60	Based on discussions with National Local Committee	







solid waste and recycling collection	5: 100	Members

F- ENVIRONMENT					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
F1.3	Percentage of water flowing through the ground	%	0: 15	Based on discussions with National Local Committee	
			5: 80	Members	
F1.10	Ratio of cut-off public lighting	0/	0: 10	Based on discussions with	
	fixtures		5: 100	Members	
F2 3	Number of days exceeding the daily	davs	0: 35	Score 0 based on European	
12.0	limits for PM10 in a year	dayo	5: 0	Air quality Standards	
F2 6	Number of days exceeding the daily	davs	0: 25	Score 0 European Air quality	
Γ2.0	limits for ozone in a year	uays	5: 0	Standards	
E3 3	Ratio of green spaces to the total area	%	0: 5	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members	
F3.3			5: 30		
F3.5	Flood protection	Text	0:	There is an implemented flood protection plan, but it hasn't been tested yet	
			5:	There is an implemented flood protection plan, it has been successfully tested	
F3.11	Emergency response plan	Text	0:	There is an emergency response plan on a local level. No operational exercises	
			5:	There is an emergency response plan on a local level. Scheduled operational exercises	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
C1 1	Percent of key public, buildings that	0/	0: 50	Based on discussions with
61.1	disabled persons	70	5: 100	Members
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian ways that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Text	0:	Sidewalks and pedestrian ways of the main network, accessible by physically disabled persons





			5:	All sidewalks and pedestrian ways accessible by physically disabled persons. Traffic lights with sound in all main roads.
G2.1	Percent of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation stop	%	0: 50 5: 100	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members
			0:	Automated bicycle rental system
G2.3	Availability of smart services	Text	5:	Free charging station for electric or plug-in hybrid vehicle
C2 4	Total walkway meters of dedicated	m/100	0:2	Based on statistical data for Attica Prefecture (https://www.smu.gr/greece_ cycle_map/)
G2.4	bicycle path per 100 inhabitants	% Text Text % Text % Text % Text % Text	5: 20	Based on data from Municipality of Trikala, which is considered as good example
G4.2	Percentage of inhabitants within 800m walking distance of at least 3	%	0: 50	Based on discussions with National Local Committee
	key services	% Text m/100 inhabitant s % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	5: 90	Members
G4.3	Percent of inhabitants within 700m walking distance from at least one public school	%	0: 70 5: 100	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members
G4.6	Percent of inhabitants located within a distance of 1000 m from at	%	0: 50	Based on discussions with National Local Committee
	least one public leisure facility	m/100 inhabitant s % % %	5: 100	Members
G6.3	Level of involvement of users in urban planning.	Text	0:	Degree of tokenism. Providing inhabitants and users mainly with the information about the urban project
			5:	Degree of citizens power at all stages of the project
G8.3	Perceived safety of public places	Text	0:	Adequate safety only during daytime.
	and pedestrian routes		5:	Very high safety during daytime and night
G8.5	Health and safety risks from overhead electric distribution system	Text	0:	Overhead high power cables at least 100m from the buildings or/and overhead MV power cables with voltage transformers close to the buildings
			5:	No overhead electric distribution system







A long of the second of the

# e. SNTool Criteria Specifications

In this section for each selected criterion the presentation includes:

- Information source: The source of the data/information that can be used to characterize the value of the indicator. Example: monitored data, measured data, statistic data, models and simulation, studies, data banks, etc.
- Assessment method: Short and concise description of the assessment method that can be used to verify the value of indicators. Example: calculation steps, data analysis process, monitoring procedure, content of a study, use of statistic data, etc.
- Standards: technical documents taken as reference for the assessment method.
- The presentation that follows describes in details the relevant values that have been calculated for the Hellenic Training Phase in Municipality of Fylis
- > Relevant information are also provided in the Training Material

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	IS
		Information source	Measured data, studies
A1.2	Urban compactness	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the aggregate gross volume of all buildings in the local area, m <sup>3</sup> . 2. Calculate the net developable area by subtracting the surface area used for parks, streets, parking and pedestrian areas from the gross surface area of the local area, ha. 3. Determine the ratio of the aggregate volume of buildings to the net local developable area, m <sup>3</sup> /ha. NOA pilot steps/comments: Define the number of buildings included in the selected area. Define the land area covered by buildings. Define the number of floors for each building. Assuming typical floor height about 3.5 m, calculate the volume of each building above ground. Calculate the ratio of the total volume of the buildings above ground to the land area covered by buildings (27909 m3/ha)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Measured data, studies, statistical data
A1.4	Residential density	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Identify ground surface area of properties being used for residential purposes,m<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>2. Identify the total residential population for the relevant residential buildings.</li> <li>3. Calculate the residential density.</li> </ul> NOA pilot steps/comments:





			From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of residential buildings, the land area covered by residential buildings, as well as the number of households were defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the average household of West Region of Attica Prefecture, is 3. The total number of residents in the selected area was calculated. The ratio of the number of residents to the land area covered by residential buildings was calculated. (151 residents/ha)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Measured data, estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps: Calculate the ratio of typical building heights compared to the distance between building facades on opposite sides of the street
A1.5	Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area, the width of the roads, as well as the number of floors for all buildings were defined. Define the typical road width (8m). For these roads define the number of floors of the typical building (2). Assuming typical floor height about 3.5 m, calculate the ratio height to width (0.9)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Measured data, studies
A1.7	Conservation of Land *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Determine the gross surface area of the neighbourhood 2. Determine the aggregate surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value 3. Subtract the aggregate undeveloped area from the gross surface area of the urban area, which should equal to the total area developed for buildings, streets, vehicle parking and other infrastructures NOA pilot steps/comments: From maps of the area, the land that is considered as ecological and agricultural was defined. (0%)
		Standard	Insert text here







and the second and the

B- ECONOMY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	٧S
B2.3		Information source	Statistical data
	Unemployment rate	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Define the number of unemployed persons within the area. Calculate the ratio of unemployed to the total persons living in the area NOA pilot steps/comments: From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the average unemployment rate was not officially reported, but estimated. (22%)
		Standard	
		Information source	Measured data
B2.4	Economic viability of commercial occupancies	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Define the number of commercial occupancies within the selected area. Define the number of empty commercial occupancies. Calculate the ratio empty to total commercial occupancies NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of number of commercial occupancies as well as the number of empty commercial occupancies were defined (29%)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Estimated – Statistical data
B2.5	Energy poverty of households	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Define the number of households in the area. 2. Define the number of households claiming inability to keep home adequately warm during winter 3. Calculate the ratio of households claiming inability to keep home adequately warm to the total number of households (x100). NOA pilot steps/comments: From the Hellenic Statistical Authority (HSA) the average percentage of households with inadequate heating during winter period was defined on a national basis. (26%)
	lloo dogo onorge cost for	Information	Entimated Statistical data
B3.3 p	Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings *	source Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Sum of the running energy costs of each public





building in the area up to an aggregated running costs energy value. The total cost must be normalized per the total indoor useful area of public buildings NOA pilot steps/comments: From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, based on the national calculation method for the energy efficiency of buildings,, data for the operational cost for the municipal office/educational buildings within the pilot area were available. An increase of 20% was taken into account in order to take into account other electrical consumptions not considered in the national method (20.4 Euro/m<sup>2</sup>) COMMENT: All uses are taken into account, including equipment and installations (unlike energy related indicators). Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.

Standard

Insert text here

C- ENERGY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	NS
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations *	Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used. CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water. <u>Use of calculated data</u> : 1. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption,for each building in the local area, <i>kWh</i> 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total internal area of all buildings Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of monitored/metered data</u> : 1. Data collection of the monitored annual total final thermal energy consumption, for each building in the local area, kWh. The consumption data have to be estimated taking the average over 3 years period 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings 3. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings 3. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings 3. Calculate the aggregated annual total final







		thermal energy consumption / Total internal area of all buildings Note: Cooling and lighting are included in order to consider the potential use of, for example, CHP or trigeneration for generating electricity that may then be used for lighting and heat for sorption cooling.
		NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical data. The average thermal consumption for buildings within the testing area was defined based on the average thermal consumption for residential and non residential buildings in Attica Prefecture using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), as well as calculated data for the public offce/educational buildings from an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia. From EPC database, calculated data for thermal consumption for space heating (SH) and Domestic Hot Water (DHW) from a single fuel (fuel oil, natural gas or biomass), were used, and also adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. From an on- site audit in the testing area, the total floor area of all buildings as well as of residential buildings (internal dimensions) were estimated. (155.4 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
	Standard	EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy- efficiency/buildings https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications //buildings_certification.pdf www.theicct.org/sites/default/files//ICCTupdate _EU95gram_jan2014.pdf
	Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water. <u>Use of calculated data</u> : 1. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for each public building in the local area, kWh 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all public buildings 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total internal area of all public buildings







		Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of monitored/ metered data</u> : 1. Data collection of the monitored annual total final thermal energy consumption for each public building in the local area, kWh. The consumption data have to be estimated taking the average over 3 years period 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all public buildings 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total internal area of all public buildings Note: Cooling and lighting are included in order to consider the potential use of, for example, CHP or trigeneration for generating electricity that may then be used for lighting and heat for sorption cooling.
		NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for thermal consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. Calculate the ratio of total thermal energy consumption to the total internal area for all public buildings in the area with thermal energy consumption. (73.6 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space baseting and expline)
	Standard	ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy- efficiency/buildings https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications //buildings_certification.pdf www.theicct.org/sites/default/files//ICCTupdate _EU95gram_jan2014.pdf
	Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
Total final electric energy consumption for building operations *	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting <u>Use of calculated data</u>:</li> <li>1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption in kilowatt hours, kWh.</li> <li>2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building.</li> <li>3. Sum the internal useful area of each building in the area, m2.</li> <li>4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated</li> </ul>





		annual total final electric energy consumption/ aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of monitored/metered data</u> : 1. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building 3. Sum the internal useful area of each building in the area, m2. 4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/ aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical data. The average electric energy consumption for buildings within the testing area was defined based on the average electric energy consumption for residential and non residential buildings in Attica Prefecture using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), as well as calculated data for the public offce/educational buildings from an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, and also adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total floor area of all buildings as well as of residential buildings (internal dimensions) were estimated. (77.7 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
	Standard	EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling)
	Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building operations	Assessment method	The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. <u>Use of calculated data</u> : 1. For each public building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each public building 3. Sum the internal useful area of each public building in the area, m2







		<ul> <li>4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2</li> <li>Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method</li> <li>Use of monitored/ metered data:</li> <li>1. For each public building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption, kWh/year</li> <li>2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each public building</li> <li>3. Sum the internal useful area of each public building in the area, m2</li> <li>4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2</li> <li>The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years</li> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments:</li> <li>From calculated data. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for electric energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. Calculate the ratio of total electric energy consumption to the total internal area for all public buildings in the area with electric energy consumption. (145.1)</li> </ul>
		kWh/m²)
	Standard	Insert text here
	Information source	Calculated data
Total primary energy demand for building operations *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. 1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh 3. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building per energy carrier 4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption, kWh 5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption 6. Sum the internal useful area of each building in the area, m2 7. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total primary energy consumption /







			aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical data. Based on the Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (C.1.1) and the Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations (C1.4) that have been calculated. Taking into account the conversion factors for fuel oil and electricity the total primary energy consumption was calculated (396.3 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings, Colculation of operations for space
		Standard	heating and cooling)
		Information source	Estimated data
C1.9	Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. 1. For each public building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh 3. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each public building per energy carrier 4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption, kWh 5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption 6. Sum the internal useful area of each public building in the area, m2 7. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total primary energy consumption / aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. NOA pilot steps/comments:
			From calculated data. Based on the Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations (C.1.3) and the Total final electrical energy consumption for public office/educational building operations (C1.6) that have been calculated. Taking into account the conversion factors for fuel oil and electricity the total primary energy consumption for public office/educational building was calculated (501.8 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
		Standard	buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling)







		Information source	Calculated - Metered data
C1.20			CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the total annual energy consumption for public lighting in the area, kWh 2. Calculate the ratio of total energy consumption for public lighting to the total gross surface of the area, kWh/m <sup>2</sup>
	Energy consumption of public lighting	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for the installed power of the lighting fixtures for public lighting within testing area were available. Based on the energy study, public lighting is turned on for 11 hours per day for 365 days per year. Calculate the ratio of total energy consumption for public lighting to the total gross surface of the area. (0.57 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water. Use of estimated data: 1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption of each building 3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh 4. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building 6. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. Use of metered data: 1. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final thermal energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption of each building 3. For each building in the local area, collect the metored annual final thermal energy consumption of each building 3. For each building in the local area, collect the monitored annual final thermal energy







consumption from on-site renewable sources, *kWh* 

4. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each

building

5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total thermal energy generation from on-site renewable

energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption.

The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years

NOA pilot steps/comments:

From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total number of households and the number of solar collectors were defined. Assuming that each solar collector corresponds to one household, the percentage of households with solar collectors was defined. The total floor area of all buildings as well as of households with solar collectors (internal dimensions) was estimated. The average thermal consumption for domestic hot water in residential buildings within the testing area, was defined based on the average thermal consumption for buildings in Attica Prefecture, using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates electronic repository (buildingcert). Assuming that households with solar collector cover 60% of their energy consumption for domestic hot water (according to KENAK), the total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources was defined as the 60% of the product of the average thermal energy consumption for DHW with the total floor area of households with solar collectors in the area. The thermal consumption for all buildings within testing area, was defined as the product of the average thermal energy consumption (from C1.1) with the total floor area of all buildings in the area. The ratio of the total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources to the total thermal consumption for all buildings was calculated (3.4%) **COMMENT:** If the denominators was not defined by the average thermal energy then it should be calculated as the thermal consumption (non renewable) plus the total



thermal energy consumption from on-site

renewable energy sources



		Standard	EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
		Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting</li> <li>1. For each building in the local area, calculate or collect the metered annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption, kWh</li> <li>2. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier</li> <li>3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh</li> <li>4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh.</li> <li>5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption, kWh.</li> <li>6. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source (P.V, solar thermal panels), kWh</li> <li>7. Sum the annual final energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh.</li> <li>8. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source in annual primary energy form RES for each energy carrier displaced, e.g. electricity from PVs that displaces electricity from the grid or thermal energy from solar collectors that displaces the use of heating oil</li> <li>9. Sum the annual primary energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources (kWh/year).</li> <li>10. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated total annual primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / aggregated total annual primary</li> </ul>







			energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years. NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. The average thermal energy consumption from
			on-site renewable energy sources was defined in C2.1. From the Energy Performance Certificates for Attica Prefecture, the breakdown of fuelas used in domestic hot water was defined and the corrsponding percentages were used to define the breakdown of the fuels replaced by energy from sollar collectos. The total electric energy production from PV panels was defined in C2.7. Taking into account the conversion factors for fuel oil and electricity the total primary energy from on site RES was calculated. the total primary energy for all buildings was defined in C1.7. The ratio of the total primary energy from on site RES, to the total primary energy consumptions for buildings was calculated. (5.0%) <b>COMMENT: If the denominators was not defined by the average primary energy then it should be calculated as the total primary energy consumption (non renewable), plus the total primary energy from on site RES</b>
		Standard	EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
		Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
C2.6	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public ofice/ educational buildings operation.	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting 1. For each public ofice/ educational building in the local area, calculate or collect the metered annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier 3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh 4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh. 5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption







		Standard	<ul> <li>per energy carrier up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption, kWh.</li> <li>6. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source (P.V, solar thermal panels), kWh</li> <li>7. Sum the annual final energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh.</li> <li>8. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh.</li> <li>8. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source in annual primary energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source hannual primary energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh. Estimate the total primary energy from RES for each energy carrier displaced, e.g. electricity from PVs that displaces electricity from the grid or thermal energy from solar collectors that displaces the use of heating oil</li> <li>9. Sum the annual primary energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources (kWh/year).</li> <li>10. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated total annual primary energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years.</li> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments:</li> <li>From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of solar collectors and PV panels on public office/educational buildings were defined. (0%)</li> <li>EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013</li> </ul>
	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions *	Information	Metered or estimated data
		Source	the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data shall be used
			CESBAMED calculation steps:
		Assessment method	The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries,
			domestic hot water and lighting.
			Use of estimated data



C2.7





1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption, *kWh* 

2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building

3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh 4. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building

5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method

#### Use of metered data:

1. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption, kWh

2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building.

3. For each building in the local area, collect the monitored annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources.

kWh

4 Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each

building

5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total electric energy generation from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final electric energy consumption.

The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years

#### NOA pilot steps/comments:

From calculated – estimated - statistical data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of photovoltaic panels were defined. Additionally, the total floor area of all buildings (internal dimensions) was estimated. From an energy study carried out in the area, each PV panel produces about 1030kWh as an average.It was assumed that all the produced energy was consumed from the buildings.

