Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# D3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Date: October 2019

2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Mediterranean CESBA MED

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

### Index

#### **URBAN SCALE**

D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – City of Turin	4.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – City of Udine	
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – EnvirobatBDM	
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – AURA-EE	67.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – GENCAT	
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – SANT CUGAT	
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – University of Malta	
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – NOA	
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – EIHP	226.
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS – URBAN SCALE	246.
Total number of criteria calculated	247.
Number of criteria per area	248.
Average value of Priority factors per KPIs	250.
Weight per area	252.
Average value of Minimum/Best value	254.
Standard for calculation	258.
KPIs value	260.
KPIs score	261.

### **BUILDING SCALE**

D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – City of Turin	263.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – City of Udine	274.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – EnvirobatBDM	289.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – AURA-EE	307.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – GENCAT	327.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – SANT CUGAT	341.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – University of Malta	369.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – NOA	391.
D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – EIHP	421.

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS – BUILDING SCALE	440.
Total number of criteria calculated	.441.
Number of criteria per area	.442.
Average value of Priority factors per KPIs	444.
Weight per area	.445.
Average value of Minimum/Best value	.448.
Standard for calculation	.453.
KPIs value	455.
KPIs score	446.



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - TORINO

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# SNTool structure

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1	Urban structure and form
A1.2	Urban compactness Conservation of land
A1./	Transportation infrastructure
A2.1 A2.4	Walking distance to public transport for area residents Extent and connectivity of bicycle paths separated from vehicular traffic.

B- ECONOMY	
B2	Economic activity
B2.2	Average Annual per-capita income of residents
B3	Cost and investments
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
C1.2	Total final thermal energy consumption for residential building operations.
C1.3	Total final thermal energy consumption for non residential building operations.
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations
C1.5	Total final electrical energy consumption for residential building operations.
C1.6	Total final electrical energy consumption for non residential building operations.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations
C1.20	Energy consumption for public lightning
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy

the formation of the second second



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy
C2.8	Aggregated electrical energy generation from renewable sources located on public properties
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	

D1	Atmospheric	emissions

D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations

E- NON RENEWABLE RESOURCES	
E1	Potable water, stormwater and grey water
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population
E.1.7	Consumption of potable water for non residential building systems
E2	Solid and liquid wastes
E2.1 E2.2	Solid waste and recycling collection points Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling

F- ENVIRONMENT	
F1	Environmental impacts
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.
F2	Outdoor environmental quality
F2.1 F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <2.5 mu (PM2.5) over a one-year period.
	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	
G2	Traffic and mobility Services
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service

A KING WAR AND A CONSIDER



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network
G4	Public and private facilities and services
G4 2	Availability and proximity of key public human services
04.2	Availability and proximity of a primary school
G4.3	Availability and proximity of a secondary school
G.4.4 G4.5	Availability and proximity of childrens' play facilities

# **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS		REASONS/MOTIVATION		
A1	Urban structure an form			
A1.2	Urban compactness	It is an indicator used in Urban planning		
A1.7	Conservation of land	<i>It gives the indication of the soil consumption</i>		
A2	Transportation infrastructure			
A2.1	Walking distance to public transport for area residents	Support to sustainable mobility policies		
A2.4	Extent and connectivity of bicycle paths separated from vehicular traffic.	Support to sustainable mobility policies		

B- ECONOMY				
B2	Economic activity			
B2.2	Average Annual per-capita income of Support to social and welfare policies residents			
B3	Cost and investments			
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.	Rationalization of municipal expenditure		

# **C-ENERGY**

C1	Non-renewable energy			
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors		
C1.2	Total final thermal energy consumption for residential building operations.	Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors		
C1.3	Total final thermal energy consumption for non residential building operations.	Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors		
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for	Achievement of the objectives set by the		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C1.5 C1.6 C1.7	building operations Total final electrical energy consumption for residential building operations. Total final electrical energy consumption for non residential building operations. Total primary energy demand for building operations	covenant of Mayors Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors
C1.20	Energy consumption for public lightening	Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy	
C2.1 C2.4 C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation. Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors/burden sharing Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors/burden sharing Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors/burden sharing
C2.8	Aggregated electrical energy generation from renewable sources located on public properties	Achievement of the objectives set by the covenant of Mayors/burden sharing
D- ATMOS	PHERIC EMISSIONS	
D4	Atmoonhorio emissione	
D1	Atmospheric emissions	

D1 2	GHG emissions from energy used for all	Achievement of the objectives set by the
D1.2	purposes in building operations	covenant of Mayors/EU targets

E- NON RENEWABLE RESOURCES					
E1	Potable water, stormwater and grey water				
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population and non residential building systems	Support to sustainable consumption policies			
E.1.7	non residential building systems	Support to sustainable consumption policies			
E2	Solid and liquid wastes				
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points	Support to waste management policies; consistency with the regional waste management plan			
E2.2	Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling	Support to waste management policies; consistency with the regional waste management plan			

# **F- ENVIRONMENT**

F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	Support to urban development policies; consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City

A CHARTER AND CONTRACT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



a fille a fille

F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.1	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <2.5 mu (PM2.5) over a one-year period.	Support to public health policies/EU target; Support to urban development policies; consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City Support to public health policies/EU target
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one- year period	Support to urban development policies; consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes	
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability	Support to urban development policies; consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
G- SOCIA	L ASPECTS	
G2	Traffic and mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service	Support to sustainable mobility policies consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City and with the Urban sustainable mobility plan
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Support to sustainable mobility policies consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City and with the Urban sustainable mobility plan
G4	Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services	Support to sustainable mobility policies consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
G4.3	Availability and proximity of a primary school	Support to sustainable mobility policies consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
G.4.4	Availability and proximity of a secondary school	Support to sustainable mobility policies consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
G4.5	Availability and proximity of childrens' play facilities	Support to sustainable mobility policies consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SNTool weights rationale**

# **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	3	The Municipality considers Sustainable Urban Planning very relevant. Consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
B- ECONOMY	1	
C- ENERGY	3	The Municipality considers Sustainable Urban Planning very relevant <i>Consistency with the draft revision of the</i> <i>general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City</i>
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	The Municipality considers local impacts very relevant Consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	2	Good practices of sustainable consumption are relevant for the Municipality
F- ENVIRONMENT	3	Sustainable Urban Planning and health policies are a priority for the Municipality Consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	3	

# **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	8,3
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	3,3
TOTAL	11,6
B1- Economic Structure and Value	0
B2- Economic activity	0,6
B3- Cost and Investment	1,1
TOTAL	1,7
C1- Non-renewable energy	28,7
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	12,5
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0
TOTAL	41,1
D1- Atmospheric emissions	6,9
TOTAL	6,9

the second s



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	2,5
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	4,4
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	0
TOTAL	6,9
F1- Environmental impacts	5,5
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	11,1
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	1,7
TOTAL	18,3
G1- Safety and Accessibility	0
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	3,7
G3- Communication services	0
G4- Public and private facilities and services	9,2
G5- Local Food	0
G6- Management and community involvement	0,6
G7- Society, Culture and Heritage	Ó
G8- Perceptual	0
TOTAL	13,4

# **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS						
A1 - Urban structure and form						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION
A.1.2	4,16	3	3	5	1	weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific assessments and the territorial context
A. 1.7	4,16	3	3	5	1	
A2.1	1,11	2	2	3	1	
A2.4	2,22	2	3	4	1	
TOTAL	11,6					

B- ECONOMY									
B2 - Economic activity									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B. 2.2	0,55	3	3	2	1	weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific assessments and the territorial context			
B. 3.3	1,11	3	4	3	1				
TOTAL	1,7								

the second second



ENERON

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C-ENERGY						
C1 - Non-renewa	ble energy					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1	3,7	3	5	4	1	weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific assessments and the territorial context
C1.2	3,7	3	5	4	1	
C1.3	3,7	3	5	4	1	
C1.4	3,7	3	5	4	1	
C1.5	3,7	3	5	4	1	
C1.6	3,7	3	5	4	1	
C1.7	4,62	3	5	5	1	
C1.20	1,85	2	5	3	1	
C2.4 C2.7	4,16	3	5	3	1	
C2.8	4,16	3	5	3	1	
	1,39	3	5	3	1	
	2,77	2	5	3	1	

TOTAL

41,1

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS							
D 1 – Atmospheric emissions							
CRITERION D1.2	<b>Weight (%)</b> 6,9	<b>В</b> 3	<b>C</b> 5	<b>D</b> 5	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific assessments and the territorial context	
TOTAL	6,9						

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES							
E1 - Potable water,	E1 - Potable water, stormwater and greywater						
CRITERION E1.6	Weight (%) 1,48	<b>В</b> 3	С 4	<b>D</b> 2	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific	
E.1.7	0,99	2	4	2	1	assessments and the territorial context	

A KINCHER MARKEN



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F2 1	1.11	2	3	2	1	
	.,	-	0	-		
E. 2.2	3.33	3	4	3		
	-,					
TOTAL	6,9					

F- ENVIRONMENT									
F1 - Environmenta	l impacts								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F.1.3	5,55	3	4	5	1	weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific assessments and the territorial context			
F. 2.1	5,55	3	4	5	1				
F. 2.3	5,55	3	4	5	1				
F. 3. 1	1,66	2	3	3	1				
TOTAL	18,3								

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS								
G2 – Traffic and Mobility Services								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
G 2.1	1,48	2	4	2	1	weights related to the characteristics of the effects, defined on the basis of scientific assessments and the territorial context		
G 2.4	2,22	2	3	4	1			
G 4.2	1,11	2	3	2	1			
G 4.3	3,33	3	3	4	1			
G 4.4	2,22	2	3	4	1			
G 4.5	2,5	3	3	3	1			
G6.3	0,55	1	3	2	1			
TOTAL	13,4							

# **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
		$m^3/m^2$	0: 14	Technical evaluation of municipal offices				
A1.2	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	111 /111	5: 18	Technical evaluation of municipal offices				
A1 7	(see table in SNTool	0/	0: 0,5%	Technical evaluation of municipal offices				
rationale)		/0	5: 2%	Technical evaluation of municipal offices				
A2.1	(see table in SNTool	%	0: 85%	represents a minimum				

A CHARTER AND CONTRACT





	criteria selection rationale)			standard on average in the whole city (city center, peripherical areas,)
			5: 100%	Represents the optimal standard
A2 4	(see table in SNTool	km/1000	0: 0,0014	Technical evaluation of municipal offices
AL.7	rationale)	residents	5: 0,0042	Technical evaluation of municipal offices

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
<b>P2</b> 2	(see table in SNTool	0/	0: 80%	Based on technical report (Rapporto Rota)
D2.2	rationale)	%	5: 90%	Based on technical report (Rapporto Rota)
B3.3	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	€/mq	0: 7,4	Typical performance (ENEA REPORT)
			5: 4	Consumption reduction estimation (Politecnico of Turin study)

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
04.4	(see table in SNTool	kWh/m2	0: 70	Values from TABULA project
C1.1	criteria selection rationale)	year	5: 30	Values from Casa ClimaBolzano and ENEA
C1.2	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 70	Values from TABULA project
			5: 30	Values from Casa ClimaBolzano and ENEA
C1.3	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 70	Values from TABULA project (excluded process)
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 30	Values from Casa ClimaBolzano and ENEA
C1.4	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 50	EURAC Study







	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 20	EURAC study
C1.5	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 20	EURAC study
			5: 5	EURAC study
C1.6	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 60	EURAC study
			5: 39	Insert EURAC study
C1.7	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 322	20% reduction compared to actual value
			5: 242	40% reduction compared to actual value
C1.20	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	kWh/m2 year	0: 1	20% reduction compared to actual value
			5: 0,5	Best practice (EU, DE)
			0: 20	20% objectives from 2020 EU Strategy
C2.1	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	5: 100	Excellent and ideal target
	·			
C2 4	(see table in SNTool	%	0: 20	20% objectives from 2020 EU Strategy
	rationale)	,.	5: 100	Excellent and ideal target
C2.7	(see table in SNTool criteria selection	%	0: 20	20% objectives from 2020 EU Strategy
	rationale)		5: 100	Excellent and ideal target
C2.8	(see table in SN I ool criteria selection rationale)	MWh/y	0: 100	10% roof surface (sud facing) for PV
			5: 1000	90% roof surface (sud facing) for PV







D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
D1 2	(see table in SNTool	kgCO2/10	0: 22,5	Technical evaluation		
01.2	rationale)	00m2	5: 0	Excellent and ideal target		

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
E1 6	(see table in SNTool	m3	0: 65	Based on indication from SMAT sustainability report 2017	
E1.6	rationale)	s/y	5: 61	Based on indication from SMAT sustainability report 2017	
E1.7	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	m3/m2 y	0: 1	Local current values	
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 0,5	50% reduction based on local current value	
E2.1	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 75	represents a minimum standard on average in the whole city (city center, peripherical areas,)	
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 98	Represents the optimal standard	
E2.2	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 65	Based on indication of the regional waste plan	
			5: 75	Best urban practices	
E2.2	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 65 5: 75	Based on indication of the regional waste plan Best urban practices	

F- ENVIRONMENT				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
F1.3	(see table in SNTool criteria selection	%	0: 20	Based on tech. std. for the implementation for urban development plan
	rationale)		5: 40	Based on tech. std. for the implementation for urban







				development plan
F2.1	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	μg/m3	0: 20	Based on EU Directive limits
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 10	OMS recommendation
F2.3	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	n	0: 35	Based on EU Directive limits
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 25	Based on values in similar cities without significant pollution problems (suggested by ARPA)
F3.1	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	m2 /inhab	0: 12,5	Based on national urban standard
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 33	Amelioration on national urban standard

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
G2 1	Performance of the	%	0: 70%	Technical evaluation	
Q2.1	public transport service	70	5: 100%	Technical evaluation	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	m/100 inhab	0: 14	Technical evaluation of municipal offices	
			5: 42	Technical evaluation of municipal offices	
G4.2	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 80	Technical evaluation	
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 100	Actual value	
G4.3	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 50	Based on National standard (DM 75/75, evaluated with municipal offices)	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 75	Increase compared to National standard (DM 75/75, evaluated with municipal offices)
G4.4	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 30	Based on National standard (DM 75/75, evaluated with municipal offices)
	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)		5: 60	Increase compared to National standard (DM 75/75, evaluated with municipal offices)
G4.5	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	%	0: 30	Technical evaluation
			5: 60	Technical evaluation
G6.3	(see table in SNTool criteria selection rationale)	n	0: -1(0)	-
			5: 5	-

# **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
		Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino (ing. Gallo). The data are geometrical measurements		
A1.2	Urban compactness	Assessment method	Calculation of building volume and of the urban area from shapefile		
		Standard	No		
A1.7	Conservation of Land	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino (ing. Gallo). The data are geometrical measurements		
		Assessment method	Calculation of undeveloped land (agricultural)		
		Standard	no		
A2.1	Walking distance	Information	Shape file from Comune di Torino		

and the second and the





		source	(ing. Gallo). The data are geometrical measurements
		Assessment method	Centroids for distance evaluation
		Standard	no
A2.4	Connectivity of bicycle path	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino (divisione infrastrutture e mobilità). The data are geometrical measurements
		Assessment method	Calculation of bicycle path length
		Standard	no

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Rapporto Rota	
B2.2	32.2 Per capita income	Assessment method	Content of the study	
		Standard	no	
B3.3	Running costs of energy for public buildings	Information source	<i>Data from</i> Servizio Controllo Utenze e Contabilità Fornitori	
		Assessment method	Data given	
		Standard	no	

C- ENERGY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	ONS
		Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)/overall final thermal consumptions
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operation	Assessment method	Value obtained from specific urban consumption reported in the Convenant of Mayors Database, compared to the surface of our pilot area
		Standard	TABULA project; when possible referred to UNI 11300







C1.2	Total thermal residential	Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)
		Assessment method	Value obtained from specific urban consumption of residential buildings reported in the Convenant of Mayors Database, compared to the surface of our pilot area-residential buildings
		Std.	TABULA; when possible referred to UNI 11300
C1.3	Total thermal NON residential	Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)
		Assessment method	Value obtained from specific urban consumption of NON residential buildings reported in the Convenant of Mayors Database, compared to the surface of our pilot area- NON residential buildings
		Std.	No – when possible referred to UNI 11300
C1.4	Total final electric energy consumption for building operation	Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)
		Assessment method	Value obtained from specific urban consumption reported in the Convenant of Mayors Database, compared to the surface of our pilot area
		Std.	No – when possible referred to UNI 11300
C1.5	Total final electric residential	Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)
		Assessment method Std	Value obtained from specific urban consumption of residential buildings reported in the Convenant of Mayors Database, compared to the surface of our pilot area-residential buildings







C1.6	Total final electric for NON residential	Information source	11300 Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)
		Assessment method	Value obtained from specific urban consumption of NON residential buildings reported in the Convenant of Mayors Database, compared to the surface of our pilot area-residential buildings
		Std.	No – when possible referred to UNI 11300
C1.7	Total primary for building operation	Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)
		Std.	
		Assessment method	The value is calculated as the sum of (thermal + electric) consumption for the whole city related to the surface of buildings in the AREA multiplied for the coefficient for energy conversion into primary energy, derived from the DM 26/6/2016
		Std.	No
C1.20	Energy consumption public lightning	Information source	Data derived from TERNA
		Assessment method	average annual consumption per inhabitants (TERNA), kWh/mq, multiplied for the AREA SURFACE, and then related to the number of inhabitants living in the AREA.
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on site	Information source	Altlaimpianti_GSE; DB from Convenant of Majors
		Assessment method	Calculated the production of Renewable thermal energy from GSE database. Calculate the total thermal consumption, from DB from Convenant of Majors. Ratio











		Assessment method	Calculated the production of Renewable thermal + electric energy from GSE database. Calculate the total thermal+ electric consumption, from DB from Convenant of Majors. Transformation into primary energy. Ration between them
		Standard	No
C2.7	Share on total primary	Information source	Altlaimpianti_GSE; DB from Convenant of Majors
		Assessment method	Calculated the production of Renewable thermal + electric energy from GSE database. Calculate the total thermal+ electric consumption, from DB from Convenant of Majors. Transformation into primary energy. Ration between them
		Standard	No
C2.8	Aggregated electric from REon public buildings	Information source	Estimation Size of PV plant
		Assessment method	Estimation of the production of the existing PV plant
		Standard	No

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
<b>D1.2</b> <b>GHG</b> emission from energy used for all purposes in building operation	Information source	Overall city consumptions (DB from Convenant of Majors)	
	GHG emission from energy used for all purposes in building operation	Assessment method	Calculation of the total emission from thermal and electric consumptions, in kg CO2.
		Standard	<i>Conversion factors from POR 2014/2020</i>







E- NON-RENEWABL	E RESOURCES		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information Source	SMAT Bilancio di Sostenibilità 2017
E 1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	Assessment method	Use of the indicator in the SMAT '2017 Sustainability Report'.
		Standard	no.
E 1.7	Consumption of potable water for non -residential building systems	Information Source	
		Assessment method	
		Standard	no.
		Information Source	Iren -Amiat
E 2.1	Solid Waste and recycling point	Assessment method	Definition of centroids drawn on the different census sections (centre of gravity of the polygon). The radius of the centroid (hypotenuse) is established using the formula of Pythagoras' theorem, assuming the length of the sum of the cathetes as the distance indicated for the calculation of the indicator. The City of Turin is in fact characterized by a predominantly Roman (orthogonal) urban plan.
		Standard	no.







		Information Source	Iren -Amiat
E 2.2	Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling	Assessment method	weighted average of the percentages of separate collection of the two city districts (V and VI) included in the pilot area
		Standard	no.

F- ENVIRONMENT			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information	Shape file from Comune di Torino
		source	The data are geometrical measurements
F 1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Assessment method	sum of green areas with a coefficient of permeability equal to one and a coefficient of permeability equal to 0.9 in relation to the total surface area of the pilot area
		Standard	по
F 2.1	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates PM 2,5 over a one year period	Information source	Annual report on air quality, drawn up by Arpa Piemonte and the Metropolitan City of Turin - Data from the monitoring unit
		Assessment method	extraction of the specific data from the Annual Air Quality Report
		Standard	no
F 2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates PM 10	Information source	Annual report on air quality, drawn up by Arpa Piemonte and the Metropolitan City of Turin - Data



	over a one year period		from the monitoring unit
		Assessment method	extraction of the specific data from the Annual Air Quality Report
		Standard	no
F 3.1	Green zones and recreation areas availability	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino The data are geometrical measurements
		Assessment method	sum of green zones and recreations areas in relation to the inhabitants of the pilot area
		Standard	no

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	)		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	IONS
		Information	Shapefile from Comune di Torino
		source	
	Performance of the		Definition of centroids drawn on the different census sections (centre of gravity of the polygon).
G 2.1	public transport services	Assessment method	The radius of the centroid (hypotenuse) is established using the formula of Pythagoras' theorem, assuming the length of the sum of the cathetes as the distance indicated for the calculation of the indicator. The City of Turin is in fact characterized by a predominantly Roman (orthogonal) urban plan.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A State Stat

		Standard	no
			Shapefile from Comune di Torino
G 2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Information source	Divisione Infrastrutture e Mobilità
		Assessment method	sum of linear meters of bicycle path and pedestrians area in relation to the inhabitants of the pilot area.
		Standards	no
G 4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino
			Definition of centroids drawn on the different census sections (centre of gravity of the polygon).
		Assessment method	The radius of the centroid (hypotenuse) is established using the formula of Pythagoras' theorem, assuming the length of the sum of the cathetes as the distance indicated for the calculation of the indicator. The City of Turin is in fact characterized by a predominantly Roman (orthogonal) urban plan
		Standards	no
G 4.3	Availability and proximity of a primary school	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino





		Assessment method	Definition of centroids drawn on the different census sections (centre of gravity of the polygon). The radius of the centroid (hypotenuse) is established using the formula of Pythagoras' theorem, assuming the length of the sum of the cathetes as the distance indicated for the calculation of the indicator. The City of Turin is in fact characterized by a predominantly Roman (orthogonal) urban plan
		Standards	no
G 4.4	Availability and proximity of a secondary school	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino
		Assessment method	Definition of centroids drawn on the different census sections (centre of gravity of the polygon). The radius of the centroid (hypotenuse) is established using the formula of Pythagoras' theorem, assuming the length of the sum of
			the cathetes as the distance indicated for the calculation of the indicator. The City of Turin is in fact characterized by a predominantly Roman (orthogonal) urban plan
		Standards	no
G 4.5	Availability and proximity of children' play facilitiesl	Information source	Shape file from Comune di Torino
		Assessment method	Definition of centroids drawn on the different census sections (centre of gravity of the polygon). The radius of the centroid (hypotenuse) is established using the formula of Pythagoras' theorem,





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



assuming the length of the sum of the cathetes as the distance indicated for the calculation of the indicator. The City of Turin is in fact characterized by a predominantly Roman (orthogonal) urban plan

Standards no



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – City of Udine

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SNTool structure**

A - BUILT URB	AN SYSTEMS
A1	Urban Structure and Form
A1.2	Urban compactness
A1.4	* Residential density
A1.7	Conservation of Land
A2	Transportation Infrastructure
A2.5	Cyclomatic complexity of the street network
A2.8	Scale of the street network

B - ECONOMY	
B1	Economic Structure and Value
B1.1	Affordability of housing property
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental
B1.6	Percent of residential units in the neighborhood that are vacant.
B2	Economic activity
B2.3	Employment rate.
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public buildings.

C - ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting.
C1.21	Energy consumption of local public transport.
C2	Renewable and Decarbonized energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.

D - ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS				
D1	Atmospheric emissions			
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations.			
D1.4	Aggregate emissions of acidifying emissions during building operations.			

E - NON - REN	IEWABLE RESOURCES	
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
1 C		er.

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



and the second and the

E1.3	Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings.
E1.4	Re-use of rainwater in non-residential building.
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population.
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.
E1.8	Consumption of potable water for irrigation purposes.
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.
E2.6	Public wastewater that is disposed or treated.
E3	Resource consumption, retention and maintenance
E3.5	Preservation and maintenance of existing buildings and structures.

F - ENVIRONMENT				
F1	Environmental impacts			
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.			
F1.11	Albedo			
F2	Outdoor environmental quality			
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 $\mu$ m (PM10) over a one-year period.			
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.			
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes			
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability			
F3.6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures.			
F3.7	Green roofs.			
F3.9	Presence or potential for wildlife corridors.			

G - SOCIAL ASPECTS							
G1	Traffic and Mobility Services						
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled						
	persons.						
G1.3	Barrier-free accessibility in local outdoor public areas.						
G1.4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons						
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services						
G2.1	Performance of the public transport.						
G2.2	Availability of car sharing services						
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.						
G3	Communication services						
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network						
G4	Public and private facilities and services						
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services						
G4.6	Availability and proximity of leisure facilities						
G5	Local Food						
G5.2	Residents' access to and use of urban agricultural plots.						
G6	Management and community involvement						
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities						



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

A - BUILLURBAN SYSTEMS	A - BUILT LIRBAN SYSTEMS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.2 - Urban compactness	Assess the actual consumption and use of land. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. General urban development plan.
A1.4 - Residential density	Analyze the population density to avoid creating "ghetto" areas.
A1.7 - Conservation of Land	Protect the area with high agricultural and environmental characteristics. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. General
A2.5 Ovelometic complexity of the street network	urban development plan.
AZ.5 - Cyclomatic complexity of the street network	access to the various areas.
A2.8 - Scale of the street network	Evaluate the length of the routes.

# **B - ECONOMY**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1 - Affordability of housing property	Maintaining a proper ratio between the income of the residents and the purchase cost of the accommodation.
B1.2 - Affordability of housing rental	Maintaining a proper ratio between the income of the residents and the cost of renting the accommodation.
B1.6 - Percent of residential units in the neighbourhood that are vacant.	Evaluate hypothesis of abandonment of the area by residents.
B2.3 - Employment rate.	Evaluate social quality with respect to employment.
B3.3 - Use stage energy cost for public buildings	Evaluate the impact of energy costs per square meter of public buildings. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010

# **C - ENERGY**

#### CRITERION

- C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
- C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.
- C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Evaluate the real energy consumption index of the area. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010. Energy regulation.

Evaluate the real electric consumption index of the area. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010. Evaluate the deviation between the primary reference energy with the calculated primary energy. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate)





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

- C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting.
- C1.21 Energy consumption of local public transport.
- C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation.
- C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions.

Action Plan" of 23-07-2010. D.M. Minimum requirements

Evaluate the consumption of public lighting. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Check the level of sustainability achieved by the consumption of public transport. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010 Evaluate the relationship between renewable and total energy. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010. DLgs 28/11 Evaluate the percentage of on - site electric renewable energy with respect to the total. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010. DLgs 28/11

# **D - ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

#### CRITERION

- D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations.
- D1.4 Aggregate emissions of acidifying emissions during building operations.

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Evaluate the level of emissions in relation to the PAES "Sustainable Energy (and Climate) Action Plan" of 23-07-2010 Evaluate the impact of other emissions in relation to the ISO 14001 Environmental Certification. PAC (Municipal Action Plan) 4 February 2014.

# **E - NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

#### CRITERION

- E1.3 Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings.
- E1.4 Re-use of rainwater in non-residential building.
- E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential building systems.
- E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.
- E1.8 Consumption of potable water for irrigation purposes.
- E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points.
- E2.6 Public wastewater that is disposed or treated.
- E3.5 Preservation and maintenance of existing buildings and structures.

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Verify the recovery of rainwater in residential buildings. Energy regulation 6 February 2013. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Verify the recovery of rainwater in non-residential buildings. Energy regulation 6 February 2013. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Analyze water savings in residential buildings

Analyze water savings in non-residential buildings

Check the impact of water consumption for irrigation and rainwater recovery. Evaluate the quality of the service and the level of recycling. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Evaluate the level of treatment of processed and

disposed of public waters EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Evaluate the level of maintenance quality.







Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **F - ENVIRONMENT**

# CRITERION

- F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.
- F1.11 Albedo
- F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates  $<10 \ \mu m \ (PM \ 10)$  over a one-year period.
- F2.11 Ambient night-time noise conditions.
- F3.1 Green zones & recreation areas availability
- F3.6 \* Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures.
- F3.7 Green roofs.
- F3.9 Presence or potential for wildlife corridors.

### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Check the capacity of the area to feed the groundwater. UNI PdR 13 ITACA. Evaluate the quality of the external environment during the summer season. UNI PdR 13 ITACA ... Analyze the quality of the air. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Evaluate the level of noise pollution. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Evaluate the allocation of Green surfaces. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Evaluate the quality of the Green areas in relation to their usability. Green regulation Encourage the use of green roofs Energy regulation 6 February 2013. Allow the fauna to be able to populate the various green areas and allow their full use

# G - SOCIAL ASPECTS

## CRITERION

- G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.
- G1.3 Barrier-free accessibility in local outdoor public areas.
- G1.4 Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.
- G2.1 Performance of the public transport.
- G2.2 Availability of car sharing services
- G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.
- G3.1 Availability of a broadband communication network
- G4.2 Availability and proximity of key services
- G4.6 Availability and proximity of leisure facilities
- G5.2 Residents' access to and use of urban agricultural plots.
- G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities

### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Evaluate the accessibility of the sidewalks by disabled people Verify the barrier-free accessibility of public areas.

Evaluate the accessibility of public transport by people with disabilities. Analyze the public service in its general aspects EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. PUM Urban Mobility Plan October 2011. Incentive use of car-sharing. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. PUM Urban Mobility Plan October 2011. Measure the availability of pedestrian paths and cycle paths. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. PUM Urban Mobility Plan October 2011. Allow access to information and online services Reduce the digital divide. AGICOM. Evaluate the quality of public human services in the area. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Analyze the sporting and cultural services in the area. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Offer the opportunity to produce garden produce on site and encourage the consumption of vegetables and forms of socialization. Agenda 21. Guidelines "The garden and the moon" by G.C. n. 338 of 1 October 2013. Evaluate the level of community involvement and the actual level of participatory planning. EMAS declaration 30.06.2017 rev. 13. Programmatic declarations of the Mayor of Udine





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



ale and

# **SNTool weights rationale**

# **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	Rigidity of the system
B - ECONOMY	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain
C - ENERGY	3	Political priority; PAC - PAES - EMAS
D - ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain
E - NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	3	Political priority; PAC - PAES - EMAS
F - ENVIRONMENT	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain
G - SOCIAL ASPECTS	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain

# **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1 - Urban Structure and Form	44,44
A2 - Transportation Infrastructure	55,56
TOTAL	100
B1 - Economic Structure and Value	73,91
B2 - Economic activity	13,04
B3 - Cost and Investment	13,04
TOTAL	100
C1 - Non-renewable energy	68,24
C2 - Renewable and Decarbonized energy	31,76
C3 - Energy recycling and storage	NA
TOTAL	100
D1 - Atmospheric emissions	100
TOTAL	100
E1 - Potable water, stormwater and greywater	55,10
E2 - Solid and Liquid Wastes	32,65
E3 - Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	12,24
TOTAL	100
F1 - Environmental impacts	16,51
F2 - Outdoor environmental quality	41,28
F3 - Ecosystems and landscapes	42,20
TOTAL	100
G1 - Safety and Accessibility	25,35
G2 - Traffic and Mobility Services	29,58
G3 - Communication services	8,45
G4 - Public and private facilities and services	16,90
G5 - Local Food	8,45
G6 - Management and community involvement	11 27

a fel a series a fel a series a series



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G7 - Society, Culture and Heritage	NA
G8 - Perceptual	NA
TOTAL	100

# **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A - BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS							
A1 - Urban Structure and Form							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
A1.2	1,73	3	2	4	1	Confirmed	
A1.4	1,73	3	2	4		Except for the criterion A1.2	
A1.7	1,15	2	2	4	1	Confirmed	
A2 - Transportation Infrastructure							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
A2.5	1,44	2	2	5	1	Confirmed	
A2.8	4,33	3	4	2	1	Confirmed	
TOTAL	10,38						

B - ECONOMY											
B1 - Economic Structure and Value											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
B1.1	2,60	3	2	3	1	Confirmed					
B1.2	1,73	3	2	2	1	Confirmed					
B1.6	0,58	2	2	1							
B2 - Economic activity											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
B2.3	0,87	3	2	1	1	Confirmed					
B3 – Cost and Investment											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
B3.3	0,87	1	2	3	1	Confirmed					
TOTAL	6.63										

C - ENERGY											
C1 - Non-renewable energy											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
C1.1	3,89	3	2	3	1	Confirmed					
C1.4	2,60	3	2	2	1	Confirmed					
C1.7	2,60	3	2	2	1	Confirmed					
C1.20	0,87	1	2	2		Shortage of documentation					
C1.21	2,60	2	2	1		Service managed by another body					
C2 - Renewable and Decarbonised energy											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
C2.1	3,89	3	2	3	1	Confirmed					
C2.7	1,95	1	3	3	1	Confirmed					

A LAND WELL CHARTER AND A CONTRACT


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



TOTAL	18,39								
D - ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS									
D1 - Atmospheric emissions									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
D1.2	10,81	3	5	5	1	Confirmed			
D1.4	3,46	2	4	3	1	Confirmed			
TOTAL	14.28								

E - NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES							
E1 - Potable wate	r, stormwate	er and	d grey	/wate	r		
CRITERION	Weight (%)	B	C	Р	LE	LE REASON/MOTIVATION	
		5	Č	0	L.I .		
E1.3	1,73	2	2	2	1	Contirmed	
E1.4	0,87	1	2	2	1	Confirmed	
E1.6	2,60	3	2	2	1	Confirmed	
E1.7	0,87	1	2	2	1	Confirmed	
E1.8	1,73	2	2	2		Large lawn surfaces	
E2 - Solid and Lig	uid Wastes						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
E2.1	1,15	2	2	2	1	Confirmed	
E2.6	3,46	2	4	3	1	Confirmed	
E3 - Resource co	nsumption. I	reten	tion a	nd m	aintenance		
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
E3.5	1,73	2	2	3	1	Confirmed	
TOTAL	14,13						

F - ENVIRONMENT									
Et Environmentel immente									
F1 - Environment	aimpacts								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F1.3	0,87	1	2	3	1	Confirmed			
F1.11	1,73	2	2	3	1	Confirmed			
F2 - Outdoor env	ironmental d	uality	V						
			,						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F2.3	3,89	3	3	3	1	Confirmed			
F2.11	2,60	3	2	3		Lack of punctual data			
F3 - Ecosystems	and landsca	pes							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F3.1	1.15	2	2	2	1	Confirmed			
F3.6	2,60	3	2	3		Large lawn surfaces			
F3.7	1,15	2	2	2		Seismic zone no increase in coverage load			
F3.9	1,73	2	2	3	1	Confirmed			
TOTAL	15,72								

G - SOCIAL ASPE	стѕ					
G1 - Safety and Ad	cessibility					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION

A CHARTER AND CARDEN



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

G1.2	1,73 1,73	2	2	3		Data not available Data not available	
G1.4	1,73	2	2	3		Data not available	
G2 - Traffic and	Mobility Serv	ices					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G2.1	2,60	3	2	3	1	Confirmed	
G2.2	1,73	2	2	3	1	Confirmed	
G2.4	1,73	2	2	3	1	Confirmed	
G3 - Communica	tion services	;					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G3.1	1,73	2	2	3	1	Confirmed	
G4 - Public and p	orivate faciliti	es ar	nd ser	vices			
G4 - Public and p CRITERION	orivate faciliti Weight (%)	es ar B	nd ser C	vices D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2	Drivate faciliti Weight (%) 1,73	es ar B 2	nd ser C 2	vices D 3	<b>L.F</b> . 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6	<b>Weight (%)</b> 1,73 1,73	es ar B 2 2	nd ser C 2 2	vices D 3 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6 G5 - Local Food	orivate faciliti Weight (%) 1,73 1,73	es ar B 2 2	nd ser C 2 2	vices D 3 3	<b>L.F</b> . 1 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6 G5 - Local Food CRITERION	Veight (%) 1,73 1,73 Weight (%)	es ar B 2 2 B	nd ser C 2 2 C	D 3 3 D	L.F. 1 1 L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6 G5 - Local Food CRITERION G5.2	<b>Weight (%)</b> 1,73 1,73 <b>Weight (%)</b> 1,73	es ar B 2 2 B 2 2	C 2 2 C 2 C 2	D 3 3 D 3	L.F. 1 1 L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6 G5 - Local Food CRITERION G5.2 G6 - Society, Cul	Weight (%) 1,73 1,73 Weight (%) 1,73 ture and Her	es ar B 2 2 B 2 itage	C 2 2 C 2	D 3 3 D 3	L.F. 1 1 L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6 G5 - Local Food CRITERION G5.2 G6 - Society, Cul CRITERION	Weight (%) 1,73 1,73 Weight (%) 1,73 ture and Her Weight (%)	es ar B 2 2 B 2 itage B	nd ser C 2 C 2 C	D 3 3 D 3 D 3 D	L.F. 1 1 L.F. 1 L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G4 - Public and p CRITERION G4.2 G4.6 G5 - Local Food CRITERION G5.2 G6 - Society, Cul CRITERION G6.3	Weight (%) 1,73 1,73 Weight (%) 1,73 ture and Her Weight (%) 2,31	es ar B 2 2 B 2 itage B 2	nd ser C 2 2 C 2 C 2 C 2	D 3 3 D 3 D 3 D 4	L.F. 1 L.F. 1 L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed	

### **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A - URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
A4 0	lirban composinoso	$m^{3}/m^{2}$	0: 1,0	maximum index of extensive zones			
A1.2	orban compactness	111 / 111	5: 3,5	Maximum territorial Index PRGC			
			0: 40	Average value of the city			
A1.4	Residential density	Pp/ha	5: 300	Assumption of doubling the value of Aurora neighborhood			
A1.7	Conservation of Land	%	0: 7	Real data of the neighborhood			
			5: 42	Global data of the city			
A2 5	Cyclomatic complexity of the	n	0: 30	-			
A2.J	street network	n	5: 100	-			
A 2 8	Scale of the street network	m	0: 160	Walking path in two minutes			
A2.0	Scale of the street network	111	5: 80	walking path in one minutes			
B - ECONO	MY						
CRITERION			BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		MEASURE		
B1.1 Affordability of housing property	Affordability of housing	0/	0: 18	-
	property	/0	5: 25	-
<b>B1</b> 2	Affordability of bousing rantal	0/	0: 18	-
D1.2	Affordability of nousing rental	70	5: 25	-
B1.6	Percent of residential units in	0/	0: 4	-
	vacant	%	5: 2	-
B2.3	Employment rate.	%	0: 65	FVG employment rate 2018
	Employment rate.	70	5: 98	Physiological value 2%
B3 3	Use stage energy cost for	Euro/m <sup>2</sup> /y	0: 10	Current basic data
<b>UJ.J</b>	public buildings	ear	5: 3	Passive or NZEB Building

C - ENERGY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
C1 1	Total final thermal energy	kWh/m²/y	0: 80	Current index		
01.1	operations.	ear	5: 10	Energy regulation		
C1 /	Total final electrical energy	$kM/h/m^2$	0: 23	-		
61.4	operations.	KVV1/111Z	5: 5	-		
C1.7	Total primary energy demand	kWh/m²/y	0: 72	D.M. Minimum requirements		
	for building operations.	ear	5: 50	-		
C1 20	Energy consumption of public lighting.	kM/h/m2	0: 56	Present value		
01.20		KVVII/IIIZ	5: 16	PAES value		
C1 21	Energy consumption of local	Pax.km/M	0: 500	-		
01.21	public transport.	j	5: 1000	-		
	Share of renewable energy on-		0: 25	D.Lgs 28/11		
C2.1	energy consumptions for buildings operation.	%	5: 50	-		
C2 7	Share of renewable energy on-	0/	0: 35	-		
62.1	consumptions	70	5: 75	-		

D - ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR				

UNIT OF BENCHMARK RATIONALE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



S. C. Marken

		MEASURE		
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from	kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0: 13	D.M. Minimum requirements
	operations.	m²/year	5: 11	PAES value
D1.4	Aggregate emissions of	g / 1000	0: 120	The data is confirmed
	acidifying emissions during building operations.	m2	5: 18	PAES savings 40%

#### **E - NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES** UNIT OF CRITERION INDICATOR BENCHMARK RATIONALE MEASURE 0:10 The data is confirmed Re-use of rainwater in E1.3 % residential buildings. 5:60 The data is confirmed 0:10 The data is confirmed Re-use of rainwater in non-E1.4 % residential building. 5:80 The data is confirmed 0:47,450 ITACA standard value m<sup>3</sup>/occup Consumption of potable water E1.6 for residential population. With best performance at ant/year 5:23,700 50% on the standard Standard UNI PdR ITACA Consumption of potable water 0:1,3 not residential $m^3/m^2$ E1.7 for non-residential building Best UNI PdR ITACA not 5:0,6 systems. residential UNI PdR ITACA not 0: 300 m<sup>3</sup>/1000m Consumption of potable water residential E1.8 for irrigation purposes. 2 5:0 Total recovery 0:70 Current neighborhood data Solid waste and recycling E2.1 % collection points. 5:98 Expected coverage 0:90 The data is confirmed Public wastewater that is E2.6 % All the waters are disposed or treated. 5: 100 processed Preservation and maintenance benchmar 0:0 Maintenance standard E3.5 of existing buildings and k text 5:5 High quality interventions structures. scale

F - ENVIRONMENT							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
E1 3	Recharge of groundwater	0/	0: 40	UNI PdR ITACA			
г1.3	landscaping.	70	5: 60	UNI PdR ITACA			
F1.11	Albedo	0/	0: 0	UNI PdR ITACA			
		%	5: 100	UNI PdR ITACA			
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect	day/year	0: 35	Average annual limit			

the second states and





	to particulates <10 μm (PM10) over a one-year period.		5: 0	<i>Value 3 = 35</i> ug/m3 - <i>EM</i> AS
E2 11	Ambient night-time noise	0/	0: -	-
F2.11	conditions.	70	5: -	-
Green zones & recreation area		m2/inhab	0:20	ISTAT value about city of Udine
	availability		5: 75	-
F2 C	Tree coverage for shade and	0/	0: -	-
ГЭ.0	temperatures.	70	5: -	-
E2 7	Groop roof	0/	0: -	-
гэ./	Green roor	70	5: -	-
<b>F</b> 2 0	Presence or potential for	benchmar	0: 0	Traffic with low traffic
F3.9	wildlife corridors.	scale	5: 5	Specific design.

G - SOCIAL ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
	Sidewalks and other pedestrian		0: -	-		
G1.2	use by physically disabled persons.	%	5: -	-		
G1.3	Barrier-free accessibility in	%	0: -	-		
	local outdoor public areas.	, 0	5: -	-		
G1 4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically	%	0: -	-		
01.4	disabled persons.	70	5: -	-		
G2 1	Performance of the public transport.	%	0: 60	The data is confirmed		
92.1			5: 100	Total coverage		
<b>C</b> D D	Availability of car sharing services	%	0: 1	The data is confirmed		
G2.2			5: 20	The data is confirmed		
C2 4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	m/100 inhabitant s	0: 43	Average data of the city		
G2.4			5: 129	PUM forecast		
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	%	0: 50	Minimum coverage required by the broadband strategy		
			5: 100	Cancellation of the digital divide		
G4 2	Availability and proximity of key	%	0: 30	The data is confirmed		
U7.2	services	70	5: 80	The data is confirmed		
G4.6	Availability and proximity of	%	0:20	The data is confirmed		







	leisure facilities		5: 40	The data is confirmed
05.0	Residents' access to and use of	%	0: 20	Analogy with criterion G4.6
65.2	urban agricultural plots.		5: 40	Analogy with criterion G4.6
	Community involvement in		0: 3	Tokenism degree - minimum 3 - information
G6.3	.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities		5: 9	Total control of citizens from project to project delivery 9





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A - BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
		Information source	CTRN - tema: edifici - Edificato CTRN 5000 - 2° Edizione - Edificato 066 Census areas - 2011		
A1.2	Urban compactness	Assessment method	Calculated the area of the scope and the volumes by reprocessing volumes to deduce the surface of the eaves.		
		Standard	Rules of the General urban development plan		
A1.7		Information source	Full Surface area - census areas.		
	Conservation of Land	Assessment method	To relate the total surface with respect to the ecological value of the neighborhood area.		
		Standard	Present value of the district		
	_	Information source	Database Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia - Road segment		
A2.5	Cyclomatic complexity of the	Assessment method	Evaluate the number of segments that connect the individual nodes		
	street network	Standard	I keep the present data		
		Information source	Evaluate the ease of access to the various areas of the neighborhood		
A2.8	Scale of the street network	Assessment method	Ratio between length and number of segments		
		Standard	Walking path in two minutes (UNI PdR_13 ITACA Residential)		

B - ECONOMY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	NS		
B1.1	Affordability of housing property	Information source	OMI Observatory trades		
		Assessment method	Ratio between income and purchase value		





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Standard	-
		Information source	OMI Observatory trades
B1.2	Affordability of	Assessment method	Ratio between income and rent value
	nousing remai	Standard	-
		Information source	ISTAT index
B2.3	Employment rate.	Assessment method	Relationship between people in working age and employed
		Standard	FVG 2018 employment rate
		Information source	Energy costs from bills
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public	Assessment method	Ratio between energy cost and net area of public buildings exceeding 1000 square meters
	buildings	Standard	Current value of consumption

C - ENERGY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
Total final therm energy C1.1 consumption for building operations.	Total final thermal	Information source	Energy consumption data		
	energy consumption for	Assessment method	Calculate the annual consumption and divide it by the net area of the buildings		
	building operations.	Standard	Current value		
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.	Information source	Energy consumption data		
		Assessment method	Calculate the annual consumption and divide it by the net area of the buildings		
		Standard	Current value		
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Information source	APE Energy Performance Certification		
		Assessment method	Calculate the standard consumption and compare it to the reference standard consumption		

A FISCH STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Standard	References of the law
	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy	Information source	APE Energy Performance Certification
C2.1		Assessment method	Calculate the consumption of renewable energy in relation to total energy consumption
	consumptions for buildings operation.	Standard	References of the law
	Share of	Information source	APE Energy Performance Certification
C2.7	snare of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions.	Assessment method	Calculate the consumption of renewable electricity in relation to total electricity consumption
		Standard	Reference of the law

D - ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS				
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations.	Information source	APE Energy Performance Certification			
		Assessment method	Calculate standard CO2 emitted			
		Standard	References of the law			
D1.4 b	Aggregate emissions of acidifying emissions during building operations.	Information source	Energy bills			
		Assessment method	Calculate the emissions of individual fuels by normalizing them and dividing by the useful surfaces of buildings			
		Standard	The data is accepted			

E - NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS			
E1.3	<i>Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings.</i>	Information source Assessment method	Numerical regional technical map. Building authorizations Calculate the ratio between the amount of rainwater and the recoverable one			

A FILL FRANK STANK



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Standard	The data is accepted
	Re-use of	Information source	Numerical regional technical map. Building authorizations
E1.4	rainwater in non- residential	Assessment method	rainwater and the recoverable one
	building.	Standard	The data is accepted
	Consumption of	Information source	Water suppliers
E1.6	potable water for residential	Assessment method	Amount of water consumed
	population.	Standard	130 lt/gg from UNI PdR_13 ITACA
	Concumption of	Information source	Water suppliers
E1.7	consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	Assessment method	Ratio between consumption in mc and the net area in square meters of buildings
		Standard	<i>1,3</i> m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup>
		Information source	Waste plan and on-site relief of containers
E2 1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.	Assessment	Percentage of the population at a distance of 100 m from the containers
		Standard	Current neighborhood data
		Information source	Water suppliers
E2.6	Public wastewater that is disposed or treated.	Assessment method	Ratio between treated water and produced water
		Standard	Standard not present at least 90%
	Prosorvation and	Information source	Projects or interventions performed
E3.5	maintenance of existing buildings and structures.	Assessment method	Evaluation of the maintenance standard
		Standard	Typical regional ordinary maintenance

F - ENVIRON	MENT		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	NS
F1.3	Recharge of	Information	Census territorial area.

A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A



-

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	groundwater	source	Urban furniture projects.
	through		Orthophotographic images.
	permeable paving	Assessment	Calculate the ratio of the permeable
	or landscaping.	method	surface to the total.
	, ,		
		Standard	40% - UNI PdR_13 ITACA
		Information source	Census territorial area. Urban furniture projects. Orthophotographic images.
F1.11	Albedo	Assessment method	Calculate the ratio of the weighted surface according to the reflection coefficient and the total
		Standard	0% - UNI PdR_13 ITACA
	Ambient air	Information source	ARPA source data
F2.3	quality with respect to particulates <10 μm (PM10) over a one-year period.	Assessment method	Annual average of the pollutant
		Standard	35 gg/year 40 μg / m3 - Law limit
	0	Information source	Census scope General urban development plan Municipal registry office
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability	Assessment method	Divide the green area for the resident population
	avanabinty	Standard	ISTAT Index Municipality of Udine
		Information source	General urban development plan
F3.9	Presence or potential for	Assessment method	Evaluation of connections between the various green areas
	wildlife corridors.	Standard	Few opportunities to establish natural corridors.

G - SOCIAL ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport.	Information source	Numeric Regional Technical Map - Road axes - House numbers - Municipal registry office. Public transport timetables.
		Assessment method	Percentage of the population at 400 m from the nearest public transport
		Standard	-
G2.2	Availability of car sharing services	Information source	List of users of the service



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KING AND A KING AND A CONSIDER

		Assessment method	Percentage of the population that used the service in a year
		Standard	1% Analogy with Bikesharing service
	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Information source Assessment	Numeric Regional Technical Map - Axes - Signage survey Ratio between the linear meters of the
G2.4		method	cycle/ pedestrian paths and inhabitants
	-	Standard	43 m/100 inhab - Average city data
		Information source	Technical Numeric Regional Map AGCOM mapping networks
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	Assessment method	Ratio between the resident population and the population reached by the equivalent broadband according to the quality of the service.
		Standard	Italian broadband strategy
		Information source	Analysis of local services
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	Assessment method	Calculation of the population with a maximum of 800 meters walking distance from three services
		Standard	-
		Information source	Local analysis of services
G4.6	Availability and proximity of leisure facilities	Assessment method	Calculation of the population with a maximum of 1000 meters walking distance from at least one service for the two categories, cultural and sports
	Residents' access	Standard Information source	- Project Urban gardens
G5.2	to and use of urban agricultural plots.	Assessment method	Percentage of the population within 1 km from urban vegetable garden
		Standard	-
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	Information source	Minutes of meetings, press articles, reports, initiatives
		Assessment method	Activity comparison with Sherry Arnstein scale
		Standard	-



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

## D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - EnvirobatBDM

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

### **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URB	AN SYSTEMS
A1	Built urban systems
A1.7	Conservation of land

B- ECONOMY	
B3	Cost and investment
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings
۵۵.۵	Operating energy costs for public buildings

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations
C2	Renewable and decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final energy consumption for building operations
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to final electric energy consumption

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS		
D1	Atmospheric emissions	
D1.2	Total GHG emissions from primary energy used in building operations	

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or lanscaping	
F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 $\mu$ g (PM10) over a one-year period	

the second s

### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G2	Traffic and mobility services
G2.1	Performance of the public transport system
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network
G4	Public and private facilities and services
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services
G6	Management and community involvment
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities

### **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
A1.7	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment – despite the different local approaches.	

B- ECONOMY	
CRITERIO	REASON/MOTIVATION
B3.3	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment – despite the different local approaches.

C- ENERGY		
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 C1.4 C1.7 C2.1 C2.7		KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment – despite the different local approaches.

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS							
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION						
D1.2	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment						



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



- despite the different local approaches.

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES								
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION							
E1.6	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment – despite the different local approaches.							
E1.7								

F- ENVIRONMENT								
CRIT	ERION	REASON/MOTIVATION						
F1.3 F2.3		KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment – despite the different local approaches.						

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS							
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION						
G2.1 G2.4 G4.2 G6.3	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable neighborhood assessment – despite the different local approaches.						

### **SNTool weights rationale**

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
B- ECONOMY	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
C- ENERGY	3	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
F- ENVIRONMENT	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	1	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used

### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	6,3
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	12,6
SUB TOTAL	18,9
B1- Economic Structure and Value	0
B2- Economic activity	0
B3- Cost and Investment	5
SUB TOTAL	5
C1- Non-renewable energy	22
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	8,5
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0
SUB TOTAL	30,5
D1- Atmospheric emissions	23,6
SUB TOTAL	23,6
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	3,4
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	0
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	0
SUB TOTAL	3,4
F1- Environmental impacts	3,8
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	5,7
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	0
SUB TOTAL	9.4
G1- Safety and Accessibility	0
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	4,7
G3- Communication services	0
G4- Public and private facilities and services	1,9
G5- Local Food	0
G6- Management and community involvement	2,5
G/- Society, Culture and Heritage	0
	0
	9,1
IUIAL	100

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor







A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS										
A1- Urban Structure and Form										
CRITERION A1.7 Conservation of land	<b>Weight (%)</b> 6,3	<b>В</b> 2	<b>С</b> 2	D 4	<b>L.F.</b> 0	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
TOTAL		6,3								
B- ECONOMY										
<b>B3-Cost and inves</b>	tment									
CRITERION B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	<b>Weight (%)</b> 5	<b>B</b> 1	<b>C</b> 2	D 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1,8	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
TOTAL		5								
C- ENERGI										
C1-Non-renewable	energy									
CRITERION	Weight	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	9,4	3	2	3	5,4	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	6,3	3	2	2	3,6	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	6,3	3	2	2	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
C2 Renewable and	Decarboni	sed e	nergy	/						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
C2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final thermal energy	5,7	3	2	3	8,1	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final electric energy	2,8	1	3	3	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
IUIAI		30.5								

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS								
D1- Athmospheric	emissions							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		





Mediterranean CESBA MED

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

D1.2 Total GHG	23,6	3	5	5	33,9	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
Emissions from						
primary energy used						
in building						
operations						
TOTAL		23,6				

### **E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	2,5	3	2	2	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used				
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	0,8	1	2	2	1.2					
TOTAL		3,4								

F- ENVIRONMENT						
F1-Environmental	impacts					
CRITERION F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	<b>Weight (%)</b> 3,8	<b>B</b> 1	<b>C</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1.8	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
F2-Outdoor enviro	nmental qu	ality				
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	5,7	3	3	3	8.1	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
TOTAL		9,4				

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS						
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G2.1 Performance of the public transport	2,8	3	2	3	5.4	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	1,9	2	2	3	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
G4 - Public and pri	vate faciliti	es ar	nd ser	vices		
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services	1,9	2	2	3	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
G6 - Management	and commu	inity	involv	/ement		





Mediterranean © CESBA MED

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	2,5	2	2	1	4.8	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
TOTAL		9,1				
TOTAL		100				

### **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
The total area of undeveloped land		0: 15%				
A1.7 Conservation of land	considered to be of value for ecological or agricultural purposes by relevant authorities, as a percent of the total local area.	%	3 : 20% 5: 30%	Results of a study by the agricultural Chamber for the local master plan + report on ecological continuities led by Marseille municipality		

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	33.3 Running costs Running cost of energy energy for public aggregated buildings		0: 14	Hypothesis to establish the values of practices: a gymnasium of 2700 m <sup>2</sup> built with a high energy level, and a nursery of 900 m <sup>2</sup> new
			3:7 5:3,5	Mid value

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
C1.1 Total final	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy	kWh/m2/y ear	0: 40	
thermal energy consumption for building operations	consumption / gross floor area of all buildings		3: 15 5: 0	Return on experience
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption / Total gross floor area of all buildings	kWh/m2/y ear	0 : 12	Return on experience

and the second and the





			3:3 5:0	
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Buildings total primary energy consumption / local minimum value	kWh/m2/y ear	0:40 3:15 5:0	Levels from the future national building regulation called E+C- (Energy+ Carbon -) The levels depend on the building typology and constraints (geographic)
C2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Share of renewable thermal energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0 : 25 3 : 80 5 : 100	Return on experience
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	0 : 25 3 : 100 5 : 200	Return on experience

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful	kg CO2 eq./m2/yr	0:20	Regulation label	
primary energy used	internal floor area per		3 : 10		
in building operations	year		5:5		

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Water consumption per occupant	m₃per occupant *yr	0 : 40 3 : 30 5 : 20	From study of TRIBU-ADEME		
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems	Water consumption per m <sup>2</sup>	m₃per occupant *yr	0:5 3:3 5:2	From study of TRIBU-ADEME		







F- ENVIRONMENT				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Permeable area / total area	%	0 : 20 3 : 50 5 : 70	AURA Montpellier
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect	Number of days	n	0:30	ATMO Sud
to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a	limits in a year		3 : 18,6	
one-year period.			5 : 11	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	G- SOCIAL ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASU RE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop.	%	0 : 50 3 : 90 5 : 100	Indi			
G2.4 Quality of	Total walkway meters	m/100	0:15	Study written by Frédéric			
pedestrian and bicycle network	of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path per 100 inhabitants.	inhabit ants	3 : 30 5 : 40	Héran-CLERSE-CNRS pour le CVTC et la FUB - January 2011 – lines dedicated to soft compared to all lines (%)			
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services	%	0 : 30 3 : 75 5 : 100	Indi			
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Level	<ul> <li>Vel 0 : Symbolic participation (Arnstein correspondence: information and consultation): consultation (public register survey)</li> <li>3 : Shared diagnosis (Correspondence Arnstein: Degrees of citizen power): Methodology of shared diagnosis, call to specialized provider, survey (s), Workshops</li> </ul>				





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



of construction and validation of the diagnosis

5 : Co-decision (Arnstein Correspondence: Degrees of Citizen Power): Methodology of the process, call for a specialized service provider, dedicated workshops, existence of scenarios for discussion, evolution of the project according to citizen feedback

Source : Sherry Arnstein, Jegou & Chelzen, QDM approach

### **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS				
A1.7 Conservation of land	The total area of undeveloped land considered	Information source	Local study		
		Assessment method	<ol> <li>Determine the area of the neighborhood.</li> <li>Determine the undeveloped area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value.</li> <li>Calculate the ratio between the undeveloped area and the area of the neighborhood.</li> </ol>		
		Standard	Default values		

B- ECONOMY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	ATOR SPECIFICATIONS			
B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Running cost of energy aggregated	Information source	Models and simulation		
		Assessment method	In the calculation it is possible to use real or estimated costs. The calculation has to take in account one full year of operation.		
		Standard	Sum of the running energy costs of each building in the area up to an aggregated running costs energy value. The total cost must be normalized per the total indoor useful area of buildings.		







CRITERION			
	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / gross floor area of all buildings	Information source	Models and simulation
		Assessment method	Estimated data: 1. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water), in kWh, for each building in the local area. 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings. 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total gross area of all buildings. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method
		Standard	ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy- efficiency/buildings https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublica tions//buildings_certification.pdf www.theicct.org/sites/default/files//ICCTu pdate_EU-95gram_jan2014.pdf NF EN ISO 52016 Performance énergétiques des bâtiments
		Information	Models and simulation
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption / Total gross floor area of all	source Assessment method	Use of Estimated data: 1. Calculate the annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential). 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption for all buildings. 3. Calculate: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption / total gross area of all buildings Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the purceinstant of the standard standar
		Standard	The terminals are computable with the national thermal regulation for this final energy indicator assuming that all the energy of the building is electric (even heating and domestic hot water). Soone : the new RE2020 regulation
C1.7 Total primarv	Buildings total	Information	Models and simulation
energy demand for building operations.	primary energy consumption / local minimum value	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the annual total primary energy consumption of non-renewable energy for building operations (heating, cooling,</li> </ol>



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

		Standard	lighting), in kWh/m2 of useful internal floor area for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential). 2. Calculate urban area total primary energy consumption as the weighted mean value of total primary energy consumption over the floor surfaces of all buildings in the area. 3. Calculate: Buildings total primary energy consumption / local minimum value x 100 Calculations are based on EN 13790
		Information	Models and simulation
		source	
C2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Share of renewable thermal energy in final thermal energy consumptions	Assessment method	Estimated data Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) including renewables, if applicable, in the existing condition. Calculate the aggregated annual total thermal final energy consumption for all buildings. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) from on-site renewable energy sources. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources/Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption.
		Standard	Calculations are based on EN 13790.
		Information	Models and simulation
		source	
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation	Share of renewable energy in primary energy consumptions	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the annual total primary energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water and lighting), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) including renewables, if applicable, in the existing condition.</li> <li>Calculate the aggregated annual total primary energy consumption for all buildings.</li> <li>Calculate the annual total primary energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water and lighting), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) from on-site renewable energy sources, if applicable.</li> <li>Calculate the aggregated annual total primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources for all buildings.</li> <li>Calculate: Aggregated annual total primary</li> </ol>





		Standard	energy consumption / Aggregated annual total primary energy consumption without the renewables. Calculations are based on EN 13790
		Information source	Models and simulation
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	Assessment method	Use of Estimated data: 1. Calculate the annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water, ventilation, lighting, auxiliaries), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) including renewables, if applicable, in the existing condition. 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total electric final energy consumption for all buildings. 3. Calculate the annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water, lighting, ventilation, auxiliaries), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) from on-site renewable energy sources, if applicable. 4. Calculate the aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources. 5. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources. 4. Calculate the aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources. 5. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources/ Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. Calculations are based on EN 13790

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	ONS
		Information source	Models and simulation
D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	Assessment method	For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula: $E=[\sum (Qfuel, i \times LHVi \times Kem, i) + (Qel \times Kem, el) + (Qdh \times Kem, dh)]$ Qfuel, l = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 orKg) $Qel = annual quantity of electric energyfrom the grid (kWh)Qdh = annual quantity of energy fromdistrict heating/cooling (kWh)LHVi = lower heating value of the i-th fuel(kWh/m3 or kWh/Kg)Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the i-thfuel (Kg CO2/kWh)$







	Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the electric energy from the grid (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Calculate the aggregated annual total CO2 equivalent emissions from all buildings / total useful internal floor area of all buildings. Aggregate GHG emissions from primary energy (including fossil fuel used to generate electricity and used directly in building equipment) for all purposes in building operations in the local area, in kg of CO2-eq per 1000 m2 of surface area per year.
Standard	National Values of Emissions References Related to the Energy Mix

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information source	Models and simulation
E1.6 Consumption		Assessment	Calculate the estimated consumption of potable water used in residential households in the local area, in Litres per person per day (Lpp*yr.) 1. For each building calculate the total
of potable water for residential population	Water consumption per occupant	method	<ul> <li>water consumption. The principle of the per occupant water consumption calculation for taps, toilets and showers.</li> <li>2. Calculate the aggregated annual total water consumptions from all residential buildings / number of residentials' buildings occupants.</li> </ul>
		Standard	Tool "Water calculator"
		Information source	Models and simulation
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems	Water consumption per occupant	Assessment method	<ol> <li>For each non-residential public building, collect the monitored annual water consumptions for building operation. The consumption data must be estimated taking the average over 3 years period (m 3).</li> <li>Sum the annual water consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual total water consumption (m 3 /year).</li> <li>Estimate the area of public buildings considered for the calculation.</li> <li>Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total water consumption / area of public buildings.</li> </ol>







		Standard	Tool "Water calculator"
		Information source	Studies, data banks
E2.3 Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling	Volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled from the local area on the total solid waste from construction and demolition of building projects	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Identify the annual volume of construction/demolition waste generated over a 3-year period;</li> <li>Sample the waste stream to identify the origin (type of building) for each sample and the approximate proportion of materials that could have been recycled or re-used;</li> <li>Estimate the volume of material that could be re-used or recycled from future projects of the same type;</li> <li>Aggregate the volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled per year from the local area, based on current rates of construction and demolition;</li> <li>Calculate the volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled from the local area on the total solid waste from construction and demolition projects.</li> <li>Life cycle analysis tools, 2020 environmental regulation with carbon level assessment</li> </ol>
			Ū.
F2 2 Concumption	Quantity of materials from non-renewable	Information source	Studies, data banks
of non-renewable material resources for construction of infrastructure	material resources for construction or renovation of infrastructures in the local area	Assessment method	Calculate the aggregate consumption of non- renewable material resources for construction or renovation of infrastructure in the local area over a 5-year period, in tonnes per 1,000 m2 of surface area (i.e roads, bridges, etc).
	over a 5-year period	Standard	regulation with carbon level assessment

F- ENVIRONMENT			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information source	Area development plans
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Permeable area / total area	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the size (Sa) of the urban area (m2).</li> <li>Calculate the size of the surfaces with a different paving or occupied by constructions in the urban area (i.e green areas, surfaces paved with asphalt, surfaces occupied by buildings, etc.).</li> <li>Calculate the real permeability of soil considering the permeability coefficient of each surface.</li> <li>Examples of permeability coefficients: Grass = 1 Gravel = 0,9 Permeable interlocking concrete pavement = 0,3</li> </ol>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			Asphalt = 0 5. Value of the indicator = (Sa,perm/Sa) ×100
		Standard	Local context of the local plan of urban planning and habitat (PLU-H).
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	Information source	Measured data
		Assessment method	<ol> <li>Daily test air samples in accordance with national or regional procedures over a period of one year;</li> <li>Evaluate the number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year.</li> </ol>
		Standard	Observatory of the quality of the air www.atmo-auvergnerhonealpes.fr

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	
		Information source	Measured data
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters	Assessment method	1. Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants in the area that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop (bus, tram, metro). Note: to be considered valid for the calculation, a stop must have a daily total service frequency of at least 20 trips.
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework
		Information	Estimated data
		source	
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Estimation of the number of inhabitants in the area</li> <li>Calculation of the walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths in the area (A)</li> <li>Calculation of the meters of bicycle paths in the area (B)</li> <li>Calculation of the indictor's value as (A+B)/(100 inhabitants)</li> <li>Bicycle paths and pedestrian paths have to be safe and physically separated to traffic roads to be considered in the calculation. A walkway adjacent to a traffic road is not acceptable.</li> <li>Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban</li> </ol>
			Sustainability Framework
	_		
G4.2 Availability	Percentage of	Information	Local implementation plans

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

and proximity of	inhabitants that are	source	
and proximity of key public human services	within 800	source Assessment method Standard	<ol> <li>Identify locations of key services in the local area.</li> <li>Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services.</li> <li>Calculate the percent of residential population located within 600 m. of the 3 key human services.</li> <li>Key services are:         <ol> <li>Education (schools, kindergartens, education centers, etc.)</li> <li>Health center (hospitals, medical ward, medical center, etc.)</li> <li>Law enforcement areas (police station, etc.)</li> <li>Sport facilities</li> <li>Food shops</li> <li>Bank</li> <li>Post office</li> <li>Pharmacy</li> <li>Shopping center</li> <li>Culture and leisure</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework sustainable urban development in the Paris region: the light of participatory observation ", Development Sustainable Development and Territories [Online], Vol. 6, No. 2   September 2015, posted on September 30, 2015 "
		Information source	Local informations from owner, developer
G6.3 Community involvement in	Level of involvement of users in urban	Assessment method	Using the Sherry Arnstein ladder on citizen participation, rate the level of users' involvement on planning. The height rungs and 3 degrees of the ladder are provided on the picture.
urban planning activities	planning	Standard	"Sherry Arnstein, article original paru en 1969 ""A Ladder Of Citizen Participation"", Journal of the American Institute of Planners 35 (4), p. 216-24: http://www.participatorymethods.org/sites/ participatorymethods.org/files/Arnstein%20lad der%201969.pdf"



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

## D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – AURA-EE

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

### **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1	Urban Structure and Form
A1.7	Conservation of Land

<b>B- ECONOMY</b>	
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Running costs energy for public buildings

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy.
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS		
D1	Atmospheric emissions	
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population.	
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
E2.3	Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-	
F3	Resource consumption retention and maintenance	
E3.2	Consumption of non-renewable material resources for construction of	

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



infrastructure.