The total electric energy consumption of the buildings was defined as the product of the average electric energy consumption (from C1.4) with the total floor area of all buildings in the area. The share of renewable energy on-site on final electric energy consumption was calculated as the ratio of total electric energy production from PV panels consumped within

A KING WEIGHT

1. Round




	Standard Information source	the buildings to the total electric energy consumption.(2.4%) <b>COMMENT: If the denominators was not</b> <b>defined by the average electric energy then it</b> <b>should be calculated as the electric energy</b> <b>(non renewable) plus the total electric energy</b> <b>production from PV</b> EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013. Metered or estimated data For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data energy used
Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumption for public office/educational buildings operation	Assessment method	data shall be used         CESBAMED calculation steps:         The following energy uses are considered:         heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries,         domestic hot water and lighting.         Use of estimated data:         1. For each public building in the local area,         calculate the annual final electric energy         consumption, kWh         2. Sum the annual final electric energy         consumption of each public building         3. For each public building in the local area,         calculate the annual final electric energy         consumption         from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh         4. Sum the annual final electric energy         consumption from on-site renewable sources of         each public         building         5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final         electric energy consumption from on-site         renewable sources / annual total final electric         energy consumption. Calculations are based on         EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly         method         Use of metered data:         1. For each public building in the local area,         collect the metered annual final electric energy         consumption, kWh         2. Sum the annual final electric





C2.8



			energy sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of solar collectors and PV panels on public office/educational buildings were defined. (0%)
		Standard	EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
		Information source	Metered data
			CESBAMED calculation steps: Define the number of households with solar collectors. Calculate the ratio of households with solar collectors to the total number of households.
C2.13	Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered - estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total number of households and the number of solar collectors were defined. Assuming that each solar collector corresponds to one household, the ratio of households with solar collectors to the total number of households was defined.(65%)
		Standard	

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	IS
		Information source	Estimated data
			CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula:
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations *	Assessment method	$E = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{fuel,i} \times LHV_i \times k_{em,i}) + (Q_{ei} \times k_{em,el}) + (Q_{dh} \times k_{em,dh})\right]$ Qfuel, I = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 or Kg) Qel = annual quantity of electric energy from the grid (kWh) Qdh = annual quantity of energy from district heating/cooling (kWh) LHVi = lower heating value of the i-th fuel (kWh/m3 or kWh/Kg)







	Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the i-th fuel (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the electric energy from the grid (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total CO2 equivalent emissions from all buildings / total useful internal floor area of all buildings
	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total floor area of all buildings (internal dimensions) was estimated. Using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates electronic repository for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liossia, the average thermal consumption and the average electric energy consumption for buildings were defined. Thermal energy is only from fuel oil. Based on the national conversion factors to COeq (20704.1 kg/GWh for fuel oil and 61123.9 kg/GWh for electricity), total CO2 equivalent emissions from all buildings to total useful internal floor area of all buildings was calculated (10.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )
Standard	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings)

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	IS
		Information source	Metered data. The use of estimated data is preferable for scenarios' evaluation or if metered data is not available.
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population *	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps: The following water uses are considered: drinking water; water for sanitation; domestic hot water; water for cleaning, water for washing machine, water for dishwasher</li> <li>1. For each residential building, collect the monitored annual potable water consumptions for building operation. The consumption data must be estimated taking the average over 3 years period, m<sup>3</sup>.</li> <li>2. Sum the annual potable water consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual total potable water consumption, m<sup>3</sup>/year.</li> <li>3. Estimate the number of residential buildings' occupants.</li> </ul>







4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total potable water consumption / number of occupants.

#### NOA pilot steps/comments:

From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of households was defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the average household of West Region of Attica Perfecture, is 3. From statistica Idata (<u>http://eekp.gr/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2013/09/arxeio12.2.pdf</u>) the daily water consumption per (3-persons) household is 0.489m3. The ratio of the annual water consumption for the households of the testing area to the residents was calculated. (59.5 m<sup>3</sup>/occupant)

#### Standard

	Information source	Metered data. The use of estimated data is preferable for scenarios' evaluation or if metered data is not available.
Consumption of potable water for public office/ educational building systems *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following water uses are considered: drinking water; water for sanitation; domestic hot water; water for cleaning, water for washing machine, water for dishwasher 1. For each public office/ educational building, collect the monitored annual water consumptions for building operation, m <sup>3</sup> . The consumption data must be estimated taking the average over 3 years period 2. Sum the annual water consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual total water consumption 3) Estimate the total useful internal floor area of all buildings. 4) Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total water consumption / total useful internal floor area of all buildings. NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, the total internal surface of all publicbuildings was available. The ratio of annual total water consumption to the total useful internal floor area of all buildings was calculated (0.65 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>COMMENT: The units should be m<sup>3</sup>/person</b>





E1.7



#### Standard

		Information source	Estimated or metered data.
E1.8	Consumption of potable water in public spaces	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the annual water consumption of potable water in public spaces (for cleaning / watering purposes) 2. Calculate the total cleaned / watered area. 3. Calculate the ratio of annual water consumption to the cleaned / watered area. NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated (0.99 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )
		Standard	
		Information source	Calculated data
E2.1	Percentage of buildings close to recycling collection points	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Identify the location of ecological areas or individual recycling bins in the area 2. Calculate the radius between these nodes and the entrance of the buildings. 3. Calculate the percentage of the buildings located more than 100 meters from the recycling points. NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of buildings, the total number of recycling bins as well as their location were defined. The percentage of buildings within a 100m distance from recycling bins was calculated. (65%)

F- ENVIRONMENT			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	NS
	Recharge of groundwater	Information source	Thematic map – Geographic Information System. Estimated data
F1.3	through permeable paving or landscaping *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the size of the urban area, m <sup>2</sup> 2. Calculate the size of the surfaces with a





different paving or occupied by constructions in the urban area (i.e. green areas, asphalt paving, surfaces occupied by buildings, etc.) 3. Calculate the real permeability of soil considering the permeability coefficient of each surface.

Sa,per =  $\Sigma$  Sa, $\iota \times \alpha i$ 

Sa,*i* = *i*-th surface in the area, m2  $\alpha i$  = permeability coefficient of the *i*-th surface (Reference permeability coefficients: Grass = 1, Gravel = 0.9, Sand = 0.9, Plastic gratings filled with land/grass = 0.8, Concrete gratings leaning on the grass = 0.6, Concrete gratings leaning on gravel = 0.6, Interlocking elements leaning on sand/ gravel = 0.3, Interlocking elements leaning on concrete pavement = 0, Continuous pavements leaning on concrete = 0, Asphalt = 0) 4. Calculate the indicator's value as the ratio of the real permeability of soil to the size of the urban area.

#### NOA pilot steps/comments:

From calculated - estimated data. From an onsite audit in the testing area, the size of the surfaces with a different paving or occupied by constructions was defined. The real permeability of the area was calculated, using the default values for the permeability coefficient of various surfaces. The ratio of the real permeability to the total area was calculated. (31%)

national or regional procedures over a period of

- And - And

men e

		Information source	Calculated data
F1.10	Ratio of cut-off lighting fixtures for public lighting	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Define the number of cut-off lighting fixtures for public lighting in the area 2. Define the total number of lighting fixtures for public lighting in the area 3. Calculate the ratio of the number of cut-off lighting fixtures to the total number of lighting fixtures for public lighting NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the ratio was defined (70%)
		Standard	
	Ambient air quality with	Information source	Metered - Estimated data
F2.3	<pre>respect to particulates &lt;10 mu (PM10) over a one vear period *</pre>	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Daily test air samples in accordance with period of the second state of t





one year. 2. Evaluate the number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year.
NOA pilot steps/comments:
From metered data. From an Aerosols
Monitoring Station located in the Municipal
Union of Ano Liossia, data for hourly PM10
concentrations for one year were obtained. Daily
averages were calculated. The number of days
within a year that the daily averages exceed the
limit of 50 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> was defined, extracting the
days with dust events (38)

#### Standard

		Information source	Metered - Estimated data
F2.6	Ambient air quality - ozone	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Hourly data for O3, either from a nearby monitoring station or from test air samples in accordance with national or regional procedures, over a period of one year. 2. Calculate daily rolling 8-hour averages 2. Calculate the number of days exceeding even once the daily limit (120µ/m3) in a year. NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From an Aerosols Monitoring Station located in the Municipal Union of Ano Liossia, data for hourly O <sub>3</sub> concentrations for one year were obtained. Daily rolling 8-hour averages were calculated. The number of days within a year that even once the daily rolling averages exceed the limit of 120 µg/m <sup>3</sup> was defined. (37)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered or Estimated data
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Calculate the ratio Green zones & Recreation areas (m <sup>2</sup> ) to Urban area (m <sup>2</sup> ) NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total area and the area of green zones and reacreation zones were defined. (3.2%)
		Standard	
F3.5	Flood protection	Information source	Documantation data



	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the existance of a flood protection plan, the implementation and the testing
Assessment	
method	NOA pilot steps/comments:
	From the civil protection department of the
	Municipality. There is an implemented flood
	protection plan, but it hasn't been tested yet

#### Standard

		Information source	Documantation
F3.11	Emergency response plan	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the existance of an emergency response plan, the implementation and the testing
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From the civil protection department of the Municipality. There is an emergency response plan on a local level. No operational exercises

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	NS	
		Information source	Metered data	
G1.1	Public office/ educational buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1.Define the number of public office/ educational buildings with full accessibility of exterior parking and pedestrian access areas, considering all major disability types. 2. Calculate the percent of public buildings that may be considered accessible by physically disabled persons. NOA pilot steps/comments: From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the percent of public office/ educational buildings that may be considered accessible by physically disabled persons, was defined. (30%)	
		Standard		
	Sidewalks and other	Information	Metered data	
G1.2	accessible for use by physically disabled	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the extend to which the sidewalks	





	persons		and pedestrian ways of the main and the secondary network are accessible by physically disabled persons NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area. Sidewalks and pedestrian ways of the main network are not accessible by physically disabled persons.
		Standard	
		Information source	Estimated data
G2.1	Performance of the public transport *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: For the calculation of the indicator only residents (and not working people in the area) are considered Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop To be considered valid for the calculation, a stop must have a daily total service frequency of at least 20 trips. NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality for the public transport, the number and location of public transport stops was defined. (100%)
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities – Urban Sustainability Framework
		Information source	Estimated data
G2.3	Smart services	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the presence of smart services NOA pilot steps/comments: From the corresponding department of the Municipality, there is free wifi network in some public spaces.
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network *	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Estimation of the number of inhabitants in the area</li> <li>2. Calculation of the walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths in the area (A)</li> <li>3. Calculation of the meters of bicycle paths in the area (B)</li> </ul>







4. Calculation of the meters of shared spaces (C)

5. Calculation of the indictor's value as (A+B+C)/(100 inhabitants)

Bicycle paths and pedestrian paths have to be safe and physically separated to traffic roads to be considered in the calculation. A walkway adjacent to a traffic road is not acceptable. A "shared space" is an urban design approach that minimizes the segregation between modes of road user (car, pedestrian, bicycle, etc.)

NOA pilot steps/comments:

From metered data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total length of dedicated pedestrian and bicycle paths and "shared space" per 100 inhabitants. was defined.(188.8 m/100 inhabitants)

COMMENT: This indicator is biased, especially when the area is underpopulated, the calculated value is high even with small pedestrian and bicycle networks. Maybe the unit should be the length of pedestrian and bicycle paths and shared areas to the total street length of teh area. An other issue that should be taken into account, is the connectivity of these paths, i.e. bicycle paths are connected with bicycle paths from suurounding areas, or are circular.

		Information source	Metered data
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services *	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Identify locations of key services in the local area.</li> <li>2. Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services coming from the nine categories below.</li> <li>Key services are:</li> <li>1. Education (schools, kindergartens, education centers, etc.)</li> <li>2. Health center (hospitals, medical ward, medical center, etc.)</li> <li>3. Law enforcement areas (police station, etc.)</li> <li>4. Sport facilities</li> <li>5. Food shops</li> <li>6. Bank</li> <li>7. Post office</li> <li>8. Pharmacy</li> <li>9. Shopping center</li> <li>Consider only one key service from each of the nine categories.</li> </ul>







			Private services can be considered.
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the location of key services and the number of housdeholds were defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the average household of West Region of Attica Perfecture, is 3. The total number of residents in the selected area was estimated. The percentage of the inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services was calculated (100%) <b>COMMENT: Key services are not of equal</b> <b>importance. The categories should be</b> <b>regrouped, into 4: Education, Health center,</b> <b>Law enforcement areas, Other</b>
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities – Urban Sustainability Framework
		Information	Metered - Estimated data
G4.3	Availability and proximity of public schools	50010 <del>0</del>	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Identify the public schools.</li> <li>2. Calculate the radius between the buildings and the schools</li> <li>3. Calculate the percentage of inhabitants that are within a radius of 700 meters from at least one public school</li> </ul>
		Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered and estimated data. From an on- site audit in the testing area, the location of public schools and the number of housdeholds were defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the average household of West Region of Attica Perfecture, is 3. The total number of residents in the selected area was estimated. The percentage of inhabitants that are within a radius of 700 meters from at least one public school was calculated (100%)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered - Estimated data
G4.6	Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Identify the facilities for leisure in the area, distinguishing in sports and cultural structures. 2. Calculate the radius between the buildings and these nodes 3. Calculate the percentage of inhabitants that are within a radius of 1000 meters from at least one public sports and one cultural facility
			NOA pilot steps/comments:
			the second s





From metered and estimated data. From an on-
site audit in the testing area, the location of
public schools and the number of housdeholds
were defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical
number of persons in the average household of
West Region of Attica Perfecture, is 3. The total
number of residents in the selected area was
calculated. (100%)

	Community involvement in urban planning activities *	Information source	Process documentation
		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Level of involvement of users in urban planning NOA pilot steps/comments: Not available for existing neighborhoods
G6.3		Standard	Arnstein S., 1969, "A Ladder Of Citizen Participation", Journal of the American Institute of Planners 35 (4), p. 216-24. Chelzen Hélène and Jégou Anne, « À la recherche de l'habitant dans les dispositifs participatifs deprojets urbains durables en région parisienne : les éclairages de l'observation participante »,Développement durable et territoires [En ligne], Vol. 6, n°2   Septembre 2015, mis en ligne le 30 septembre 2015. Quartiers Durables Méditerranéens (Sustainable Mediterranean Neighbourhood) , an approach towards sustainable Mediterranean neighbourhoods in the Provence-Alpes-Côté d'Azur Region, envirobatBDM.
G8.3	Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians	Information source	Metered data
		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Perceived safety of public areas during daytime and nighttime NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality.
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
☑ G8.5	Impact of overhead electric distribution system	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Distance of overhead electric distribution system from buildings. NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality. (Overhead high power cables over 100m from the buildings)
		Standard	







and and

at the second states and

### 3. DIAGNOSIS

#### a. Performance scores

Evaluation of the actual performance and relative level of sustainability of the urban area. PPs have to indicate the scores reached.