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	
F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS		
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service.	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	
G4	Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services	
G6	Management and community involvement	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	

### **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

#### **A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS**

CRITERION

A1.7 Conservation of land

REASON/MOTIVATION KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local

### **B- ECONOMY**

CRITERION

B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

### C- ENERGY

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local
ouilding operations	purposes
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local
building operations	purposes
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	. KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

purposes.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

Mediterranean

C2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from onsite renewable sources on final thermal energy C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from onsite renewable sources on final electric energy. KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

### **D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

CRITERION

D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations

### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

### E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES

CRITERION E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population. E1.7 Consumption of potable water for nonresidential building systems.

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

### **F- ENVIRONMENT**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes
<10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	purposes

### G- SOCIAL ASPECTS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### **SNT**ool weights rationale

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
B- ECONOMY	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C- ENERGY	3	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
F- ENVIRONMENT	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant

### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

Note: the categories weight results automatically from the criteria level

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	0
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	0
SUB TOTAL	0
B1- Economic Structure and Value	0
B2- Economic activity	0
B3- Cost and Investment	1,8
SUB TOTAL	1.8
C1- Non-renewable energy	12,6
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	15,6
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0
SUB TOTAL	28.2
D1- Atmospheric emissions	33,9
SUB TOTAL	33.9
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	4,8
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	1,2
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	2,7
SUB TOTAL	8,7
F1- Environmental impacts	1,8
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	8,1
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	0
SUB TOTAL	9.9
G1- Safety and Accessibility	0





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTER CANAL CONTRACT

G2- Traffic and Mobility Services9G3- Communication services0G4- Public and private facilities and services3,6G5- Local Food0G6- Management and community involvement4,8G7- Society, Culture and Heritage0G8- Perceptual0SUB TOTAL17,4TOTAL100		
G3- Communication services0G4- Public and private facilities and services3,6G5- Local Food0G6- Management and community involvement4,8G7- Society, Culture and Heritage0G8- Perceptual0SUB TOTAL17,4TOTAL100	G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	9
G4- Public and private facilities and services3,6G5- Local Food0G6- Management and community involvement4,8G7- Society, Culture and Heritage0G8- Perceptual0SUB TOTAL17,4TOTAL100	G3- Communication services	0
G5- Local Food0G6- Management and community involvement4,8G7- Society, Culture and Heritage0G8- Perceptual0SUB TOTAL17,4TOTAL100	G4- Public and private facilities and services	3,6
G6- Management and community involvement4,8G7- Society, Culture and Heritage0G8- Perceptual0SUB TOTAL17,4TOTAL100	G5- Local Food	0
G7- Society, Culture and Heritage0G8- Perceptual0SUB TOTAL17,4TOTAL100	G6- Management and community involvement	4,8
G8- Perceptual         0           SUB TOTAL         17,4           TOTAL         100	G7- Society, Culture and Heritage	0
SUB TOTAL         17,4           TOTAL         100	G8- Perceptual	0
TOTAL 100	SUB TOTAL	17,4
100	TOTAL	100

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS						
A1- Urban Structure and Form						
CRITERION	Weight	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.7 Conservation of land	2,25	2	2	4	0	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
TOTAL		2.25				
B- ECONOMY						
B3-Cost and investment						
CRITERION	Weight	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	1,69	1	2	3	1,8	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
TOTAL		1.69				
C- ENERGY						
C1-Non-renewable energy						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	5,06	3	2	3	5,4	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	3,37	3	2	2	3,6	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	3,37	3	2	2	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C2 Renewable and Decarbonised energy						


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final thermal energy	7,58	3	2	3	8,1	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation	5,06	2	2	3	5,4	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final electric energy	3,79	1	3	3	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
TOTAL		28.23				

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS							
D1- Athmospheric emissions							
CRITERION D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	Weight (%) 31,6	B 3	<b>C</b> 5	<b>D</b> 5	<b>L.F.</b> 33,9	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant	

E- NON-RENEWAR	E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES						
E1- Potable water,	stormwater	and	grey	water			
CRITERION E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Weight (%) 3.37	<b>B</b> 3	<b>C</b> 2	<b>D</b> 2	<b>L.F.</b> 3.6	<b>L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION</b> Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant	
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	1.12	1	2	2	1.2		
E2 Solid and Liqui	d Wastes						
CRITERION Weigh E.2.3 Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling	nt (%) B	1 1	2	<b>D</b> 2	L.F. 1.2	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant	
E3 Resource cons	umption, re	tentio	n an	d maiı	ntenanc	9	
CRITERION Weigh	nt (%) B	С	-	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
E3.2 Consumption of	5,06	3	2	3	2.7	Detault values from CESBA MED PPs were	

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### non-renewable material resources for construction of infrastructure

TOTAL

10.67

		NIN/	ENI
	RU	INIVI	

F1-Environmental impacts								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	1.69	1	2	3	1.8	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
F2-Outdoor enviro	nmental q	uality						
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	7.58	3	3	3	8.1	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
TOTAL		9.27						

relevant

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS								
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services								
CRITERION G2.1 Performance of the public transport	Weight (%) 5.06	<b>В</b> 3	<b>С</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	<b>L.F.</b> 5.4	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	3.37	2	2	3	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
G4 - Public and pr	ivate facilit	ies and	d serv	vices				
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services	3.37	2	2	3	3.6	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
G6 - Management	and comm	unity iı	nvolv	ement				
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities	4.49	2	2	1	4.8	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
TOTAL		16.29						
TOTAL		100						

## **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN STRUCTU	RE AND FORM			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	The total area of		0: 10%	Local planning rules
A1.7 Conservation of land	considered to be of value for ecological or agricultural purposes by relevant authorities, as a percent of the total local area.	%	3 : 16% 5: 20%	Mid value Local planning rules

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Running costs Running cost of energy aggregated Running cost of energy		0: 14	Hypothesis to establish the values of practices: a gymnasium of 2700 m <sup>2</sup> built with a high energy level, and a nursery of 900 m <sup>2</sup> new
			3: 7,7 5: 3,5	Mid value

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
C1.1 Total final thermal energy	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / gross	kWh/m2/y ear	0: 50	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant Mid value
consumption for building operations	floor area of all buildings		3: 20 5: 0	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption / Total gross floor area of all buildings	kWh/m2/y ear	0 : 55 3 : 25 5 : 5	Value Score 0 : Result new collective dwellings RT2012: New: Compliance with the new thermal regulations to come: RE 2020, level E1, respect for the share of electricity Mid value New: RE 2020, level E4, respect of the share of electricity
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Buildings total primary energy consumption / local minimum value	kWh/m2/y ear	0 : 140 3 : 56 5 : 0	Result new collective dwellings RT2012 Mid value Result new collective dwellings RT2012
C2.1 Share of thermal energy	Share of renewable	%	0 : 30 3 : 80	Sustainable Cities Guide

A CHARTER AND CONTRACT



Mediterranean

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

generation from on- site renewable sources on final thermal energy	thermal energy in final thermal energy consumptions		5 : 100	
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation	Share of renewable energy in primary energy consumptions	%	0 : 30 3 : 80 5 : 100	Sustainable Cities Guide
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	%	0 : 35 3 : 59 5 : 75	Sustainable Cities Guide

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kg CO2 eq./m2/yr	0 : 30 3 : 18 5 : 10	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant Mid value Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant				

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Water consumption per occupant	m₃ per occupant* yr	0 : 68 3 : 50 5 : 30	<ul><li>187 liters, 365 days of</li><li>presence</li><li>150 liters, no bath, lower tap</li><li>flow, 335 days of presence</li><li>90 liters, 335 days of presence</li></ul>	
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems	Water consumption per m <sup>2</sup>	m3 per m <sup>2</sup>	0 : 1,1 3 : 0,55 5 : 0,4	Use of the water calculator tool for offices, estimate with 200 users	
E2.3 Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling	Volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled from the local area on the total solid waste from construction and demolition of building projects	%	0 : 50 3 : 68 5 : 80	Hypothesis: reuse of possible materials on projects Mid value Hypothesis: reuse of possible materials on projects	
	B projetto				
E3.2 Consumption of non-renewable	Quantity of materials from non-renewable	Tonnes/ 1000 m2	0 : 150 3 : 108	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant	

a fille a fille



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



material resources	material resources for	5 : 80
for construction of	construction or	
infrastructure	renovation of	
	infrastructures in the	
	local area	
	over a 5-year period	

F- ENVIRONMENT				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Permeable area / total area	%	0 : 20 3 : 68 5 : 100	The values given for practice are default values, to be adapted to the local context (local plan of urban planning and habitat PLU-H).
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect	Number of days	n	0:30	Observatory of the quality of
to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a	limits in a year		3 : 18,6	www.atmo-
one-year period.			5 : 11	auvergnerhonealpes.fr

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASU RE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop.	%	0 : 0 3 : 100 5 : 100	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework. Sustainable Cities Guide.
	<b>T</b> 1 11	1100	0.000	
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path per 100 inhabitants.	m/100 inhabit ants	0 : 200 3 : 110 5 : 50	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services	%	0 : 30 3 : 72 5 : 100	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework Sustainable Cities Guide

A CHARTER AND A CARLENCE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



involvement in urban planning activities	<ul> <li>O : Symbolic participation (Arnstein correspondence: information and consultation): consultation (public register, survey)</li> <li>3 : Shared diagnosis (Correspondence Arnstein: Degrees of citizen power): Methodology of shared diagnosis, call to a specialized provider, survey (s), Workshops of construction and validation of the diagnosis</li> <li>5 : Co-decision (Arnstein Correspondence: Degrees of Citizen Power): Methodology of the process, call for a specialized service provider, dedicated workshops, existence of scenarios for discussion, evolution of the project according to citizen feedback</li> </ul>
---	--

# **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS			
A1.7 Conservation of land	The total area of undeveloped land considered	Information source	Local planning rules	
		Assessment method	<ol> <li>Determine the area of the neighborhood.</li> <li>Determine the undeveloped area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value.</li> <li>Calculate the ratio between the undeveloped area and the area of the neighborhood.</li> </ol>	
		Standard	Default values	

B- ECONOMY			
CRITERION	TERION INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS		
B3.3 Running costs energy for public buildings	Running cost of energy aggregated	Information source	Models and simulation
		Assessment method	In the calculation it is possible to use real or estimated costs. The calculation has to take in account one full year of operation.
		Standard	Sum of the running energy costs of each building in the area up to an aggregated running costs energy value. The total cost must be normalized per the total indoor useful area

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



of buildings.

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / gross floor area of all buildings	Information source	Models and simulation	
		Assessment method	Estimated data: 1. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water), in kWh, for each building in the local area. 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings. 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total gross area of all buildings. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method	
		Standard	ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy- efficiency/buildings https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublica tions//buildings_certification.pdf www.theicct.org/sites/default/files//ICCTu pdate_EU-95gram_jan2014.pdf NF EN ISO 52016 Performance énergétiques des bâtiments	
		Information source	Models and simulation	
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption / Total gross floor area of all	Assessment method	Use of Estimated data: 1. Calculate the annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential). 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption for all buildings. 3. Calculate: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption / total gross area of all buildings Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the	
		Standard	quasi-steady state monthly method. The terminals are computable with the	
			national thermal regulation for this final energy indicator assuming that all the energy of the building is electric (even heating and domestic hot water). Soone : the new RE2020 regulation	







		Information source	Models and simulation
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Buildings total primary energy consumption / local minimum value	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the annual total primary energy consumption of non-renewable energy for building operations (heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting), in kWh/m2 of useful internal floor area for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential).</li> <li>Calculate urban area total primary energy consumption as the weighted mean value of total primary energy consumption over the floor surfaces of all buildings in the area.</li> <li>Calculate: Buildings total primary energy consumption / local minimum value x 100</li> </ol>
		Standard	Calculations are based on EN 13790
		Information	Models and simulation
		source	
C2.1 Share of thermal energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final thermal energy	Share of renewable thermal energy in final thermal energy consumptions	Assessment method	Estimated data Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) including renewables, if applicable, in the existing condition. Calculate the aggregated annual total thermal final energy consumption for all buildings. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) from on-site renewable energy sources. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources/ Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption
		Standard	Calculations are based on EN 13790.
		Information source	Models and simulation
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation	Share of renewable energy in primary energy consumptions	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the annual total primary energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water and lighting), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) including renewables, if applicable, in the existing condition.</li> <li>Calculate the aggregated annual total primary energy consumption for all buildings.</li> <li>Calculate the annual total primary energy consumption for building operations (heating,</li> </ol>







		Standard	<ul> <li>cooling, domestic hot water and lighting), in</li> <li>kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e.</li> <li>residential and non-residential) from on-site</li> <li>renewable energy sources, if applicable.</li> <li>4. Calculate the aggregated annual total</li> <li>primary energy consumption from on-site</li> <li>renewable energy sources for all buildings.</li> <li>5. Calculate: Aggregated annual total primary</li> <li>energy consumption / Aggregated annual total</li> <li>primary energy consumption without the</li> <li>renewables.</li> <li>Calculations are based on EN 13790</li> </ul>
		Information	Models and simulation
		source	
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy	Share of renewable electric energy in final electric energy consumptions	Assessment method	Use of Estimated data: 1. Calculate the annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water, ventilation, lighting, auxiliaries), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) including renewables, if applicable, in the existing condition. 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total electric final energy consumption for all buildings. 3. Calculate the annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations (heating, cooling, domestic hot water, lighting, ventilation, auxiliaries), in kWh, for each building in the local area (i.e. residential and non-residential) from on-site renewable energy sources, if applicable. 4. Calculate the aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources. 5. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources/ Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. Calculations are based on EN 13790

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS			
D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	Information source	Models and simulation	
		Assessment method	For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula: $E=[\sum (Qfuel, i \times LHVi \times Kem, i) + (Qel \times Kem, el) + (Qdh \times Kem, dh)]$ Qfuel, l = annual guantity of i-th fuel (m3 or	







E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Models and simulation	
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	Water consumption per occupant	Assessment method	Calculate the estimated consumption of potable water used in residential households in the local area, in Litres per person per day (Lpp*yr.) 1. For each building calculate the total water consumption. The principle of the per occupant water consumption calculation for taps, toilets and showers. 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total water consumptions from all residential buildings / number of residentials' buildings occupants.	
		Standard	Tool "Water calculator"	
E1.7 Consumption	Water consumption per occupant	Information source	Models and simulation	
of potable water for non-residential building systems		Assessment method	1) For each non-residential public building, collect the monitored annual water consumptions for building operation. The consumption data must be estimated taking	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Standard	<ul> <li>the average over 3 years period (m 3).</li> <li>2) Sum the annual water consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual total water consumption (m 3 /year).</li> <li>3) Estimate the area of public buildings considered for the calculation.</li> <li>4) Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total water consumption / area of public buildings.</li> <li>Tool "Water calculator"</li> </ul>
		Information source	Studies, data banks
E2.3 Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling	Volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled from the local area on the total solid waste from construction and demolition of building projects	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Identify the annual volume of construction/demolition waste generated over a 3-year period;</li> <li>Sample the waste stream to identify the origin (type of building) for each sample and the approximate proportion of materials that could have been recycled or re-used;</li> <li>Estimate the volume of material that could be re-used or recycled from future projects of the same type;</li> <li>Aggregate the volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled per year from the local area, based on current rates of construction and demolition;</li> <li>Calculate the volume of materials that may be re-used or recycled from the local area on the total solid waste from construction and demolition projects.</li> </ol>
		Standard	Life cycle analysis tools, 2020 environmental regulation with carbon level assessment
E3.2 Consumption of non-renewable material resources for construction of infrastructure	Quantity of materials from non-renewable material resources for construction or renovation of infrastructures in the local area over a 5-year period	Information source	Studies, data banks
		Assessment method	Calculate the aggregate consumption of non- renewable material resources for construction or renovation of infrastructure in the local area over a 5-year period, in tonnes per 1,000 m2 of surface area (i.e roads, bridges, etc).
		Standard	Life cycle analysis tools, 2020 environmental regulation with carbon level assessment

F- ENVIRONMENT			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
F1.3 Recharge of	Permeable area / total area	Information source	Area development plans
groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping		Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the size (Sa) of the urban area (m2).</li> <li>Calculate the size of the surfaces with a different paving or occupied by constructions in the urban area (i.e green areas surfaces paved with asphalt</li> </ol>

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT





			surfaces occupied by buildings, etc.). 3. Calculate the real permeability of soil considering the permeability coefficient of each surface. 4. Examples of permeability coefficients: Grass = 1 Gravel = 0,9 Permeable interlocking concrete pavement = 0,3 Asphalt = 0 5. Value of the indicator = (Sa,perm/Sa) ×100
		Standard	Local context of the local plan of urban planning and habitat (PLU-H).
		Information source	Measured data
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	Number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Daily test air samples in accordance with national or regional procedures over a period of one year;</li> <li>Evaluate the number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year.</li> </ol>
		Standard	Observatory of the quality of the air www.atmo-auvergnerhonealpes.fr

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Measured data	
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters	Assessment method	1. Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants in the area that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop (bus, tram, metro). Note: to be considered valid for the calculation, a stop must have a daily total service frequency of at least 20 trips.	
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework	
		Information source	Estimated data	
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Total walkway meters of dedicated	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Estimation of the number of inhabitants in the area</li> <li>Calculation of the walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths in the area (A)</li> <li>Calculation of the meters of bicycle paths in the area (B)</li> <li>Calculation of the indictor's value as (A+B)/(100 inhabitants)</li> </ol>	







		Standard	Bicycle paths and pedestrian paths have to be safe and physically separated to traffic roads to be considered in the calculation. A walkway adjacent to a traffic road is not acceptable. Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework
		Information source	Local implementation plans
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Identify locations of key services in the local area.</li> <li>Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services.</li> <li>Calculate the percent of residential population located within 600 m. of the 3 key human services.</li> <li>Key services are:         <ol> <li>Education (schools, kindergartens, education centers, etc.)</li> <li>Health center (hospitals, medical ward, medical center, etc.)</li> <li>Law enforcement areas (police station, etc.)</li> <li>Sport facilities</li> <li>Food shops</li> <li>Bank</li> <li>Post office</li> <li>Pharmacy</li> <li>Shopping center</li> <li>Culture and leisure</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities - Urban Sustainability Framework sustainable urban development in the Paris region: the light of participatory observation ", Development Sustainable Development and Territories [Online], Vol. 6, No. 2   September 2015, posted on September 30, 2015 "
		Information	Local informations from owner, developer
	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	source	
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities		Assessment method	Using the Sherry Arnstein ladder on citizen participation, rate the level of users' involvement on planning. The height rungs and 3 degrees of the ladder are provided on the picture.
		Standard	"Sherry Arnstein, article original paru en 1969 "A Ladder Of Citizen Participation"", Journal of the American Institute of Planners 35 (4), p. 216-24: http://www.participatorymethods.org/sites/ participatorymethods.org/files/Arnstein%20lad der%201969.pdf"





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - GENCAT

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

## **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1	Urban Structure and Form
A1.2	Urban compactness.
A1.4	Residential density.
A1.7	Conservation of Land.

<b>B- ECONOMY</b>	
B1	Economic Structure and Value
B1.1	Affordability of housing property
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental.
B2	Economic activity
B2.5	Economic contribution from tourism activity.
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.2	Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments.
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings.

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations.
C1.10	Primary energy for heating - residential buildings.
C1.12	Primary energy for cooling - residential buildings.
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting.
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	
D1	Atmospheric emissions
D1.2	GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.

E- NON - RENE	EWABLE RESOURCES	
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater.	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population.	
		<b>Ma</b> ter



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E1.7	Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.

F- ENVIRONMENT			
F1	Environmental impacts		
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.		
F2	Outdoor environmental quality		
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.		
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.		
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.		
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes		
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability.		
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility.		
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density.		

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS			
G1	Safety and Accessibility		
G1.4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.		
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services		
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service.		
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.		
G4	Public and private facilities and services		
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services.		
G6	Management and community involvement		
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities.		

## **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS			
CRITE	RION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
A1.2	Urban compactness	Assess the current use of the land	
A1.4	Residential density	Assess the population density in the area to avoid great density zones	
A1.7	Conservation of Land	Mandatory KPI	

### **B- ECONOMY**

CRITERION

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

and the second second second

Mark and

B1.1Affordability of housing propertyAssess the ratio between the income of the<br/>residents and the properties price to avoid an<br/>increase price<br/>Assess the ratio between the income of the<br/>residents and the rental price to avoid an increase<br/>priceB1.2Affordability of housing rentalAssess the ratio between the income of the<br/>residents and the rental price to avoid an increase<br/>priceB2.5Economic contribution from tourism activity.Assess the income from tourism activity in the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# B3.2 Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments.

area

Mandatory KPI

Assess the public investment

**B3.3** Operating energy costs for public buildings.

C- ENERGY	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	Mandatory KPI
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.	Mandatory KPI
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations.	Mandatory KPI
C1.10 Primary energy for heating - residential buildings.	Assess the ratio of the thermal consumption for heating in residential buildings
C1.12 Primary energy for cooling - residential buildings.	Assess the ratio of the electric consumption for heating in residential buildings Assess the energy consumption of the public
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting.	lighting systems and the ratio between energy consumption and resident
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Mandatory KPI
primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Mandatory KPI
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final electric energy.	Mandatory KPI

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	Mandatory KPI
D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	Mandatory KPI

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES			
CRITE	RION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
E1.6 popula	Consumption of potable water for residential tion.	Mandatory KPI	
E1.7 resider	Consumption of potable water for non- ntial building systems.	Mandatory KPI	
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.	Assess the availability of the recycling collection points for the residents in the area	

### **F- ENVIRONMENT**

CRITERION

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through	Mandatan (KDI
perme	able paving or landscaping.	Mandatory KPI
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to	Mandatan (KDI
particu	ulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	Manualory KPT
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	Assess the day noise level in the area to avoid
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	Assess the night noise level in the area
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability.	Assess the availability of green zones
F3.2 access	Green zones & recreation areas sibility.	Assess the accessibility of green zones
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density.	Assess the ratio between the green areas and the gross area

### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
G1.4 Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.	Assess the reduction and elimination the architectonic barriers to access the public transport	
<ul><li>G2.1 Performance of the public transport service.</li><li>G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.</li></ul>	Mandatory KPI Mandatory KPI	
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services.	Mandatory KPI	
G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities.	Mandatory KPI	

# **SNTool weights rationale**

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members
B- ECONOMY	2	Economically unsustainable cities. This point is important to improve it. Consultation with Local Committee Members
C- ENERGY	3	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	It is the environmental consequence of issue C. This axis closely linked to the axis C, with the same impact and possibilities of action (Climate and energy framework 2030). It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members
F- ENVIRONMENT	3	It is very important due to directly affect the health of people. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	2	It is not considered priority, although it is important because it takes into consideration the relationship of people with the area. Consultation with Local Committee Members

### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

Note: the categories weight results automatically from the criteria level

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	100
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	0
TOTAL	100
B1- Economic Structure and Value	40
B2- Economic activity	20
B3- Cost and Investment	40
TOTAL	100
C1- Non-renewable energy	66,67
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	33,33
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0
TOTAL	100
D1- Atmospheric emissions	100
TOTAL	100
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	66,67
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	33,33
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	0
TOTAL	100
F1- Environmental impacts	14,29
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	42,86
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	42,86
TOTAL	100
G1- Safety and Accessibility	20
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	40
G3- Communication services	0
G4- Public and private facilities and services	20
G5- Local Food	0
G6- Management and community involvement	20
G7- Society, Culture and Heritage	0
G8- Perceptual	0
TOTAL	100

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### **A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS**

A1- Urban Structure and Form						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.2	1,94	3	2	4	1	Confirmed
A1.4	1,94	3	2	4	1	Confirmed
A1.7	2,58	2	4	4	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members
TOTAL	6.46					

#### **B- ECONOMY**

B1- Economic Structure and Value									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B1.1 B1.2	2,91 1,94	3 3	2 2	3 2	1 1	Confirmed Confirmed			
B2- Economic activity									
CRITERION B2.5	Weight (%) 1,29	<b>B</b> 2	<b>C</b> 2	<b>D</b> 2	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed			
<b>B3- Cost and Inves</b>	tment								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B3.2	1,94	3	2	2	1	Confirmed			
B3.3	0,97	3	2	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
TOTAL	9,05								

C- ENERGY									
C1- Non-Renewable energy									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C1.1	1,45%	2	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
C1.4	2,18%	3	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
C1.7	1,45%	2	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
C1.10	2,42%	3	5	2	1	Confirmed			
C1.12	2,42%	3	5	2	1	Confirmed			
C1.20	0,81%	1	5	2	1	Confirmed			
C2- Renewable and	d Decarbon	ised	energ	JУ					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C2.1	4,36	2	3	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
C2.4	2,91	2	2	3	1	Confirmed			
C2.7	8,72	3	4	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
TOTAL	26,74								

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS							
D1- Atmospheric emissions							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
D1.2	7,3	2	5	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members	
TOTAL	7,27						

### **E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

E1- Potable water, storm water and greywater





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CRITERION E1.6	<b>Weight (%)</b> 0,97	В 3	С 4	<b>D</b> 1	L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Consultation with Local Committee Members
E1./	0,97	3	4	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members
E2- Solid and liqui	d wastes					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.1	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed
TOTAL	7,27					

Ε.	EN/	NIM	ENT

F1- Environmental impacts									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F1.3	5,82	2	4	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
F2-									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F2.3	10,90	3	3	5	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
F2.10	4,36	3	2	3	1	Confirmed			
F2.11	4,36	3	2	3	1	Confirmed			
F3-									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F3.1	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed			
F3.2	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed			
F3.3	1,94	2	2	2	1	Confirmed			
TOTAL	31.26								

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS									
G1- Safety and Accessibility									
CRITERION G1.4	<b>Weight (%)</b> 1,94	<b>В</b> 2	<b>С</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Confirmed			
G2- Traffic and mo	bility servio	ces							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
G2.1	1,94	3	3	2	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
G2.4	5,82	3	4	3	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
G4- Public and priv	vate facilitie	es an	d ser	vices					
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
G4.2	5,17	2	4	4	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
G6- Management and community involvement									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
G6.3	0,48	1	3	1	1	Consultation with Local Committee Members			
TOTAL	15,35								

## **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN STRUCT	URE AND FORM				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	

A FISCHER AND CONSTRUCT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		MEASURE		
			0: 10	Minimum value
A1.2	Urban compactness	m³/m²	5: 40	Increase the urban compactness in the area according to the Urban planning
			0: 125	
A1.4	Residential density	pp/ha	5: 350	Reduce the residential density in the area according to the Urban planning
			0: 4	Minimum value desired
A1.7	Conservation of Land	%	5: 15	Increase the green zones in the area according to the Urban planning

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
D4 4	Affordability of housing	0/	0: 30	
51.1	property	/0	5: 20	Maximum value desired
<b>B1 0</b>	Affordability of housing	0/	0: 30	
B1.2	rental.	70	5: 20	Maximum value desired
B2.5	Economic contribution	<i>Elrosidont</i>	0: 250	
	from tourism activity.	E/Tesident	5: 1000	
<b>D</b> 2 2	Public contribution in		0: 40	
B3.2	investments.	%	5: 25	
B3.3	Operating energy costs	Elm <sup>2</sup> hu	0: 20	Maximum value desired
	tor public buildings.	€/III /y	5: 10	Passive or NZEB Building

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
•	Total final thermal		0: 75	Maximum value desired
C1.1	energy consumption for building operations.	kWh/m²/y	5: 20	Passive or NZEB Building
04.4	Total final electrical	kWh/m²/y	0: 70	Maximum value desired
C1.4	building operations.		5: 20	Passive or NZEB Building
C1.7	Total primary energy	kWh/m²/y	0: 225	Maximum value desired

A CHARTER AND CARDEN



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	demand for building operations.		5: 70	Minimum value desired
04.40	Primary energy for		0: 100	Local minimum value
C1.10	heating - residential buildings.	%	5: 70	Value desired
04.40	Primary energy for		0: 100	Local minimum value
C1.12	cooling - residential buildings.	%	5: 60	Value desired
C1 20	Energy consumption of	k///p/pp	0: 50	Current index
public lighting.	public lighting.	күүр/рр	5: 20	Value desired
	Share of renewable		0: 25	Value desired
C2.1	energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	%	5: 90	Passive or NZEB Building
	Share of renewable		0: 30	Value desired
C2.4	energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	%	5: 80	Passive or NZEB Building
	Share of electric energy		0: 15	Value desired
C2.7	generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy.	%	5: 75	Passive or NZEB Building

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
	GHG emissions from	ha 00 an	0: 30	Current value
D1.2	purposes in building operations.	кg CO <sub>2</sub> eq /m²/y	5: 10	Passive or NZEB Building

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population.	m <sup>3</sup> /occupant/ year	0: 150	Average regional value	
			5: 40	Maximum value desired	
	Consumption of potable	$m^3 / m^2$	0: 15	Average regional value	
E1.7 water for non-residential building systems.		5: 5	Maximum value desired		
E2.1	Solid waste and	%	0: 75		

A KINCHER MARKEN



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



recycling collection	5.05	
points.	5:95	Value desired

F- ENVIRONMENT				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
	Recharge of		0:20	Minimum value desired
F1.3	groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	%	5: 70	Maximum value desired
	Ambient air quality with		0: 15	Maximum value desired
F2.3	respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period.	days/year	5: 11	Minimum value desired
E2 10	Ambient daytime noise	0/	0: 30	Maximum value desired
F2.10 conditions.	/0	5: 10	Minimum value desired	
E9 11	Ambient night-time	ne %	0:20	Maximum value desired
. 2	noise conditions.		5: 5	Minimum value desired
50.4	Green zones &	2	0: 5	Minimum value desired
F3.1	recreation areas availability.	m²/inh	5: 50	Maximum value desired
F2 2	Green zones &		0: 500	Minimum value desired
Γ3.2	accessibility.	m	5: 100	Maximum value desired
50.0	Green zones &	<i></i>	0:20	Minimum value desired
F3.3	recreation areas density.	%	5: 50	Maximum value desired

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	3			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
	Ease of access to and		0: 60	
G1.4	for physically disabled persons.	%	5: 100	Current value
G2.1	Performance of the	0/	0: 30	
	public transport service.	70	5: 100	Current value
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian	m / 100 inhabitant	0: 20	
	and bicycle network.	S	5: 80	

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G4.2	Availability and		0: 30	
	human services.	%	5: 80	
<b>CE 3</b>	Community involvement		0: 0	Degrees of tokenism: Information / Consultation / Placation (in the Arnstein ladder).
60.3	activities.	Level	5:5	Degrees of citizen power: Partnership, delegated power and citizen power (in the Arnstein ladder), at every stages.

# **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
		Information source	Master Plan and Cadastre		
A1.2	Urban compactnes	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Urban planning		
		Information source	Master Plan and Cadastre		
A1.4	Residential density.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Urban planning		
		Information source	Master Plan and Cadastre		
A1.7	Conservation of Land.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool		
		Standard	Urban planning		

B- ECONOMY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
B1.1 Affordability of housing	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council	
	property	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E. C. Marie

and and the second states

		Standard	Report ERD 2016 of Barcelona council Report 76 of Barcelona council
	Affordability of bousing	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental. Economic contribution from tourism activity. Public contribution in residential retrofitting investments. Operating energy costs for public buildings.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Report ERD 2016 of Barcelona council Report 76 of Barcelona council
	Economic contribution	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
<b>32.5</b> <i>from tourism activity.</i>	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
		Standard	NA
	Public contribution in	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
B3.2	residential retrofitting investments.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Operating operate costs	Information source	Bills
<ul> <li>B1.2 Affordability of hous rental.</li> <li>B2.5 Economic contribut from tourism activity</li> <li>B3.2 Public contribution residential retrofittin investments.</li> <li>B3.3 Operating energy c for public buildings.</li> </ul>	for public buildings.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA

**C-ENERGY** CRITERION **INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS** Information Master plan (surveys) and bills Total final thermal source C1.1 Assessment According its factsheet in the SN-Tool energy consumption for method building operations. Standard NA Information Master plan (surveys) and bills Total final electrical source C1.4 energy consumption for Assessment According its factsheet in the SN-Tool method building operations. Standard NA Information Master plan (surveys) and bills source Total primary energy Assessment According its factsheet in the SN-Tool C1.7 demand for building method IDEA, coefficients of primary energy Standard operations. passage of different final energy sources consumed C1.10 Information Master plan Primary energy for source





	heating - residential buildinas.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Primary energy for	Information source	Master plan
C1.12	cooling - residential buildings.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	5	Standard	NA
	Energy consumption of	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
C1.20	public lighting.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations
	Share of renewable energy on-site. on total	Information source	Master plan and bills
C2.1	final energy	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	energy on-site, on total <b>2.1</b> final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Standard	Municipal regulations
	Share of renewable energy on-site. on total	Information source	Master plan and bills
C2.4	primary energy	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	buildings operation.	Standard	Municipal regulations
	Share of electric energy	Information source	Master plan and bills
C2.7	renewable sources on	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	tinal electric energy.	Standard	Municipal regulations

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
<b>D1.2</b> <b>GHG</b> emissions fr energy used for a purposes in buildi operations.	GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building	Information source	Master plan and bills	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool	
	operations.	Standard	Factor GHG Emissions by Government of Catalonia	

E- NON-RENEW	ABLE RESOURCES		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	Consumption of potable water for residential population.	Information source	Master plan (surveys)
E1.6		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Consumption of potable	Information source	Master plan (surveys) and bills
E1.7	water for non-residential building systems.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Solid waste and	Information source	Master plan
E2.1	recycling collection points.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations

### E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or	Information source	Google earths images
F1.3		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	landscaping.	Standard	NA
	Ambient air quality with	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
F2.3	<10 mu (PM10) over a	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	one-year period.	Standard	Municipal regulations
	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
F2.10		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations
	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
F2.11		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Too
		Standard	Municipal regulations
F3.1	Green zones &	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council and Google earth images
	recreation areas	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool







	availability.	Standard	Municipal regulations
	Green zones &	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council and Google earth images
F3.2	recreation areas accessibility.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	,	Standard	Municipal regulations
	Green zones &	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council and Google earth images
F3.3	recreation areas densitv.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Municipal regulations

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
	Ease of access to and	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
G1.4	for physically disabled	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
	persons.	Standard	Mobility plan
	Performance of the	Information source	Google maps
G2.1	public transport service.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Information source	Statistical data from Barcelona council
G2.4		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	Mobility plan
	Availability and proximity of key public human services.	Information source	Google maps
G4.2		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Community involvement	Information source	Master plan
G6.3	in urban planning activities.	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SN-Tool
		Standard	NA





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – SANT CUGAT

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

### **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
A1	Urban Structure and Forme
A1.7	Conservaiton of Land
A2	Transportation infrastructure
A2.1	Walking distance to public transport for area residents
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population

B- ECONOMY	
B1	Economic Structure and Value
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental
B2	Economic Activity
B2.2	Average annual per-capita income of residents
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non-renwable energey
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building opeerations
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building oprations
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting
C1.21	Energy consumption of local public transport
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary thermal energy consumption for building operations
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final electric energy consumption for building operations
C2.8	Aggregated electrical energy generation from renewable sources located on public properties

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS		
D1	Atmospheric emissions	
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility	

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.1	Availability of a ublic municipal water supply	
E1.3	Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	
E1.8	Consumption of potable water for irrigation purposes	

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points
E2.2	Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling
E2.6	Public wastewater that is disposed of treated

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environment impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groudwater throught permeable paving or landscaping	
F1.10	Degree of athmospheric light pollution caused by exteior public lighting systems	
F2	Outodr environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes	
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability	
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	
F3,6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures	
F3.9	Presence or potencial for wildlife corridors	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS		
G1	Safety and Accessibility	
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled	
	persons	
G1.4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons	
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport system	
G2.3	Measures to limit traffic of cars and trucks passing through the local area	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bycycle network	
G2.5	Availability of sheltered bicyble parking facilities	
G3	Communication services	
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	
G3.2	Access to a broadband communication network	
G4	Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	
G4.3	Availability and proximity of a primary school	
G4.4	Availability and proximity of a secondary school	
G4.6	Availability and proximity of leisure facilities	
G4.7	Access to indoor gymnastic facilities for winter use	
G5	Local Food	
G5.2	Residents' access to and use of urban agricultural plots	
G6	Management and community involvement	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	
G7	Society, Culture and Heritage	
G7.2	Compatibility of public open space with local cultural values	

## **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

## A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS

### CRITERION

### Conservaiton of Land

# REASON/MOTIVATION

A2.1 Walking distance to public transport for area residentsA2.9 On-street and indoor parking spaces

KPI (mandatory) Easy to calculate and useful

Relative easy to calculate



A1.7



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### relative to local population

CRITERIONREASON/MOTIVATIONB1.2Affordability of housing rentalThe economic capacity to live in an area is directly related to access to housing.B2.2Average annual per-capita income of residentsTo know the economic reality of an urban area, it is essential to know the income level of the residents. It is not easy to calculate accurately	B- ECONOMY			
<ul> <li>B1.2 Affordability of housing rental</li> <li>B2.2 Average annual per-capita income of residents</li> <li>The economic capacity to live in an area is directly related to access to housing.</li> <li>To know the economic reality of an urban area, it is essential to know the income level of the residents. It is not easy to calculate accurately is in the accurately in the income income in the income income</li></ul>	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION		
B2.2 Average annual per-capita income of residents To know the economic reality of an urban area, it is essential to know the income level of the residents. It is not easy to calculate accurately	B1.2 Affordability of housing rental	The economic capacity to live in an area is directly related to access to housing.		
but the approximate value is significant	B2.2 Average annual per-capita income of residents	To know the economic reality of an urban area, it is essential to know the income level of the residents. It is not easy to calculate accurately but the approximate value is significant		
B3.3 Operating energy costs for public buildings KPI (mandatory)	B3.3 Operating energy costs for public buildings	KPI (mandatory)		

### **C- ENERGY**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building opeerations	KPI (mandatory)
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption	KPI (mandatory)
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building	KPI (mandatory)
Operations	Four to coloulate because the municipality is the
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting	easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of public lighting. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.
c1.21 Energy consumption of local public transport	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of local public transport. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	KPI (mandatory)
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, relative	Useful criterion to introduce smart grids in an
to total primary thermal energy consumption for	area and very useful to define how sustainable
building operations	the area is.
C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final electric energy consumption for building operations	KPI (mandatory)
C2.8 Aggregated electrical energy generation	The generation of energy produced in public
from renewable sources located on public	properties is easy to calculate to be municipal
properties	ownership. It is also a criterion where the
	administration can act directly and prove its involvement in search of sustainability.

### D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS

#### CRITERION

D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations D1.7 Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

KPI (mandatory)

Mobility is one of the main causes of the emission of GHG into the atmosphere and therefore it is necessary to know at least estimated values. Municipal policies can act





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



directly on this criterion.

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES				
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION			
E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply	Easy to calculate because it is a public service. The importance of access to water is at the same level as access to energy and higher than access to telecommunications, so it is important to calculate it.			
E1.3 <i>Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings</i>	Sant Cugat has very restrictive ordinances in this regard and has a fairly reliable knowledge of the reality of the municipality in the reutilization of rainwater in private plots.			
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population	KPI (mandatory)			
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	KPI (mandatory)			
E1.8 Consumption of potable water for irrigation purposes	Sant Cugat has very restrictive ordinances in this regard and has a fairly reliable knowledge of the reality of the municipality in the use of water for irrigation purposes			
E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of solid waste and recylcing collection points. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.			
E2.2 Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of the waste collection syste.			
E2.6 Public wastewater that is disposed of treated	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of weber systemIn addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.			

### **F- ENVIRONMENT**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.3 Recharge of groudwater throught permeable paving or landscaping	KPI (mandatory)
F1.10 Degree of athmospheric light pollution caused by exteior public lighting systems	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of public lighting. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	KPI (mandatory)
F3.1 Green zones & recreation areas availability	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of green areas. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.
F3.2 Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of green areas. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives.
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density	Easy to calculate because the municipality is the owner of green areas. In addition, it is a criterion

A FISCH STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



where the municipality can act directly and help

Easy to calculate because the municipality is the

owner of green areas. In addition, it is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help

achieve its objectives.

achieve its objectives.

Easy to calculate

F3,6 Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures

F3.9 Presence or potencial for wildlife corridors

### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.2 are acc person	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that ressible for use by physically disabled s	It is a criterion where the municipality can act directly and help achieve its objectives. Safe modes of mobility for pedestrians are essential to become a sustainable city.
G1.4 transpo	Ease of access to and use of public ort for physically disabled persons	It is a criterion that mixes mobility and accessibility. Concepts that socially equate citizens and therefore is very useful. In addition, the municipality can act directly to achieve its objectives and it is easy to calculate.
G2.1 G2.3 passing	Performance of the public transport system Measures to limit traffic of cars and trucks g through the local area Quality of podestrian and bycycle potwork	KPI (mandatory) El municipio puede actuar directamente en este criterio y es fácil de calcular.
G2.5 facilitie	Availability of sheltered bicyble parking	It is a criterion that mixes mobility and accessibility. Concepts that socially equate citizens and therefore is very useful. In addition, the municipality can act directly to achieve its objectives and it is easy to calculate.
G3.1 networ	Availability of a broadband communication k	The availability and access to a broadband communication network are essential for the social equity of a territory.
G3.2 networ	Access to a broadband communication k	The availability and access to a broadband communication network are essential for the social equity of a territory.
G4.2 G4.3 school	Availability and proximity of key services Availability and proximity of a primary	The ability to access basic services (schools, hospitals, businesses,) close to citizens is necessary to reduce the cost of mobility. In addition, it encourages more sustainable ways and promotes the social equity of a territory. The ability to access basic services (schools, hospitals, businesses,) close to citizens is necessary to reduce the cost of mobility. In addition, it encourages more sustainable ways and promotes the social equity of a territory.
G4.4 school	Availability and proximity of a secondary	The ability to access basic services (schools, hospitals, businesses,) close to citizens is necessary to reduce the cost of mobility. In addition, it encourages more sustainable ways and promotes the social equity of a territory.
G4.6 facilitie	Availability and proximity of leisure es	The ability to access basic services (schools, hospitals, businesses,) close to citizens is necessary to reduce the cost of mobility. In addition, it encourages more sustainable ways and promotes the social equity of a territory.
<u>G4.7</u>	Access to indoor gymnastic facilities for	The ability to access basic services (schools,



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



10 kone

the second and the second second

hospitals, businesses, ...) close to citizens is necessary to reduce the cost of mobility. In addition, it encourages more sustainable ways and promotes the social equity of a territory. Residents' access to and use of urban G5.2 Easy to calculate agricultural plots G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning The participation of citizens in urban design activities promotes the transparency of public administration and increases social awareness in relation to sustainability. Therefore, it is an interesting criterion to be known and improved by the public administration. G7.2 Compatibility of public open space with The relevance to a territory is closely linked to local cultural values the conservation of cultural values and public space is a place that can empower it.

### **SNTool weights rationale**

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

winter use

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR	MOTIVATION
	(1 to 3)	
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	2	The morphology and urban design of a territory is the first of the layers that define a city. In it, all actions will be implemented and their viability will be allowed. Its importance is not minor but it is not decisive.
B- ECONOMY	2	The viability of any action depends on its financing and its economic viability. The economy is not the objective, but it is a basic tool for the achievement of the objective; the sustainability of the territory
C- ENERGY	3	The sustainable use of energy is the basis of a sustainable city. In addition, public policies can have a direct impact on their results.
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	2	The atmospheric emissions allow us to know how habitable a city is. They are important in terms of value but it is difficult to implement actions directly on this issue.
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	1	Non-renewable resources are of great importance to guarantee sustainable cities, but no more than the other issues (energy, environment,)
F- ENVIRONMENT	3	The environment in a city is the definition of quality of life for its citizens. According to the European Commission; 80% of European citizens will live in cities in 2050 and according to the European Environment Agency; Long exposure to contaminated air was responsible for more than 400,000 premature deaths.
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	1	Social aspects are important and allow equity among citizens. In fact, the urban agenda gives it great importance. Despite this, the project


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



ceased med is focused on the other aspects and therefore should be given a lower weight.

#### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	4.9 %
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	5.3 %
TOTAL	10.2 %
B1- Economic Structure and Value	1.5 %
B2- Economic activity	1.5 %
B3- Cost and Investment	0.7 %
TOTAL	3.6 %
C1- Non-renewable energy	5.7 %
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	20.2 %
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0.0 %
TOTAL	25.9 %
D1- Atmospheric emissions	12.7 %
TOTAL	12.7 %
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	3.5 %
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	6.6 %
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	0.0 %
TOTAL	10.1 %
F1- Environmental impacts	5.8 %
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	8.2 %
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	9.8 %
TOTAL	23.8 %
G1- Safety and Accessibility	1.5 %
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	4.4 %
G3- Communication services	1.5 %
G4- Public and private facilities and services	4.6 %
G5- Local Food	0.7 %
G6- Management and community involvement	0.2 %
G7- Society, Culture and Heritage	0.7 %
G8- Perceptual	0.0 %
TOTAL	13.6 %

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS A1- Urban Structure and Forme									
CRITERION A1.7	Weight (%) 4.85 %	<b>B</b> 2	<b>C</b> 5	D 4	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value	_		
							12-12		
					Sec. 1				



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A2- Transportation infrastructure								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A2.1	2.43 %	2	2	5	1	Default value		
A2.9	2.91 %	3	4	2	1	Default value		
TOTAL	10.2 %							

#### **B-ECONOMY**

B1- Economic Structure and Value									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B1.2	1.46 %	3	2	2	1	Default value			
B2- Economic Activity									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B2.2	1.46 %	3	2	2	1	Default value			
B3- Cost and Investment									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B3.3	0.73 %	3	2	1	1	Default value			
TOTAL	3.6 %								

C- ENERGY								
C1- Non-renwable energy								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
C1.1	1.09 %	2	3	3	1	Default value		
C1.4	1.09 %	3	3	2	1	Default value		
C1.7	1.09 %	2	3	3	1	Default value		
C1.20	0.61 %	1	5	2	1	Default value		
C1.21	1.82 %	3	5	2	1	Default value		
C2- Renewable an	nd Decarbor	nised	ener	gу				
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
C2.1	3.28 %	2	3	3	1	Default value		
C2.4	8.19 %	3	5	3	1	Default value		
C2.7	6.55 %	3	4	3	1	Default value		
C2.8	2.18 %	2	2	3	1	Default value		
TOTAL	25.9 %							

#### **D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

D1- Atmospheric emissions								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D1.2	3.64 %	2	5	3	1	Default value		
D1.7	9.10 %	3	5	5	1	Default value		
TOTAL	12.7 %							

# **E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

E1- Potable water, stormwater and								
greywater								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
E1.1	1.09 %	3	2	3	1	Default value		
E1.3	0.49 %	2	2	2	1	Default value		
E1.6	0.73 %	3	4	1	1	Default value		
E1.7	0.73 %	3	4	1	1	Default value		
E1.8	0.49 %	2	2	2	1	Default value		
E2-Solid and I	iquid Wastes							

A CHARTER AND A CHARTER



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CRITERION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.1	1.46 %	2	2	2	1	Default value
E2.2	0.73 %	1	2	2	1	Default value
E2.6	4.37 %	2	4	3	1	Default value
TOTAL	10.1 %					

## **F-ENVIRONMENT**

F1- Environme nt impacts									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F1.3	4.37 %	2	4	3	1	Default value			
F1.10	1.46 %	2	2	2	1	Default value			
F2- Outdoor environmental quality									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F2.3	8.19 %	3	3	5	1	Default value			
F3- Ecosystems a	and landsca	pes							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F3.1	1.46 %	2	2	2	1	Default value			
F3.2	1.46 %	2	2	2	1	Default value			
F3.3	1.46 %	2	2	2	1	Default value			
F3,6	3.28 %	3	2	3	1	Default value			
F3.9	2.18 %	2	2	3	1	Default value			
TOTAL	23.8 %								

G- ENVIRONMENT								
G1- Safety and Accessibility								
CRITERION G1.2 G1.4 G2- Traffic and	Weight (%) 0.73 % 0.73 % Mobility Serv	B 2 2	<b>C</b> 2 2	D 3 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value Default value		
62 Hame and Mobility Oct Vices								
CRITERION G2.1 G2.3 G2.4 G2.5	Weight (%) 0.73 % 0.73 % 2.18 % 0.73 %	B 2 3 3 2	C 3 2 4 2	D 2 2 3 3	L.F. 1 1 1 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value Default value Default value Default value		
G3- Communic	cation services	5						
CRITERION G3.1 G3.2	Weight (%) 0.73 % 0.73 %	<b>B</b> 2 2	<b>C</b> 2 2	D 3 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value Default value		
G4- Public and	i private faciliti	ies ar	nd					
Services CRITERION G4.2 G4.3 G4.4 G4.6 G4.7	Weight (%) 1.94 % 0.73 % 0.73 % 0.73 % 0.49 %	<b>B</b> 2 2 2 2 2 2	<b>C</b> 4 2 2 2 2	D 4 3 3 2	L.F. 1 1 1 1 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value Default value Default value Default value Default value Default value		
G5- Local Food	d							
CRITERION G5.2	Weight (%) 0.73 %	<b>B</b> 2	<b>C</b> 2	D 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
G6- Manageme	ent and commu	unity						
CRITERION G6.3	Weight (%) 0.24 %	<b>B</b> 1	<b>C</b> 4	<b>D</b> 1	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		

the second of th



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



the generation of

G7- Society, Culture and Heritage									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
TOTAL	13.6 %								

# **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN	STRUCTURE AND FORM			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
A1.7	Concernation of Land	0/	0: 10	The CLC approved the default values.
	Conservation of Land	70	5: 20	The CLC approved the default values.
A2.1	Walking distance to public	07	0: 50	The CLC proposed to change the values of minimum and best practices because of the importance of promoting sustainable mobility in a sustainable city (40% default value).
	transport for area residents	70	5: 90	The CLC proposed changing the values of minimum and best practices because of the importance of promoting sustainable mobility in a sustainable city (60% default value).
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking	%	0: 80	The CLC approved the default values.
	spaces relative to local population	70	5: 25	The CLC approved the default values.

B- ECONOMY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
-	Affordability of bouging rantal	0/	0: 30	The CLC approved the default values.	
Ы.2	Affordability of nousing rental	70	5: 20	The CLC approved the default values.	
B2.2	Average annual per-capita income of residents	%	0: 60%	The CLC approved the default values.	
			5: 90%	The CLC approved the default values.	
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings	€/m²/yr	0: 13.56	According to the models of average energy consumption for buildings published by the Catalan Institute of Energy and estimating a cost of 0.16 €/kWh	
			5: 3.33	According to the models of energy consumption for buildings classified "A" and published by the Catalan	

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE





				Institute of Energy and estimating a cost of 0.16 €/kWh
C- ENERG	Y			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building opeerations	kWh/m²/yr	0: 76.23	The CLC proposed to change the value of the minimum practice to 75 kWh / m2 / year because it was more realistic (default value of 50 kWh / m2 / year). The final value used is 76.23 kWh / m2 according to the classification of ICAEN (Catalan Institute of Energy) for a label "D" and because it is a value very close to the proposal of CLC.
			5: 33.8	The CLC proposed to increase the value of good practice. It is done directly by changing the value of the best practice (default value 0 kWh / m2 / year). The final value used is 33.8 kWh / m2 according to the classification of ICAEN (Catalan Institute of Energy) for a label "A"
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building oprations	kWh/m²/yr	0: 29.85	The CLC didn't propose to change the default value (25 kWh /m2/yr). Despite this, the reference values have followed the benchmark of criterion C1.1 (label "D" according to ICAEN)
			5: 10.88	The CLC didn't propose to change the default value (5 kWh /m2/yr). Despite this, the reference values have followed the benchmark of criterion C1.1 (label "A" according to ICAEN
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	kWh/m²/yr	0: 152	The CLC didn't propose to change the default value (50 kWh /m2/yr). Despite this, the reference values have followed the benchmark of criterion C1.1 (label "D" according to ICAEN)
			5: 15	The CLC didn't propose to change the default value (15 kWh /m2/yr). Despite this, the reference values have followed the benchmark of criterion C1.1 (label "A"





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



				according to ICAEN)
C1 20	<b>C1.20</b> Energy consumption of public kWh/m <sup>2</sup> lighting	kW/b/m²	0: 1.00	The CLC approved the default value. However, this value is not realistic, so the average value of the whole neighborhood becomes the value of the minimum practice (default value 50 kWh / m2)
		5: 0.67	The CLC approved the default value. However, this value is not realistic so two thirds of the average value of the whole city becomes the value of the best practice (default value 15 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )	
C1.21	Energy consumption of local public transport	pssg∙km/ MJ	0: 500	The CLC approved the default value
			5: 1,000	The CLC approved the default value.
<b>C</b> 2 4	Share of renewable energy on- site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	%	0: 25	The CLC approved the default value
C2.1			5: 90	The CLC approved the default value.
C2.4	<b>C2.4</b> Share of renewable energy on- site, relative to total primary thermal energy consumption for building operations	%	0: 20	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 25%).
			5: 80	The CLC approved the default value.
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on- site, relative to total final electric	%	0: 15	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 35%).
	operations		5: 75	The CLC approved the default value.
	Aggregated electrical energy generation from renewable	0/	0: 20	The CLC approved the default value.
C2.8	sources located on public properties	%	5: 80	The CLC approved the default value.

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	KgCO2eq /m2/yr	0: 30	The CLC approved the default value.	
			5: 10	The CLC approved the default value.	
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility	TnCO2eq /1,000hab	0: 3,243 5: 2,173	The CLC approved the default value. However, this value is not realistic, so the average value of the whole neighborhood becomes the value of the minimum practice (default value 80 TnCO2eq/100hab). The CLC approved the default value However, this value is	

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



not realistic so two thirds of the average value of the whole city becomes the value of the best practice (default 40 TnCO2eq/100hab)

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
E1 1	Availability of a public municipal	0/	0: 95	The CLC approved to increase the value because it is mandatory (default value 90%).
	water supply	70	5: 99	The CLC approved to increase the value because it is mandatory (default value 95%).
E1 3	Re-use of rainwater in residential	0/	0: 20	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 10%).
L1.5	buildings	70	5: 40	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 60%).
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	m <sup>3</sup> / occupant/ yr	0: 150	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 10%).
			5: 60	The CLC approved the default value.
E4 7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	$m^{3}/m^{2}/m^{2}$	0: 15	The CLC approved the default value.
		111 / 111 / y1	5: 5	The CLC approved the default value.
E1 8	Consumption of potable water for irrigation purposes	m³/ 1.000 m²/yr	0: 5	The CLC approved the default value.
L1.0			5: 0	The CLC approved the default value.
E2 1	Solid waste and recycling collection points	%	0: 75	The CLC approved the default value.
			5: 95	The CLC approved the default value.
E2.2	Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling		0: 40	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 60%).
		70	5: 75	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 60%).
<b></b>	Public wastewater that is	0/	0: 90	The CLC approved the default value.
E2.6	disposed of treated	%	5: 100	The CLC approved the default value.

F- ENVIRON	IMENT			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE







			0: 20%	The CLC approved the default value.
F1.3	Recharge of groudwater throught permeable paving or landscaping	%	5: 70%	The CLC approved to reduce the value because it was too restrictive (default value 100%).
F1 10	Degree of athmospheric light	mcd/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 3	The CLC approved to change units and value because they weren't realistic (default value 20 cd/m <sup>2</sup> ).
	lighting systems	mea/m	5: 0.4	The CLC approved to change units and value because they weren't realistic (default value 20 cd/m <sup>2</sup> ).
E0 0	Ambient air quality with respect to	douter	0: 15	The CLC approved the default value.
Г2.3	a one-year period	uay/yr	5: 11	The CLC approved the default value.
E2 4	Green zones & recreation areas	m²/reside	0: 5	The CLC approved the default value.
ГЭ.1	availability	nts	5: 50	The CLC approved the default value.
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	т	0: 500	The CLC considered that the minimum value could be more restrictive (default value 1,000 m)
			5: 150	The CLC considered that the minimum value could be more restrictive (default value 250 m)
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	%	0: 20 5: 50	The CLC approved the default value. The CLC approved the default value
	Tree coverage for shade and		0: 20	The CLC approved the default
F3,6	management of local ambient temperatures	%	5: 50	The CLC approved the default value.
F3.9	Presence or potencial for wildlife corridors	Level (score)	0: There are few opportunities within the built-up urban area to establish wildlife corridors. 5: There are opportunities within the built-up urban area to establish full wildlife corridors.	The CLC approved the default value.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KINCH CONTRACTOR

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
	Sidewalks and other pedestrian		0: 50	The CLC approved the default	
G1.2	paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	%	5: 90	The CLC approved the default value.	
61.4	Ease of access to and use of	0/	0: 60	The CLC approved the default value.	
G1.4	disabled persons	70	5: 100	The CLC approved the default value.	
G2 1	Performance of the public	%	0: 30	The CLC approved the default value.	
	transport system	70	5: 100	The CLC approved the default value.	
G2.3	Measures to limit traffic of cars and trucks passing through the	Level	0: value	The CLC approved the default value.	
	local area	(score)	5: value	The CLC approved the default value.	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bycycle	m/100 inhabitant	0: 5	value.	
	network	S	5: 40	The CLC approved the default value.	
G2.5	Availability of sheltered bicyble parking facilities	%	0: 20	The CLC approved the default value.	
02.0			5: 60	The CLC approved the default value.	
G3 1	Availability of a broadband communication network	%	0: 80	The CLC approved the default value.	
			5: 95	The CLC approved the default value.	
G3.2	Access to a broadband	%	0: 80	The CLC approved the default value.	
	communication network		5: 95	The CLC approved the default value.	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key	%	0: 50	The CLC approved the default value.	
	services		5: 100	The CLC approved the default value.	
G4.3	Availability and proximity of a	0/_	0: 30	The CLC approved the default value.	
	primary school	,,,	5: 80	The CLC approved the default value.	
G4.4	Availability and proximity of a	%	0: 30	The CLC approved the default value.	
<b>-</b> 111	secondary school	,,,	5: 80	The CLC approved the default value.	
G4.6	Availability and proximity of	%	0: 20	The CLC approved the default value.	
	leisure facilities	70	5: 40	The CLC approved the default value.	
G4 7	Access to indoor gymnastic	%	0: 10	The CLC approved the default value.	
64.7	facilities for winter use	70	5: 200	The CLC approved the default value.	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G5.2	Residents' access to and use of urban agricultural plots	%	0: 500	The CLC approved the default value.
			5: 89	The CLC approved the default value.
<b>CCCCCCCCCCCCC</b>	Community involvement in urban planning activities	Level (score)	0: value	The CLC approved the default value.
G0.3			5: value	The CLC approved the default value.
G7.2	Compatibility of public open space with local cultural values	Level (score)	0: value	The CLC approved the default value.
			5: value	The CLC approved the default value.

# **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	ONS	
		Information source	Mesured data form Municipal Urban Planning	
A1.7	Conservation of Land	Assessment method	<ol> <li>CESBA assessment method:</li> <li>Determine the area of the neighborhood.</li> <li>Determine the undeveloped area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value.</li> <li>Calculate the ratio between the undeveloped area and the area of the neighborhood.</li> </ol>	
		Standard		
A2.1	Walking distance to public transport for area residents	Information source	Mesured ddta from the local public transport map	
		Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method:</u> 1. Identify the location of public transport stops within the local area. 2. Identify major residential buildings and centers of other housing. 3. Calculate the walking distance for a sample of typical routes	
		Standard		
Δ2 9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population	Information source	Statics data from the Municipality (private parking data and public outdoor parking data)	
A2.9		Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method:</u> 1. Determine the number of on-street	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



parking spaces.2. Determine the number of indoor parking spaces.3. Determine the ratio of total parking spaces to the total residential and working population in the local area

Comments from Sant Cugat:

1.Working population is calculated using a ratio (14pax/m<sup>2</sup>) in bussiness center located in the area.

and the second of the second o

Standard

B- ECONOMY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	ONS
		Information source	Statics data from webiste (average rental housing) Studies (citizen incomes) from IDESCAT (Institute of statiistics of Catalonia) www.idescat.cat
B1.2	Affordability of housing rental	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: The percent of typical annual household income of the lowest income quintile in the area relative to the market rents local housing unit with two bedrooms that is considered to be modest in area and quality. <u>Comments from Sant Cugat:</u> The average rental price of flats in the area is calculated using the most widely used rental websites in Spain. No information is available for the calculation of the income of the lowest quintel nor of the average income of the neighborhood. The known data is the average ot the whole city level (no quintels). The ratio has therefore been calculated with the average income of the whole city.
		Standard	Insert text here
B2.2	Average annual per-capita income of residents	Information source	Studies (citizen incomes) from IDESCAT (Institute of statiistics of Catalonia) www.idescat.cat



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method:</u> Calculate the average per-capita income of residents in the local area relative to that of the urban region as a whole. <u>Comments from Sant Cugat:</u> Because there is not available income data in the neighborhood, the ratio has been calculated using the average income of the city relative to the region (Vallès Occidental).
		Standard	
		Information source	Statics data from the Municipality
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public buildings	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: To characterize the indicator's value: 1. For each building in the urban area, calculate the annual operating energy (thermal and electric) cost (euro/year). 2. Sum the operating energy costs of each building in the urban area up to an aggregated annual operating energy cost value (euro/year). 3. Sum the indoor useful area of each building in the area up to an aggregated indoor useful area value (m2). 4. Calculate the indicator as: aggregated annual operating energy cost / aggregated indoor useful area (euro/m2/year).