	SCORE
A – BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1 – Urban Structure and Form	
A1.2 Urban compactness	5.00
A1.4 Residential density	4.50
A1.5 Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	1.20
A1.7 Conservation of Land *	-1.00
B – ECONOMY	
B2 – Economic activity	
B2.3 Unemployment rate	-1.00
B2.4 Economic viability of commercial occupancies	-1.00
B2.5 Energy poverty of households	-1.00
B3 – Cost and Investment	
B3.3 Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings	-1.00
C – ENERGY	
C1 – Non-renewable energy	
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	2.70
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building	1.00
operations	-1.00
C1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	-1.00
C1.6 Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building	1.00
operations	-1.00
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	0.80
C1.9 Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations	-1.00
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting	3.40
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy	
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for	1.00
buildings operation	-1.00
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for	-1.00
buildings operation	-1.00
C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public	-1.00
office/educational buildings operation	1.00
C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions	0.20
C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public	-1.00
office/educational buildings operation	1.00
C2.13 Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings	2.20
D – ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	
D1 – Atmospheric emissions	
D1.2 – Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	4.30
E – NON RENEWABLE SOURCES	
E1 – Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	0.30
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for public office/educational building systems	0.65
E1.8 Consumption of potable water in public spaces	-1.0
E2 – Solid and Liquid Wastes	





E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points	0.60
F – ENVIRONMENT	
F1 – Environmental impacts	
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	1.20
F1.10 Light pollution caused by exterior public lighting systems	3.30
F2 – Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year	-1.00
period	1.00
F2.6 Amplent air quality - 020ne	-1.00
F3 – Ecosystems and landscapes	1.00
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density	-1.00
F3.5 Flood protection	0.00
F3.11 Emergency response plan	0.00
G – SOCIAL ASPECTS	
G1 – Safety and Accessibility	
G1.1 Public buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	-1.00
G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically	-1.00
disabled persons	-1.00
G2 – Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1 Performance of the public transport	5.00
G2.3 Smart services	-1.00
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	5.00
G4 – Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key services	5.00
G4.3 Availability and proximity of public schools	5.00
G4.6 Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities	5.00
G6 – Management and community involvement	
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	-
G8 – Perceptual	
G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians	0.00
G8.5 Impact of overhead electric distribution system	3.00





A CHARTER AND A CHARTER AND A CHARTER

# b. Key Performance Indicators value

KPI	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
A 1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	%	0.00
B.3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated indoor useful floor area	Euro/m²/year	20.40
C.1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	155.40
C.1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	77.70
C.1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m2/year	396.30
C.2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	%	3.40
C.2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	2.40
D.1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m2/yr	10.90
E.1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Annual potable water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> per occupant*yr	59.50
E.1.7 Consumption of potable water for non- residential building systems	Annual water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0.65
F.1.3 Recharge of groundwater through	Area of permeable surfaces on total neighborhood area	%	31.00
F.2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	days/year	38.00
G.2.1 Performance of the public transport	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	100.00
G.2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path or "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.	m/100 inhabitants	188.80
G.4.2 Availability and proximity of key services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	%	100.00
G.6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level (score)	-





## c. SWOT analysis

The SWOT analysis is presented in the following table

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
<ul> <li>Location</li> <li>Diversity (Land, Water)</li> <li>Landscape</li> <li>Ecological indicators</li> <li>Human resource</li> <li>International co-operations</li> <li>Health, educational, transportation services</li> <li>Economic growth</li> <li>Improvement of life quality</li> <li>Touristic – Industrial infrastructures</li> <li>High RES potential, natural gas network</li> <li>Support for vulnerable citizens</li> <li>Political commitment (vision) for self- efficiency in energy, promotion of RES, environmental awareness)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Slow urbanization</li> <li>Lack of natural resources</li> <li>Limited economic resources</li> <li>Limited infrastructure networks (public wastewater treatment, sewer system)</li> <li>Spatial planning, Areas outside town plan</li> <li>Limited infrastructures for protection against</li> <li>extreme weather conditions</li> <li>Limited infrastructures for environmental protection</li> <li>Unemployment</li> <li>Safety of public places</li> <li>Inadequate public lighting</li> <li>Limited network of bicycle paths and pedestrian paths</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>OPPORTUNITIES</li> <li>Reduce energy cost and finance other resources</li> <li>Support from central, regional administration</li> <li>Private investments</li> <li>New jobs</li> <li>Improve Municipality's image</li> <li>Attract new citizens</li> <li>Protection and enhancement of cultural heritage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>THREATS</li> <li>Climate change</li> <li>Geomorphological characteristics contribution to extreme weather condition</li> <li>Waste management</li> <li>Degradation of natural and cultural heritage</li> <li>More strict laws and regulations</li> </ul>





### 4. STRATEGIC DEFINITION

### a. **Performance targets**

The overall Environmental, Social and Economic targets.

Environmontal targets	Efforts towards sustainable development by improving the
Environmental targets	Lifetis towards sustainable development by improving the
	municipality's energy and carbon footprint, implementing various
	initiatives on energy conservation, exploitation of renewables,
	completing the main natural gas network, the use of LED in all
	municipal buildings and street lighting, establishing the first energy
	community for generating electricity using a PV (8MW) and wind park
	(9MW) at a regenerated area. Self-efficiency in water for the whole
	Municipality.
Social targets	Efforts to improve and facilitate the citizens' life and change
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Municipality's image to become more attractive and a place where
	people want to live in. Free municipal transportation system with two
	circular lines, connecting the different parts of the Municipality. Free
	Wi-Fi in public spaces. Extension of the bicycle and pedestrian paths.
	Reconstruction and maintenance of squares, Improve the safety in the
	Municipality. Involve citizens in urban planning activities.
Economy targets	Eliminate running energy cost for public buildings and public lighting.
	Reduce unemployment rate and energy poverty of households.

Target values for each criterion in the SNTool reflecting the overall targets.

A – BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS			
A1 – Urban Structure and Form			
A1.2 Urban compactness		Actual value	27909.00
Relation between the usable space of the buildings (volume) and the urban space (area).	m <sup>3</sup> / ha	Target value	27909.00
A1.4 Residential density		Actual value	151.00
The ratio of total residential population relative to the total land area for all developed residential blocks within the local area.		Target value	151.00
A1.5 Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)		Actual value	0.90
The ratio of typical building heights compared to the distance between building facades on the other side of the street.		Target value	0.90
A1.7 Conservation of Land		Actual value	0.00
Undeveloped land considered to be of value for ecological or agricultural purposes.		Target value	0.00
B – ECONOMY			
B2 – Economic activity			
B2.3 Unemployment rate		Actual value	22.00
Average unemployment rate	%	Target value	22.00
B2.4 Economic viability of commercial occupancies		Actual value	29.00
Rate of business failures of commercial occupancies in the local relative to the total urban area, over a 5-year period.	%	Target value	29.00
B2.5 Energy poverty of households		Actual value	26.00
Percent of households with inadequate heating	%	Target value	10.00





B3 – Cost and Investment		
B3.3 Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings Actual value 1		
Annual running energy cost for public office/educational	Target value	0.00
buildings	Target value	0.00
C – ENERGY		
C1 – Non-renewable energy		
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Actual value	155.40
Total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	132.00
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational	Actual value	73.60
building operations		
Total final thermal energy consumption for all public kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	0.00
C1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Actual value	77.70
Total final electric energy consumption for all buildings kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	0.00
C1.6 Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational		
building operations	Actual value	145.10
Total final electric energy consumption for all public kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	0.00
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Actual value	396.30
Total primary energy demand for all buildings kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	139.00
C1.9 Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building		504.00
operations	Actual value	501.80
Total primary energy demand for all public	Target value	0.00
office/educational buildings	l'arget value	0.00
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting	Actual value	0.57
Annual electrical consumption by outdoor public lighting	Target value	0.00
systems	Talget value	0.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy		
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy	Actual value	3.40
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation	Actual value	3.40
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption to the total final thermal energy final therma	Actual value	3.40 3.30
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings         %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on site, on total primary energy	Actual value Target value	<b>3.40</b> 3.30
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings         %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation	Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation          Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total	Actual value Target value Actual value	<b>3.40</b> 3.30 5.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumption of all buildings%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total       %	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings operation%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total buildings%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings%C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for all buildings%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energyC2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operationRatio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings%C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation%Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings%C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions final electric energy consumption of all buildings%C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings%	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       % <td>Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value</td> <td>3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00</td>	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Target value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00 100.00
C2 - Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consu	Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value Target value Actual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00 100.00
C2 - Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all pub	Actual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00 100.00 65.00
C2 – Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.8 Share	Actual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueActual valueTarget value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00 100.00 65.00 65.00
C2 - Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all public office/educational buildings       %         <	Actual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00 100.00 65.00 65.00
C2 - Renewable and Decarbonized energy         C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation         Ratio of on-site renewable energy consumption to the total final thermal energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         Ratio of on-site renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         C2.6 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings operation       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all buildings       %         C2.8 Share of renewable energy consumption to the total final electric energy consumption of all public office/educationa	Actual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueActual valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget valueTarget value	3.40 3.30 5.00 100.00 0.00 100.00 2.40 100.00 0.00 100.00 65.00 65.00





Total GHG Emissions from energy consumption in all buildings	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	2.10
E – NON RENEWABLE SOURCES	I		
E1 – Potable water, stormwater and greywater			
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population		Actual value	59.50
Total water consumption in residential buildings.	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant	Target value	59.50
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for public office/edu	ucational building		0.65
systems	-	Actual value	0.05
Total water consumption in public office/educational buildings	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	0.33
E1.8 Consumption of potable water in public spaces	•	Actual value	
Total potable water consumption used for cleaning and	m <sup>3</sup> / m <sup>2</sup> watered	Target value	0.51
watering purposes in public spaces	surface	Target value	0.57
E2 – Solid and Liquid Wastes			
E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points		Actual value	65.00
Percent of buildings in a radius less than 100m from solid	%	Target value	100.00
waste and recycling collection points.	70	Target value	100.00
F – ENVIRONMENT			
F1 – Environmental impacts		r	
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or	landscaping	Actual value	31.00
Percentage of water flowing through the ground.	%	Target value	31.00
F1.10 Light pollution caused by exterior public lighting system	ns	Actual value	70.00
Ratio of cut-off lighting fixtures for public lighting	%	Target value	70.00
F2 – Outdoor environmental quality			
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 m one year period	nu (PM10) over a	Actual value	38.00
Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year.	days / yr	Target value	38.00
F2.6 Ambient air quality - ozone		Actual value	37.00
Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year.	days / yr	Target value	37.00
F3 – Ecosystems and landscapes	· · · ·		
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density		Actual value	3.20
Ratio of Green zones & recreation areas' surface to the total surface	%	Target value	3.20
F3.5 Flood protection	•	Actual value	-1.00
Flood protection plan	Qualitative parameter	Target value	0.00
F3.11 Emergency response plan	•	Actual value	0.00
Emergency response plan	Qualitative parameter	Target value	0.00
G – SOCIAL ASPECTS	<u> </u>	L	
G1 – Safety and Accessibility			
G1.1 Public buildings that are accessible for use by ph persons	nysically disabled	Actual value	30.00
Percent of public office/educational buildings that are accessible by physically disabled persons.	%	Target value	30.00
G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are acce physically disabled persons	ssible for use by	Actual value	-1.00
Accessibility of sidewalks and other pedestrian paths as well as outdoor parking to physically disabled persons	Qualitative parameter	Target value	-1.00
G2 – Traffic and Mobility Services	· · · · ·		
G2.1 Performance of the public transport		Actual value	100.00
Percent of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking	0/	Terret	100.00
distance of at least one public transportation service stop.	%	I arget value	100.00
G2.3 Smart services	•	Actual value	-1.00
Availability of amort convises	Qualitative	Target value	-1.00





	parameter		
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network		Actual value	188.80
Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path and "shared space" per 100 inhabitants	m/100 inhabitants	Target value	188.80
G4 – Public and private facilities and services			
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key services		Actual value	100.00
Percent of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services.	%	Target value	100.00
G4.3 Availability and proximity of public schools		Actual value	100.00
Percent of inhabitants that are within a radius of 700 meters from at least one public school	%	Target value	100.00
G4.6 Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities		Actual value	100.00
Percent of inhabitants that are within a radius of 1000 meters from at least one public sports and one cultural facility	%	Target value	100.00
G6 – Management and community involvement			
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities		Actual value	-
Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Qualitative parameter	Target value	3.00
G8 – Perceptual			
G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians		Actual value	0.00
Perceived safety of inhabitants in public places during daytime and nighttime	Qualitative parameter	Target value	0.00
G8.5 Impact of overhead electric distribution system		Actual value	3.00
Health and safety risks from overhead electric distribution system	Qualitative parameter	Target value	3.00

## b. Constraints and restrictions

CONSTRAINTS / RESTRICT	TIONS
Legal constraints	New buildings and buildings under maj

Legal constraints	New buildings and buildings under major renovation should comply with Hellenic regulation on the energy performance in the building sector (KENAK)
Technical constraints	Non existing local network of natural gas until recently. It is not known how soon the local network will develop in the neighborhoods. Not sufficient public free areas for the installation of an energy park, so this energy park (PV and wind power) will be installed in a nearby area.
Financial constraints	Availability of funds
Environmental condition constraints	High levels of atmospheric pollution, due to high heavy transport traffic.
Stakeholder based restrictions	Availability of funds
Other relevant constraints	

A FILL AND A





and the second of the second o

### 5. DECISION MAKING

## a. Description of scenarios

NAME OF SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
1.	<ul> <li>PV panels</li> <li>195 PV panels (total surface 318m<sup>2</sup>) will be installed in public buildings combined with central HPs for heating and cooling (29 HPs).</li> <li>Natural gas</li> <li>All buildings will be connected to the natural gas network</li> <li>Lighting</li> <li>Replace all lighting fixtures in public spaces (about 285) with LED lighting fixtures</li> <li>Recycle bins</li> <li>More recycle bins in order to cover all the areas of the Municipality</li> <li>Municipal transportation</li> <li>Two lines of municipal transportation within the Municipality</li> </ul>
2.	Self-efficiency in electric energy for the whole Municipality - PV park (in a near-by area) in order to cover a. all energy needs of the public buildings and public spaces b. all electricity needs of all other buildings - Natural gas All buildings will be connected to the natural gas network - Lighting Replace all lighting fixtures in public spaces (about 285) with LED lighting fixtures - Recycle bins More recycle bins in order to cover all the areas of the Municipality - Municipal transportation Two lines of municipal transportation within the Municipality - Improve safety - Involve citizens in urban planning activities.

# b. Scenarios raking

### i. Performance Scores

Issues	Current state	Scenario 1	Scenario 2
TOTAL SCORE	1.37	2.45	3.19
A – Built Urban Systems	3.09	3.09	3.09
B – Economy	-1.51	-0.20	0.24
C – Energy	0.15	2.21	4.08
D – Atmospheric	4.31	4.85	5.00
E – Non-renewable sources	0.27	1.92	1.92
F - Environment	0.01	0.01	0.01
G – Social aspects	3.21	3.55	3.89





A FIRE AND A FIRE AND A FIRE AND AND A FIRE AND A FIRE

# ii. Key Performance Indicators

SCENARIO 1			
КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
A 1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	%	0.00
B.3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated indoor useful floor area	Euro/m²/year	1.92
C.1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	132.00
C.1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	72.10
C.1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m2/year	341.40
C.2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	%	4.00
C.2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	6.00
D.1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m2/year	6.50
E.1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Annual potable water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> per occupant*year	59.50
E.1.7 Consumption of potable water for non- residential building systems	Annual water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0.65
F.1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Area of permeable surfaces on total neighborhood area	%	31.00
F.2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	days/year	38.00
G.2.1 Performance of the public transport	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	100.00
G.2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path or "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.	m/100 inhabitants	188.80
G.4.2 Availability and proximity of key services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	%	100.00
G.6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level (score)	-





and the second and the second and

SCENARIO 2			
КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
A 1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	%	0.00
B.3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated indoor useful floor area	Euro/m <sup>2</sup> /year	0.00
C.1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	132.00
C.1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m²/year	00.00
C.1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per aggregated indoor useful floor area	kWh/m2/year	139.00
C.2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	%	4.00
C.2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	100.00
D.1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kg CO₂ eq./m2/year	2.10
E.1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Annual potable water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> per occupant*year	59.50
E.1.7 Consumption of potable water for non- residential building systems	Annual water consumption per occupant	m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>	0.65
F.1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paying or landscaping	Area of permeable surfaces on total neighborhood area	%	31.00
F.2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	days/year	38.00
G.2.1 Performance of the public transport	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	100.00
G.2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path or "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.	m/100 inhabitants	188.80
G.4.2 Availability and proximity of key services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	%	100.00
G.6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level (score)	3.0





A KING WAR AND A CANADA

# iii. Financing mechanisms evaluation

Scenario 1	Municipality's own funds, National and EU financing programs
Scenario 2	Municipality's own funds, Funding from Attika Prefecture, National and EU financing programs, Energy community

### 6. **RETROFIT CONCEPT**

SELECTED SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
1	The two proposed scenarios are not alternative, but they are progressive. Scenario1 is the short-term scenario and Scenario2 is the more ambitious and the long-term one. The selected scenario is Scenario1, which can be promptly implemented.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT**

Retrofits Strategies	Energy : Install PV panels in public buildings combined with central HPs for heating and cooling. Fully developed local natural gas network. Public lighting with LED
	Recycle: Increase the number of recycle bins
	Transport: Two lines of municipal transportation within the Municipality
Performance improvement	Reduced energy consumption and CO <sub>2</sub> emissions. Increased recycling.
	Improved citizens' transportation, reduced car traffic.
	Reduce energy poverty and energy cost of buildings. Reduced car fuel cost
Financial mechanism	Municipality's own funds, National and EU financing programs





# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 1**

### **1. INITIATION**

General information on the selected building		
Town Hall		
Address	Platia Iroon, Fili 133 41	
Building use	Office Building	
Owner	Municipality of Fylis	
Year of construction	1990	
Building method	Concrete structure with bricks. Double-pane windows with aluminum frame without thermal break. Concrete flat roof. The long axis of the building is along the N-S axis.	
Number of levels above earth	2	
Number of levels underground	1	
Heating system	Central Oil Boiler (200kW)	
Cooling system	Thirty Split Heat Pumps (102kW)	
DHW system	-	
Ventilation system	No ventilation system	
Lighting system	A total of 543 fluorescent lamps, 308 halogen spotlights and 12 incandescent lamps. A total of 34 halogen headlamps for exterior lighting.	
Average U value	Walls: 2.56 W/m <sup>2</sup> K / Roof: 3.05 W/m <sup>2</sup> K / Floor: 0.95 W/m <sup>2</sup> K / Windows: 4.1 W/m <sup>2</sup> K	
Number of employees	130	
Hours of occupation per vear	2600	







### 2. **PREPARATION**

#### a. SBTool structure

In this section the structure of the Hellenic SBTool (HE-Attica-SBTool) is described.

Following the concept and procedure used in the Hellenic SNTool, there was a screening of the initial GF-B 153 available indicators, resulting to 33.

The list of the criteria selected from the CESBA MED Generic Framework ay Building scale and included in the He-SBTool is presented in the following Table (KPIs are marked with an \*, new or modified criteria are marked with  $\ge$ ).

A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
A1	Site Regeneration and Development		
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.		
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services		
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎		
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles. 🖎		
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎		

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	
B2	Electrical peak demand	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	
B3	Use of Materials	
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	







1 Contour

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	
D2	Air Temperature and Relative Humidity	
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	

E- SERVICE QUALITY		
E1	Safety and Security	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🛰	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding 🖎	
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🔈	
E2	Functionality and efficiency	
E2.5	Elevators 🖎	
E3	Controllability	
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) 👁	
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🖎	
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 😹	
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems 😹	
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability	
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	
E5	Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance	
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation.	

F- SOCIAL, CU	LTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS
F1	Social Aspects
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
G1	Cost	
F1.4	Use stage energy cost *	
F1.5	Use stage water cost *	

### b. SBTool criteria selection rationale

In this section the reason / motivation of the selection of the criteria that have been included in the HE-SBTool is described.

#### A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	Facilitate the occupants
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	Facilitate the occupants
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles 🖎	Facilitate the occupants
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	Important for occupants' safety

in the second states and





#### **B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	KPI
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	KPI
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	KPI
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	KPI
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	KPI
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	Interesting and can be calculated
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	KPI
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	KPI

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	KPI
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	KPI

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY					
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION			
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	KPI			
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	KPI			
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	KPI			

#### E- SERVICE QUALITY

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🔈	Important for occupants' safety
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding 🕿	Important for occupants' safety
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 😹	Important for occupants' safety
E2.5	Elevators 😹	Interesting and can be calculated
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) 🕿	Important for building's energy consumption
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🕿	Important for building's energy consumption
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🕿	Important for building's energy consumption
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	Important for building's energy consumption
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Interesting and can be calculated
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation.	Useful







F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	

F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building.

REASON/MOTIVATION

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4	Use stage energy cost *	KPI
G1.5	Use stage water cost *	KPI

# c. SBTool weights rationale

In this section the motivation for the value of weights assigned to issues, categories and criteria is presented.

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	
E- SERVICE QUALITY		
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND		
PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	1	

\* Issue weighting is from the "CESBA KPIs SBTool v1.0" tool

**COMMENT:** We do not have weighting factors for issues (issues are replaced by primary issue or system, which is factor D). Additionally, reporting of factor A is missing.

Primary issue or system directly affected	WEIGHT (1 to 5)	MOTIVATION
COST AND ECONOMICS	1	
FUNCTIONALITY AND SERVICABILITY	1	
WELL-BEING AND PRODUCTIVITY OF	2	
OCCUPANTS		
SOCIAL AND CULTURAL ISSUES	2	
LAND RESOURCES	3	
NON-RENEWABLE MATERIAL	3	
RESOURCES		
NON-RENEWABLE WATER	3	







RESOURCES	
HEALTH, SAFETY AND SECURITY OF	3
INDIVIDUALS	
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	4
NON-RENEWABLE ENERGY	4
RESOURCES	
GLOBAL CLIMATE	5

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	1.3
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	5.2
TOTAL	6.5
B1- Energy	22.0
B2- Electrical peak demand	5.1
B3- Use of materials	-
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	1.4
TOTAL	28.5
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	26.5
C3- Solid and liquid waste	10.1
TOTAL	36.6
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	-
D2- Thermal comfort	0.5
TOTAL	0.5
E1- Safety and Security	3.6
E2- Functionality and efficiency	0.2
E3- Controllability	3.8
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	5.1
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	0.0
TOTAL	12.6
F1- Social aspects	4.3
TOTAL	4.3
G1- Cost	11.0
TOTAL	11.0

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

The pre-assigned values of weighting factors in the CESBA MED GF-U have been reviewed and some of them were accepted and some were modified.

The L.F. weighting factors were reviewed with experts from Municipality of Fylis.

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE							
A1 Site Regeneration and Development							
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.13	Provision and quality of	1.26	3	2	1	4	Pedestrian and bicycle paths are important







	walkways for pedestrian use.						for future plans
	A3 Project Infrastru	cture and	Servi	ces			
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🌫	2.83	3	3	1	4	Municipal transportation system is important for future plans
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles >>	0.47	1	3	1	2	Parking is less important
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	1.89	1	3	3	4	Exterior lighting is important for security reasons
TOTAL		6.4					

#### **B** - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION

B1	Energy						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	0.94	3	3	4	1	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	4.72	3	3	4	5	Installation of PV are of extremely importance for the Municipality strategic plan
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.11	Embodied energy	1.05	5	1	4	1	Not so important for now
<b>B2</b>	Electrical peak demand						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	5.03	2	3	4	2	Not so important for now
<b>B</b> 3	Use of Materials						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase)	-	4	2	3	2	Not very common practice
B4 Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses	1.42	3	2	3	3	
TOTAL		28.2					

### **C-** ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS





C1 (	Greenhouse Gas Emiss	ions					
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations	26.21	5	2	5	4	Reduction of CO2 is an important issue
C3 \$	Solid and Liquid Wastes	;					
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C3.1	O a ma funcia fiana a mat						
	demolition waste (Not for Use phase)	-	4	2	3	3	
C3.2	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) Solid waste from building operations	- 10.01	4	2 2	3 3	3	Recycling is an important issue

D- IND	D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY								
D1	D1 Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation								
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase)	-	1	3	3	3			
D1.10	Ventilation rate	0.94	2	3	2	3			
D2	Air Temperature and R	elative Hu	midit	y					
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	0.47	1	3	2	3			
TOTAL		1.4							

E- SERVICE QUALITY
--------------------

E1	Safety and Security						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	1.42	1	3	3	3	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	1.42	1	3	3	3	
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake	0.71	1	3	3	3	
E2	Functionality and effici	ency					
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.5	Elevators	0.21	1	2	1	4	
E3	Controllability						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	C	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS)	0.16	2	1	1	3	





E3.2	Building Energy Management System	1.68	2	2	4	4	
	(BEMŠ)						
E3.3	Control of lighting systems	1.26	2	2	4	3	
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems	0.63	2	2	2	3	
E4	Flexibility and Adaptab	ility					
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E4.5	Adaptability to future						
	changes in type of	5.03	3	2	4	4	Turning to PV systems is in future plans
	energy supply						
E5	Optimization and Maint	enance of	f Ope	rating	Perfo	rmano	ce
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	0.03	1	1	1	1	Of no importance for now
ΤΟΤΔΙ		12.5					

F- SOC	F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS							
F1 Social Aspects								
CRITER	ON	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	4.25	3	3	3	3		
TOTAL		4.2						

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS							
G1	Cost						
CRITERI	ON	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	2.36	3	3	1	5	Reduction of energy cost is very important
G1.5	Use stage water cost	8.49	3	3	3	3	
TOTAL		10.8					

### d. SBTool benchmarks rationale

In this section the motivation of the value of benchmarks assigned to the different criteria for score 0 (minimum acceptable performance) and for score 5 (excellent and ideal performance) is described. In order to set the benchmark values, we have integrated national/ local policies, guidelines, statistics and good practice.

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS				
A1.13	Existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building	text	0:	Short network of pedestrian or bicycle paths or shared areas				

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR





				near the building
			5:	Large network of pedestrian or bicycle paths or shared areas near the building leading to public transport stops, schools or public spaces
			0:	One stop of public/municipal transportation within 400m from the building, with travel frequency up to 15 minutes.
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	text	5:	At least two stops of public/municipal transportation (covering different directions) within 400m from the building, with travel frequency up to 10 minutes.
			0: 1	ΦΕΚ 76/ΜΑΡΤΙΟΣ 2004
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	Spaces/6 0m²	5: 1.3	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members
	Extorior lighting >>	toxt	0:	Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building with old lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort
A3.10	Extendr lighting. 🖎	iext	5:	Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building with new lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort

B- ENER	GY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION						
CRITERION		UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
			0: 310.6	Very difficult to get metered data. especially for residential			
		kWh/m²	5: 87.6	and privately owned non- residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.			
		<u>Score 0 </u>	corresponds to	the consumption of the			
	Primary energy demand *	dominan	t energy class,	while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class			
B1 1		A+ (33% of class B).					
D1.1		Primary energy consumption per building use for the					
		dominant energy class and class B, were defined using					
		data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC)					
		electroni	c repository (bi	uildingcert), for whole buildings in			
		Attica Prefecture, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to					
		internal (	CESBAMED)	dimensions.			
		All end u	ses (space he	ating, space cooling, domestic			
		hot wate	r, ventilation, li	ghting and auxiliaries) were			
		taken int	o account.				
D4 0	Delivered thermal energy demand *	1111/10/1002	0: 69.1	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential			
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	ĸvvn/m²	5: 11.5	and privately owned non- residential buildings.			

and the second and the





Use of statistical / calculated	
data.	

1 g mane

<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class A+ (33% of class B).

Thermal energy consumption per building use for the dominant energy class and class B, were defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), for whole buildings in Attica Prefecture, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions.

All end uses (space heating, space cooling and domestic hot water) were taken into account.

the second s

		kWh/m² <u>Score 0 c</u>	0: 99.4 5: 29.1 corresponds to	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately owned non- residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	dominant A+ (33% Electricity dominant data from electronic Attica Pre internal ( All end us hot water taken inter	t energy class, of class B). consumption t energy class the Energy F c repository (b fecture, adap CESBAMED) ses (space he c, ventilation, li c account.	while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class per building use for the and class B, were defined using Performance Certificates (EPC) uildingcert), for whole buildings in ted for external (TEEKENAK) to dimensions. ating, space cooling, domestic ighting and auxiliaries) were
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	%	0: 16 5: 80	Building use solar collectors for pre-heating Building use solar collectors for pre-heating and partial coverage of heating loads
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	%	0: 20 5: 100	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated data
	Einal total onorgy for all building operations	kM/b/m²	0: 168.5	Pasad on P1 2 and P1 2
Ы.0		KVVII/111 <sup>-</sup>	5: 40.6	Dased On D1.2 and D1.3
B1 11	Embodied energy (Not for Lise phase) *	$M I/m^2$	0: 6230	Based on discussions with
DI.II		100/111	5: 3000	Members
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	W/m²	0: 225.3	From typical installed power for heating, cooling, mechanical ventilation, lighting, and equipment for office buildings
			5: 9	For nzeb buildings
	Weight of recycled materials on total weight		0: 3	Based on discussions with National Local Committee
B3.5	of materials (Not for Use phase) *	%	5: 40	Members and common practice in Greece

----





			0: 6.0	From typical values for offices
B4.5	Potable water consumption per occupant per year*	m³/occu pant	5: 1.5	Based on discussions with National Local Committee, consumption can be reduced to 75%

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS						
		UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
			0: 7.5	Based on thermal and electricity consumption benchmarking as		
C1.3	CO2 equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year *	kg CO2 eq/m²/yr	5: 2.0	estimated in B1.2 and B1.3. It is assumed that thermal energy is covered by fuel oil. <u>Score 0</u> corresponds to CO2 equivalent emissions for the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the buildings of the dominant energy class <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to the CO2 equivalent emissions for consumptions of energy class A+		
	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed (Not for Use phase) *	kg/m²/lif e cycle stage	0: 120	As an assumption of 8 $m^3/100$ $m^2 X 1500 kg/m^3$		
C3.1			5: 36	$70\% \times 120 \text{kg/m}^2 = 1.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$ reuse, recycling and recovery of CDW should be reduced by 70% at 2020		
	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories *	%	0: 57	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members and common practice		
C3.2			5: 100			

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS				
D1 /	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	ua/m <sup>3</sup>	0: 1000	From punished material				
01.4		µg/ III	5: 200					
D1 10	Ventilation rate *	1/2/22	0: 0.29	Common practice in NR buildings for fresh air				
D1.10		1/8/111-	5: 0.83	Based on National guidelines for fresh air for office buildings				
ר 2 ח	Thermal comfort index *	%	0: 25	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members				
02.2			5: 5					

E- SERVICE QUALITY

CRITERION INDICATOR

UNIT BENCHMARK DERIVATIONS






E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	text	0: 5:	The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Basic training of the occupants The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Periodical training of the occupants and fire drills. System connected to a BMS.
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from	text	0:	Area facing flooding problems (during the last 100years), building partially equipped, OR building in an area with no flooding problems (during the last 100years).
			5:	(during the last 100years), building fully equipped, which faced flooding incident successfully
			0:	Building has passed successfully, a pre-earthquake inspection.
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🖎	text	5:	Building has passed successfully, a pre-earthquake inspection and fully complies with National Regulations. Periodical training of the occupants
E2.5		text	0:	Certified elevators, with regular maintenance but don't comply with regulations for disabled persons. Maximum waiting time about 45 sec.
	Elevators 🕿		5:	Certified elevators, with regular maintenance, complied with updated standards EN81.20, and with disabled persons' requirements. Maximum waiting time about 25 sec. System connected to a BMS.
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) 🖎	text	0:	The building is equipped with a BMS, but it doesn't provide monitoring of system operations, or diagnostic reporting.
			5:	The building is equipped with a BMS, capable of ensuring that building technical systems operate at peak efficiency during all operating conditions, and the system provides full monitoring of system operations, as well as diagnostic reporting.







E3 2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🌫	text	0:	Central control system for heating, cooling and ventilation, on building level
E3.2			5:	Central control system for heating, cooling, ventilation and lighting on zone level
			0:	Automatic control of lighting turning on and off per building part.
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🕿	text	5:	Automatic control of lighting turning on and off per zone. Daylight and occupancy sensors in all zones. System connected to a BEMS or BMS.
	Local control of heating/cooling systems		0:	Thermostatic control of terminal units or/and central heating system with timer
E3.4	Local control of neating/cooling systems	text	5:	Automatic local control of terminal units per room. Thermostatic control per room for central heating systems.
E4.5	Ease in installing heating or cooling equipment that require a different fuel/ energy carrier, or photovoltaic systems.	text	0:	Adapting the building to a new fuel source will be possible with a moderate level of renovations, but installing photovoltaics will require major renovations.
			5:	Adapting the building to a new fuel source or installing photovoltaics will require only minor adjustments to architectural, HVAC or electrical systems.
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	text	0:	A full set of systems manuals and complete as-built drawings will be been provided. There will be a partial recording, reporting and documentation protocol for maintenance, but somewhat inconsistent with the size and complexity of the building.
20.0			5:	A full set of operations and maintenance documentation, including a full set of systems manuals, complete as-built drawings and an operations and maintenance guide will be provided in both hard-copy and electronic forms.

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION II	NDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
F1.1	Ease of access and use of facilities for	text	0:	All key facilities, including	

and the second and the





persons with mobility or perceptual disabilities.

outdoor facilities, entry points and hallways, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually impaired persons All key facilities, including outdoor facilities, entry points and hallways, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually impaired persons.

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
		€/m2	0: 18.9	From benchmarking of B1.2 and B1.3, taking an average fuel and electricity cost. (taking +20% of total for taking into account energy cost for equipment and other installations)		
G1.4	Annual energy cost per usable floor area *		5: 4.7			
			0: 0.59	From benchmarking of B4.5, taking into account 10		
G1.5	Annual water cost per usable floor area *	€/m2	5: 0.15	occupants/100m2 and an average of water cost.		