Standard

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS	
		Information source	Statics data from cadastre too calculet the total indoor surface Stimated energy data from PAES of Sant Cugat	
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building opeerations	Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method:</u> To characterize the indicator's value: 1. In the calculation of the primary energy consumption, the following energy uses must be considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot	

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND AND A FILL AND A FILL



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		<ul> <li>water and lighting.</li> <li>2. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per energy carrier in kilowatt hours (kWh/year)</li> <li>3. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier (kWh/year).</li> <li>4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption per energy carrier up to an aggregated indoor useful area of each building in the area up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption / aggregated annual total primary energy consumption / aggregated indoor useful area (kWh/m2/year).</li> <li>Comments from Sant Cugat:</li> <li>Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat. Last data published is 2015</li> <li>Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level. The latest update of the PAES is from 2015</li> </ul>	
	Standard	SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration) <u>https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257</u> <u>7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC</u> <u>MAIG_18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783-</u> <u>a547-e80c828eb20d</u>	
Total final electrical energy consumption for building	Information source	Statics data from cadastre too calculet the total indoor surface Stimated energy data from PAES of Sant Cugat	
οριατιοπς	Assessment method	To characterize the indicator's value	



C1.4



			use of estimated data or metered data.
			Comments from Sant Cugat:
			Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat.
			Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level.The latest update of the PAES is from 2015
		Standard	SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration) <u>https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257</u> <u>7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC</u> <u>MAIG_18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783-</u> <u>a547-e80c828eb20d</u>
		Information source	Statics data from cadastre too calculet the total indoor surface Stimated energy data from PAES of Sant Cugat
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: To characterize the indicator's value: 1. In the calculation of the primary energy consumption, the following energy uses must be considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. 2. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per energy carrier in kilowatt hours (kWh/year) 3. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier (kWh/year). 4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier (kWh/year). 5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption (kWh/year). 6. Sum the indoor useful area of each building in the area up to an aggregated indoor useful area value (m2).





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



-		
ρασιιο πατιδροτι	Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method:</u> Calculate the energy efficiency of local
Energy consumption of local	Information source	Stimated energy data from PAES of Sant Cugat Statics public transport data from the Municipality
	Standard	
		The energy conusmption for public lighting have been calculated using the lamps power installed and the average working hours per lamp of whole city. Working hours come from municipal studies
Energy consumption of public lighting	Assessment method	outdoor public lighting systems on a per capita basis.
		Calculate the aggregated annual
	source	Statics data from the Municipality
	Standard	Public Administration) <u>https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257</u> <u>7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC</u> <u>MAIG 18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783-</u> <u>a547-e80c828eb20d</u>
		Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level.The latest update of the PAES is from 2015 SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Dirutanić do Boroclano, Duringel
		Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat.
		CESBA MED KPIs - Urban Scale. Comments from Sant Cugat:
		monthly method. Refer also to separate PDF file: D3.4.2
		Note:Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state
		aggregated annual total primary energy consumption / aggregated indoor useful area (kWh/m2/year).



C1.20

C1.21



			<ul> <li>public transport, in aggregated annual passenger-kilometers per MJ of non-renewable energy consumed.</li> <li><u>Comments from Sant Cugat:</u></li> <li>Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat.</li> <li>The latest update of the PAES is from 2015</li> </ul>
		Standard	SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration) <u>https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257</u> 7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC 
		Information source	Estimated energy data of the PAES of Sant Cugat. Measured renewable installation data of the Municipality. Average production rate of APERCA (Association of Renewable Energy Professionals of Catalonia)
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on- site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: To characterize the indicator's value, use estimated data OR metered data metered data aren't available, estimated data shall be used. Estimated data are used for evaluating retrofit scenarios in planning and decision-making processes. In reporting the indicator's value, data sources must always be indicated. Exported energy is the one delivered by technical systems through the system boundary (urban area) and used outside the system boundary. Exported energy is a benefit beyond the system boundary and it has not to be included in the calculation. Use of estimated data: 1. In the calculation of the final thermal energy consumption, the following energy uses must be considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water. 2. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption in kilowatt hours (kWh/year). 3. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated total annual final thermal energy consumption (kWh/year). 4. For each building in the local area,





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas from on-site renewable energy sources in kilowatt hours (kWh/year). 5. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building up to an aggregated total annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources (kWh/year). 6. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption. Note: Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. Use of metered data: 1. In the evaluation of the final thermal energy consumption, the following energy uses must be considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water. 2. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final thermal energy consumption) in kilowatt hours (kWh/year). 3. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated total annual final thermal energy consumption (kWh/year). 4. For each building in the local area, collect the monitored annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources in kilowatt hours (kWh). 5. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building up to an aggregated total annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources (kWh/year). 6. Calculate the indicator as: annual total thermal energy generation from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption.

Refer also to separate PDF file: D3.4.2 CESBA MED KPIs - Urban Scale.

Comments from Sant Cugat:





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



the second s

			Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat. Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level. The latest update of the PAES is from 2015 The renewable energy production is calculated using the data collected from the Municipality about renewable public and private installations and using an average production rate; 700 kWh/m2·yr
		Standard	SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration) <u>https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257</u> <u>7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC</u> <u>MAIG_18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783-</u> <u>a547-e80c828eb20d</u>
		Information source	Estimated energy data of the PAES of Sant Cugat. Measured renewable installation data of the Municipality. Average production rate of APERCA (Association of Renewable Energy Professionals of Catalonia)
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on- site, relative to total primary thermal energy consumption for building operations	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: To characterize the indicator's value, refer to separate PDF file: D3.4.2 CESBA MED KPIs - Urban Scale. Comments from Sant Cugat: Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat. Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level. The renewable energy production is calculated using the data collected from the Municipality about renewable public and private installations and using an average production rate; 700 kWh/m2·yr (thermal) and 333 kWh/m2·yr (photovoltaic)
		Standard	Ciputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration)



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

		Information source	https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257 7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC MAIG_18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783- a547-e80c828eb20d Estimated energy data of the PAES of Sant Cugat. Measured renewable installation data of the Municipality. Average production rate of APERCA (Association of Renewable Energy Professionals of Catalonia)
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on- site, relative to total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Assessment method	Assessment method To characterize the indicator's value there are two options2018-12-16: use of estimated data OR Use of metered data Note For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data are used for evaluating retrofit scenarios in planning and decision-making processes. In reporting the indicator's value, data sources must always be indicated. Exported energy is the one delivered by technical systems through the system boundary (urban area) and used outside the system boundary. Exported energy is a benefit beyond the system boundary and it has not to be included in the calculation. Use of estimated data: 1. In the calculation of the final electric energy consumption, the following energy uses must be considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. 2. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated total annual final electric energy consumption (kWh/year). 3. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption (kWh/year). 4. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption final electric energy consumption final electric energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated total annual final electric energy consumption (kWh/year). 4. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



in kilowatt hours (kWh/year). 5. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building up to an aggregated total annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources (kWh/year). 6. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. Note Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. Use of metered data: 1. In the evaluation of the final electric energy consumption, the following energy uses must be considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting water. 2. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption) in kilowatt hours (kWh/year). 3. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated total annual final electric energy consumption (kWh/year). 4. For each building in the local area, collect the monitored annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources in kilowatt hours (kWh). 5. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building up to an aggregated total annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources (kWh/year). 6. Calculate the indicator as: annual total electric energy generation from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final electric energy consumption.

Comments from Sant Cugat:

A CHART

Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy

1. Rome



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			Action Plan) of Sant Cugat.
			Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level. The latest update of the PAES is from 2015
			The renewable energy production is calculated using the data collected from the Municipality about renewable public and private installations and using an average production rate; 333 kWh/m2·yr
		Standard	SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration)
			https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257 7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC MAIG 18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783- a547-e80c828eb20d
		Information source	Mesured data
C2.8	Aggregated electrical energy generation from renewable sources located on public properties	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: Calculate the aggregated electrical energy generation from renewable sources located on public properties that is exported from the local area, in MWh per year. Comments from Sant Cugat: There is no generation of electrical energy from renewable sources located on public properties. Despite this, the criterion is used because it is interventing to prove the ord larger the sources of the source
			interesting to use it and know the value in future improvement actions

Standard

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	Information source	Estimated energy data of the PAES of Sant Cugat. Measured renewable installation data of the Municipality. Average production rate of APERCA (Association of Renewable Energy Professionals of Catalonia)



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CESBA assessment method: The scope of the indicator comprises the use stage of the building and includes the emissions correlated to the following energy uses: heating, cooling, ventilation, domestic hot water, lighting, auxiliaries. To characterize the indicator's value: 1. For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula:  $E = \left[\sum_{i} \left(Q_{fuel,i} \times LHV_i \times k_{em,i}\right) + \left(Q_{el} \times k_{em,el}\right) + \left(Q_{dh} \times k_{em,dh}\right)\right]$ Qfuel, I = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 or Kg) Qel = annual quantity of electric energy from the grid (kWh) Qdh = annual quantity of energy from district heating/cooling (kWh) LHVi = lower heating value of the i-th fuel (kWh/m3 or kWh/Kg) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the *i-th fuel (Kg CO2/kWh)* Kem, *i* = CO2 eq. emission factor of the Assessment electric energy from the grid (Kg method CO2/kWh) Kem,i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Calculate the aggregated annual total CO2 equivalent emissions from all buildings / total useful internal floor area of all buildings. Note In the calculation, the annual quantity of fuels, electric energy from the grid, energy from district heating/cooling can be metered or estimated. The source of data must always be clearly declared. Refer to separate PDF file: D3.4.2 CESBA MED KPIs - Urban Scale. Comments from Sant Cugat: Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat. Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level. The latest update of the PAES is





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		from 2015
		The methodology to calculate the GHG emission is according the assessment methodology published by OCCC (Catalan Office of Climate Change)
		SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration)
	Standard	https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257 7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC MAIG_18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783- a547-e80c828eb20d
		GHG emssion methodology published by OCCC (Catalan Office of Climate Change)
		<u>http://canviclimatic.gencat.cat/es/oficin</u> <u>a_catalana_del_canvi_climatic/</u>
	Information source	Estimated energy data of the PAES of Sant Cugat.
		CESBA assessment method:
Total GHG Emissions from	Assessment method	Estimate the annual aggregate GHG emissions emitted by all public and private buildings in the local area, averaged over a recent 3-year period. Estimate the annual aggregate GHG emissions emitted by all electric or fuel-powered vehicles operating in the local area Total the above and obtain the result in tonnes per 1000 residents <u>Comments from Sant Cugat:</u>
buildings, private and public mobility		Data used are taken from the PAES (SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan) of Sant Cugat.
		Data from the PAES is a city level. It has been estimated to neighborhood level. The latest update of the PAES is from 2015
		SEAP metodology published by DIBA (Diputació de Barcelona – Regional Public Administration)
	Standard	<u>https://www.diba.cat/documents/10257</u> 7937/126719106/Metodologia+PAESC MAIG_18.pdf/b0f51601-1866-4783- a547-e80c828eb20d



D1.7



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES

E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply of the local that are served by a municipal water supply. E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply $Assessment method Assessment method Bestimated as a municipal with one or more water supply Assessment method Bestimated using measured data and a municipal with one or more water supply Assessment method Bestimated using measured data and a municipal with one or more water supply Assessment method Bestimated using measured data and a municipal with one or more water supply Assessment method Bestimated using measured data and a municipal with a method Bestimated using measured data and a municipal with a method Bestimated using measured data and a measured data$	from
E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply. E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply. End water supply. Mater supply. End water supply.	
Standard Estimated using measured da	<u>l:</u> cal area oal public n- r is vater d assess in an on for o user is for
Estimated using measured da	
E1.3       Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings       Information source       Installations of re-use of rainwater in Municipality         E1.3       Re-use of rainwater in residential buildings       Calculate the percentage of p wastewater that is disposed of the percentage of p wastewater that a match wastewater that is disposed of the percentage of p wastewater that a match wastewater the percentage of p wastewater that a match wastewater the percentage of p wastewater that is disposed of the percentage of p wastewater that a match wastewater the percentage of p wastewater the percenta	lata from atalonia. water in 1 public or treated <u>:</u> s in the apacity of reuse is
Information Mesured water supply data fr	from
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population Assessment method the sumption of potable water for residential population for the set in the based on the set in the based on the set in the based of the set in	<u>l:</u> alculated n available rater sanitary scope of





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



potable water and non-potable water
and applies to processes for:
- drinking water;
- water for sanitation;
- domestic hot water;
- water for cleaning.
1) For each non-residential public
building, collect the monitored annual
water consumptions
for building operation. The
consumption data must be estimated
taking the average over 3 years period
(m3).
2) Sum the annual water consumption
of each building up to an aggregated
annual total water consumption
(m3/year).
3) Estimate the area of public buildings
considered for the calculation.
4) Calculate the indicator's value as:
aggregated annual total water
consumption / area of public buildings.
Note:
The public buildings that must be
considered in the calculation are
offices and schools (all levels,
excluding universities).
The consumption of water for
dishwasher should not be considered
for offices.

and the second and the second and the

#### Standard

		Information source	Mesured water supply data from Municipality
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: The water consumption is calculated based on metered data when available or on the estimated use of water consuming appliances and sanitary fittings in the buildings. The scope of the criterion includes the use of both potable water and non-potable water and applies to processes for: - drinking water; - water for sanitation; - domestic hot water; - water for cleaning. 1) For each non-residential public building, collect the monitored annual water consumptions for building operation. The consumption data must be estimated taking the average over 3 years period (m3). 2) Sum the annual water consumption of





	-		
E2.6	Public wastewater that is disposed of treated	Information source	Solid waste and recycling data from Municipality
		Standard	
E2.2	Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: 1. Identify the total solid waste generated during the operation of key residential and commercial buildings over a 3-year period. 2. Identify how much of this waste was separated into separate waste streams. 3. Obtain data on the percent of waste that was recycled
		Information source	Solid waste and recycling data from Municipality
		Standard	distance of 100 m.
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method. The estimated percent of small residential and non-residential buildings in the local area requiring access to pick-up points for solid waste and recycling, located within a walking
		Information source	Solid waste and recycling collection points data from Municipality
		Standard	
E1.8	Consumption of potable water for irrigation purposes	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method: Calculate the estimated consumption of potable water used for irrigation purposes in the local area, in m3/1000 m2.
		Information source	Mesured water supply data from Municipality
		Standard	snouia not be considered for offices
			<ul> <li>each building up to an aggregated annual total water consumption (m3/year).</li> <li>3) Estimate the area of public buildings considered for the calculation.</li> <li>4) Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total water consumption / area of public buildings. Note:</li> <li>The public buildings that must be considered in the calculation are offices and schools (all levels, excluding universities).</li> <li>The consumption of water for dishwasher</li> </ul>

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### CESBA assessment method:

Assessment method

Calculate the percentage of public wastewater that is disposed or treated.

#### Standard

F- ENVIRONMENT				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Estimated permeable paving data from Municipality CESBA assessment method:	
F1.3	Recharge of groudwater throught permeable paving or landscaping	Assessment method	o characterize the indicator's value: 1. Calculate the size (Sa) of the urban area (m2). 2. Calculate the size of the surfaces with a different paving or occupied by constructions in the urban area (i.e. green areas, surfaces paved with asphalt, surfaces occupied by buildings, etc.). Include all the surfaces in the urban area so that: Sa = total surface of the urban area Sa,i = surface i-th in the area (m2) 3. Calculate the real permeability coefficient of each surface. Sa,i = i-th surface in the area (m2) ai= permeability coefficient of the i-th surface Reference permeability coefficients: Grass = 1 Gravel = 0,9 Sand = 0,9 Plastic gratings filled with land/grass = 0,8 Concrete gratings leaning on the grass = 0,6 Concrete gratings leaning on gravel = 0,6 Interlocking elements leaning on sand = 0,3 Interlocking elements leaning on concrete pavement = 0 Continuous pavements leaning on concrete = 0 Asphalt = 0	
		Standard		
F1.10	Degree of athmospheric light pollution caused by exteior public lighting systems	Information source	Athmospheric light pollution data from "Light Pollution Map" published by Goverment of Catalania <u>http://mediambient.gencat.cat/es/05 a</u> <u>mbits_dactuacio/atmosfera/contaminac</u> io_luminica/index_html	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

		CESBA assessment method:
	Assessment method	Night sky brightness (NSB) is quantified aa the brightness of skyglow. The measured NSB is a combination of the scattered light from artificial lighting sources and natural emissions. Technically, NSB refers to the flux of "anything" coming from the night sky per unit surface per unit solid angle. Typical units of NSB include magnitude per arcsecond square (mag/arcsec2) and candela per meter square (cd/m2). <u>Comments from Sant Cugat:</u> The athmospheric light pollution is
		kwon throught the "Light Pollution Map" published by Goverment of Catalonia. The data is mesured in (mag/arcsec2) and is converted to cd/m2) using theSky Brightness Nomogram <u>www.darkskiesawareness.org/nomogr</u> <u>am.php</u> theSky Brightness Nomogram
	Standard	www.darkskiesawareness.org/nomogr am.php
	Information source	Mesured data from Department of Territory and Sustainability of the Generalitat de Catalunya (Government of Catalonia) <u>http://territori.gencat.cat/es/inici/</u>
Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	Assessment method Standard	<u>CESBA assessment method:</u> To characterize the indicator's value: 1. Daily test air samples in accordance with national or regional procedures over a period of one year. 2. Evaluate the number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year. <u>Comments from Sant Cugat:</u> Daily limit value calculated is the daily averages of the year do not exceed the value of 50 µg / m3 in more than 35 occasions
Green zones & recreation areas	Information	Mesured data form Municipal Urban
availability	source	Planning



F2.3

F3.1



			CESBA assessment method:
		Assessment method	Calculate (Green zones & Recreation areas m2 / Number of inhabitants)
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data form Municipal Urban Planning
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method</u> Calculate the average distance to green zones and recreation area for a sample of key residential buildings in the area. Parks & Open Spaced are defined as: • Public garden (1000m), green spaces (500m), parks and other facilities for pedestrians and cyclists • Outdoor sport facilities with freedom of access (1300m)
		Standard	
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	Information source	Mesured data from Municipal Urban Planning
		Assessment method	CESBA assessment metriod Calculate (Green zones & Recreation areas m2 / Urban area square meters)
		Standard	
	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures	Information source	Mesured data from satellit map
F3.6		Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method</u> Calculate the area of tree planting in the local area relative to total area, with trees suitable for shading and reduction of ambient temperatures through evapo-transpiration.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipal Urban Planning and from satellit map
F3.9	Presence or potencial for wildlife corridors	Assessment method	<u>CESBA assessment method</u> The continuity of green areas more than 100 m. in width, uninterrupted by structures or infrastructure, and traversing the whole local area, to support small wildlife.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### Comments from Sant Cugat:

The existence of torrents and streams in the area is an opportunity to create wildlife corridors.

#### Standard

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality	
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method <ol> <li>Identify key pedestrian paths or other public routes that may be frequently used by persons with physical disabilities.</li> <li>Assess the accessibility of exterior parking and pedestrian routes, considering all major disability types.</li> <li>Establish the percent of public pedestrian routes that may be considered accessible.</li> <li>Comments from Sant Cugat: It has been taken in acount the access to the public buildings as a public route.</li> </ol>	
		Standard		
	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons	Information source	Mesurated data from Municipality	
		Assessment method	CESBA assessment method	
G1.4			Evaluate the ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.	
		Standard		
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport system	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method To characterize the indicator's value: 1- Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants in the area that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop (bus, tram, metro).	







		Standard	
		Information source	Estimated data from Municipality
G2.3	Measures to limit traffic of cars and trucks passing through the local area	Assessment method	<ol> <li>CESBA assessment method</li> <li>Identify sections of the urban road network that may be used by through traffic;</li> <li>Identify the designed traffic capacity of each section;</li> <li>Assess the proportion of current vehicle traffic volumes generated by local and through traffic at non-peak and peak traffic periods;</li> <li>Assess secondary impacts of high traffic volumes on bicyclists, pedestrians and the function of retail, commercial or residential buildings facing the roads.</li> <li>Summarize the situation by estimating the impact of local vehcile traffic on the peak road capacity.</li> </ol>
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipal Urban Planning and from satellit map
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bycycle network	Assessment method	To characterize the indicator's value: 1. Estimation of the number of inhabitants in the area 2. Calculation of the walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths in the area (A) 3. Calculation of the meters of bicycle paths in the area (B) 4. Calculate the indicator's value as: (A+B) / 100 inhabitants Note Pedestrian paths not part of a "shared space" must be safe to be considered (physicall separated from traffic roads) Bicycle paths not part of a "shared space" must be safe to be considered (physically separated from traffic roads) A "shared space" is an urban design approach that minimizes the segregation between modes of road user (car, pedestrian, bicycle, etc.) in order to make safe





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			space for every type of mobility; the shared space is to be used by anyone. This can be done through minimizing traffic signs, road surface markings, enforcing speed reduction down to 15- 20 kmh. Shared space is here understood in a broad definition including the different philosophies and implementation methods in force in Europe. For the calculation it is necessary to evaluate the linear meters of all the streets included in a shared space.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality
			CESBA assessment method
G2.5	Availability of sheltered bicyble parking facilities	Assessment method	Calculate the number of sheltered bicycle parking spaces relative to the total population of the local area.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality
		•	CESBA assessment method
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	Assessment method	Calculate the percentage of the local area in which a broadband communication network is available.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality
			CESBA assessment method
G3.2	Access to a broadband communication network	Assessment method	Identify all the dwellings that have access to high-speed Internet connection, estimate the occupancy, and divide the value for the overall population of the area.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality and satellit map CESBA assessment method
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	Assessment method	Convenient locations of key human services for access by local residents is a major factor in reducing the use of private vehicles and in ensuring that residents can obtain access to the services they need. Key human services include health clinics, police stations, social welfare offices etc.





			<ul> <li>Key services are:</li> <li>1. Education (schools, kindergartens, education centers, etc.)</li> <li>2. Health center (hospitals, medical ward, medical center, etc.)</li> <li>3. Law enforcement areas (police station, etc.)</li> <li>4. Sport facilities</li> <li>5. Food shops</li> <li>6. Bank</li> <li>7. Post office</li> <li>8. Pharmacy</li> <li>9. Shopping center</li> <li>It is possible to consider only one key service from each of the nine categories.</li> <li>Private services can be considered.</li> </ul>
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality and satellit map
G4.3	Availability and proximity of a primary school	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method Calculate the percentage of resident population with access to a primary school within a distance of 500 m.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality and satellit map
G4.4	Availability and proximity of a secondary school	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method Calculate the percentage of resident population with access to a secondary school within a distance of 1 km.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality and satellit map
G4.6	Availability and proximity of leisure facilities	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method For the indicator of performance calculation proceeds as follows: 1. Identify the facilities for leisure in the area, distinguishing in sports and cultural structures. 2. Calculate the actual distance on foot between these nodes and access the buildings. 3. Calculate the percentage of the population that is less than 1km from at least one service for each of the two categories.
		Standard	







		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality and satellit map
G4.7	Access to indoor gymnastic facilities for winter use	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method Calculate the percentage of resident population of the local area who have access within a distance of 1 km. to an indoor gymnastic facility for winter use.
		Standard	
		Information source	Mesured data from Municipality and satellit map
G5.2	Residents' access to and use of urban agricultural plots	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method Percent of resident population with access to public urban agriculture plots within a distance of 1 km.
		Standard	
		Information source	Estimated data from Municipality
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	Assessment method	CESBA assessment method The assessment is about: - how much citizens (inhabitants and users) are integrated to the planning process? - how much is their opinion is taken into consideration? - how much do they drive the planning agenda? - Are people "planned for" by external experts or are they part of the decision making process? - Is there a dichotomy between the planners holding power (and supposedly knowledge) and citizens? The Arnstein ladder, built by Sherry Arnstein (SA), is the reference for community planning assessment. Her work remains the basis of current research on citizen involvement in planning. The proposed assessment process is therefore based on the SA ladder and further development from Hélène Chelzen and Anne Jégou in 20152 which tends to take into consideration recent evolution in practices. Comments from Sant Cugat: Degrees of citizen power: Partnership, delegated power and citizen power (in the Arnstein ladder) partially in after delivery phase.
		Standard	







		Information source	Estimated data from Municipality
			CESBA assessment method
G7.2	Compatibility of public open space with local cultural values	Assessment method	Evaluate the compatibility of public open spaces in the local area with traditional cultural values in the region.
		Standard	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – University of Malta

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D




Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

## **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS		
A1	Urban Structure and Form	
A1.1	Concentration of land parcels.	
A1.2	Urban compactness	
A1.4	Residential density	
A1.5	Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	
A1.6	Homogeneity of Land	
A1.7	Conservation of Land	
A2	Transportation Infrastructure	
A2.2	Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students.	
A2.3	Extent and connectivity of pedestrian streets and walkways.	
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population.	

B- ECONOMY	
B1	Economic Structure and Value
B1.4	Impact of land values on adjacent areas.
B2	Economic Activity
B2.3	Employment rate
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Operating energy costs for public building

C- ENERGY	
C1	Non- Renewable Energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.
C1.3	Total final thermal energy consumption for non residential building operations.
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.
C1.6	Total final electrical energy consumption for non residential building operations.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised Energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.
C2.7	Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy./ Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions.

#### **D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

D1	Atmospheric Emissions
D1.2	GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.1	Availability of a public municipal water supply.	
E1.4	Re-use of rainwater in non-residential building.	
E1.5	Re-use of stormwater.	
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for non-residential building systems.	
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points.	
E2.2	Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling.	
E2.3	Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling.	

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environmental Impacts	
F1.1	Impact of construction activities on natural features	
F1.2	Impact of construction activities or landscaping on soil stability or erosion.	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	
F1.4	Changes in biodiversity.	
F1.7	Impact of local building user population on peak load capacity of public transport system.	
F1.8	Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system.	
F2	Outdoor Environmental Quality	
F2.10	Ambient daytime noise conditions.	
F2.11	Ambient night-time noise conditions.	
F3	Ecosystems and Landscapes	
F3.1	Green zones & recreation areas availability	
F3.2	Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	
F3.6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures.	
F3.7	Green roofs.	
F3.10	Ecological diversity in the area	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS		
G1	Safety and Accessibility	
G1.1	Buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled	
	persons.	
G1.3	Barrier-free accessibility in local outdoor public areas.	
G1.4	Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.	
G1.5	Objective/subjective safety measures.	
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport service	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	
G2.5	Availability of sheltered bicycle parking facilities.	
G3	Communication Services	
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	
G3.2	Access to a broadband communication network.	
G4	Public and private facilities and services	

A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G4.1	Availability and proximity of key food and retail services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human services	
G6	Management and community involvement	
G6.1	Involvement of residents in community affairs.	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	
G7	Society, Culture and Heritage	
G7.1	Compatibility of urban design with local cultural values.	
G7.2	Compatibility of public open space with local cultural values.	
G7.4	Use of traditional local materials and techniques	
G7.5	Maintenance of UNESCO or other protected landscapes	
G8	Perceptual	
G8.2	Panoramic and scenic routes or view points.	
G8.3	Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians.	
G8.4	Impact of commercial signage on the visual environment.	
G8.5	Impact of overhead electric distribution system on the visual environment.	
G8.6	Perceptual quality of area development.	
G8.7	Aesthetic quality of new facility exteriors.	

## **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

#### **A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.1 Concentration of land parcels	Area in Malta is very limited with regards to the total population. This indicator is therefore vital for the conservation of space.
A1.2 Urban compactness	It is important to maximise the use of land used for buildings due to the reason mentioned in A1.1.
A1.4 Residential density	It is important to measure the density of buildings in the local area due to the reason mentioned in A1.1.
A1.5 Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	The local Development Control Design Policy 2015 (DC 15) gives various examples of height to road width ratios. The averaged out number is: 2.31.
A1.6 Homogenity of Land	Important to assess the voids of the urban fabric.
A1.7 Conservation of Land	Undeveloped land considered to be of value for ecological or agricultural purposes. Locally these areas are named 'Outside Development Zone' (ODZ) where development is restricted
A2.2 Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students.	This indicator was chosen since public transport needs to be given a higher priority in order to discourage citizens in using their own private car. Locally, traffic is a number one issue.
A2.3 Extent and connectivity of pedestrian streets and walkways.	Since traffic is a number one issue, more walkways and pedestrianized streets would discourage the citizens in using their own private vehicle.
A2.9 On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to	Same reason mentioned in A2.2 and A2.3. Since

A CHARTER AND A CARLENCE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### local population.

traffic is a number one issue, more parking spaces encourage people to use their own private car. Making it more difficult for people to find a parking space means a better chance that they will use public transport.

Mediterranean

CESBA MED

B- ECONOMY	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.4 Impact of land values on adjacent areas	A certain level of external and internal capital investment in the local area is needed to ensure that the property market remains healthy and that business enterprises can function.
B3.3 Operating energy costs for public building	economic situation. This indicator is therefore very important. N.A
C- ENERGY	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	It is important to know how much of the energy usage is being driven towards thermal energy consumption (both heating and cooling).
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for non residential building operations.	
building operations.	is being used in the neighbourhood per m <sup>2</sup> of useful area.
C1.6 Total final electrical energy consumption for non residential building operations.	
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	This indicator was chosen specifically as it relates to primary energy, thus including losses in generation and transmission. This is useful as it is a measure in which energy demand for building operations may be reduced.
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	In Malta thermal energy is not treated separately from electrical energy when generated from renewable energy sources.
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	This is an important indicator to see whether the amount of energy used for building operation is coming from on-site renewables or from the grid.
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on- site renewable sources on final electric energy./ Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions.	Similar to above, this is an important indicator to find out whether enough energy is being generated on-site.
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	

#### CRITERION

D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.

## **REASON/MOTIVATION**

The main aim of this indicator is to estimate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions resulting from

and the second second

10 kours

1.0



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



building operations in the local area. Since in Malta, energy is generated from one source (Enemalta Power Station), then the GHG emissions from energy is equal to that of the power station. This result is proportioned according to the energy used (calculated by Enemalta).

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply.	The availability of a public water supply system, with water purity assured by appropriate purification systems and management, is essential to ensure public health in urban areas. This service is locally provided by Water Services Corporation (WSC).	
E1.4 <i>Re-use of rainwater in non-residential building.</i>	The rainwater collected in our test site is usually not sufficient to cater for all the irrigation demand and thus the reservoirs have to be refilled by water from private operators. This indicator is crucial to highlight the importance of re-using water when possible.	
E1.5 Re-use of stormwater.	Important to reduce the potable water consumption.	
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for non-	Important to reduce the potable water	
residential building systems.	consumption.	
E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points.	Waste collection is currently being revamped in Malta. The organic bag has just recently been introduced and waste is collected everyday with a shedule for: organic waste, recycled waste and inorganic waste.	
E2.2 Separate collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling.	Waste collection is currently being revamped in Malta. The organic bag has just recently been introduced and waste is collected everyday with a shedule for: organic waste, recycled waste and inorganic waste.	
E2.3 Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling.	Construction and demolition waste is a problem in Malta especially since currently we have a construction boom. This waste is currently being disposed in quarries.	