5:

### e. SBTool Criteria Specifications

In this section for each selected criterion the presentation includes:

- Information source: The source of the data/information that can be used to characterize the value of the indicator. Example: monitored data, measured data, statistic data, models and simulation, studies, data banks, etc.
- Assessment method: Short and concise description of the assessment method that can be used to verify the value of indicators.
- Standards: technical documents taken as reference for the assessment method.
- The presentation that follows describes in details the relevant values that have been calculated for the Hellenic Training Phase in Town Hall of Municipality of Fylis
- > Relevant information are also provided in the Training Material

#### A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Qualitative indicator - Estimations	
A1.13	Existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building	
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From area plots and from the on-site audit, three of the building's facades are facing	







"shared paths", connected to a larger network of "shared areas"

a third and the second and the secon

#### Standard

	Information source	Qualitative indicator – Estimations – Metered data CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation within 400m from the building
Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation near the building	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality for the public transport, the number and location of public transport stops was defined. (1 stop of a certain transportation line within 400m)
	Standard	
	Information source	Metered data - Estimations
Ratio of exterior and interior parking spaces to the total usable area of non-residential occupancies (spaces/60 m2)	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps 1. Calculate the total usable area of non- residential occupancies 2. Calculate the total parking spaces (exterior and interior) 3. Calculate the ratio of total parking spaces per 60m <sup>2</sup> total usable area NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data.from bluilding plots, the usable area was defined. From the on-site audit the number of total parking spaces was defined. (0.73)
	Standard	ΦΕΚ 76/ΜΑΡΤΙΟΣ 2004
	Information source	Qualitative indicator – Estimations – Metered data
	Assassment	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimation of the efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building
Efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building	method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From the on-site audit the number and type of exterior and public lighting was defined. (Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building, with old lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort)
	Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation near the building	Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation near the building  Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation near the building  Assessment method  Information Source  Ratio of exterior and interior parking spaces to the total usable area of non-residential occupancies (spaces/60 m2)  Efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building





B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	ONS		
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations		
			CESBAMED calculation steps National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), aligned with the EN standards series, can be used. In-built lighting may not be specifically covered in all national or regional calculation methods. As a result, either the omission from the calculations, or a separate calculation method if used, shall be noted in the reporting. The reference unit is one square meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s) Part 3 – 1.3.1).		
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of		
			Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for primary energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions.(442.4 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account. Hot water is not taken into account for office buildings</b>		
		Standard	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings — Energy requirements for lighting)		
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data -		
		source	Estimations		
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	Assessment method	Energy uses taken into account: heating, cooling, ventilation, domestic hot water National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), aligned with the EN standards series, can be used. The reference unit is one square meter of useful internal floor area (Loval(c))		







			Part 3 – 1.3.1). In case of existing buildings, the delivered thermal energy should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered delivered thermal energy demand (i.e. fuel consumption data) has to be calculated taking the average value over 3 years period.
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for thermal energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions.(100.1 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Hot water is not taken into account for office buildings</b>
		Standard	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings
		Information	— Energy requirements for lighting) Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	Estimations
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), aligned with the EN standards series, can be used. The reference unit is one square meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s) Part 3 – 1.3.1). In case of existing buildings, the delivered electrical energy should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered delivered electric energy demand (i.e. electricity consumption data) has to be calculated taking the average value over 3 years period bills.
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for electric energy







			consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions (114.6 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into</b> <b>account. Hot water is not taken into</b> <b>account for office buildings</b> EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings
		Standard	<ul> <li>Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings)</li> <li>EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings)</li> <li>EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings</li> <li>— Energy requirements for lighting)</li> </ul>
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	CESBAMED calculation steps
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	Assessment method	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumption of the building. In case of existing buildings, it should be evaluated by energy metering NOA pilot steps/comments: Erom an on site audit there were no
			renewable sources for thermal energy installed on the building (0%)
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013. Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive)
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data -
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption. In case of existing buildings, it should be evaluated by energy metering NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit there were no renewable sources for electric energy
			installed on the building (0%)
		Standard	Evens) Fait 1-2 – Beta version EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013. Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive)
B1.8	Final total energy for all building	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
-	operations	Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps







		method	During early design stages a screening tool
			by-hour simulation program should be used
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for final energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions (214.7 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account Hot water is not taken into account for office buildings</b> EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings
		Standard	<ul> <li>Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings)</li> <li>EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings)</li> <li>EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings</li> <li>— Energy requirements for lighting)</li> </ul>
		Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps The following steps should be followed in order to compile the BoM: - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to be carried out. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: The mass for each constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass for each type of material. Once the BoM has been compiled, it is possible to calculate the indicator associating to each constituent material the relative embodied primary non- renewable energy by multiplying the specific mass (i.e. kg) with its corresponding embodied energy coefficient (i.e. MJ/kg). The total value of embodied primary non-renewable energy is finally normalized by the gross area of the building NOA pilot steps/comments:







			Not for Use phase
		Standard	EN 15978 "Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method". ISO 14040/44 EN 15804 (Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products)
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Review of contract documentation and sample equipment specifications by an ouside electrical engineer. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit, the installed power of heating, cooling and lighting systmes was defined. For equipment, typical values for office, taken from National Guidelines. An increasement of about 15% to include other systmes (i.e. elevators, circulators, pumps). (434.7 W/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.

		Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to elaborated. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: the mass of all constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass of materials used in the building (A); - Identify the recycled content of each constituent material (in mass); - Aggregation by material: the recycled mass of all constituent materials should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total recycled mass of materials (B) used in the building; - The indicator's value is calculated as B/A (total mass of materials).







			NOA pilot steps/comments:
			Not for Use phase EN ISO 14021 (Environmental labels and
		Standard	declarations - Self-declared environmental claims - Type II environmental labelling)
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Includes the use of potable water for: drinking water; water for sanitation; water for cleaning; water for washing machine; water for dishwasher; domestic hot water. The user must include in the calculation the sanitary devices/fittings (i.e. toilets, taps and showers) and water using appliances (i.e dishwashers and washing machines). Consumption rates for different sanitary devices and fittings are determined through specific data from suppliers. The specific usage factors have to be established. The number of days that the building is expected to be occupied per year has to be defined by the user. See KPIs Card document for the principle of the per occupant potable water consumption calculation. In case of existing buildings, the potable area water consumptions should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered consumptions have to be estimated taking the average value over 3 years period bills.
			NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, the number of employyes was defined. The ratio of annual total water consumption to the number of employees was calculated (6 m <sup>3</sup> /person)
		Standard	Levei(s) Part 1-2 – Beta Version. EN 15978 (Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method)







A KING STOLE STOLE

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS	
CRITERION	INDICATOR	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations CESBAMED calculation steps 1. For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula: $E = \left[\sum(Q_{fuel,i} \times LHV_i \times k_{em,l}) + (Q_{el} \times k_{em,el}) + (Q_{dh} \times k_{em,dh})\right]$ Qfuel, I = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 or Kra)	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	Assessment method	Kg) Qel = annual quantity of electric energy from the grid (kWh) Qdh = annual quantity of energy from district heating/cooling (kWh) LHVi = lower heating value of the i-th fuel (kWh/m3 or kWh/Kg) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the i-th fuel (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the electric energy from the grid (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total CO2 equivalent emissions from all buildings / total useful internal floor area of all buildings	
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia using the national method, data for thermal and electrical energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. Using the national conversion factors to COeq (20704.1 kg/GWh for fuel oil and 61123.9 kg/GWh for electricity), total CO2 equivalent emissions to total useful internal floor area of the building (9.1 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings	
		Standard	- Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings). Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version	
C3.1	Construction and demolition	Intormation source	Metered data – Estimations	
	waste (1101 101 USE priase) "	Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps	





	method	Design stage (based on estimations) Estimations of waste based on surveys of existing buildings that will undergo major renovation or where the structure will be reused (life cycle stage B5). Estimations based on scenarios for deconstruction and demolition of the building at a future point in time beyond the end of its service life (life cycle stages C1/3, D). Construction stage (based on data recorded from the site) Data from deconstruction and demolition of (a) building(s) in order to clear a site for a new building construction (as part of a previous life cycle). Data from the part deconstruction of (a) building(s) in order to prepare useful parts for in-situ reuse. Data from construction on site of a new building and/or the prefabrication/construction of parts and elements off site (life cycle stages A3/5). Data from preparation of a building in order to facilitate a major renovation. Completion stage (based on estimations supported by as-built drawings) Estimations based on scenarios for deconstruction and demolition of the building at a future point in time beyond the end of its service life (life cycle stages C1/3, D). NOA pilot steps/comments: Not pilot steps/comments:
	Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations CESBAMED calculation steps
Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories *	Assessment method	The seven reference categories of solid waste are: Paper, Plastic, Metal, Glass, Wet waste, Textiles, Special hazardous waste. 1. Identify the availability and position of bins and containers for each of the seven solid waste categories. 2. Calculate the walking distance (m) from the building's main entrance to each identified bin or container. 3. Evaluate how many of the 7 categories of solid waste is possible to collect within a



C3.2





100 m walking distance from the building's entrance (A).4. Calculate the value of the indicator as : A/7

NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number and the type of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance was defined. In this case there were single bins used to collect different types of waste that will be later separated at the waste facility. (57%)

1 g

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STORE

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS	
		Information source	Metered data	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Post completion phase</u> Testing shall be carried out for a minimum of 10% of the apartments and be representative of any significant variations in the house or apartment typologies, configurations and materials. Samples shall be taken in the living room and the smallest bedroom of each property NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase COMMENT: TVOC concentrations have been in the past used as an indicator of the ability of combined VOC exposures to produce adverse health effects. This approach is no longer supported (e.g. ASHRAE), because the irritant potential and toxicity of individual VOCs vary widely, and measured concentrations are highly dependent on the sampling and analytical methods used. The available data do not allow establishing of thresholds for TVOC (ECA-IAQ European Collaborative Action, JRC)	
		Standard	CEN/TS 16516 (Construction products - Assessment of release of dangerous substances - Determination of emissions into indoor air). EN 15251 (Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air guality, thermal environment.	





lighting and acoustics). Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version

		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>ONLY for ventilated buildings</u> The ventilation rate (I/s/m2) must be calculated in all the main rooms, excluding circulation spaces and service rooms (i.e. toilets). The indicator must be calculated as weighted sum all the calculated ventilation rates.
		Standard	NOA pilot steps/comments: Building not mechanically ventilated. EN 16798-7 (Energy performance of buildings - Ventilation for buildings - Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration)
		source	Fstimations
		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Design stage (mechanically conditioned)</u> For all main occupied room: 1. Estimate PMV 2. Calculate PPD NOA pilot steps/comments: From short ohn site occupant survey. From on site audit there were some spaces with no heating system and there was difficulty in controlling internal conditions and natural ventilation. (18%)
D2.2	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) *	Standard	EN ISO 7730 – Ergonomics of the thermal environment – Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort using calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and local thermal comfort criteria. EN 16798-1:2017 - Energy performance of buildings - Part 1: Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics - Module M1-6 (revision of EN 15251). Brussels: European Committee for Standardization. Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version. Brussels: European Commission







E- SERVICE QUALITY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS	
		Information source	Metered data	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🖎	Assessment methodEstimate the level of compliance with the requirements for fire protection as well the occupnats training 	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the level of compliance with the requirements for fire protection as well as of the occupnats training NOA pilot steps/comments: From and on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Basic training of the occupants)	
		Standard	Insert text here	
		Information source	Metered data	
			CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the area's flooding risk as well as the building equipment.	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding 🖎	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Area often facing floodings, building properly equipped)	
		Standard		
		Information source	Metered data	
			CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the building's anti-earthquaqe protection.	
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🕿	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Building has passed successfully, a pre-erthquaqe inspection. Basic training of the occupants)	
		Standard		
		Information source	Metered data	
E2.5	Elevators 🖎	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Assess the service quality and functional efficiency of elevators within a building, as well as their compliance with the existing regulations.	







From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The elevator is certified, but it is too small)

and and a fell the fe

		Information source	Metered data
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) ∖≊	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building has no BMS)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) ∖≊	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Building is equipped with a compensation system)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🕿	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications for lighting control zones, control types and locations NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building has no lighting control system)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems 🔌	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Type of mechanical and electrical equipment accessible by occupants, and the extent to which local systems can be operated and modulated by occupants. NOA pilot steps/comments:





From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Thermostatic control of terminal units)

and the second of the second o

		Information	Estimations
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in	Source CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the ease or difficulty in in heating or cooling equipment that different fuel, or to install photovol systems. Assessment method NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and informa the corresponding department. (And the building to a new fuel source of installing photovoltaics will required minor level of renovations).	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the ease or difficulty in installing heating or cooling equipment that require a different fuel, or to install photovoltaic systems.
	type of energy supply		NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Adapting the building to a new fuel source or installing photovoltaics will require only a minor level of renovations).
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the availability of architectural, mechanical and electrical drawings, and equipment manuals. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from
			the corresponding department. (Building drawing, operation and maintenance manuals exist but are deficient)
		Standard	

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS	
		Information source	Metered data	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps Assess the ease of access and use of facilities for persons with mobility or perceptual disabilities.	
		method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit. (Outdoor facilities, entry points and ground-floor hallways, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually	





impaired persons)

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
G1.4 Energy annual cost per usable floor area *		CESBA In case annual o energy calculat over 3 y NOA pil Metereo Assessment method corresp Municip was not From th internal	CESBAMED calculation steps In case of existing buildings, the total annual cost of actual thermal and electrical energy use from energy bills should be calculated taking the average energy cost over 3 years period.
	Energy annual cost per usable floor area *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From the architectural plans, the total internal surface of the building was defined. (23.8 €/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: All uses are taken into account, including equipment and installations (unlike energy related indicators). Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
G1.5	Water annual cost per usable floor area *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps In case of existing buildings, the total annual cost of water use from water bills should be calculated taking the average water cost over 3 years period. NOA pilot steps/comments:
			(0.37 €/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: Gross area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version







and the second and the second and

# 2. DIAGNOSIS

#### a. Performance scores

Evaluation of the actual performance and relative level of sustainability of the Building. PPs have to indicate the scores reached.

		SCORE
A - SITE F	REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND	
INFRAST	RUCTURE	
Ax – Cate	gory name	
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	3.0
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	0.0
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles 🖎	-1.0
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🕱	0.0
B – ENER	GY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	
Bx – Cate	gory name	
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	-1.0
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	-1.0
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	-1.0
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	-1.0
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	-1.0
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	-1.0
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	-1.0
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	0.0
C- ENVIR	ONMENTAL LOADINGS	
Cx – Cate	gory name	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	-1.0
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	0.0
D- INDOC	OR ENVIRONMENTAL QU	
Dx – Cate	gory name	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	-
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	1.8
E- SERVI	CE QUALITY	
Ex – Cate	gory name	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 😹	0.0
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding 😹	3.0
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 😹	3.0
E2.5	Elevators 😹	0.0
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) 🛰	-1.0
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🕿	0.0
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🔉	-1.0
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems 🔉	0.0
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	3.0
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation.	-1.0
F- SOCIA	L CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	
F1 – Kolv		





F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	-1.0
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
G1 – Cost		
G1.4	Use stage energy cost *	-1.0
G1.5	Use stage water cost *	2.5

#### COMMENTS:

- All scores below 0 should be -1.
- A3.13 Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles. In file B, input only for residential building

# b. Key Performance Indicators value

KPI	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	442.4
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	100.1
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	114.6
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0.0
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	0.0
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non- renewable energy	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	-
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	-
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	6.0
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m²/yr	9.1
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	-







C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	57.0
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m³	-
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m2	-
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	18.0
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	23.8
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.37

# c. Actual performance analysis

WEAKNESSES ASPECTS	Old building with no insulation. Inefficient heating system. No mechanical ventilation. Old lighting system. No automatic controls in heating, lighting. Large unused spaces in the basement.
STRENGHT ASPECTS	Aesthetics. Central location and easy accessibility. Spacious and green surrounding area (in front of a square). Three of the building's facades are facing "shared paths", connected to a larger network of "shared areas. Large flat roof, for installation of PV
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT	Improve energy consumption and CO2 emissions of the building. Improve thermal comfort and indoor air quality. Reduce energy cost.