## **F- ENVIRONMENT**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.1 Impact of construction activities on natural features F1.2 Impact of construction activities or landscaping on soil stability or erosion. F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable	Since locally we have a construction boom this indicator is very important. Since locally we have a construction boom this indicator is very important. Important to improve permeability locally which
paving or landscaping.	therefore recharges the aquifers and reduces effluents.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F1.4 Changes in biodiversity.	To promote the diversity of plants.
F1.7 Impact of local building user population on peak load capacity of public transport system.	Important to evaluate the peak load capacity of the local public transport system. This evaluates the efficiency of the public transport system.
F1.8 Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system F2.10 Ambient daytime noise conditions.	Important to determine the impact of private vehicles used by the local population on the peak load capacity of the local road system. Important to minimise daytime noise.
F2.11 Ambient night-time noise conditions. F3.1 Green zones & recreation areas availability	Important to minimise nightime noise. Important to measure the existing green zones and recreation areas as added value for quality of life of the citizens.
F3.2 Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	Important to reduce the negative effects of urbanization.
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density	Important to measure the existing green zones and recreation areas as added value for quality of life of the citizens.
F3.6 Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures.	Important to reduce the ambient temperatures.
F3.7 Green roofs.	Important to reduce the ambient temperatures and retaining rainwater which thefore reduced flooding issues.
F3.10 Ecological diversity in the area	Important to preserve and enhance the local ecological diversity.

#### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**

#### CRITERION

G1.1 Buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.

G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.

G1.3 Barrier-free accessibility in local outdoor public areas.

G1.4 Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.

G1.5 Objective/subjective safety measures.

G2.1 Performance of the public transport service.

G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.

G2.5 Availability of sheltered bicycle parking facilities.
G3.1 Availability of a broadband communication network
G3.2 Access to a broadband communication network.

#### G4.1 Availability and proximity of key food and retail Important to assess the ability of the citizens to





#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Important to assess the ability of local residents, workers or visitors with physical disabilities to be able to have physical access to key buildings. Important to assess the ability of local residents, workers or visitors with physical disabilities to be able to make use of public outdoor facilities. Important to evaluate the accessibility of various urban resources using spatial data analysis. Important to facilitate the access to public transport by physically disable persons. Important to provide objective and subjective safety to area users. Important to determine the performance of the public transportation system. Locally the government is providing incentives so that the citizens favour public transport. Important to promote cycling and walking instead of the use of private car which generates traffic. Important to promote cycling and walking instead of the use of private car which generates traffic. Important to evaluate occupant access to broadband communication. Important to ensure access to high-speed internet connections.

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



walk to key food and retail services instead of

#### services

<ul> <li>G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services</li> <li>G6.1 Involvement of residents in community affairs.</li> <li>G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities</li> <li>G7.1 Compatibility of urban design with local cultural values.</li> <li>G7.2 Compatibility of public open space with local</li> </ul>	using the private car. Important to assess the ability of the citizens to key public human services instead of using the private car. Important to promote involvement of citizens in community affairs. Important to raise the level of community involvement in planning. Important to evaluate the compatibility or urban design with the local cultural values. Important to evaluate the compatibility of public
cultural values. G7.4 Use of traditional local materials and techniques G7.5 Maintenance of UNESCO or other protected landscapes	open space with local cultural values. Important to promote the use of local materials and techniques. Important to preserve and maintain landscape heritage.
G8.2 Panoramic and scenic routes or view points.	Important to evaluate interesting natural or urban
G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians.	Important to improve safety of public places and pedestrian routes.
G8.4 Impact of commercial signage on the visual environment.	Important to avoid visual environment obstruction through the integration of commercial signage.
G8.5 Impact of overhead electric distribution system on the visual environment.	Important to avoid visual environment obstruction caused by an overhead electric distribution system.
G8.6 Perceptual quality of area development.	Important to improve the perception of residents about quality of the urban area.
G8.7 Aesthetic quality of new facility exteriors.	Important to improve quality of the exteriors of new buildings.

## **SNTool weights rationale**

#### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	
B- ECONOMY	1	
C- ENERGY	2	These weights were chosen specifically
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	1	according to both local priorities, and the
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	2	sustainable policy at the University of Malta.
F- ENVIRONMENT	2	
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	1	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



## CATEGORIES WEIGHTS

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	8.6%
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	4.9%
TOTAL	13.5%
B1- Economic Structure and Value	0.9%
B2- Economic activity	0.5%
B3- Cost and Investment	0.5%
TOTAL	1.8%
C1- Non-renewable energy	10.2%
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	6%
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0%
TOTAL	16.2%
D1- Atmospheric emissions	5.8%
TOTAL	5.8%
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	6.5%
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	2.5%
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	2.8%
TOTAL	11.7%
F1- Environmental impacts	9.5%
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	9.7%
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	9.5%
TOTAL	28.7%
G1- Safety and Accessibility	4.6%
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	3.2%
G3- Communication services	1.8%
G4- Public and private facilities and services	1.8%
G5- Local Food	0%
G6- Management and community involvement	1.8%
G7- Society, Culture and Heritage	5.5%
G8- Perceptual	3.4%
TOTAL	22.3%

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN S	YSTEMS					
Ax						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.1 Concentration	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
of land parcels.						
A1.2 Urban	1.85%	3	2	4	1	N.A
compactness						
A1.4 Residential	1.85%	3	2	4	1	N.A
density						
A1.5 Urban street	1.54%	2	2	5	1	N.A





canyons (H/W						
aspect ratio)						
A1.6 Homogeneity	1.23%	2	2	4	0.5	Data is not accurate.
of Land						
A1.7 Conservation	1.23%	2	2	4	1	N.A
of Land						
A2.2 Walking	1.54%	2	2	5	1.5	Encouraging the use of public transport is high
distance to public						on the local agenda.
transport for area						
workers and						
students.						
A2.3 Extent and	1.54%	2	2	5	1.5	Encouraging the use of public transport is high
connectivity of						on the local agenda.
pedestrian streets						
and walkways.						
A2.9 On-street and	1.85%	3	4	2	1	N.A
indoor parking						
spaces relative to						
local population.						
TOTAL					13.	5%

B- ECONOMY						
Bx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.4 Impact of land values on adjacent areas.	0.92%	2	3	2	1	N.A
B2.3 Employment rate	0.46%	3	2	1	1	N.A
B3.3 Operating energy costs for public building	0.46%	1	2	3	1	N.A
TOTAL						1.8%

C- ENERGY						
Cx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	2.77%	3	2	3	1	N.A
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for non residential building operations.	1.85%	3	2	2	1	N.A
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.	1.85%	3	2	2	1	N.A





Mediterranean

C1.6 Total final	1 85%	3	2	2	1	ΝΑ
olootrical operav	1.0070	Ŭ	-	-		
electrical energy						
consumption for						
non residential						
building						
operations.						
C1.7 Total primary	1.85%	3	2	2	1	N.A
energy demand for						
building						
operations						
C2 1 Share of	2.77%	3	2	3	1	N.A
renewable energy		-	_	÷		
on-site on total						
final anorgy						
consumptions for						
buildings						
operation.						
C2.4 Share of	1.85%	2	2	3	1	N.A
renewable energy						
on-site, on total						
primary energy						
consumptions for						
buildinas						
operation.						
C2.7 Share of	1.38%	1	3	3	1	N.A
electric energy			-	_		
generation from						
on-sito ronowablo						
sources on final						
sources on man						
Shore of						
Share of						
renewable energy						
on-site, on final						
electric energy						
consumptions.						
TOTAL						

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISS						
Dx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	5.77%	3	5	5	1	N.A
TOTAL					5.77%	

E- NON-RENEWABLE RES	OURCES					
Ex						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E1.1 Availability of a public	2.77%	3	2	3	1	N.A	
municipal water supply.							
E1.4 Re-use of rainwater in	0.62%	1	2	2	1	N.A	
non-residential building.							
E1.5 Re-use of	0.62%	1	2	2	1	N.A	
stormwater.							
E1.7 Consumption of	0.62%	1	2	2	1	N.A	
potable water for							
non-residential building							
systems.							
E2.1 Solid waste & recycling	1.23%	2	2	2	1	N.A	
collection							
points.							
E2.2 Separate collection and	0.62%	1	2	2	1	N.A	
disposal of solid waste and							
recycling.							
E2.3 Solid waste from	0.62%	1	2	2	1	N.A	
construction and demolition							
projects retained in the area							
for re-use or recycling.							
TOTAL					11.7%		

F- ENVIRONMENT						
Fx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.1 Impact of construction activities on natural features	1.85%	2	2	3	1	N.A
F1.2 Impact of construction activities or landscaping on soil stability or erosion.	1.85%	2	2	3	1	N.A
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	0.92%	1	2	3	1	N.A
F1.4 Changes in biodiversity.	1.85%	2	2	3	1	N.A
F1.7 Impact of local building user population on peak load capacity of public transport system.	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
F1.8 Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system	2.08%	3	3	3	1	N.A
F2.10 Ambient daytime noise conditions.	2.77%	3	2	3	1	N.A
F2.11 Ambient night-time noise conditions.	2.77%	3	2	3	1	N.A
F3.1 Green zones & recreation areas availability	1.23%	2	2	2	1	N.A
F3.2 Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	1.23%	2	2	2	1	N.A
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density	1.23%	2	2	2	1	N.A
F3.6 Tree coverage for shade	2.77%	3	2	3	1	N.A

A FLICK STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



and management of local ambient temperatures.						
F3.7 Green roofs.	1.23%	2	2	2	1	N.A
F3.10 Ecological diversity in	1.85%	2	2	3	1	N.A
the area						
TOTAL	28.7%					

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS						
Gx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.1 Buildings that are	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
accessible for use by						
physically disabled persons.	0.000/	<u> </u>	2	2	4	
G1.2 Sidewalks and other	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
pedestrian paths that are						
physically disabled persons						
G1.3 Barrier-free accessibility	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
in local outdoor public areas.						
G1.4 Ease of access to and use	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
of public transport for						
physically disabled persons.						
G1.5 Objective/subjective	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
safety measures.	4.0004				- <u>-</u>	
G2.1 Performance of the public	1.38%	3	2	3	1	N.A
G2 A Quality of podestrian and	0.02%	2	2	3	1	ΝΑ
bicycle network	0.9270	2	2	3	1	N.A
G2.5 Availability of sheltered	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
bicvcle parking facilities.			_	-	-	
G3.1 Availability of a	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
broadband communication						
network						·
G3.2 Access to a broadband	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
communication network.						
G4.1 Availability and proximity	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
of key food and retail services	0.02%	2	2	2	1	ΝΑ
of key public human services	0.32 /0	2	2	5	1	N.A
G6.1 Involvement of residents	0.62%	2	2	2	1	N.A
in community affairs.		_	_	_		
G6.3 Community involvement	1.23%	2	2	4	1	N.A
in urban planning activities						
G7.1 Compatibility of urban	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
design with local cultural						
values.		-	-			
G7.2 Compatibility of public	0.92%	2	2	3	1	N.A
open space with local cultural						
G7 / Use of traditional local	0 92%	2	2	3	1	ΝΔ
materials and techniques	0.3270	2	2	3		11.7
G7.5 Maintenance of UNESCO	1.85%	3	2	4	1	N.A
or other protected landscapes	/ -			-		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G8.2 Panoramic and scenic	0.62%	2	2	5	1	N.A
routes or view points.						
G8.3 Perceived safety of public	0.62%	2	2	2	1	N.A
areas for pedestrians.						
G8.4 Impact of commercial	0.62%	2	2	2	1	N.A
signage on the visual						
environment.						
G8.5 Impact of overhead	0.62%	2	2	2	1	N.A
electric distribution system on						
the visual environment.						
G8.6 Perceptual quality of area	0.62%	2	2	2	1	N.A
development.						
G8.7 Aesthetic quality of new	0.31%	1	2	2	1	N.A
facility exteriors.						
Total	22.3%					

## **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN STRUCTU	JRE AND FORM			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
A1.1 Concentration of land parcels.	Number of lots in the local area related to the total surface area.	Building lots/ha	0: 4.2 5: 0	N.A
A1.2 Urban compactness	Relation between the usable space of the buildings (volume) and the urban space (area).	Ratio	0: 1.0 5: 3.0	N.A
A1.4 Residential density	The residential density of the local area, as measured in resident persons per hectare.	Persons/ hectare	0: 50 5: 200	N.A
A1.5 Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	The ratio of typical building heights acompared to the distance between building facades on the other side of the street.	Ratio	0: 2.0 5:4.0	N.A
A1.6 Homogeneity of Land	Percentage of the perimeter of the area directly adjacent to urbanized areas.	%	0:10% 5:36%	N.A
A1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	%	0:10% 5:28%	N.A
A2.2 Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students.	Percent of workers and students who can reach a public trasnport stop within a 500m. distance.	m	0:550 5:150	N.A

A FISCH STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



connectivity of pedestrian streets and walkways.	pedestrian streets and walkways in the local area relative to the total land area.		5:15%	
A2.9 On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population.	The ratio of on-street and indoor car parking spaces relative to the total resident and working population of the local area.	%	0:80% 5:25%	N.A

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
B1.4 Impact of land values on adjacent areas.	Average annual change in land values of properties immediately adjacent to the urban area, over a 5- year period.	%	0:3% 5:0%	N.A
B2.3 Employment rate	Percent of working age adults in the local area who are employed or actively looking for work.	%	0: 3% 5: 0%	N.A
B3.3 Operating energy costs for public building	Aggregated annual operating energy cost per aggregated internal useful floor area	Euro/m2/y ear	0:100 5:0	N.A

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMAR K	RATIONALE
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations.	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area	kWh/m2/yr	0: >50 5:0	N.A
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for non residential building operations.	Urban thermal energy consumption of non- residential buildings (kWh/m2).	kWh/m2/yr	0: 50 5: 10	N.A
C1.4 Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations.	Aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area	kWh/m2/yr	0:25 5:5.0	N.A
C1.6 Total final electrical energy consumption for non residential building operations.	Urban electrical energy consumption of non- residential buildings (kWh/m2).	kWh/m2	0:25 5:10	N.A
Ci.i iotal primary	Aggregated annual total	kvvn/m2/year	0:50	IV.A

A KING WAR AND A CARDON AND A





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

energy demand for building operations	primary energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area		5:15	
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	%	0:25% 5:90%	N.A
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Aggregated total annual primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / aggregated total annual primary energy consumption	%	0:30% 5:80%	N.A
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric energy./ Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions.	Annual total electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final electric energy consumption	%	0:35% 5:75%	N.A

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	kgCO2/m2	0:80 5:30	N.A			

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
E1.1 Availability of a public municipal water supply.	Availability of a public municipal water supply to all permanent buildings in the area.	%	0:90% 5:100%	N.A			
E1.4 <i>Re-use of</i> rainwater in non- residential building.	Share of rainwater collected from roofs of non residential buildings.	%	0: 20% 5: 70%	N.A			
E1.5 Re-use of stormwater.	Percent of annual stormwater that is re- used.	%	0:20% 5:50%	N.A			
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for	Annual water consumption per	m3/occup ant/year	0:15 5:5.0	N.A			

A FLICK STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



non-residential	occupant			
building				
systems.				
E2.1 Solid waste &	Proximity of the resident	%	0:75%	N.A
recycling collection	population to the solid		5:95%	
points.	waste and recycling			
	collection point.			
E2.2 Separate	Separated collection	%	0:10%	N.A
collection and	and disposal of solid		5:80%	
disposal of solid	waste and recycling.			
waste and recycling.				
E2.3 Solid waste	Volume of materials	%	0:10%	N.A
from construction	that will be re-used or		5:80%	
and demolition	recycled from the local			
projects retained in	area on the total solid			
the area for re-use or	waste from construction			
recycling.	and demolition of			
	building projects			

F- ENVIRONMENT					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
F1.1 Impact of construction activities on natural features	Preservation of land during and pre construction phase.	Score	<ul> <li>0: Building and infrastructure construction projects have had some negative impacts on pre-existing land forms and vegetation over the previous 3-year period.</li> <li>5: Building and infrastructure construction projects have had no perceptible negative impacts on pre- existing land forms and vegetation over the previous 3-year period.</li> </ul>	N.A	
F1.2 Impact of construction activities or landscaping on soil stability or erosion.	Impact degree of construction activities on soil stability.	Score	0: Building and infrastructure construction projects have had some negative impacts on landscaping and soil stability over the previous 3- year period. 5: Building and infrastructure construction projects have had no perceptible negative impacts on landscaping and soil stability over the previous 3-year period.	N.A	
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	Area of permeable surfaces on total neighborhood area	%	0:20% 5:100%	N.A	
F1.4 Changes in biodiversity.	Diversity of plant structures.	Score	0: Changes in plant or animal biodiversity in the local area over the last 3 year period appear to be somewhat impaired. 5: Changes in plant or animal biodiversity in the local area over the last 3 year period are positive.	N.A	
F1.7 Impact of local	Diversity of plant	Local Factor	0:0.5	N.A	

and the second of the second o



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

building user population on peak load capacity of public transport system.	structures.		5:1.0	
F1.8 Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system	Impact degree of private vehicles on the population.	Score	0: It is estimated that the use of private vehicles by the local population reaches the peak load capacity of the local road system, with some negative impacts on traffic speeds, air quality, pedestrian and bicycling environments, and the function of adjacent buildings. <i>N.A</i> 5: It is estimated that the use of private vehicles by the local population is considerably less than the peak load capacity of the local road system, and there are no significant impacts on traffic speeds, air quality, pedestrian and bicycling environments, and the function of adjacent buildings.	N.A
F2.10 Ambient daytime noise conditions.	Percentage of building area over noise limit.	%	0:30% 5:0%	N.A
F2.11 Ambient night-time noise conditions.	Proportion of population exposed to non recommended levels of night noise.	%	0:20% 5:5%	N.A
F3.1 Green zones & recreation areas availability	Availability of green zones & recreation areas	m2/resident population	0:3.0 5:10.0	N.A
F3.2 Green zones & recreation areas accessibility	Accessibility of green spaces within the area.	Average distance,m	0:1000 5:250	N.A
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density	Density of green spaces within the area.	%	0:20% 5:50%	N.A
F3.6 Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures.	Reduction of ambient temperatures through evapo- transpiration.	% of area	0:20% 5:80%	N.A
F3.7 Green roofs.	Aggregate area of building roofs covered with vegetated material.	%	0:10% 5:60%	N.A
F3.10 Ecological diversity in the area	Diversity of surface and aquatic biota in the local area.	Score	<ul> <li>0: The level of ecological diversity in the local area is similar to the larger urban area.</li> <li>5: The level of ecological diversity in the local area is considerably higherl than the larger urban area.</li> </ul>	N.A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	S			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATION ALE
G1.1 Buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	Percent of key public, commercial and residential buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	%	0:50% 5:90%	N.A
G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	Percent of sidewalks and other pedestrian ways that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	%	0: 50% 5: 100%	N.A
G1.3 Barrier-free accessibility in local outdoor public areas.	Adequacy of barrier- free accessible public outdoor areas compared to the total public area.	%	0:50% 5:100%	N.A
G1.4 Ease of access to and use of public transport for physically disabled persons.	Features of public transport to facilitate access physically disabled persons, such as kneeling buses and wide entries	%	0:60% 5:100%	N.A
G1.5 <i>Objective/subjective</i> <i>safety measures.</i>	Adequacy of signage and traffic calming measures.	Score	<ul> <li>0: A panel of residents and workers in the local area has determined that the objective and subjective measures taken to protect the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and drivers are consistent with measures taken in the urban region.</li> <li>5: A panel of residents and workers in the local area has determined that the objective and subjective measures taken to protect the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and drivers are much more effective than measures taken in the urban region.</li> </ul>	N.A
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service.	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	%	0:30% 5:100%	N.A
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path per 100 inhabitants	m/100 inhabitant s	0:5 5:40	N.A
G2 5 Availability of	Sheltered bicycle	%	0.20%	NA





sheltered bicycle	parking spaces.		5:60%	
parking facilities.				
G3.1 Availability of a	Local area with		0.80%	N.A
communication		%	5.05%	
network	communication network		5.95 %	
G3 2 Access to a	Percentage of			NA
broadband	population with access		0.80%	11.7
communication	to broadband	%	5:95%	
network.	communication.		0.0070	
G4.1 Availability and	Percent of residential			N.A
proximity of key food	buildings located within		0.000/	
and retail services	a distance of 300 m. of	%	0:30%	
	basic food and		5:80%	
	household goods.			
G4.2 Availability and	Percentage of			N.A
proximity of key	inhabitants that are		0.50%	
public human	within 800 meters	%	5:100%	
services	walking distance of at		5.100%	
	least 3 key services.			
G6.1 Involvement of	Percetage of resident			N.A
residents in	population above 16		0:5%	
community affairs.	years having an	%	5:20%	
	involvement in		0.2070	
00.0.0	community affairs.	<u> </u>	0. De me en efitelien iem leferne etien	N / A
G6.3 Community	Level of involvement of		0: Degrees of tokenism: Information	N.A
nivolvement in urban	users in urban planning		/ Consultation / Flacation (in the	
planning activities		Score	5: Degrees of citizen nower:	
		Ocore	Partnership, delegated power and	
			citizen power (in the Arnstein	
			ladder), at every stages.	
G7.1 Compatibility of	Compatibility with local		0: Street layouts and the character	N.A
urban design with	area traditional values		of urban spaces in the local area	
local cultural values.	of street layouts and the		are not compatible with traditional	
	character of urban	Score	cultural values in the region.	
	spaces.	Ocore	5: Street layouts and the character	
			of urban spaces in the local area	
			are fully compatible with traditional	
07.0.0			cultural values in the region.	
G1.2 Compatibility of	Compatibility with local		0: The character of public open	N.A
public open space	area traditional values		space in the local area are not	
With local cultural	of local public open		compatible with traditional cultural	
values.	spaces, including major	Score	Values in the region.	
			5. The character of public open	
	aujacent uses.		compatible with traditional cultural	
			values in the region	
G7.4 Use of	Compatibility with local		0: The panel formed to assess the	N.A
traditional local	area traditional values		compatibility of construction	/
materials and	of construction		techniques and types of materials	
techniques	techniques and types of	Sacra	now being used with traditional	
	materials.	Score	values in the local area considers	
			that the level of compatibility is low.	
			5: The panel formed to assess the	
			compatibility of construction	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTEN AND A CONSTRUCT

			techniques and types of materials now being used with traditional values in the local area considers that that the level of compatibility is high.	
G7.5 Maintenance of UNESCO or other protected landscapes	Preventive maintenance and protection of UNESCO or other protected landscapes	Score	0:Preventive maintenance and protection measures for UNESCO or other protected landscapes appear to be barely adequate. 5: Preventive maintenance and protection measures for UNESCO or other protected landscapes appear to be excellent.	N.A
G8.2 Panoramic and scenic routes or view points.	Prescence and quality of scenic routes and places.	Score	There are a few scenic routes and places in the locality that provide views of interetsting natural or urban vistas.	N.A
G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians.	Perceived safety of public places and pedestrian routes, as determined by a sample of pedestrians.	Score	<ul> <li>0:The perceived safety of public places and pedestrian routes, as determined by a sample of residents, is adequate, except at night.</li> <li>5: The perceived safety of public places and pedestrian routes, as determined by a sample of residents, is very high during daytime and nightime conditions.</li> </ul>	N.A
G8.4 Impact of commercial signage on the visual environment.	Visual impact of exterior commercial signage.	Score	0: The aggregate visual impact of exterior commercial signage, based on the degree of integration with building exteriors, diversity in signage dimensions and illumination; as determined by a sample of the local area population, is at the same level as the region. 5: The aggregate visual impact of exterior commercial signage, based on the degree of integration with building exteriors, diversity in signage dimensions and illumination; as determined by a sample of the local area population, is very positive.	N.A
G8.5 Impact of overhead electric distribution system on the visual environment.	Visual impact of above- grade electrical distribution systems.	Score	<ul> <li>0: The aggregate visual impact of above-grade electrical distribution systems, based on degree of visual clutter; as determined by a sample of the local area population, somewhat negative.</li> <li>5: The aggregate visual impact of above-grade electrical distribution systems, based on degree of visual clutter; as determined by a sample of the local area population, is positive</li> </ul>	N.A
G8.6 Perceptual	Perceived quality of the	Score	0:The perceived quality of area	N.A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KINC WE KING CONSIDER

quality of area development.	urban area and natural development.		urban and natural development, as determined by a sample of residents, is negative. 5: The perceived quality of area urban and natural development, as determined by a sample of residents, is positive.	
G8.7 Aesthetic quality of new facility exteriors.	Perceived quality of the exteriors of new buildings.	Score	<ul> <li>0: The perceived quality of the exteriors of new buildings in the local area, as determined by a sample of residents, is mediocre.</li> <li>5: The perceived quality of the exteriors of new buildings in the local area, as determined by a sample of residents, is excellent.</li> </ul>	N.A

## **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
A1.1 Concentration of land parcels.	Number of lots in the local area related to the total surface area.	Information source	University Of Malta	
		Assessment method	This indicator requires two types of data: The number of building lots and the total land area of the urban area. A ratio is then attained between these two values.	
		Standard	N.A	
A1.2 Urban compactness	Relation between the usable space of the buildings (volume) and the urban space (area).	Information source	University Of Malta	
		Assessment method	This indicator requires two types of data: The gross volume of buildings and the total developed area. A ratio is then attained between these two values.	
		Standard	N.A	
A1.4 Residential density	The residential density of the local area, as	Information source	University Of Malta	
	measured in resident persons per hectare.	Assessment method	N.A	
		Standard	N.A	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE

A1.5 Urban street The ratio of canyons (H/W aspect acompared acompared acompared building here acompar	The ratio of typical building heights acompared to the	Information source	University Of Malta
	distance between building facades on the other side of the street.	Assessment method	The main aim of this indicator is to assess the negative psychological effects that result from urban streets with a very small ratio of width to height. This requires a ratio between a typical building height and the distance between building facades.
		Standard	Design Policy Guidance Document (DC15)
A1.6 Homogeneity of Land	Percentage of the perimeter of the area	Information source	University Of Malta
	directly adjacent to urbanized areas.	Assessment method	The main aim of this indicator is to find the percentage ratio between the length of the urban fabric parameter adjacent to urbanised areas and the overall perimeter of the area taken.
	Standard	N.A	
A1.7 Conservation of Land	Area of undeveloped land with ecological or	Information source	University Of Malta
	agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Determine the surface area of the neighbourhood (including area developed for buildings).</li> <li>Determine the aggregated surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value.</li> <li>Calculate the ratio between the aggregate surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological/agricultural value and the surface area of the neighbourhood.</li> </ol>
	agricultural value / area of the neighborhood	Assessment method Standard	<ol> <li>Determine the surface area of the neighbourhood (including area developed for buildings).</li> <li>Determine the aggregated surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value.</li> <li>Calculate the ratio between the aggregate surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological/agricultural value and the surface area of the neighbourhood.</li> </ol>
A2.2 Walking distance to public transport for area workers and	Percent of workers and students who can reach a public trasnport stop	Assessment method Standard Information source	<ol> <li>Determine the surface area of the neighbourhood (including area developed for buildings).</li> <li>Determine the aggregated surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value.</li> <li>Calculate the ratio between the aggregate surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological/agricultural value and the surface area of the neighbourhood.</li> <li><i>N.A</i></li> <li>University Of Malta</li> <li>Identifying of the public</li> </ol>



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			<ol> <li>Identifying of major education, industrial or office buildings.</li> <li>Calculation of the walking distance for a sample of typical routes.</li> </ol>
		Standard	Transport National Strategy 2020
A2.3 Extent and connectivity of	Aggregate area of pedestrian streets and	Information source	University Of Malta
and walkways.	area relative to the total land area.	Assessment method	The main aim is to find out the percentage area of pedestrian walkways, including also those dedicated to bicycles (if any).
		Standard	N.A
A2.9 On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to	The ratio of on-street and indoor car parking spaces relative to the	Information source	University Of Malta
local population.	total resident and working population of the local area.	Assessment	<ol> <li>Determine the number of on- street parking spaces.</li> <li>Determine the number of indoor parking spaces.</li> </ol>
		method	<ol> <li>Determine the ratio of total parking spaces to the total residential and working population in the local area.</li> </ol>

B- ECONOMY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
B1.4 Impact of land values on adjacent	Average annual change in land values of properties	Information source	University Of Malta
areas.	immediately adjacent to the urban area, over a 5- year period.	Assessment method	The main aim of this indicator is to assess the increase of the cost of the land with regards to the





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

increase of cost of living. This is assessed over a 5 year period. The data for this indicator can vary due to the fact that property increase could be subjective and could vary from one property to the other.

	<u>.</u>		
		Standard	N.A
B2.3 Employment rate		Information source	https://tradingeconomics.com/malta/employ ment-rate
	Percent of working age adults in the local area who are employed or actively looking for work.	Assessment method	The main aim of this indicator is to calculate the number of people in the labour force compared to the number of working age people and express result as a percentage. This indicator is needed to assess the labour market status, the economy development and the citizens' quality of life.
		Standard	N.A
B3.3 Operating energy costs for	Aggregated annual	Information source	
public building	ing operating energy cost per aggregated internal useful floor area	Assessment method	
		Standard	

C- ENERGY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
C1.1 Total final thermal energy		Information source	VRF systems monitor.
consumption for building operations.	Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption per aggregated internal useful floor area	Assessment method	<ul> <li>Annual Total final thermal energy consumption, in kWh/year, for each building in the area which has to be estimated by taking an average over a 3-year period</li> <li>Calculation of the</li> </ul>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings.

• Dividing the aggregated thermal energy consumption by the total useful area of all buildings.

A Low Arth Contraction of the Contraction

		Standard	Data Collection for 3 years.
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for non	Urban thermal energy consumption of non- residential buildings	Information source	
residential building operations.	(kWh/m2).	Assessment method	Same as C1.1 as all buildings on campus are non-residential.
		Standard	
C1.4 Total final electrical energy	Aggregated annual total final electric energy	Information source	Monitored data from electricity meters.
building operations.	aggregated internal useful floor area	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Data collection of the monitored annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations in kWh, for each building in the local area. This was taken for a 3 year period.</li> <li>Calculation of the aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption for all buildings.</li> <li>The aggregated annual total final energy was divided by the area to obtain the result.</li> </ol>
		Standard	Data collection.
C1.6 Total final electrical energy	Urban electrical energy consumption of non-	Information source	
residential building operations.	(kWh/m2).	Assessment method	
		Standard	
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations	Aggregated annual total primary energy	Information source	Monitored data.
	aggregated internal useful floor area	Assessment method	1. The annual final energy consumption was calculated in kWh/year. and





			<ol> <li>summed</li> <li>The aggregated annual final energy consumption was converted into primary energy consumption</li> <li>The aggregated annual total primary energy consumption was divided by the aggregated internal useful area (kWh/m²/year).</li> </ol>
		Standard	Data Collection.
C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final	Annual total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable	Information source	This indicator was not done as we
energy consumptions for buildings operation.	energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption	Assessment method	do not treat thermal energy as separate from electrical energy when generated from renewable
		Standard	energy sources.
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total	Aggregated total annual primary energy consumption from on-	Information source	Metered Data from grid meter and renewables meter.
primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	site renewable energy sources / aggregated total annual primary energy consumption	Assessment method	The annual total primary energy consumption without renewables, was divided by the aggregated annual total primary energy consumption.
		Standard	N/A
C2.7 Share of electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources on final electric	Annual total electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final electric	Information source	Metred Data.
energy./ Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions.	energy consumption	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Collection of data of monitored annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations, in kWh, for each building, taking the average over a 3-year period.</li> <li>The annual total final electric energy consumption for building operations from on-site renewable energy sources is calculated.</li> <li>The aggregated annual total final electrical energy consumption from on-site</li> </ol>







	renewable sources was divided by the aggregated annual total final electrical energy consumption.
Standard	N/A

D- ATMOSPHERIC E	MISSIONS		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information source	Enemalta
D1.2 GHG emissions from energy used for all purposes in building operations.	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	Assessment method	In Malta, energy is generated from one source (Enemalta Power Station), then the GHG emissions from energy is equal to that of the power station. This result is proportioned according to the energy used (calculated by Enemalta).
		Standard	N/A

E- NON-RENEWABL			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
E1.1 Availability of a public municipal		Information source	University Of Malta
water supply.	Availability of a public municipal water supply to all permanent buildings in the area.	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Identify sections of the local area that are served by a municipal public water supply.</li> <li>Identify residential and non- residential end users.</li> <li>Ensure that each end user is equipped with one or more water meters.</li> <li>Collect data on usage and assess whether water is</li> </ol>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTEN AND A CANE

			<ul><li>consumed in an efficient way.</li><li>5. Place caps on consumption for</li></ul>
			various uses, and/or impose user charges to provide incentives for conservation.
		Standard	N.A
E1.4 Re-use of rainwater in non-	Share of rainwater collected from roofs of	Information source	University Of Malta & Team 2 Architects
residential bunuing.	buildings.	Assessment method	The main aim of this indicator is to assess the collection of rainwater from roofs in non-residential buildings. Rainwater collection can be used as greywater to use for toilet or irrigation purposes. This reduces the demand for potable water.
		Standard	N.A
E1.5 Re-use of stormwater.	Percent of annual stormwater that is re-	Information source	University Of Malta
	used.	Assessment method	The main aim is to calculate the percentage of annual stormwater that is re-used in the local area
		Standard	N.A
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for	Annual water consumption per	Information source	University Of Malta
building systems.	occupant	Assessment method	<ul> <li>This indicator calculated the amount of potable water used taking in consideration the total area of University (L/m2*yr.) The following is the method on how the result is obtained:</li> <li>1. For each building the total water consumption was calculated.</li> <li>2. The aggregated annual total water consumption from all</li> </ul>





			calculated.
		Standard	N.A
E2.1 Solid waste & recycling collection	Proximity of the resident population to the solid	Information source	University Of Malta
points.	waste and recycling collection point.	Assessment method	Percentage of population located 50m from the waste collection points (%)
		Standard	N.A
E2.2 Separate collection and	Separated collection and disposal of solid	Information source	University Of Malta
disposal of solid waste and recycling.	waste and recycling.	Assessment method	The value needed for this indicator is the percentage of recycled waste. This was determined by finding the average weight of the black bag waste (tonnes) and the average weight of the grey bag waste (tonnes). The grey bag contains waste to be recycled like plastic and paper whilst the black bag contains solid waste which would not be recycled.
, 		Standard	N.A
E2.3 Solid waste from construction and demolition	Volume of materials that will be re-used or recycled from the local	Information source	University Of Malta
projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling.	area on the total solid waste from construction and demolition of building projects	Assessment method	Construction activities for new buildings and for demolition have traditionally resulted in large amounts of waste materials that have to be taken to solid waste sites. Much of this material is bulky and remains, but not useable, for long periods of time. Experience has shown that significant improvements can be made in reducing waste, either by recycling them or by re-using some of these materials in new projects. For re- use applications, testing or on-site certification by structural engineers may be required.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F- ENVIRONMENT			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
F1.1 Impact of construction		Information source	NSO (National Statistic Office)
activities on natural features	Preservation of land during and pre construction phase.	Assessment method	This indicator assesses the degree to which construction activities over the last 5-years have had negative effects on natural features of the local area (minor, moderate or major). Building and infrastructure construction projects have had considerable negative impacts on pre-existing land forms and vegetation over the previous 3- year period.
		Standard	N.A
F1.2 Impact of construction activities or	of Impact degree of construction activities	Information source	NSO (National Statistic Office)
landscaping on soil stability or erosion.		Assessment method	This indicator assesses the degree to which construction activities over the last 5-years have had negative effects on landscaping and soil stability (minor, moderate or major). Building and infrastructure construction projects have had some negative impacts on landscaping and soil stability over the previous 3-year period.
		Standard	N.A
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through	Area of permeable surfaces on total	Information source	University Of Malta
permeable paving or landscaping.	neighborhood area	Assessment method	To find the total percentage of water which is recharged back to



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



the ground. This is done by assessing the different types of ground material found and using a permeability coefficient factor, according to the material.

and the second and the

		Standard	N.A
F1.4 Changes in biodiversity.	Diversity of plant structures.	Information source	NSO (National Statistic Office)
		Assessment method	This indicator aims to promote the diversity of plants and animal biodiversity.
		Standard	N.A
F1.7 Impact of local building user population on peak load capacity of	Diversity of plant structures.	Information source	https://goo.gl/P55vcP
public transport system.		Assessment method	This indicator assesses how much the public transport is being used. The higher the load capacity, the more busses are being utilised. This was worked out using two methods: one method using the Peak passenger load per hour, whilst the other method using the passenger load per day.
		Standard	https://goo.gl/P55vcP
F1.8 Impact of private vehicles used by the local	Impact degree of private vehicles on the population.	Information source	https://www.publictransport.com.mt/
population on peak load capacity of the local road system		Assessment method	To determine the impact of private vehicles used by the local population on the peak load capacity of the local road system. It is estimated that the use of private vehicles by the local population exceeds the peak load capacity of the local road system, with strongly negative impacts on traffic speeds, air quality, pedestrian and bicycling





			environments, and the function of adjacent buildings. The score given is therefore -1.
		Standard	https://www.publictransport.com.mt/
F2.10 Ambient daytime noise	Percentage of building area over noise limit.	Information source	ERA, Noise Action Plan , 2013
conditions.		Assessment method	The proposed onset levels, for assessment of noise mitigation measures due to exposure to road traffic noise is: L <sub>den</sub> = 65 dB
		Standard	ERA, Noise Action Plan , 2013
F2.11 Ambient night- time noise	Proportion of population exposed to non	Information source	ERA, Noise Action Plan , 2013
conditions.	recommended levels of night noise.	Assessment method	The proposed onset levels, for assessment of noise mitigation measures due to exposure to road traffic noise L <sub>night</sub> = 55 dB
		Standard	ERA, Noise Action Plan, 2013
F3.1 Green zones & recreation areas	Availability of green zones & recreation	Information source	University Of Malta
availability	areas	Assessment method	This indicator assess how much green space there is allocated per worker/student. The units used are m <sup>2</sup> /inhabitant.
		Standard	N.A
F3.2 Green zones & recreation areas	Accessibility of green spaces within the area.	Information source	University Of Malta
accessibility		Assessment method	This indicator assesses the average distance to green zones and recreation area for a sample of key residential buildings.
		Standard	N.A
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas	Density of green spaces within the area.	Information source	University Of Malta
αensity		Assessment method	This indicator assesses the area of green spaces relative to the total land area.
		Standard	N.A







F3.6 Tree coverage for shade and	Reduction of ambient temperatures through	Information source	University Of Malta
ambient temperatures.	evapo-transpiration.	Assessment method	Deciduous trees can be very effective in shielding people and lower parts of buildings from excessive solar heat gain. The area of deciduous trees relative to the total area is calculated. This indicator seems to be a repeat of indicator F3.2.
		o	
		Standard	N.A
F3.7 Green roofs.	Aggregate area of building roofs covered	Standard Information source	N.A University Of Malta
F3.7 Green roofs.	Aggregate area of building roofs covered with vegetated material.	Standard Information source Assessment method	N.A University Of Malta
F3.7 Green roofs.	Aggregate area of building roofs covered with vegetated material.	Standard Information source Assessment method Standard	N.A University Of Malta N.A
F3.7 Green roofs. F3.10 Ecological diversity in the area	Aggregate area of building roofs covered with vegetated material. Diversity of surface and aquatic biota in the local	Standard Information source Assessment method Standard Information source	N.A University Of Malta N.A University Of Malta
F3.7 Green roofs. F3.10 Ecological diversity in the area	Aggregate area of building roofs covered with vegetated material. Diversity of surface and aquatic biota in the local area.	Standard Information source Assessment method Standard Information source Assessment method	N.A University Of Malta N.A University Of Malta

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
G1.1 Buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	Percent of key public, commercial and residential buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	Information source	University Of Malta		
		Assessment method			
		Standard	N.A		
G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	Percent of sidewalks and other pedestrian ways that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons.	Information source	University Of Malta		
		Assessment method	Key pedestrian paths were first and foremost identified. In total 13 routes were recognized, where 7 of them can be accessed by people with physical disabilities.		







		Standard	N.A
G1.3 Barrier-free accessibility in local outdoor public areas.	Adequacy of barrier- free accessible public outdoor areas compared to the total public area.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	Major outdoor public areas were first and foremost identified. In total, there are 8 outdoor public spaces, where 5 of them can be easily accessed by people with physical disabilities.
		Standard	N.A
G1.4 Ease of access to and use of publicFe transport for ac physically disabled as acphysically disabled persons.as as as as	Features of public transport to facilitate access physically disabled persons, such as kneeling buses and wide entries	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	
		Standard	N.A
G1.5 Objective/subjective safety measures.	Adequacy of signage and traffic calming measures.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	By conducting interviews with students and workers it determined that the objective and subjective measures taken to protect the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and drivers are <b>more</b> <b>effective</b> than measures taken in the urban region. This would yield a score of <b>3</b> .
		Standard	N.A
G2.1 Performance of the public transport service.	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	This indicator assesses the performance of the public transport service, but it asks for the percentage of the inhabitants in the area within 400 metres of walking distance to a bus stop. This doesn't make sense. The indicator should be asking about the







			efficiency/ inefficiency of the service of the public transport system.
		Standard	N.A
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path per 100 inhabitants	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	
		Standard	N.A
G2.5 Availability of sheltered bicycle parking facilities.	Sheltered bicycle parking spaces.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	This indicator calculates the number of sheltered bicycle parking spaces relative to the total resident population of the locality.
		Standard	N.A
G3.1 Availability of a broadband	Local area with available broadband	Information source	University Of Malta
communication network	communication network	Assessment method	Availability of a broadband communication service.
		Standard	N.A
G3.2 Access to a broadband communication network.	Percentage of population with access to broadband communication.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	Access to a broadband communication network seem to be quiet repetitive.
		Standard	N.A
G4.1 Availability and proximity of key food and retail services	Percent of residential buildings located within a distance of 300 m. of basic food and household goods.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment	<ol> <li>Estimate typical walking distances from centres of residential occupancy to key food and retail services.</li> </ol>
		method	<ol> <li>Estimate the residential population living within 500 m. of shopping facilities and calculate the percent relative to the total residential population</li> </ol>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

			in the local area.
		Standard	N.A
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key public human services	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance of at least 3 key services.	Information source	University Of Malta
			<ol> <li>Identify locations of key services in the local area.</li> </ol>
		Assessment method	<ol> <li>Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services.</li> </ol>
			<ol> <li>Calculate the percent of residential population located within 600 m. of the 3 key human services.</li> </ol>
		Standard	N.A
G6.1 Involvement of residents in community affairs.	Percetage of resident population above 16 years having an involvement in community affairs.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	Calculate the percentage of resident population above 16 years in age having an on-going involvement in community or school associations.
		Standard	N.A
G6.3 Community involvement in urban	Level of involvement of users in urban planning	Information source	University Of Malta
planning activities		Assessment method	Using the Sherry Arnstein ladder on citizen participation, rate the level of users' involvement on planning. The height rungs and 3 degrees of the ladder are provided on the picture.
074.0	Opener of bills - Markey -	Standard	N.A
G7.1 Compatibility of urban design with local cultural values.	Compatibility with local area traditional values of street layouts and the character of urban	Intormation source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	Evaluate the compatibility of street layouts and the character of urban


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



spaces.			spaces in the local area with traditional cultural values in the region.
		Standard	N.A
G7.2 Compatibility of public open space with local cultural	Compatibility with local area traditional values of local public open	Information source	University Of Malta
values.	spaces, including major uses, dimensions and adjacent uses.	Assessment method	Evaluate the compatibility with local area traditional values of local public open spaces, including major uses, dimensions and adjacent uses.
		Standard	N.A
G7.4 Use of traditional local materials and	Compatibility with local area traditional values	Information source	University Of Malta
techniques	techniques and types of materials.	Assessment method	Evaluate the compatibility with local area traditional values of construction techniques and types of materials.
		Standard	N.A
G7.5 Maintenance of UNESCO or other	Preventive maintenance and protection of	Information source	University Of Malta
protected U landscapes pr	UNESCO or other protected landscapes	Assessment method	Evaluate the preventive maintenance and protection of UNESCO or other protected landscapes.
		Standard	N.A
G8.2 Panoramic and scenic routes or view	Presence and quality of scenic routes and	Information source	University Of Malta
points.	places.	Assessment method	Calculate the presence and quality of scenic routes and places that provide views of interesting natural or urban vistas.
		Standard	N.A
G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians.	Perceived safety of public places and pedestrian routes, as determined by a sample of pedestrians.	Information source	University Of Malta
		Assessment method	Evaluate the perceived safety of public places and pedestrian routes, as determined by a sample of pedestrians.
		Standard	N.A





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A

G8.4 Impact of commercial signage	Visual impact of exterior commercial signage.	Information source	University Of Malta	
on the visual environment.		Assessment method	Aggregate visual impact of exterior commercial signage, based on degree of integration with building exteriors, diversity in signage dimensions and illumination; as determined by a sample of the local area population.	
		Standard	N.A	
G8.5 Impact of overhead electric	Visual impact of above- grade electrical	Information source	University Of Malta	
distribution system on the visual environment.	distribution systems.	Assessment method	Aggregate visual impact of above- grade electrical distribution systems, based on degree of visual clutter; as determined by a sample of the local area population.	
		Standard	N.A	
G8.6 Perceptual quality of area	Perceived quality of the urban area and natural	Information source	University Of Malta	
development.	development.	Assessment method	Evaluate the perceived quality of area urban and natural development, as determined by a sample of residents.	
		Standard	N.A	
G8.7 Aesthetic quality of new facility	Perceived quality of the exteriors of new buildings.	Information source	University Of Malta	
exteriors.		Assessment method	Evaluate the perceived quality of the exteriors of new buildings in the local area, as determined by a sample of residents.	
		Standard	N.A	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

### D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - NOA

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FILL AND A

## **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

### **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS		
A1	Urban Structure and Form	
A1.2	Urban compactness	
A1.4	Residential density	
A1.5	Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	
A1.7	Conservation of Land *	

<b>B- ECONOMY</b>	
B2	Economic activity
B2.3	Unemployment rate 🕿
B2.4	Economic viability of commercial occupancies
B2.5	Energy poverty of households 🛰
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings *

C-ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations *
C1.3	Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations 🔈
C1.4	Total final electric energy consumption for building operations *
C1.6	Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building operations 🕿
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations *
C1.9	Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations 😹
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for
	buildings operation *
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings
	Operation *
C2.6	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public
	office/educational buildings operation 😹
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions *
C2.8	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public
	office/educational buildings operation 😹
C2.13	Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings 😹
D- ATMOSPHE	RICEMISSIONS
D1	Atmospheric emissions
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations *

	DENE		DECO	IDCEC
	- RENEI	WADLE		

E1 Potable water, stormwater and greywater



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population *
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public office/educational building systems *
E1.8	Consumption of potable water in public spaces 😹
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points

F- ENVIRONMENT		
F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping *	
F1.10	Light pollution caused by exterior public lighting systems 🛰	
F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period *	
F2.6	Ambient air quality - ozone	
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes	
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	
F3.5	Flood protection 🛰	
F3.11	Emergency response plan 😹	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS		
G1	Safety and Accessibility	
G1.1	Public buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons $>$	
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled	
	persons	
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Performance of the public transport *	
G2.3	Smart services 😹	
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network *	
G4	Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services *	
G4.3	Availability and proximity of public schools 😹	
G4.6	Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities 😹	
G6	Management and community involvement	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities *	
G8	Perceptual	
G8.3	Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians	
G8.5	Impact of overhead electric distribution system 🕱	

### **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

### A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS

#### CRITERION

A1.2 Urban compactness A1.4 Residential density A1.5 Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)

### **REASON / MOTIVATION**

Useful and can be calculated Useful and relatively easy to calculate Very important parameter for the building performance, as it influences the microclimate and determines the solar and daylight access KPI

A1.7 Conservation of Land \*





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### **B- ECONOMY**

CRITERION

B2.3 Unemployment rate 🖎

B2.4 Economic viability of commercial occupancies B2.\* Energy poverty of households B3.3 Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings \*

### **REASON / MOTIVATION**

More easy to find data for unemployment rates of the specific area's inhabitants from social services of the Municipality Interesting and can be calculated Interesting and can be calculated KPI

### C- ENERGY

CRITERION	REASON / MOTIVATION
C1.1 Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations *	KPI
C1.3 Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations a	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Office and educational buildings are the majority of public buildings. More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects
C1.4 Total final electric energy consumption for building operations *	KPI
C1.6 Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building operations A	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Office and educational buildings are the majority of public buildings. More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects
C1.7 Total primary energy demand for building operations *	KPI
C1.9 Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations A	Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Office and educational buildings are the majority of public buildings. More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects
C1.20 Energy consumption of public lighting C2.1 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation *	Useful. Municipalities have relevant data KPI
C2.4 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings	Useful

operation \* C2.6 Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public office/educational building operations (a)

C2.7 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions \* C2.8 Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions for public office/educational building operations (a) Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Important to show the public authority's attitude towards environmental friendly strategies, More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects KPI

Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Important to show the public authority's attitude towards environmental friendly strategies, More easy to find data. National policy. Programs for funding retrofit projects





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

C2.13 Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings 🔈

### **D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS**

**CRITERION** 

D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations \*

E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES		
CRITERION	<b>REASON / MOTIVATION</b>	
E1.6 Consumption of potable water for residential population *	KPI	
E1.7 Consumption of potable water for public office/educational building systems *	KPI	
E1.8 Consumption of potable water in public spaces	Significant for municipalities.	
E2.1 Solid waste and recycling collection points	Recycling is becoming more and more popular. Easy to define.	

### F- ENVIRONMENT

CRITERION	<b>REASON / MOTIVATION</b>
F1.3 Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping *	KPI
F1.10 Light pollution caused by exterior public lighting systems a	This could be described through "minor, moderate, major" but it's better to be associated with the type of the street lights causing discomfort
F2.3 Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <pre>&lt;10 mu (PM10) over a one year period *</pre>	KPI
F2.6 Ambient air quality - ozone	Significant criterion for urban areas
F3.3 Green zones & recreation areas density	Green areas are of vital importance for the sustainability
F3.5 Flood protection 🕿	Significant criterion for urban areas
F3.11 Emergency response plan 🖎	Significant criterion for urban areas

### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**

CRITERION
-----------

G1.1 Public buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons 🔈

G1.2 Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons G2.1 Performance of the public transport \* G2.3 Smart services G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network \*

#### **REASON / MOTIVATION**

Municipalities are responsible for public/municipal buildings. Important for public authority's to demonstrate "good practice" Significant criterion

the formation of the second second

KPI Significant criterion for the future KPI



**REASON / MOTIVATION** 

Easy to calculate

KPI



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

Mediterranean

G4.2 Availability and proximity of key services \* G4.3 Availability and proximity of public schools G4.6 Availability and proximity of public leisure facilities

G6.3 Community involvement in urban planning activities\*

G8.3 Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians G8.5 Impact of overhead electric distribution system KPI

Better to evaluate public schools in one indicator. Better to evaluate public leisure facilities in one indicator. KPI

Safety is significant for urban areas Significant criterion since it affects human health

### **SNTool weights rationale**

### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
B- ECONOMY	2	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
C- ENERGY	3	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	3	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	2	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
F- ENVIRONMENT	2	Consultation from National Local Committee Members
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	3	Consultation from National Local Committee Members

### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	4.6
TOTAL	4.6
B2- Economic activity	3.2
B3- Cost and Investment	0.7
TOTAL	3.9
C1- Non-renewable energy	15.3
C2- Renewable and Decarbonized energy	15.8
TOTAL	31.1
D1- Atmospheric emissions	13.6
TOTAL	13.6
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	6.8
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	3.9
TOTAL	10.7
F1- Environmental impacts	4.2





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F2- Outdoor environmental quality	6.2
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	6.4
TOTAL	16.8
G1- Safety and Accessibility	1.5
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	6.9
G4- Public and private facilities and services	4.4
G6- Management and community involvement	2.2
G8- Perceptual	4.4
TOTAL	19.3

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1:minor, 2:moderate, 3:major), C=Extent of potential effect (1:block, 2:neighborhood, 3:district, 4:urban region, 5:global), D=Duration of potential effect (1:1-3years, 2:3-10 years, 3:10-30 years, 4:30-75 years, 5:>75 years) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS									
A1- Urban Structure and Form									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON / MOTIVATION			
A1.2	1.2	3	2	4	1				
A1.4	1.2	3	2	4	1				
A1.5	0.8	2	2	4	1	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of buildings			
A1.7	0.6	2	2	3	1	The duration of potential effect is based on current practices			
TOTAL	3.8								

B- ECONOMY									
B2- Economic activity									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION			
B2.3	0.6	3	3	1	1	The extent of potential effect is based on common demographics that unemployment is not so localized			
B2.4	0.8	2	2	2	1				
B2.5	1.8	2	2	2	1.5				
B3- Cost and Inves	stment								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION			
B3.3	0.9	1	2	3	1.5				
TOTAL	4.1								

C- ENERGY								
C1- Non-renewable energy								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION		
C1.1	2.69	3	2	3	1.5			
C1.3	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system		
C1.4	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system		
C1.6	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of mechanical system		
C1.7	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life		





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C1.9	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The duration of potential effect is based on the life				
C1.20	2.69	3	2	3	1.5	The impact of Potential Effect is based on a national average of 36% of the energy cost of municipalities for public lighting. The duration of potential effect is based on the life cycle of lighting systems				
C2- Renewable and Decarbonized energy										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
C2.1	2.7	3	3	3	1	The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.4	2.7	3	3	3	1	The impact of Potential Effect is instrumental in meeting the national/ regional objectives and goals. The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.6	0.9	1	3	3	1	The impact of Potential Effect is limited due to the low number of public buildings. The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.7	6.1	3	3	3	1.5	The impact of Potential Effect is instrumental in meeting the national/ regional objectives and goals				
C2.8	1.3	1	3	3	1.5	The impact of Potential Effect is limited due to the low number of public buildings. The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
C2.13	1.8	2	3	3	1	The extent of potential effect is based on common practices				
TOTAL	34.4									

D- ATHMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS									
D1- Atmospheric emissions									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION			
D1.2	16.8	3	5	5	1.5				
ΤΟΤΑΙ	16.8								

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES										
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
E1.6	3.0	3	4	2	1.25	The extent of potential effect is based on water availability which is (or maybe) a regional issue				
E1.7	2.0	2	4	2	1.25	The impact of Potential Effect depends on building use which is significant for hotels, hospitals, sports facilities. The extent of potential effect is based on water availability which is (or maybe) a regional issue				
E1.8	1.6	2	4	2	1	The extent of potential effect is based on water availability which is (or maybe) a regional issue				
E2- Solid and Liqu	id Wastes									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
E2.1	4.0	2	4	4	1.25	The extent of potential effect is based on the impact scale. The duration of potential effect is based on the average lifetime of waste				
TOTAL	10.6									

and the second second and the second s



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F- ENVIRONMENT										
F1- Environmental impacts										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
F1.3	2.7	3	3	3	1	The impact of Potential Effect is instrumental in preventing floods. The extent of potential effect is based on the impacts that may extend beyond neighborhood boundaries to nearby districts				
F1.10	0.8	2	2	2	1	ç ,				
F2- Outdoor environmental quality										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
F2.3	2.7	3	3	3	1					
F2.6	2.4	2	3	1	1	The extent of potential effect refers to the entire district not to the neighborhood scale, unless there are major topographic irregularities. The duration of potential effect is based on average lifetime of 0.05 years				
F3- Ecosystems a	nd landscap	es								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION				
F3.3	0.8	2	2	2	1					
F3.5	3.4	3	3	3	1.25					
F3.11	1.8	3	3	2	1					
TOTAL	14.5									

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS									
G1- Safety and Accessibility									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION	I		
G1.1 G1.2	0.6 0.6	2 2	2 2	1	1 1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for adapting existing infrastructures and buildings The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for adapting existing			
						infrastructures and buildings			
G2- Traffic and M	lobility Servic	es							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	REASON/MOTIVATION			
G2.1	2.7	3	2	3	1				

G2.3 G2.4	1.2 1.8	2 2	2 2	2 3	1 1			
G4- Public and private facilities and services								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
G4.2	1.2	2	2	2	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for reallocation of public services		
G4.3	1.2	2	2	2	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for reallocation of public schools		
G4.6	1.2	2	2	2	1	The duration of potential effect is based on practical time frame for reallocation of public sports and cultural infrastructures		

G6- Management and community involvement						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G6.3	1.8	2	2	3	1	The duration of potential effect is based on
						practical time frame for urban design plans

A CHARTER AND CONSTRUCT

**G8-** Perceptual



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C. C. Martine

CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G8.3	1.8	3	2	2	1	The impact of Potential Effect is detrimental for the citizens' quality of life
G8.5	1.8	2	3	2	1	
TOTAL	15.9					

### **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN	A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
		m³/ha	0: 60,000					
A1.2	Relation between the usable space of the buildings (volume) and the	_	5: 30,000					
	net developable area (área).	Based on ti the usable developable	Based on the Hellenic New Building Regulation the ratio of the usable space of the buildings (volume) to the net developable area (area) ranges between 6 and $3 m^3/m^2$ .					
A1.4	The ratio of total residential	nn/ho	0: 600					
	population relative to the total land	µp∕na	5: 100					
	blocks within the local area.	Values between 100-600 persons / ha are typical for most urban and semi-urban areas						
A1.5		_	0: 0.1					
	The ratio of typical building heights	-	5: 0.5					
	building facades on the other side	In order to have efficient solar exposure during winter in						
	of the street		compared to the distance between building facades on the other side of the street is 0.5					
	Undeveloped land considered to be	%	0: 10					
A1.7	of value for ecological or	70	5: 20					
	agricultural purposes	Indicative empirical values.						

B- ECONOMY							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
B2.3	Average unemployment rate, over a 5-year period	%	0: 10 5: 5	Score 0 corresponds to statistical data			
B2.4	Ratio of vacancies in commercial	%	0:25	Score 0 corresponds to statistical data			
	year period.	70	5: 5				
B25	Ratio of households suffering from	%	0:10	Score 0 corresponds to			
D2.5	energy poverty	70	5: 5	statistical data			
		$E_{\rm Uro}/m^2$	0: 17.7				
		Luio/III	5:4.1				
B3.3.	Annual energy costs of public office/educational buildings	<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the energy cost for the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the public office/ educationals building of the dominant energy class (as estimated in C1.3 and C1.6), while <u>Score 5</u> to the energy					

and the second second



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FISCH STAND

that thermal energy is covered by fuel oil. An increase of 20% is considered in order to take into account energy cost

C- ENERGY							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
		kWh/m2	0: 314.0 (323.2) 5: 21.1	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately owned non-residential buildings.			
		Thormal on	(16.1)	Use of statistical / calculated data.			
		I nermal energy consumption for all building uses, was defined as the weighted average for different building uses. The breakdown of whole buildings per building use in city Prefecture was defined using data from the Hellenic Statistical Authority (HSA). Due to lack of significant					
C1.1	Urban thermal energy consumption of building operations	sample for used for the	a Municipality, the local benchmark	weighted average was not ing.			
		the averag building use the Energy	e thermal consum e and energy class Performance Cen	ption for whole buildings per s was defined using data from tificates (EPC) electronic			
		repository (buildingcert). For this reason, calculated data for thermal consumption for space heating (SH) and Demosting Hot Water (DHW) from a single final (final site					
		natural gas or biomass), were used, and also adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED)					
		For each building use score 0 corresponds to the					
		consumption of the dominant energy class, while score 5 to					
		the consum	ption of energy cl	ass A+ (33% of class B).			
		kWh/m2	0: 68.1	Very difficult to get metered			
<b>0</b> / 0	Urban thermal energy consumption of public office/educational building operations		5: 11.5	Use of statistical / calculated			
C1.3			(10.5)	data.			
		No weighte	d average for buil	ding uses. Averages from			
		EPC for offi	ice/ educational bl	Very difficult to get metered			
			0: 64.2	data, especially for			
			(07.0)	residential and privately			
		kWh/m2	5,70	owned non-residential			
C1.4	Urban electrical energy consumption of building operations		5.7.9 (10.8)	Dunuings.			
			(10.0)	data.			
		Similar to C	1.1 with the except	ption that all end uses (space			
		heating, spa	ace cooling and do 	omestic hot water) were taken			
			0: 90.1	Very difficult to get metered			
	l Irban electrical energy	kWh/m2	(100.5)	data.			
C1.6	consumption of public office/		5: 24.1 (32.6)	Use of statistical / calculated data.			
	educational building operations	No weighte	d average for build	ding uses. Averages from			
		EPC for off	ice/ educational bi	uilding uses, similar to C1.4.			



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

C1.7	Annual total primary energy consumption per internal useful floor area	kWh/m2 Similar to C heating, sp	0: 461.9 (528.2) 5: 38.2 (41.9) C1.1, with the exce ace cooling and de	Very difficult to get metered data, especially for residential and privately owned non-residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data. ption that all end uses (space omestic hot water) were taken
C1.9	Annual total primary energy consumption per internal useful floor area of public office/ educational buildings	kWh/m2 Similar to C heating, spa	it. 0: 286.4 (346.9) 5: 74.5 (94.4) 1.3, with the exce ace cooling and do t	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical / calculated data. ption that all end uses (space omestic hot water) were taken
C1.20	Annual electrical consumption by outdoor public lighting systems	kWh/m2 From discu expert on lig public lighti	0: 0.72 5: 0.50 ssions with a men ghting, Score 0 the ng planning for ne	For calculated data. hber of Local Committee, e consumption for a typical ighborhoods during 1990.
C2.1	Ratio of on-site renewable thermal energy consumption to the total thermal energy consumptions of all buildings	Score 5 ab % <u>Score 0</u> cor of their DH energy sou <u>Score 5</u> cor 100% of the renewable The averag thermal ene defined usi Certificates (Buildings v heating and DHW).	0: 4 0: 4 5: 14 7responds to 50% W energy consum rces. 7responds to 100% eir DHW energy co energy sources. 9 ratio of the DHV ergy consumption 9 calculated data (EPC) electronic with fuel oil, natura 1 electricity, fuel oi	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated/ calculated data. of the buildings covering 60% ption from on-site renewable of the buildings covering onsumption from on-site V energy consumption to the for whole buildings was from the Energy Performance repository (buildingcert). If gas or biomass for space i, natural gas or biomass for
C2.7	Ratio of on-site renewable electrical energy consumption to the total electrical energy consumption of all buildings	%	0: 1 5: 47	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated data.