a fille a fille

## 3. STRATEGIC DEFINITION

### a. **Performance targets**

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN			
AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
A1 12 Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian		2.0	
A1.13 Provision and quality of warkways for pedestrian	l use.	Actual value	3.0
eround the building	text	Target value	3.0
A2 Droject Infractructure and Convises		-	
A3 – Project Infrastructure and Services		Actual value	0.0
AS.12 Public/ Municipal transportation		Actual value	0.0
transportation near the building	text	Target value	
A3.13 Provision of on-site parking facilities for private v	vehicles	Actual value	-1.0
Ratio of exterior and interior parking spaces to the	anaaa/60 m <sup>2</sup>	Torget volue	
total usable area of non-residential occupancies	spaces/60 m <sup>2</sup>	rarget value	
A3.16 Exterior lighting		Actual value	0.0
Efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and	text	Target value	5.0
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION			
B1 – Energy			4.0
B1.1 – Primary energy demand		Actual value	-1.0
Primary energy demand	kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	5.0
B1.2 – Delivered thermal energy demand		Actual value	-1.0
Delivered thermal energy demand kWh/m <sup>2</sup>		Target value	
B1.3 – Delivered electric energy demand		Actual value	-1.0
Delivered electric energy demand	kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	5.0
B1.5 – Energy from renewable sources in total t	hermal energy	Actual value	-1.0
Energy from renewable sources in total thermal		Target value	
energy consumption %		rarget value	-1.0
B1.6 – Energy from renewable sources in total el	Actual value		
consumption		-1.0	
Energy from renewable sources in total electrical	Target value		
energy consumption		. a.get talle	5.0
B1.8 – Final total energy for all building operations		Actual value	-1.0
Final total energy for all building operations		Target value	
B2 – Electrical peak demand	. a. get talde		
B2 1 – Electrical peak demand for building operations	Actual value	-1.0	
Average of peak monthly electrical demand for one		Target value	1.0
vear W/m <sup>2</sup>		Target value	
B4 – Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater			
B45 - Water consumption for indoor uses	Actual value	0.0	
Water consumption for indoor uses m <sup>3</sup> /person		Target value	0.0
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		i aigot valuo	0.0
C1 – Greenhouse Gas Emissions			
C1 3 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations			-1.0
Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations	$ka CO 2ea/m^2$	Target value	-1.0
C3 Solid and Liquid Wastee	ky COZeq/III-	raiger value	
C3 2 - Solid waste from building operations	Actual value	0.0	
Potio of the number of collecteble colid waste	0/		0.0
ratio of the number of collectable solid Waste	70	rarget value	3.0





entrance to the reference solid waste categories D-INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY D2 - Air Temperature and Relative Humidity D2.2 - Thermal comfort index Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) % Target value 1.8 Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) % Target value 5.0 E-SERVICE QUALITY E1 - Safety and Security E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding E2 - Functionality and efficiency E2.5 - Elevators E2.5 - Elevators E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS) Building Management System (BMS) Building Energy Management System (BEMS) Actual value 0.0 E3.2 - Durtrol of lighting systems Actual value 0.0 E3.3 - Control of lighting systems E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systems E4.5 - Adaptability E4.5 -
D: INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY         D2 - Air Temperature and Relative Humidity         D2.2 - Thermal comfort index       Actual value       1.8         Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)       %       Target value       5.0         E1 - Safety and Security       E1 - Safety and Security       E1 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0       1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E2 - Functionality and efficiency       E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0         E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       -1.0       0         Building Management System       text       Target value       0.0         E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BMS)       Actual value       -1.0       0         Building Management System       text       Target value       0.0       0
D2 - Air Temperature and Relative Humidity         D2.2 - Thermal comfort index       Actual value       1.8         Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)       %       Target value       5.0         E - SERVICE QUALITY       E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       Actual value       0.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0       Elevators       Elevators       Actual value       0.0         E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       -1.0       Building Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       0.0         E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       <
D2.2 - Thermal comfort index       Actual value       1.8         Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)       %       Target value       5.0         E - SERVICE QUALITY       E1 - Safety and Security       E1 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       Actual value       0.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E2.5 - Flevators       Actual value       0.0       Elevators         E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0       Elevators         E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       -1.0         Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       0.0         E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       -1.0         Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value
Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)       %       Target value       5.0         E-SERVICE QUALITY       E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       Actual value       0.0         E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         E2 - Functionality and efficiency       E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0         E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0       E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       0.0         E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       -1.0       Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       0.0         Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       0.0       E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       Actual value       -1.0         Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       0.0       E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       Actual value       0.0         E3.4 -
E- SERVICE QUALITY         E1 - Safety and Security         E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       Actual value       0.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0       Earer         E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       -1.0         Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       0.0         E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       0.0         E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       text       Target value       0.0         E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       Actual value       0.0       0.0         E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       Actual value       -1.0       0.0         E3.4 - Local cont
E1 - Safety and Security         E1.2 - Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       Actual value       0.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E2 - Functionality and efficiency       E2.5 - Elevators       Actual value       0.0         E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       0.0       E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)       Actual value       0.0         Building Energy Management System       text       Target value       0.0       0         E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       Actual value       -1.0       0         E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systems       Actual value       -1.0         Control of lighting systems       Actual value       -1.0         E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systems       Actual value
E1.2 – Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       Actual value       0.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from fire       text       Target value       0.0         E1.3 – Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding       text       Target value       3.0         E1.4 – Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       Actual value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake       text       Target value       3.0         E2 – Functionality and efficiency       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       0.0       E         E3.1 – Building Management System (BMS)       Actual value       0.0       0.0       E       E       3.0       E       E       3.0       E       E       3.0       E </td
Risk to occupants and facilities from firetextTarget value0.0E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from floodingActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from floodingtextTarget value3.0E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquakeActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquakeActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0E2 - Functionality and efficiencyE2.5ElevatorsActual value0.0E2.5 - ElevatorstextTarget value0.0EE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0EBuilding Management System (BMS)Actual value0.0EE3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0EBuilding Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0EControl of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0EE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value0.0EE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E1.3 - Risk to occupants and facilities from floodingActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from floodingtextTarget value3.0E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquakeActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0E2 - Functionality and efficiencyEEEE2.5 - ElevatorsActual value0.0EE2.5 - ControllabilityEEEE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of heating/cooling systemsActual value-1.0Coal control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0E4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0E4.5 - Adaptabi
Risk to occupants and facilities from floodingtextTarget value3.0E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquakeActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0E2 - Functionality and efficiencyE2.5 - ElevatorsActual value0.0E2.5 - ElevatorstextTarget value0.0E2 - ControllabilityE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemstextTarget value0.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4.5 - AdaptabilitytextTarget value0.01.0E4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E1.4 - Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquakeActual value3.0Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0E2 - Functionality and efficiencyE2.5 - ElevatorsActual value0.0E2.5 - ElevatorstextTarget value0.0E3 - ControllabilityE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value0.00.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquaketextTarget value3.0E2 - Functionality and efficiencyE2.5 - ElevatorsActual value0.0ElevatorstextTarget value0.0E3 - ControllabilityE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemstextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 - Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E2 – Functionality and efficiencyE2.5 – ElevatorsActual value0.0ElevatorstextTarget value0.0E3. – ControllabilityE3.1 – Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 – Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 – Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 – Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E2.5 - ElevatorsActual value0.0ElevatorstextTarget value0.0E3 - ControllabilityE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemstextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemstextTarget value0.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
ElevatorstextTarget value0.0E3 - ControllabilityE3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemstextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 - Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E3 - ControllabilityActual value-1.0E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value0.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 - Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E3.1 - Building Management System (BMS)Actual value-1.0Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 - Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
Building Management SystemtextTarget value3.0E3.2 – Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 – Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 – Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 – Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E3.2 - Building Energy Management System (BEMS)Actual value0.0Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 - Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
Building Energy Management SystemtextTarget value0.0E3.3 - Control of lighting systemsActual value-1.0Control of lighting systemstextTarget value-1.0E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systemsActual value0.0Local control of heating/cooling systemstextTarget value0.0E4 - Flexibility and AdaptabilityE4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supplyActual value3.0
E3.3 - Control of lighting systems       Actual value       -1.0         Control of lighting systems       text       Target value       -1.0         E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systems       Actual value       0.0         Local control of heating/cooling systems       text       Target value       0.0         E4 - Flexibility and Adaptability       E4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       Actual value       3.0         Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       Target value       3.0
Control of lighting systems       text       Target value       -1.0         E3.4 - Local control of heating/cooling systems       Actual value       0.0         Local control of heating/cooling systems       text       Target value       0.0         Local control of heating/cooling systems       text       Target value       0.0         E4 - Flexibility and Adaptability       E4.5 - Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       Actual value       3.0         Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       text       Target value       3.0
E3.4 – Local control of heating/cooling systems       Actual value       0.0         Local control of heating/cooling systems       text       Target value       0.0         E4 – Flexibility and Adaptability       E4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       Actual value       3.0         Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       Target value       3.0
Local control of heating/cooling systems       text       Target value       0.0         E4 – Flexibility and Adaptability       E4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       Actual value       3.0         Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply       East to future changes in type of energy supply       Actual value       3.0
E4 – Flexibility and Adaptability     E4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply     Actual value     3.0       Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply     Target value     3.0
E4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply     Actual value     3.0       Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply     Target value     3.0
Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply text Target value 3.0
E5 – Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance
E5.6 – Retention of as-built documentation Actual value -1.0
The scope and quality of design documentation Target value
retained for use by building operators according to text 0.0
design documentation.
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS
F1 – Social Aspects
F1.1 – Universal access on site and within the building Actual value -1.0
The scope and quality of design measures planned to Target value
facilitate access and use of building facilities by text
persons with disabilities
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS
G1 – Cost
G1 4 – Use stage energy cost Actual value -1.0
Energy annual cost per usable floor area €/m <sup>2</sup> Target value 5.0
G1.5 – Use stage water cost Actual value 2.5
Water annual cost per usable floor area €/m <sup>2</sup> Target value 2.5





A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A

### b. Constraints and restrictions

CONSTRAINTS / RESTRICT	TIONS
Legal constraints	Buildings under major renovation should comply with Hellenic regulation on the energy performance in the building sector (KENAK)
Technical constraints	
Financial constraints	Budget constraints
Environmental condition	
constraints	
Stakeholder based restrictions	
Other relevant constraints	

# c. Potential strategies at building scale

Synergy zones	
Energetic synergies	Electricity surplus can be used to cover municipal lighting of the main square in front of the building and electricity of the nearby buildings.
Water synergies	Collected rainwater can be used to water the main square in front of the building.
Waste synergies	
Mobility synergies	
Other synergies	





### 4. DECISION MAKING

### a. Description of scenarios

SCENARIO A	DESCRIPTION
1.	Installation of 90 PV panels, with total surface 146.7 m <sup>2</sup> and efficiency 19%
2.	Installation of 9 Heat Pumps (35kW each) for heating and cooling. Installation of fan coils. Insulation of all distribution networks.
3.	Replacement of all lamps with new LED
4.	Installation of a BMS system.
5.	Installation of a lighting control system
6.	Installation of local control systems for heating/cooling terminal units

SCENARIO B	DESCRIPTION
1.	Installation of 90 PV panels, with total surface 146.7 m <sup>2</sup> and efficiency 19%. Remaining needs will be covered by the PV park in a nearby area.
2.	Installation of 9 Heat Pumps (35kW each) for heating and cooling. Installation of fan coils. Insulation of all distribution networks.
3.	Replacement of all lamps with new LED
4.	Installation of a BMS system
5.	Installation of a lighting control system
6.	Installation of local control systems for heating/cooling terminal units
7.	Increased number of recycle bins, covering more categories
8.	Municipal transportation

# b. Scenarios raking

For the evaluation of the two scenarios the short version of the SBTool was used, since the SBTool was not updated.







the first we

a the second second

### i. Performance Scores

Issues	Current state	Scenario A	Scenario B
TOTAL SCORE	-0.4	2.6	2.7
A – Site regeneration			
B – Energy and Resources C.	-0.8	2.8	3.0
C – Environmental Loadings	-0.8	4.7	4.7
D – Indoor Env. Quality	1.8	3.8	5.0
E – Service Quality			
F – Social Aspects			
G – Cost and Economic Asp.	0.8	3.8	3.8

# ii. Key Performance Indicators

SCENARIO A				
KPI	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value	
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	60.30	
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00	
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	20.80	
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0.00	
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	79.00	
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non-renewable energy	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	-	
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	-	
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	6.00	
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m²/yr	1.30	
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	-	
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	57.00	
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	µg/ m³	-	
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m2	-	





D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	10.00
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	2.50
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.37

SCENARIO B			
КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0.00
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	0.00
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non-renewable energy	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	-
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	-
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	6.00
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m <sup>2</sup> /yr	0.00
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	-
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	86.00
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m³	-
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m2	-
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	5.00
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.00
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.37





A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A

# iii. Financing mechanisms evaluation

Scenario A	Municipality's own funds, National and EU financing programs
Scenario B	Municipality's own funds, Attika Prefecture funding, National and EU financing programs

# iv. Synergies at building level

Scenario A	Electricity surplus can be used to cover municipal lighting of the main square in front of the building and of the nearby buildings.
Scenario B	Electricity surplus can be used to cover municipal lighting of the main square in front of the building and of the nearby buildings.





### 5. **RETROFIT CONCEPT**

SELECTED SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
Α.	The two proposed scenarios are not alternative, but they are progressive.
	ScenarioA is the short-term scenario and ScenarioB is the long-term. The
	selected scenario is ScenarioA, which can be promptly implemented.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT**

Retrofits Strategies	Installation of PV panels. New heating system with HP
	Replacement of all lamps
	Installation of BMS system.
Performance improvement	Environment: Improved energy consumption and CO2 emissions
	Society: Improved thermal comfort and indoor air quality.
	Economy: Reduced energy cost
Financial mechanism	Municipality's own funds





# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT – BUILDING 2**

### **1. INITIATION**

General information on the selected building



#### **School Building**

Address	Eggonopoulou & Partheni, Ano Liossia, Fyli
Building use	School
Owner	(Enter text)
Year of construction	(Number)
Building method	Concrete structure with bricks. Double-pane windows with aluminum frame without thermal break. Concrete flat roof. The long axis of the building is along the E-W axis.
Number of levels above earth	2
Number of levels underground	0
Heating system	Central Oil Boiler (300kW)
Cooling system	Three Split Heat Pumps (13.2kW)
DHW system	-
Ventilation system	No ventilation system
Lighting system	A total of 440 fluorescent lamps and 90 incandescent lamps. A total of 2 halogen headlamps for exterior lighting.
Average U value	Walls: 2.56 W/m <sup>2</sup> K / Roof: 3.05 W/m <sup>2</sup> K / Floor: 0.95 W/m <sup>2</sup> K / Windows: 4.1 W/m <sup>2</sup> K
Number of occupants	
Hours of occupation per year	1560







S. G. Wards

### 2. PREPARATION

#### **SBTool structure** a.

In this section the structure of the Hellenic SBTool (HE-Attica-SBTool) is described.

Following the concept and procedure used in the Hellenic SNTool, there was a screening of the initial GF-B 153 available indicators, resulting to 28.

The list of the criteria selected from the CESBA MED Generic Framework ay Building scale and included in the He-SBTool is presented in the following Table (KPIs are marked with an \*, new or modified criteria are marked with  $\ge$ ).

A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
A1	Site Regeneration and Development	
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services	
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles. 🖎	
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 😹	

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	
B1.11	Embodied energy *	
B2	Electrical peak demand	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	
B3	Use of Materials	
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *

and the second second

#### **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY** D1

Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation





D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *
D1.10	Ventilation rate *
D2	Air Temperature and Relative Humidity
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *

E- SERVICE QUALITY		
E1	Safety and Security	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 😹	
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🔈	
E2	Functionality and efficiency	
E2.5	Elevators 🕱	
E3	Controllability	
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🔈	
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability	
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	
F1	Social Aspects
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
G1	Cost	
F1.4	Use stage energy cost *	
F1.5	Use stage water cost *	

### b. SBTool criteria selection rationale

In this section the reason / motivation of the selection of the criteria that have been included in the HE-SBTool is described.

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMEN	NT. URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE
A ONE RECERCICATION AND DETECTINE	T, ORBAN DEGIGN AND INI RACINGOTORE

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	Facilitate the occupants
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	Facilitate the occupants
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles 🖎	Facilitate the occupants
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	Important for occupants' safety

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	KPI
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	KPI
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	KPI

a fille a fille





B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy	KPI
B16	consumption ^ Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy	KDI
D1.0	consumption *	
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	Interesting and can be calculated
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	KPI
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	KPI

### C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	KPI
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	KPI

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	KPI
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	KPI

E- SERVICE QUALITY		
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2 E1.4 E2.5 E3.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire sa Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake sa Elevators sa Building Energy Management System (BEMS) sa	Important for occupants' safety Important for occupants' safety Interesting and can be calculated Important for building's energy
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Interesting and can be calculated

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS			
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	Important	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4	Use stage energy cost *	KPI
G1.5	Use stage water cost *	KPI







to grande and

### c. SBTool weights rationale

In this section the motivation for the value of weights assigned to issues, categories and criteria is presented.

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	
E- SERVICE QUALITY		
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	1	

\* Issue weighting is from the "CESBA KPIs SBTool v1.0" tool

**COMMENT:** We do not have weighting factors for issues (issues are replaced by primary issue or system, which is factor D). Additionally, reporting of factor A is missing.

Primary issue or system directly affected	WEIGHT (1 to 5)	MOTIVATION
COST AND ECONOMICS	1	
FUNCTIONALITY AND SERVICABILITY	1	
WELL-BEING AND PRODUCTIVITY OF	2	
OCCUPANTS		
SOCIAL AND CULTURAL ISSUES	2	
LAND RESOURCES	3	
NON-RENEWABLE MATERIAL	3	
RESOURCES		
NON-RENEWABLE WATER	3	
RESOURCES		
HEALTH, SAFETY AND SECURITY OF	3	
INDIVIDUALS		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	4	
NON-RENEWABLE ENERGY	4	
RESOURCES		
GLOBAL CLIMATE	5	

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	0.7
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	2.9
TOTAL	3.5
B1- Energy	57.1
B2- Electrical peak demand	2.8
B3- Use of materials	-

a the second second





B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	3.1
TOTAL	63.0
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	14.4
C3- Solid and liquid waste	5.5
TOTAL	20.0
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	-
D2- Thermal comfort	0.3
TOTAL	0.3
E1- Safety and Security	1.2
E2- Functionality and efficiency	0.1
E3- Controllability	0.9
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	2.8
TOTAL	5.0
F1- Social aspects	2.3
TOTAL	2.3
G1- Cost	6.0
TOTAL	6.0

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

The pre-assigned values of weighting factors in the CESBA MED GF-U have been reviewed and some of them were accepted and some were modified.

The L.F. weighting factors were reviewed with experts from Municipality of Fylis.

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE									
A1 Site Regeneration and Development									
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	0.69	3	2	1	4	Pedestrian and bicycle paths are important for future plans		
A3 Project Infrastructure and Services									
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	1.56	3	3	1	4	Municipal transportation system is important for future plans		
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles >>>	0.26	1	3	1	2	Parking is less important		
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	1.04	1	3	3	4	Exterior lighting is important for security reasons		
TOTAL		3.5							

B - EN	ERGY AND RESOURC	ES CONSUMF	TION				
B1	Energy						
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand	10.38	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue





B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	10.38	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	10.38	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	2.59	3	3	4	1	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	12.97	3	3	4	5	Installation of PV are of extremely importance for the Municipality strategic plan
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	10.38	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.11	Embodied energy	-	5	1	4	1	Not so important for now
B2	Electrical peak demand						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
CRITE B2.1	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations	Weight (%) 2.77	<b>В</b> 2	С 3	D 4	L.F. 2	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not so important for now
CRITE B2.1 B3	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of Materials	Weight (%) 2.77	<b>B</b> 2	С 3	D 4	<b>L.F.</b> 2	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not so important for now
CRITE B2.1 B3 CRITE	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of Materials RION	Weight (%) 2.77 Weight (%)	В 2 В	С 3 С	D 4 D	L.F. 2 L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not so important for now L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
CRITE B2.1 B3 CRITE B3.5	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of Materials RION Recycled materials (Not for Use phase)	Weight (%) 2.77 Weight (%)	В 2 В 4	с 3 С 2	D 4 D 3	L.F. 2 L.F. 2	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION         Not so important for now         L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION         Not very common practice
CRITE B2.1 B3 CRITE B3.5 B4	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of Materials RION Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) Use of potable water, st	Weight (%) 2.77 Weight (%) - ormwatel	B 2 B 4 r and	C 3 C 2 greyw	D 4 D 3 rater	L.F. 2 L.F. 2	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not so important for now L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not very common practice
CRITE B2.1 B3 CRITE B3.5 B4 CRITE	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of Materials RION Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) Use of potable water, st RION	Weight (%) 2.77 Weight (%) - ormwatel Weight (%)	B 2 B 4 r and B	C 3 C 2 greyw C	D 4 D 3 vater D	L.F. 2 L.F. 2 L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not so important for now L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not very common practice L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
CRITE B2.1 B3 CRITE B3.5 B4 CRITE B4.5	RION Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of Materials RION Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) Use of potable water, st RION Water consumption for indoor uses	Weight (%) 2.77 Weight (%) - ormwatel Weight (%) 3.11	B 2 B 4 r and B 3	C 3 C 2 greyw C 2	D 4 D 3 rater D 3	L.F. 2 L.F. 2 L.F. 3	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not so important for now L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Not very common practice L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS								
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emiss	ions						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations	14.42	5	2	5	4	Reduction of CO2 is an important issue	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes	5						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase)	-	4	2	3	3		
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations	5.54	4	2	3	4	Recycling is an important issue	
TOTAL	-	20.0						

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL	QUALITY					
D1 Indoor Air Quality and V	entilation					
CRITERION	Weight	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION

A KING BURGER BURGER




		(%)					
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase)	-	1	3	3	3	
D1.10	Ventilation rate	-	2	3	2	3	
D2	Air Temperature and R	elative Hu	imidit	ÿ			
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	0.26	1	3	2	3	

### **E- SERVICE QUALITY**

E1	Safety and Security						
ODITED			_		-		
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	0.78	1	3	3	3	
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake	0.39	1	3	3	3	
E2	Functionality and effici	ency					
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.5	Elevators	0.12	1	2	1	4	
E3	Controllability						
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS)	0.92	2	2	4	4	
E4	Flexibility and Adaptab	oility					
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	2.77	3	2	4	4	Turning to PV systems is in future plans
TOTAL		5.0					

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS									
F1 3	Social Aspects								
CRITERI	ON	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	2.34	3	3	3	3			
TOTAL	•	2.3							

### G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS







G1	Cost						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	1.30	3	3	1	5	Reduction of energy cost is very important
G1.5	Use stage water cost	4.67	3	3	3	3	
TOTAL		6.0					

### d. SBTool benchmarks rationale

In this section the motivation of the value of benchmarks assigned to the different criteria for score 0 (minimum acceptable performance) and for score 5 (excellent and ideal performance) is described. In order to set the benchmark values, we have integrated national/ local policies, guidelines, statistics and good practice.

A- SITE R	EGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, U	JRBAN DES	IGN AND INFR	RASTRUCTURE
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.		0:	Short network of pedestrian or bicycle paths or shared areas near the building
A1.13		text	5:	Large network of pedestrian or bicycle paths or shared areas near the building leading to public transport stops, schools or public spaces
			0:	One stop of public/municipal transportation within 400m from the building, with travel frequency up to 15 minutes.
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	text	5:	At least two stops of public/municipal transportation (covering different directions) within 400m from the building, with travel frequency up to 10 minutes.
			0: 1	ΦΕΚ 76/ΜΑΡΤΙΟΣ 2004
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	Spaces /60m²	5: 1.3	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members
A2 16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	tovt	0:	Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building with old lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort
A3.16		lexi	5:	Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building with new lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort







B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS				
			0: 136.7	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential				
	Primary energy demand *	kWh/m²	5: 30.9	and privately owned non- residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated				
D1 1		data. <u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class A+ (33% of class B)						
D1.1		Primary energy consumption per building use for the dominant energy class and class B, were defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), for whole buildings in Attica Prefecture, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to						
		All end u hot wate taken int	(CESBAMED) ( ises (space hea r, ventilation, lig to account.	dimensions. ating, space cooling, domestic ghting and auxiliaries) were				
			0: 38.0	Very difficult to get metered				
	Delivered thermal energy demand *	kWh/m	5: 11.5	and privately owned non- residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.				
B1.2		<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class A+ (33% of class B).						
		Thermal energy consumption per building use for the dominant energy class and class B, were defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), for whole buildings in Attica Prefecture, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. All end uses (space heating, space cooling and domestic hot water) were taken into account.						
		kWh/m²	0: 32.9 5: 7.8	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately owned non- residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.				
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class A+ (33% of class B). Electricity consumption per building use for the dominant energy class and class B, were defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), for whole buildings in Attica Prefecture, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. All end uses (space heating, space cooling, domestic hot water, ventilation, lighting and auxiliaries) were taken into account						







	Energy from renewable sources in total		0: 22	Building use solar collectors for pre-heating
B1.5	thermal energy consumption *	%	5: 100	Building use solar collectors for pre-heating and partial coverage of heating loads
	Energy from renewable sources in total	0/	0: 20	Very difficult to get metered
Ы1.0	electrical energy consumption *	70	5: 100	Use of statistical/ estimated data
<b>D</b> 4 0			0: 70.9	Peeced on D4 2 and D4 2
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	KVVII/III+	5: 19.3	Based on B1.2 and B1.3
		<b>A</b> 4 1/2	0: 6230	Based on discussions with
B1.11 B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building	IVIJ/ITI*	5: 3000	Members
		W/m²	0: 208.5	From typical values for heating, cooling, lighting, equipment in schools.
			5: 9	For nzeb buildings
	Weight of recycled materials on total weight		0: 3	Based on discussions with
B3.5	of materials (Not for Use phase) *	%	5: 40	Members and common practice in Greece
			0: 1.95	From typical values for offices
B4.5	Potable water consumption per occupant per year*	m³/occu pant	5: 0.5	Based on discussions with National Local Committee, consumption can be reduced to 75%

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS				
C1.3	CO2 equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year *	kg CO2 eq/m²/yr	0: 2.8	Based on thermal and electricity consumption benchmarking as estimated in B1.2 and B1.3. It is assumed that thermal energy is covered by fuel oil. <u>Score 0</u> corresponds to CO2 equivalent emissions for the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the buildings of the dominant energy class <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to the CO2 equivalent emissions for consumptions of energy class A+.				
C3.1	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed (Not for	kg/m²/lif e cycle	0: value	Insert your comment here				
	Use phase) *	Slaye	o. valuo					
	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m		0: 57	Based on discussions with				
C3.2	distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories *	%	5: 100	National Local Committee Members and common practice				





A KING STOLE STOLE

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS				
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	$ua/m^3$	0: 1000	From publiched material				
		μg/ Πι <sup>ε</sup>	5: 200	From published material				
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	1/0/m2	0: 1.07	Common practice in NR buildings for fresh air				
		1/3/11	5: 3.06	Based on National guidelines for fresh air for school buildings				
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	0/	0: 25	Based on discussions with				
		70	5: 5	Members				

E- SERVIO	CE QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire		0:	The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Basic training of the occupants
E1.2		text	5:	The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Periodical training of the occupants and fire drills. System connected to a BMS.
			0:	Building has passed successfully, a pre-earthquake inspection.
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🖎	text	5:	Building has passed successfully, a pre-earthquake inspection and fully complies with National Regulations. Periodical training of the occupants
E2.5	Elevators 🖎		0:	Certified elevators, with regular maintenance but don't comply with regulations for disabled persons. Maximum waiting time about 45 sec.
		text	5:	Certified elevators, with regular maintenance, complied with updated standards EN81.20, and with disabled persons' requirements. Maximum waiting time about 25 sec. System connected to a BMS.
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🕱	text	0:	Central control system for heating, cooling and ventilation, on building level
-			5:	Central control system for heating, cooling, ventilation





is C

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS									
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS					
E1 1	Ease of access and use of facilities for		All key facilities, including outdoor facilities, entry poin 0: and hallways, are accessibl wheelchair users and visual impaired persons						
F I. I	disabilities.	lexi	5:	All key facilities, including outdoor facilities, entry points and hallways, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually impaired persons.					

G- COST	AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
			0: 7.7	From benchmarking of B1.2 and B1.3 taking an average fuel and	
G1.4	Annual energy cost per usable floor area *	€/m2	5: 2.1	electricity cost. (taking +20% of total cost for considering energy cost for equipment and other installations)	
G1.5	Annual water cost per usable floor area *	€/m2	0: 0.96	From benchmarking of B4.5, taking into account 50	
			5: 0.24	occupants/100m <sup>2</sup> and an average of water cost.	

### e. SBTool Criteria Specifications

In this section for each selected criterion the presentation includes:

- Information source: The source of the data/information that can be used to characterize the value of the indicator. Example: monitored data, measured data, statistic data, models and simulation, studies, data banks, etc.
- Assessment method: Short and concise description of the assessment method that can be used to verify the value of indicators.
- Standards: technical documents taken as reference for the assessment method.
- The presentation that follows describes in details the relevant values that have been calculated for the Hellenic Training Phase in Town Hall of Municipality of Fylis
- > Relevant information are also provided in the Training Material

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITERION INDICATOR

SPECIFICATIONS

the formation of the states of the





		Information source	Qualitative indicator - Estimations
A1.13	Existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building NOA pilot steps/comments: From area plots and from the on-site audit, two of the building's facades are facing "shared paths", connected to a small network of "shared areas"
		Standard	
		Information source	Qualitative indicator – Estimations – Metered data
			CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation within 400m from the building
A3.12	Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation near the building	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality for the public transport, the number and location of public transport stops was defined. (at least 1 stop of a transportation line within 400m)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data - Estimations
A3.13	Ratio of exterior and interior parking spaces to the total usable area of non-residential occupancies (spaces/60 m2)	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps 1. Calculate the total usable area of non- residential occupancies 2. Calculate the total parking spaces (exterior and interior) 3. Calculate the ratio of total parking spaces per 60m <sup>2</sup> total usable area NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data.from bluilding plots, the usable area was defined. From the on-site audit the number of total parking spaces was defined. (0.49)
		Standard	ΦΕΚ 76/ΜΑΡΤΙΟΣ 2004
	Efficiency and adequacy of the	Information source	Qualitative indicator – Estimations – Metered data
A3.16	exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimation of the efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building







NOA pilot steps/comments: From the on-site audit the number and type of exterior and public lighting was defined. (Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building, with old lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort)

Standard

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), aligned with the EN standards series, can be used. In-built lighting may not be specifically covered in all national or regional calculation methods. As a result, either the omission from the calculations, or a separate calculation method if used, shall be noted in the reporting. The reference unit is one square meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s) Part 3 – 1.3.1).
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for primary energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions.(556.2 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account. Hot water is not taken into account for school buildings</b>
		Standard	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings — Energy requirements for lighting)







		Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps
			Energy uses taken into account: heating,
			cooling, ventilation, domestic hot water
			National calculation methods used to meet
			performance requirements or to complete
			Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs),
			he used. The reference unit is one square
			meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s)
			In case of existing buildings, the delivered
			thermal energy should be evaluated using data from metering
			The metered delivered thermal energy
			demand (i.e. fuel consumption data) has to
		Accomment	be calculated taking the average value over
		method	3 years period.
B1 2	Delivered thermal energy demand		NOA pilot steps/comments:
D1.2	*		From calculated data based on National
			calculation merthod for the energy
			performance of building and the issuing of
			Energy Performance Certificates (semi-
			study carried out for the Municipal Unit of
			Ano Liosia, data for thermal energy
			consumption and heated area (external
			dimensions) for the public buildings within
			testing area were available, and also
			adapted for external to internal
			(CESBAMED) dimensions.(67.1 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
			Comment: Hot water is not taken into
			account for school buildings
			- Overall energy use and definition of
		Standard	EN ISO 13700 (Energy performance of
		Standard	buildings)
			EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings
			— Energy requirements for lighting)
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	CESRAMED calculation steps
			National calculation methods used to meet
			performance requirements or to complete
			Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs),
	Dolivered electric energy demond		aligned with the EN standards series, can
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	Assessment	be used. The reference unit is one square
		method	meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s) Part 3 – 1.3.1).
			In case of existing buildings, the delivered
			electrical energy should be evaluated using
			data from metering. The metered delivered
			electric energy demand (i.e. electricity
			consumption data) has to be calculated







taking the average value over 3 years period bills.

A FISCH STAND

			,
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for electric energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions (166.4 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account. Hot water is not taken into account for school buildings</b>
			EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings
			<ul> <li>Overall energy use and definition of</li> </ul>
		O ( a va al a val	energy ratings)
		Standard	EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings)
			EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings
			— Energy requirements for lighting)
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps
			share of renewable energy in linal thermal
			of existing buildings it should be evaluated
		Assessment	by energy metering
		method	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Energy from renewable sources in		NOA pilot steps/comments:
B1.5	total thermal energy consumption		From an on site audit there were no
	*		renewable sources for thermal energy
			Installed on the building (0%)
			EVel(s) Fail 1-2 – Dela Version EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings
			- Overall energy use and definition of
		Standard	energy ratings)
			2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1
			March 2013.
		l	Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive)
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
		Source	CESBAMED calculation steps
			Share of renewable energy in final electric
	Energy from renewable seurose in		energy consumption. In case of existing
B1.6	total electrical energy		buildings, it should be evaluated by energy
51.0	consumption *	Assessment method	metering
			NOA pilot steps/comments:
			From an on site audit there were no
			installed on the building (0%)





		Standard Information source	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013. Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive) Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps During early design stages a screening tool may be used, but in later stages an hour- by-hour simulation program should be used NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for final energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions (233.4 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account. Hot water is not taken into</b>
		Standard Information source	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings — Energy requirements for lighting) Calculated data - Estimations
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps The following steps should be followed in order to compile the BoM: - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to be carried out. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: The mass for each constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass for each type of material. Once the BoM has been compiled, it is possible to calculate the indirector







			material the relative embodied primary non- renewable energy by multiplying the specific mass (i.e. kg) with its corresponding embodied energy coefficient (i.e. MJ/kg). The total value of embodied primary non-renewable energy is finally normalized by the gross area of the building NOA pilot steps/comments:
		Standard Information source	Not for Ose phase EN 15978 "Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method". ISO 14040/44 EN 15804 (Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products) Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Review of contract documentation and sample equipment specifications by an ouside electrical engineer. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit, the installed power of heating, cooling and lighting systmes was defined. For equipment, typical values for office, taken from National Guidelines. An increase of about 15% to include other systmes (i.e. elevators, circulators, pumps). (371.5 W/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	
B3 5	Recycled materials (Not for Use	Information source	Calculated data - Estimations CESBAMED calculation steps - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each
00.0	phase) *	Assessment method	<ul> <li>building element. A breakdown of its</li> <li>constituent materials has to elaborated. The</li> <li>mass of each constituent material has to be</li> <li>estimated;</li> <li>Aggregation by material: the mass of all</li> <li>constituent material should thereafter be</li> <li>aggregated to obtain the total mass of</li> <li>materials used in the building (A);</li> </ul>