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		The breakdown of whole buildings in residential and non- residential was defined using data from the Hellenic Statistical Authority (HAS) (the breakdown is almost similar for the Municipality of Fylis). <u>Score 0</u> corresponds to 5% of the residential buildings covering 25% of their electrical energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to 50% of the residential and 20% of the non-residential buildings covering 100% of their electrical energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources.				
C2.8	Ratio of on-site renewable electrical energy consumption to the total electrical energy consumption of public office/ educational building	%	0: 0 5: 20	Very difficult to get metered data. Use of statistical/ estimated data		
		<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to 0% of the public office/ educational buildings covering a part of their electrical energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to 20% of the public office/ educational buildings covering 100% of their electrical energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources.				
C2.13	Ratio of residential buildings with renewable systems for thermal energy production	%	0: 38 5: 100	<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the average number of households with solar collectors (data from the Hellenic Statistical Authority)		

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
		kg CO2	0: 46			
		eq./m2/yr	5: 5			
D1.2	CO2 equivalent emissions per useful internal floor area per year	<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to CO2 equivalent emissions for the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the buildings of the dominant energy class <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to the CO2 equivalent emissions for consumptions of energy class A+ (as estimated in C1.1 an C1.4). It is assumed that thermal energy is covered by fuel oil				

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
E1.6	Water consumption per inhabitant in residential buildings (annually)		0: 62.1	Use of statistical/ estimated		
		m <sup>3</sup> /	5: 18.6	data		
		occupant	<u>Score 0</u> based on statistical data from ELSTAT.			
			<u>Score 5</u> corresp	onds to a reduction up to 70%		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			of the typical published article	consumption, based on a
		$m^{3}/m^{2}$	0: 0.65	
	Water consumption per $m^2$ in public		5: 0.33	
E1.7 office/educational build (annually)	office/educational buildings (annually)	<u>Score 0</u> con consumption on their sur <u>Score 5</u> con discussions	responds to the w in for school and e face. responds to a red s with National Loo	eighted average of the water educational buildings, based luction up to 50%, based on cal Committee Members.
		$m^3 / m^2$	0: 0.73	
	Water consumption in public spaces	watered surface	5: 0.51	
E1.8		<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the weighted average of the water consumption for school and educational buildings, based on their surface. <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to a reduction up to 30%, based on discussions with National Local Committee Members.		
E2.1	Ratio of residents located within a walking distance of 100 m from	%	0: 60	Based on discussions with
	solid waste and recycling collection point.	70	5: 100	Members

F- ENVIRONMENT				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
F1 3	Percentage of water flowing	%	0: 15	Based on discussions with
11.5	through the ground	70	5: 80	Members
F1 10	Ratio of cut-off public lighting	%	0: 10	Based on discussions with
	fixtures	70	5: 100	Members
E2 3	Number of days exceeding the daily	dave	0: 35	Score 0 based on European
12.5	limits for PM10 in a year	uays	5: 0	Air quality Standards
E2 6	Number of days exceeding the daily limits for ozone in a year	days	0: 25	Score 0 European Air quality
12.0			5: 0	Standards
E3 3	Ratio of green spaces to the total area	%	0: 5	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members
гэ.э			5: 30	
F3.5	Flood protection	Text	0:	There is an implemented flood protection plan, but it hasn't been tested yet
			5:	There is an implemented flood protection plan, it has been successfully tested

A FLICK STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			0:	There is an emergency response plan on a local level. No operational exercises
F3.11	Emergency response plan	Text	5:	There is an emergency response plan on a local level. Scheduled operational exercises

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
G1.1	Percent of key public, buildings that are accessible for use by physically	%	0: 50	Based on discussions with National Local Committee	
	disabled persons		5: 100	Members	
	Sidewalks and other pedestrian		0:	Sidewalks and pedestrian ways of the main network, accessible by physically disabled persons	
G1.2	ways that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Text	5:	All sidewalks and pedestrian ways accessible by physically disabled persons. Traffic lights with sound in all main roads.	
G2 1	Percent of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance	%	0: 50	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members	
02.1	of at least one public transportation stop		5: 100		
		Text	0:	Automated bicycle rental system	
G2.3	Availability of smart services		5:	Free charging station for electric or plug-in hybrid vehicle	
	Total walkway meters of dedicated	m/100 inhabitant s	0:2	Based on statistical data (https://www.smu.gr/greece_ cycle_map/)	
02.4	bicycle path per 100 inhabitants		5: 20	Based on data from a generic Municipality which is considered as good example	
<b>C</b> (1)	Percentage of inhabitants within	0/	0: 50	Based on discussions with	
G4.2	key services	%	5: 90	National Local Committee Members	
G4.3	Percent of inhabitants within 700m walking distance from at least one	%	0: 70	Based on discussions with National Local Committee	
	public school		5: 100	Members	
G4.6	Percent of inhabitants located within a distance of 1000 m from at	%	0: 50	Based on discussions with National Local Committee	
	least one public leisure facility		5: 100	Members	

A State Stat



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G6.3	<b>G6.3</b> Level of involvement of users in Text urban planning.	Text	0:	Degree of tokenism. Providing inhabitants and users mainly with the information about the urban project
			5:	Degree of citizens power at all stages of the project
G8.3	Perceived safety of public places and pedestrian routes	Text	0:	Adequate safety only during daytime.
			5:	Very high safety during daytime and night
G8.5	Health and safety risks from overhead electric distribution system	Text	0:	Overhead high power cables at least 100m from the buildings or/and overhead MV power cables with voltage transformers close to the buildings
			5:	No overhead electric distribution system

### **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
A1.2	A1.2 Urban compactness As	Assessment method	Measured data, studies CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the aggregate gross volume of all buildings in the local area, m <sup>3</sup> . 2. Calculate the net developable area by subtracting the surface area used for parks, streets, parking and pedestrian areas from the gross surface area of the local area, ha. 3. Determine the ratio of the aggregate volume of buildings to the net local developable area, m <sup>3</sup> /ha. NOA pilot steps/comments: Define the number of buildings included in the		
		Standard	Define the number of buildings included in the selected area. Define the land area covered by buildings. Define the number of floors for each building. Assuming typical floor height about 3.5 m, calculate the volume of each building above ground. Calculate the ratio of the total volume of the buildings above ground to the land area covered by buildings (27909 m3/ha) Insert text here		

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Information source	Measured data, studies, statistical data
			<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Identify ground surface area of properties being used for residential purposes,m<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>2. Identify the total residential population for the relevant residential buildings.</li> <li>3. Calculate the residential density.</li> </ul>
A1.4	Residential density	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of residential buildings, the land area covered by residential buildings, as well as the number of households were defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the average household of West Region of Attica Prefecture, is 3. The total number of residents in the selected area was calculated. The ratio of the number of residents to the land area covered by residential buildings was calculated. (151 residents/ha)
		Standard	Insert text here
A1.5	Urban street canyons (H/W aspect ratio)	Information source	Measured data, estimations
		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Calculate the ratio of typical building heights compared to the distance between building facades on opposite sides of the street NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area, the width of the roads, as well as the number of floors for all buildings were defined. Define the typical road width (8m). For these roads define
			the number of floors of the typical building (2). Assuming typical floor height about 3.5 m, calculate the ratio height to width (0.9)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Measured data, studies
A1.7	Conservation of Land *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Determine the gross surface area of the neighbourhood 2. Determine the aggregate surface area of land that is considered by authorities to be of ecological and agricultural value 3. Subtract the aggregate undeveloped area from the gross surface area of the urban area, which should equal to the total area developed for buildings, streets, vehicle parking and other infrastructures





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



NOA pilot steps/comments: From maps of the area, the land that is considered as ecological and agricultural was defined. (0%)

Standard Insert text here

B- ECONOMY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	NS	
B2.3		Information source	Statistical data	
	Unemployment rate	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Define the number of unemployed persons within the area. Calculate the ratio of unemployed to the total persons living in the area NOA pilot steps/comments:	
			From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the average unemployment rate was not officially reported, but estimated. (22%)	
		Standard		
	Economic viability of commercial occupancies	Information source	Measured data	
B2.4		Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Define the number of commercial occupancies within the selected area. Define the number of empty commercial occupancies. Calculate the ratio empty to total commercial occupancies	
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of number of commercial occupancies as well as the number of empty commercial occupancies were defined (29%)	
		Standard	Insert text here	
		Information source	Estimated – Statistical data	
B2.5	Energy poverty of households	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Define the number of households in the area. 2. Define the number of households claiming inability to keep home adequately warm during winter 3. Calculate the ratio of households claiming inability to keep home adequately warm to the total number of households (x100). NOA pilot steps/comments:	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			From the Hellenic Statistical Authority (HSA) the average percentage of households with inadequate heating during winter period was defined on a national basis. (26%)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Estimated -Statistical data
			CESBAMED calculation steps: Sum of the running energy costs of each public building in the area up to an aggregated running costs energy value. The total cost must be normalized per the total indoor useful area of public buildings
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public office/educational buildings *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, based on the national calculation method for the energy efficiency of buildings,, data for the operational cost for the municipal office/educational buildings within the pilot area were available. An increase of 20% was taken into account in order to take into account other electrical consumptions not considered in the national method (20.4 Euro/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: All uses are taken into account, including equipment and installations (unlike energy related indicators). Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	Insert text here

C- ENERGY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
		Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.		
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water. <u>Use of calculated data</u> : 1. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy consumption,for each building in the local area, kWh 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total internal area of all buildings		





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of monitored/metered data</u> : 1. Data collection of the monitored annual total final thermal energy consumption, for each building in the local area, kWh. The consumption data have to be estimated taking the average over 3 years period 2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption for all buildings 3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final thermal energy consumption / Total internal area of all buildings Note: Cooling and lighting are included in order to consider the potential use of, for example, CHP or trigeneration for generating electricity that may then be used for lighting and heat for sorption cooling.
		NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical data. The average thermal consumption for buildings within the testing area was defined based on the average thermal consumption for residential and non residential buildings in Attica Prefecture using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), as well as calculated data for the public offce/educational buildings from an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia. From EPC database, calculated data for thermal consumption for space heating (SH) and Domestic Hot Water (DHW) from a single fuel (fuel oil, natural gas or biomass), were used, and also adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. From an on- site audit in the testing area, the total floor area of all buildings as well as of residential buildings (internal dimensions) were estimated. (155.4 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
	Standard	EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy- efficiency/buildings https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications //buildings_certification.pdf www.theicct.org/sites/default/files//ICCTupdate _EU95gram_jan2014.pdf
Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building	Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		water.
		Use of calculated data:
		1. Calculate the annual total final thermal energy
		consumption for each public building in the local area, kWh
		2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final
		thermal energy consumption for all public
		buildings
		3. Calculate: Aggregated annual total final
		thermal energy consumption / Total Internal area
		of all public buildings
		quasi-steady state monthly method
		Use of monitored/ metered data:
		1. Data collection of the monitored annual total
		final thermal energy consumption for each public
		building in the local area, kWh. The consumption
		data have to be estimated taking the average
		over 3 years period
		2. Calculate the aggregated annual total final
		thermal energy consumption for all public
		3 Calculate: Aggregated annual total final
		thermal energy consumption / Total internal area
		of all public buildings
		Note: Cooling and lighting are included in order
		to consider the potential use of, for example,
		CHP or trigeneration for generating electricity
		that may then be used for lighting and heat for
		sorption cooling.
		NOA pilot steps/comments:
		From calculated data. From an energy study
		carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia,
		data for thermal consumption and heated area
		(external dimensions) for the public buildings
		within testing area were available, and also
		dimensions Calculate the ratio of total thermal
		energy consumption to the total internal area for
		all public buildings in the area with thermal
		energy consumption. (73.6 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
		EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of
		buildings. Calculation of energy use for space
		heating and cooling)
		ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-
	Standard	etticiency/buildings
		rittps://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications
		//buildings_certilication.pdf
		FI 195gram jan 2014 ndf
		Calculated – Monitored data For the evaluation
T . ( . ) (	Information	of the actual performance of the urban area it is
I otal final electric energy	source	preferable to use metered data. If metered data
consumption for building		aren't available, estimated data should be used.
operations	Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps:
	method	The following energy uses are considered:



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FIRE AND A

Total final electric energy consumption for public office/educational building operations	Information source Assessment	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used. CESBAMED calculation steps:
	Standard	EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling)
	Standard	quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of monitored/ metered data</u> : 1. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building 3. Sum the internal useful area of each building in the area, m2. 4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/ aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical data. The average electric energy consumption for buildings within the testing area was defined based on the average electric energy consumption for residential and non residential buildings in Attica Prefecture using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), as well as calculated data for the public offce/educational buildings from an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, and also adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total floor area of all buildings as well as of residential buildings (internal dimensions) were estimated. (77.7 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space
		<ul> <li>heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting <u>Use of calculated data</u>:</li> <li>1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption in kilowatt hours, kWh.</li> <li>2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building.</li> <li>3. Sum the internal useful area of each building in the area, m2.</li> <li>4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/ aggregated internal useful area. kWh/m2</li> </ul>



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



method	The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. <u>Use of calculated data</u> : 1. For each public building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each public building 3. Sum the internal useful area of each public building in the area, m2 4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/ aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of monitored/ metered data</u> : 1. For each public building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption of each public building 3. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each public building 3. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each public building 3. Sum the internal useful area of each public building in the area, m2 4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total final electric energy consumption/ aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for electric energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. Calculate the ratio of total electric energy eonsumption to the total internal area for all public buildings in the area with electric energy consumption. (145.1 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
Standard	Insert text here

		Information source	Calculated data
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. 1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh 3. Sum the annual final energy consumption of



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		<ul> <li>each building</li> <li>per energy carrier</li> <li>4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption, kWh</li> <li>5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption</li> <li>6. Sum the internal useful area of each building in the area, m2</li> <li>7. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total primary energy consumption / aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2</li> <li>Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method.</li> </ul>
	Standard	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical data. Based on the Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations (C.1.1) and the Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations (C1.4) that have been calculated. Taking into account the conversion factors for fuel oil and electricity the total primary energy consumption was calculated (396.3 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space
	Information	heating and cooling)
	source	Estimated data
Total primary energy demand for public office/educational building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. 1. For each public building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh 3. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each public building per energy carrier 4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption, kWh 5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption 6. Sum the internal useful area of each public building in the area, m2 7. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total primary energy consumption / aggregated internal useful area, kWh/m2 Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. Based on the Total final thermal energy consumption for public office/educational building operations (C.1.3)



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			and the Total final electrical energy consumption for public office/educational building operations (C1.6) that have been calculated. Taking into account the conversion factors for fuel oil and electricity the total primary energy consumption for public office/educational building was calculated (501.8 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of
		Standard	buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling)
		Information source	Calculated - Metered data
			CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the total annual energy consumption for public lighting in the area, kWh 2. Calculate the ratio of total energy consumption for public lighting to the total gross surface of the area, kWh/m <sup>2</sup>
C1.20	Energy consumption of public lighting	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for the installed power of the lighting fixtures for public lighting within testing area were available. Based on the energy study, public lighting is turned on for 11 hours per day for 365 days per year. Calculate the ratio of total energy consumption for public lighting to the total gross surface of the area. (0.57 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumptions for buildings operation *	method	<ul> <li>The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, domestic hot water.</li> <li><u>Use of estimated data</u>:</li> <li>1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption, kWh</li> <li>2. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption of each building</li> <li>3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh</li> <li>4. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building</li> <li>6. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption.</li> </ul>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method. Use of metered data: 1. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final thermal energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption of each building 3. For each building in the local area, collect the monitored annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources, kWh 4. Sum the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building 5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total thermal energy generation from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final thermal energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total number of households and the number of solar collectors were defined. Assuming that each solar collector corresponds to one household, the percentage of households with solar collectors was defined. The total floor area of all buildings as well as of households with solar collectors (internal dimensions) was estimated. The average thermal consumption for domestic hot water in residential buildings within the testing area, was defined based on the average thermal consumption for buildings in Attica Prefecture, using data for whole buildings from the Energy Performance Certificates electronic repository (buildingcert). Assuming that households with solar collector cover 60% of their energy consumption for domestic hot water (according to KENAK), the total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources was defined as the 60% of the product of the average thermal energy consumption for DHW with the total floor area of households with solar collectors in the area. The thermal consumption for all buildings within testing area, was defined as the product of the average thermal energy consumption (from C1.1) with the total floor area of all buildings in the area. The ratio of the total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources to the total thermal consumption for all

A States

1 Round



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			buildings was calculated (3.4%) COMMENT: If the denominators was not defined by the average thermal energy then it should be calculated as the thermal consumption (non renewable) plus the total thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources
		Standard	EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
		Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for buildings operation.	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting</li> <li>1. For each building in the local area, calculate or collect the metered annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption, kWh</li> <li>2. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier</li> <li>3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh</li> <li>4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh.</li> <li>5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption, kWh.</li> <li>6. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source (P. V, solar thermal panels), kWh</li> <li>7. Sum the annual final energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh.</li> <li>8. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy sources of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh.</li> <li>8. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source in annual primary energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh. Estimate the total primary energy from RES for each energy carrier displaced, e.g. electricity from PVs that displaces electricity from the grid or thermal energy from solar collectors that displaces the use of heating oil</li> <li>9. Sum the annual primary energy</li></ul>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		per on-site renewable energy source up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources (kWh/year). 10. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated total annual primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / aggregated total annual primary energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years.
		<ul> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments:</li> <li>From calculated - statistical – estimated data.</li> <li>The average thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources was defined in C2.1. From the Energy Performance</li> <li>Certificates for Attica Prefecture, the breakdown of fuelas used in domestic hot water was defined and the corrsponding percentages were used to define the breakdown of the fuels replaced by energy from sollar collectos. The total electric energy production from PV panels was defined in C2.7. Taking into account the conversion factors for fuel oil and electricity the total primary energy from on site RES was calculated. the total primary energy for all buildings was defined in C1.7. The ratio of the total primary energy from on site RES, to the total primary energy from on site RES, to the total primary energy consumptions for buildings was calculated. (5.0%)</li> <li>COMMENT: If the denominators was not defined by the average primary energy then it should be calculated as the total primary energy to an site primary energy to an energy to an energy energy then it should be calculated as the total primary energy to a state total primary energy to a state total primary energy form on site RES, to the total primary energy form on site RES, to the total primary energy form on site RES, to the total primary energy form on site RES, to the total primary energy form on site RES, to the total primary energy then it should be calculated as the total primary energy then it should be calculated as the total primary energy to an energy consumption (non renewable), plus</li> </ul>
	Standard	the total primary energy from on site RES EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
	Information source	Calculated – Monitored data. For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data should be used.
Share of renewable energy on-site, on total primary energy consumptions for public ofice/ educational buildings operation.	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting 1. For each public ofice/ educational building in the local area, calculate or collect the metered annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final energy consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual final



C2.6



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		energy consumption per energy carrier 3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final thermal energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh 4. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per energy carrier in annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier, kWh. 5. Sum the annual primary energy consumption per energy carrier up to an aggregated annual total primary energy consumption, kWh. 6. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final (thermal and electric) energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source (P. V, solar thermal panels), kWh 7. Sum the annual final energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources of each building up to an aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh. 8. Using the national conversion factors, convert the aggregated annual final energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source in annual primary energy consumption per on-site renewable energy source, kWh. Estimate the total primary energy from RES for each energy carrier displaced, e.g. electricity from PVs that displaces electricity from the grid or thermal energy from solar collectors that displaces the use of heating oil 9. Sum the annual primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources (kWh/year). 10. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total primary energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources / aggregated total annual primary energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years. NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of solar collectors and PV panels on public office/educational buildings were defined. (0%)
		EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings.
	Cton da vel	Calculation of energy use for space heating and
	Standard	COOIING)
		2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
Share of renewable	Information	Metered or estimated data



C2.7



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions *	source	For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data shall be used
consumptions *	Assessment method	data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data shall be used CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. <u>Use of estimated data:</u> 1. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each building 3. For each building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh 4. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building 5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of metered data:</u> 1. For each building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption of each building. 3. For each building in the local area, collect the monitored annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources, kWh 4 Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources, kWh 4 Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each building 5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total electric energy generation from on-site renewable sources of each building 5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total electric energy sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for
		NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated – estimated - statistical data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of photovoltaic panels were defined. Additionally, the total floor area of all buildings (internal dimensions) was estimated. From an energy study carried out in the area, each PV panel produces about 1030kWh as an average.It





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		consumed from the buildings. The total electric energy consumption of the buildings was defined as the product of the average electric energy consumption (from C1.4) with the total floor area of all buildings in the area. The share of renewable energy on-site on final electric energy consumption was calculated as the ratio of total electric energy production from PV panels consumped within the buildings to the total electric energy consumption.(2.4%) <b>COMMENT: If the denominators was not</b> <b>defined by the average electric energy then it should be calculated as the electric energy</b> <b>(non renewable) plus the total electric energy</b> <b>production from PV</b>
	Standard	EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March
	Information source	2013. Metered or estimated data For the evaluation of the actual performance of the urban area it is preferable to use metered data. If metered data aren't available, estimated data shall be used
Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumption for public office/educational buildings operation	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following energy uses are considered: heating, cooling, ventilation, auxiliaries, domestic hot water and lighting. <u>Use of estimated data:</u> 1. For each public building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption of each public building 3. For each public building in the local area, calculate the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable energy sources, kWh 4. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each public building 5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. Calculations are based on EN 13790 using the quasi-steady state monthly method <u>Use of metered data:</u> 1. For each public building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 2. Sum the annual final electric energy consumption, kWh 3. For each public building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption of each public building. 3. For each public building in the local area, collect the metered annual final electric energy consumption of each public building. 3. For each public building in the local area,





C2.8

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			collect the monitored annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources, kWh 4 Sum the annual final electric energy consumption from on-site renewable sources of each public building 5. Calculate the indicator as: annual total electric energy generation from on-site renewable energy sources / annual total final electric energy consumption. The metered energy consumption is suitable for the indicator's calculation only if the building has been in use for 3-years NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of solar collectors and PV panels on public office/educational buildings were defined. (0%)
		Standard	EN 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.
		Information source	Metered data
			CESBAMED calculation steps: Define the number of households with solar collectors. Calculate the ratio of households with solar collectors to the total number of households.
C2.13	Use of RES for thermal energy production in residential buildings	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered - estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total number of households and the number of solar collectors were defined. Assuming that each solar collector corresponds to one household, the ratio of households with solar collectors to the total number of households was defined.(65%)

### Standard

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	IS
D1 2	Total GHG Emissions	Information source	Estimated data
D1.2	in building operations *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. For each building in the area calculate the

a fille a fille



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula:
	$E = \left[\sum_{i} \left( Q_{fuel,i} \times LHV_i \times k_{em,i} \right) + \left( Q_{el} \times k_{em,el} \right) + \left( Q_{dh} \times k_{em,dh} \right) \right]$
	Qfuel,I = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 or Kg)
	Qel = annual quantity of electric energy from the
	grid (kWh)
	Qdh = annual quantity of energy from district
	LHVi – lower beating value of the i-th fuel
	(kWh/m3  or  kWh/Ka)
	Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the i-th fuel
	(Kg CO2/kWh)
	Kem, $i = CO2$ eq. emission factor of the electric energy from the grid (Kg CO2/kWh)
	Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from
	district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh)
	2. Calculate the aggregated annual total CO2
	equivalent emissions from all buildings / total
	userui imernai noor area or an buildings
	NOA pilot steps/comments:
	From calculated - statistical – estimated data.
	From an on-site audit in the testing area, the
	total floor area of all buildings (internal
	dimensions) was estimated. Using data for
	Certificates electronic repository for the
	Municipal Unit of Ano Liossia, the average
	thermal consumption and the average electric
	energy consumption for buildings were defined.
	Thermal energy is only from fuel oil. Based on
	the national conversion factors to COeq
	(20704.1 Kg/GWN for fuel oil and 61123.9
	emissions from all buildings to total useful
	internal floor area of all buildings was calculated
	(10.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )
	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings -
Standard	Overall energy use and definition of energy
	ratings)

and the second and the

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS				
		Information source	Metered data. The use of estimated data is preferable for scenarios' evaluation or if metered data is not available.			
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following water uses are considered: drinking water; water for sanitation; domestic hot water; water for cleaning, water for washing machine, water for dishwasher 1. For each residential building, collect the			



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



monitored annual potable water consumptions for building operation. The consumption data must be estimated taking the average over 3 years period, m <sup>3</sup> .
2. Sum the annual potable water consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual total potable water consumption, m <sup>3</sup> /year.
3. Estimate the number of residential buildings' occupants.
4. Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total potable water consumption /
number of occupants.
NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of households was defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the
Average household of West Region of Attica Perfecture, is 3. From statistica Idata
<u>content/uploads/2013/09/arxeio12.2.pdf</u> ) the daily water consumption per (3-persons)
household is 0.489m3. The ratio of the annual water consumption for the households of the
$(59.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{occupant})$

#### Standard

		Information source	Metered data. The use of estimated data is preferable for scenarios' evaluation or if metered data is not available.
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public office/ educational building systems *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: The following water uses are considered: drinking water; water for sanitation; domestic hot water; water for cleaning, water for washing machine, water for dishwasher 1. For each public office/ educational building, collect the monitored annual water consumptions for building operation, m <sup>3</sup> . The consumption data must be estimated taking the average over 3 years period 2. Sum the annual water consumption of each building up to an aggregated annual total water consumption 3) Estimate the total useful internal floor area of all buildings. 4) Calculate the indicator's value as: aggregated annual total water consumption / total useful internal floor area of all buildings. NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the




Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, the total internal surface of all publicbuildings was available. The ratio of annual total water consumption to the **total useful internal floor area** of all buildings was calculated (0.65 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>) **COMMENT: The units should be m<sup>3</sup>/person** 

#### Standard

		Information source	Estimated or metered data.
E1.8	Consumption of potable water in public spaces	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the annual water consumption of potable water in public spaces (for cleaning / watering purposes) 2. Calculate the total cleaned / watered area. 3. Calculate the ratio of annual water consumption to the cleaned / watered area. NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated (0.99 m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> )

#### Standard

		Information source	Calculated data
	Percentage of buildings 2.1 close to recycling collection points	Assessment	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Identify the location of ecological areas or individual recycling bins in the area</li> <li>2. Calculate the radius between these nodes and the entrance of the buildings.</li> <li>3. Calculate the percentage of the buildings located more than 100 meters from the recycling points.</li> </ul>
E2.1	close to recycling collection points	method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - statistical – estimated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number of buildings, the total number of recycling bins as well as their location were defined. The percentage of buildings within a 100m distance from recycling bins was calculated. (65%)

Standard





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### **F- ENVIRONMENT**

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	vs
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATION Information source	NS Thematic map – Geographic Information System. Estimated data CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Calculate the size of the urban area, m <sup>2</sup> 2. Calculate the size of the surfaces with a different paving or occupied by constructions in the urban area (i.e. green areas, asphalt paving, surfaces occupied by buildings, etc.) 3. Calculate the real permeability of soil considering the permeability coefficient of each
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping *	Assessment method	surface. Sa,per = $\Sigma$ Sa, $i \times \alpha i$ Sa, $i = i$ -th surface in the area, m2 $\alpha i$ = permeability coefficient of the i-th surface (Reference permeability coefficients: Grass = 1, Gravel = 0.9, Sand = 0.9, Plastic gratings filled with land/grass = 0.8, Concrete gratings leaning on the grass = 0.6, Concrete gratings leaning on gravel = 0.6, Interlocking elements leaning on sand/ gravel = 0.3, Interlocking elements leaning on concrete pavement = 0, Continuous pavements leaning on concrete = 0, Asphalt = 0) 4. Calculate the indicator's value as the ratio of the real permeability of soil to the size of the urban area.
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated - estimated data. From an on- site audit in the testing area, the size of the surfaces with a different paving or occupied by constructions was defined. The real permeability of the area was calculated, using the default values for the permeability coefficient of various surfaces. The ratio of the real permeability to the total area was calculated. (31%)

#### Standard

		Information source	Calculated data
F1.10	Ratio of cut-off lighting fixtures for public lighting	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Define the number of cut-off lighting fixtures for public lighting in the area 2. Define the total number of lighting fixtures for public lighting in the area 3. Calculate the ratio of the number of cut-off lighting fixtures to the total number of lighting fixtures for public lighting NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the ratio was

and the second and the





			defined (70%)
		Standard	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period *	Information source Assessment method	<ul> <li>Metered - Estimated data</li> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps: <ol> <li>Daily test air samples in accordance with national or regional procedures over a period of one year.</li> <li>Evaluate the number of days exceeding the daily limits in a year.</li> </ol> </li> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From an Aerosols Monitoring Station located in the Municipal Union of Ano Liossia, data for hourly PM10 concentrations for one year were obtained. Daily averages were calculated. The number of days within a year that the daily averages exceed the limit of 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was defined, extracting the days with dust events. (38)</li></ul>
		Standard	
F2.6	Ambient air quality - ozone	Information source Assessment method Standard	Metered - Estimated data CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Hourly data for O3, either from a nearby monitoring station or from test air samples in accordance with national or regional procedures, over a period of one year. 2. Calculate daily rolling 8-hour averages 2. Calculate the number of days exceeding even once the daily limit (120µ/m3) in a year. NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From an Aerosols Monitoring Station located in the Municipal Union of Ano Liossia, data for hourly O <sub>3</sub> concentrations for one year were obtained. Daily rolling 8-hour averages were calculated. The number of days within a year that even once the daily rolling averages exceed the limit of 120 µg/m <sup>3</sup> was defined. (37)
		Information	Metered or Estimated data
F3.3	Green zones & recreation areas density	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Calculate the ratio Green zones & Recreation areas (m <sup>2</sup> ) to Urban area (m <sup>2</sup> ) NOA pilot steps/comments:







			From metered data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total area and the area of green zones and reacreation zones were defined. (3.2%)
		Standard	
		Information source	Documantation data
		Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the existance of a flood protection plan, the implementation and the testing
F3.5	Flood protection	method	From the civil protection department of the Municipality. There is an implemented flood protection plan, but it hasn't been tested yet
		Standard	
		Information source	Documantation
		Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the existance of an emergency response plan, the implementation and the testing
F3.11	Emergency response plan	method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From the civil protection department of the Municipality. There is an emergency response plan on a local level. No operational exercises
		Standard	

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION I	NDICATOR	SPECIFICATION	IS	
		Information source	Metered data	
F b G1.1 a p p	Public office/ educational buildings that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1.Define the number of public office/ educational buildings with full accessibility of exterior parking and pedestrian access areas, considering all major disability types. 2. Calculate the percent of public buildings that may be considered accessible by physically disabled persons. NOA pilot steps/comments: From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the percent of public office/ educational buildings that may be considered	
			and the second of the	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



accessible by physically disabled persons, was defined. (30%)

A STATE AND A STATE OF THE AND A STATE OF THE AND A STATE OF THE ADDRESS OF THE A

		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
G1.2	Sidewalks and other pedestrian paths that are accessible for use by physically disabled persons	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the extend to which the sidewalks and pedestrian ways of the main and the secondary network are accessible by physically disabled persons NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on-site audit in the testing area. Sidewalks and pedestrian ways of the main network are not accessible by physically disabled persons.
		Standard	
		Information source	Estimated data
G2.1	Performance of the public transport *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: For the calculation of the indicator only residents (and not working people in the area) are considered Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop To be considered valid for the calculation, a stop must have a daily total service frequency of at least 20 trips. NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality for the public transport, the number and location of public transport stops was defined. (100%)
		Standard	Global Platform for Sustainable Cities – Urban Sustainability Framework
		Information source	Estimated data
G2.3	Smart services	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Evaluation of the presence of smart services NOA pilot steps/comments: From the corresponding department of the Municipality, there is free wifi network in some public spaces.

Standard



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



S. G. Warden

		Information source	Metered data
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network *	Information sourceMetered dataCESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Estimation of the number of area 2. Calculation of the number of the area (2. Calculation of the walkway n 	CESBAMED calculation steps: 1. Estimation of the number of inhabitants in the area 2. Calculation of the walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths in the area (A) 3. Calculation of the meters of bicycle paths in the area (B) 4. Calculation of the meters of shared spaces (C) 5. Calculation of the indictor's value as (A+B+C)/(100 inhabitants) Bicycle paths and pedestrian paths have to be safe and physically separated to traffic roads to be considered in the calculation. A walkway adjacent to a traffic road is not acceptable. A "shared space" is an urban design approach that minimizes the segregation between modes of road user (car, pedestrian, bicycle, etc.)
			NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the total length of dedicated pedestrian and bicycle paths and "shared space" per 100 inhabitants. was defined.(188.8 m/100 inhabitants) <b>COMMENT:</b> This indicator is biased, especially when the area is underpopulated, the calculated value is high even with small pedestrian and bicycle networks. Maybe the unit should be the length of pedestrian and bicycle paths and shared areas to the total street length of teh area. An other issue that should be taken into account, is the connectivity of these paths, i.e. bicycle paths are connected with bicycle paths from suurounding areas, or are circular.

#### Standard

		Information source	Metered data
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services *	Assessment method	<ul> <li>CESBAMED calculation steps:</li> <li>1. Identify locations of key services in the local area.</li> <li>2. Calculate the percentage of the inhabitants that are within 800 meters walking distance from at least 3 key services coming from the nine categories below.</li> <li>Key services are:</li> <li>1. Education (schools, kindergartens, education centers, etc.)</li> <li>2. Health center (hospitals, medical ward, medical center, etc.)</li> </ul>

and the second



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas





G4.3

G4.6



			<ul> <li>2. Calculate the radius between the buildings and these nodes</li> <li>3. Calculate the percentage of inhabitants that are within a radius of 1000 meters from at least one public sports and one cultural facility</li> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered and estimated data. From an on- site audit in the testing area, the location of public schools and the number of housdeholds were defined. According to ELSTAT, the typical number of persons in the average household of West Region of