			<ul> <li>Identify the recycled content of each constituent material (in mass);</li> <li>Aggregation by material: the recycled mass of all constituent materials should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total recycled mass of materials (B) used in the building;</li> <li>The indicator's value is calculated as B/A (total mass of recycled materials on the total mass of materials).</li> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase</li> </ul>
		Standard	EN ISO 14021 (Environmental labels and declarations - Self-declared environmental claims - Type II environmental labelling)
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Includes the use of potable water for: drinking water; water for sanitation; water for cleaning; water for washing machine; water for dishwasher; domestic hot water. The user must include in the calculation the sanitary devices/fittings (i.e. toilets, taps and showers) and water using appliances (i.e dishwashers and washing machines). Consumption rates for different sanitary devices and fittings are determined through specific data from suppliers. The specific usage factors have to be established. The number of days that the building is expected to be occupied per year has to be defined by the user. See KPIs Card document for the principle of the per occupant potable water consumption calculation. In case of existing buildings, the potable area water consumptions should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered consumptions have to be estimated taking the average value over 3 years period bills.
			Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, the number of employyes was defined. The ratio of annual total water consumption to the number of employees was calculated (1.6 m <sup>3</sup> /person)







	Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version. EN 15978 (Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method)
--	----------	---

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps 1. For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula:
			$\mathcal{E} = \left[\sum (Q_{fuel,i} \times LHV_i \times k_{em,i}) + (Q_{el} \times k_{em,el}) + (Q_{dh} \times k_{em,dh})\right]$ $Qfuel, l = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 or$
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	Assessment method	Kg) Qel = annual quantity of electric energy from the grid (kWh) Qdh = annual quantity of energy from district heating/cooling (kWh) LHVi = lower heating value of the i-th fuel (kWh/m3 or kWh/Kg) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the i-th fuel (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the electric energy from the grid (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total CO2 equivalent emissions from all buildings / total useful internal floor area of all buildings
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia using the national method, data for thermal and electrical energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. Using the national conversion factors to COeq (20704.1 kg/GWh for fuel oil and 61123.9 kg/GWh for electricity), total CO2 equivalent emissions to total useful internal floor area







		Standard Information	of the building (11.6 kg/m2) EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings). Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version Metered data –Estimations
		source	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Design stage (based on estimations)</u> Estimations of waste based on surveys of existing buildings that will undergo major renovation or where the structure will be reused (life cycle stage B5). Estimations based on scenarios for deconstruction and demolition of the building at a future point in time beyond the end of its service life (life cycle stages C1/3, D). <u>Construction stage (based on data</u> <u>recorded from the site)</u> Data from deconstruction and demolition of (a) building(s) in order to clear a site for a new building construction (as part of a previous life cycle). Data from the part deconstruction of (a) building(s) in order to prepare useful parts for in-situ reuse. Data from construction on site of a new building and/or the prefabrication/construction of parts and elements off site (life cycle stages A3/5). Data from preparation of a building in order to facilitate a major renovation. <u>Completion stage (based on estimations</u> <u>supported by as-built drawings</u> ) Estimations based on scenarios for deconstruction and demolition of the building at a future point in time beyond the end of its service life (life cycle stages C1/3, D). NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
	Ratio of the number of collectable	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
C3.2	solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps The seven reference categories of solid waste are: Paper, Plastic, Metal, Glass, Wet waste, Textiles, Special hazardous waste.







 Identify the availability and position of bins and containers for each of the seven solid waste categories.
 Calculate the walking distance (m) from the building's main entrance to each identified bin or container.
 Evaluate how many of the 7 categories of solid waste is possible to collect within a 100 m walking distance from the building's entrance (A).
 Calculate the value of the indicator as : A/7
 NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an on-site audit

in the testing area, the number and the type of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance was defined. (57%)

#### Standard

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
		Information source	Metered data
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Post completion phase</u> Testing shall be carried out for a minimum of 10% of the apartments and be representative of any significant variations in the house or apartment typologies, configurations and materials. Samples shall be taken in the living room and the smallest bedroom of each property NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase COMMENT: TVOC concentrations have been in the past used as an indicator of the ability of combined VOC exposures to produce adverse health effects. This approach is no longer supported (e.g. ASHRAE), because the irritant potential and toxicity of individual VOCs vary widely, and measured concentrations are highly dependent on the sampling and analytical methods used. The available data do not allow establishing of thresholds for TVOC (ECA-IAQ European Collaborative Action, JRC)







		Standard	CEN/TS 16516 (Construction products - Assessment of release of dangerous substances - Determination of emissions into indoor air). EN 15251 (Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics). Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
		source	Metered data – Calculated data
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps ONLY for ventilated buildings The ventilation rate (I/s/m2) must be calculated in all the main rooms, excluding circulation spaces and service rooms (i.e. toilets). The indicator must be calculated as weighted sum all the calculated ventilation rates.
			NOA pilot steps/comments:
		Standard Information	EN 16798-7 (Energy performance of buildings - Ventilation for buildings - Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration) Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	Estimations
		Assessment method	Design stage (mechanically conditioned)For all main occupied room:1. Estimate PMV2. Calculate PPDNOA pilot steps/comments:From short ohn site occupant survey.From on site audit there was difficulty incontrolling internal conditions. (15%)
D2.2	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) *	Standard	EN ISO 7730 – Ergonomics of the thermal environment – Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort using calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and local thermal comfort criteria. EN 16798-1:2017 - Energy performance of buildings - Part 1: Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics - Module M1-6 (revision of EN 15251). Brussels: European Committee for Standardization.







Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version. Brussels: European Commission

E- SERVICE QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🖎	Information source	Metered data
		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the level of compliance with the requirements for fire protection as well as of the occupnats training NOA pilot steps/comments: From and on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Basic training of the occupants)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Metered data
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake s	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the building's anti-earthquaqe protection. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Building has passed successfully, a pre-erthquaqe inspection. Basic training of the occupants)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E2.5	Vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Assess the service quality and functional efficiency of elevators within a building, as well as their compliance with the existing regulations. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Certified elevator, with monthly maintenance)
		Standard	
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) ∖≊	Information source	Metered data





		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. No BEMS
		Standard	
		source	Estimations
		Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the ease or difficulty in installing heating or cooling equipment that require a different fuel, or to install photovoltaic systems.
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Adapting the building to a new fuel source or installing photovoltaics will require only a minor level of renovations).

Standard

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	SPECIFICATIONS	
		Information source	Metered data	
			CESBAMED calculation steps Assess the ease of access and use of facilities for persons with mobility or perceptual disabilities.	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit. (Outdoor facilities, entry points, ground-floor hallways and elevator, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually impaired persons)	
		Standard		





		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps In case of existing buildings, the total annual cost of actual thermal and electrical energy use from energy bills should be calculated taking the average energy cost over 3 years period.
G1.4	Energy annual cost per usable floor area *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the energy audit the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the building was calculated based on the national method. From the architectural plans, the total internal surface of the building was defined. (26.9 €/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: All uses are taken into account, including equipment and installations (unlike energy related indicators). Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
G1.5	Water annual cost per usable floor area *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps In case of existing buildings, the total annual cost of water use from water bills should be calculated taking the average water cost over 3 years period. NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From the architectural plans, the total internal surface of the building was defined. $(0.29 \in /m^2)$ COMMENT: Gross area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version







## 6. **DIAGNOSIS**

### d. Performance scores

Evaluation of the actual performance and relative level of sustainability of the Building. PPs have to indicate the scores reached.

		SCORE
A - SITE I	REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND	
INFRAST	RUCTURE	
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	0.0
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	0.0
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles 🖎	-1.0
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	0.0
B – ENEF	GY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	-1.0
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	-1.0
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	-1.0
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	-1.0
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	-1.0
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	-1.0
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	-1.0
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	1.2
C- ENVIR	ONMENTAL LOADINGS	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	-1.0
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	0.0
D- INDOC	DR ENVIRONMENTAL QU	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	-
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	2.5
E- SERVI	CE QUALITY	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🔈	0.0
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🔉	3.0
E2.5	Elevators 🕱	3.0
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🔈	0.0
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	3.0
F- SOCIA	L CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	0.0
G- COST	AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	
G1.4	Use stage energy cost *	-1.0
G1.5	Use stage water cost *	4.7

COMMENTS:

- All scores below 0 should be -1.
- A3.13 Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles. In file B, input only for residential building







# b. Key Performance Indicators value

КРІ	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	556.20
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	67.10
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	166.40
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0.00
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	0.00
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non- renewable energy	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	-
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	-
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	1.6
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m²/yr	11.60
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	-
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	0.00
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m <sup>3</sup>	-
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m2	-
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	15.00
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	26.90
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.29







A FIRE STATE

# c. Actual performance analysis

WEAKNESSES ASPECTS	Inefficient heating system. Old lighting system. No automatic controls in heating,
	lighting.
STRENGHT ASPECTS	Central location and easy accessibility (at least 1 stop of a transportation line
	within 400m). Part of a school building complex with spacious and green yard.
	Bicycle path adjacent to the school block. Shared path adjacent to one façade of
	the building complex. Large flat roof, for installation of PV.
POTENTIAL FOR PERFORMANCE	Improve energy consumption and CO2 emissions of the building. Improve
IMPROVEMENT	thermal comfort. Reduce energy cost. Extension of the shared paths and bicycle
	pats. Municipal transportation stop outside the building complex.





A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE

### 4. STRATEGIC DEFINITION

### a. Performance targets

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN			
AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
A1 12 Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use		Actual value	0.0
Existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths	use.	Actual value	0.0
around the building	text	Target value	1.0
A3 Project Infrastructure and Services			
A3 12 Public/ Municipal transportation		Actual value	0.0
Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal		Actual value	0.0
transportation near the building	text	Target value	1.0
A3.13 Provision of on-site parking facilities for private v	/ehicles	Actual value	-1.0
Ratio of exterior and interior parking spaces to the	$spaces/60 m^2$	Target value	-1.0
total usable area of non-residential occupancies	spaces/00 m	Talget value	-1.0
A3.16 Exterior lighting		Actual value	0.0
Efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and	text	Target value	5.0
B1 Energy			
B1 1 Primary energy demand		Actual value	-1.0
Brimary energy demand	$kM/b/m^2$	Torgot value	-1.0
Phillip energy demand	KVVII/111		1.0
Delivered thermal energy demand	$kM/b/m^2$	Torgot value	-1.0
P1.2 Delivered electric energy demand	KVVII/III <sup>_</sup>		1.0
Delivered electric energy demand		Actual value	-1.0
Delivered electric energy demand			5.0
consumption	nermai energy	Actual value	-1.0
Energy from renewable sources in total thermal	0/	Target value	1.0
energy consumption	70	-	-1.0
B1.6 – Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy		Actual value	1.0
consumption			-1.0
Energy from renewable sources in total electrical		Target value	5.0
energy consumption	70		5.0
B1.8 – Final total energy for all building operations		Actual value	-1.0
Final total energy for all building operations	kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	5.0
B2 – Electrical peak demand			
B2.1 – Electrical peak demand for building operations		Actual value	-1.0
Average of peak monthly electrical demand for one	$M/m^2$	Target value	-1.0
year	VV/III-		-1.0
B4 – Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater			
B4.5 – Water consumption for indoor uses		Actual value	1.2
Water consumption for indoor uses m <sup>3</sup> /person		Target value	0.0
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
C1 – Greenhouse Gas Emissions			
C1.3 – Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations		Actual value	-1.0
Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations	kg CO2eq/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	5.0
C3 – Solid and Liquid Wastes			
C3.2 – Solid waste from building operations		Actual value	-1.0
Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste	%	Target value	3.0





categories within a 100 m distance from the building's			
entrance to the reference solid waste categories			
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
D2 – Air Temperature and Relative Humidity			0.5
D2.2 – Thermal comfort index	<u> </u>	Actual value	2.5
Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	Target value	5.0
E-SERVICE QUALITY		_	
E1 – Safety and Security			
E1.2 – Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	Γ	Actual value	0.0
Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	text	Target value	3.0
E1.4 – Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake		Actual value	3.0
Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake	text	Target value	3.0
E2 – Functionality and efficiency			. <u></u>
E2.5 – Elevators		Actual value	3.0
Elevators text		Target value	0.0
E3 – Controllability			
E3.2 – Building Energy Management System (BEMS)		Actual value	0.0
Building Energy Management System	text	Target value	0.0
E4 – Flexibility and Adaptability			
E4.5 – Adaptability to future changes in type of energy s	upply	Actual value	3.0
Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	text	Target value	3.0
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS			
F1 – Social Aspects			
F1.1 – Universal access on site and within the building		Actual value	-1.0
Retention of as-built documentation	text	Target value	0.0
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
G1 – Cost			
G1.4 – Use stage energy cost		Actual value	-1.0
Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m <sup>2</sup>	Target value	5.0
G1.5 – Use stage water cost		Actual value	4.7
Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²	Target value	5.0

# b. Constraints and restrictions

# CONSTRAINTS / RESTRICTIONS Legal constraints Buildings under major renovation should comply with Hellenic regulation on the energy performance in the building sector (KENAK) Technical constraints Building use Financial constraints Budget constraints Environmental condition constraints Stakeholder based restrictions Other relevant constraints Image: Constraints







A FLICK STAND

# c. Potential strategies at building scale

Synergy zones	
Energetic synergies	Since the building is part of a school complex, there is a possibility of large <i>PV</i> installation. Electricity surplus (given the building use) can be used to cover electricity of the nearby buildings
Water synergies	
Waste synergies	
Mobility synergies	
Other synergies	





the growthe we

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STORE

## **5. DECISION MAKING**

### a. Description of scenarios

SCENARIO A	DESCRIPTION
1.	Installation of 30 PV panels, with total surface 48.9 m <sup>2</sup> and efficiency 19%
2.	Installation of 6 Heat Pumps (35kW each) for heating and cooling. Installation of fan coils. Insulation of all distribution networks.
3.	Replacement of all lamps with new LED

SCENARIO B	DESCRIPTION
1.	Installation of 30 PV panels, with total surface 48.9 m <sup>2</sup> and efficiency 19%. Remaining needs will be covered by the PV park in a nearby area.
2.	Installation of 6 Heat Pumps (35kW each) for heating and cooling. Installation of fan coils. Insulation of all distribution networks.
3.	Replacement of all lamps with new LED
4.	Installation of a central thermal-compensation system for heating
5.	Recycle bins for more categories
6.	Municipal transportation
7.	Extended network of shared area leading to public transportation stops

# b. Scenarios raking

For the evaluation of the two scenarios the short version of the SBTool was used, since the SBTool was not updated.

### i. Performance Scores

Issues	Current state	Scenario A	Scenario B
TOTAL SCORE	-0.2	1.5	2.8
A – Site regeneration			
B – Energy and Resources C.	-0.6	1.8	3.3
C – Environmental Loadings	-1.0	1.5	4.3
D – Indoor Env. Quality	2.5	4.3	5.0
E – Service Quality			
F – Social Aspects			
G – Cost and Economic Asp.	1.8	4.1	4.8





A CHARTER AND A CHARTER AND A CHARTER

# ii. Key Performance Indicators

SCENARIO A			
KPI	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	88.50
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	30.50
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0.00
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	54.00
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary	Embodied primary non-	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	-
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	-
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor	Potable water consumption per	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	1.2
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per vear	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq./m <sup>2</sup> /yr	1.90
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	-
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	0.00
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m³	-
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m2	-
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	8.00
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	3.70
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.29





SCENARIO B			
KPI	Indicator	Unit of measure	Value
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	kWh/m2/yr	0.00
B.1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total final thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0.00
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total final electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	100.00
B.1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary	Embodied primary non-	MJ/m <sup>2</sup>	-
B.3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	%	-
B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/year	1.2
C.1.3 Global Warming potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year	kg CO₂ eq./m²/yr	0.00
C.3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m <sup>2</sup> of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m²/life cycle stage	-
C.3.2 Solid waste from building operation	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	%	71.00
D.1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	μg/ m³	-
D.1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	l/s/m2	-
D.2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	%	5.00
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.00
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m2/yr	0.29

# iii. Financing mechanisms evaluation

Scenario A

Municipality's own funds, National and EU financing programs

Art Here Stands & Container





Scenario B	Municipality's own funds, Attika Prefecture funding, National and EU financing programs

# iv. Synergies at building level

Scenario A	Electricity surplus (given the building use) can be used to cover electricity of the nearby buildings
Scenario B	Electricity surplus (given the building use) can be used to cover electricity of the nearby buildings





and the second of the second o

### 6. RETROFIT CONCEPT

SELECTED SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION
Α.	The two proposed scenarios are not alternative, but they are progressive.
	ScenarioA is the short-term scenario and ScenarioB is the long-term. The
	selected scenario is ScenarioA, which can be promptly implemented.

### **KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CONCEPT**

Potrofite Stratogias	Installation of PV papels. Now beating system with HP
Relionits Strategies	Installation of PV parlets. New nearing system with HP
	Replacement of all lamps
Performance improvement	Environment: Improved energy consumption and CO2 emissions
	Society: Improved thermal comfort
	Economy: Reduced energy cost
Financial mechanism	Municipality's own funds

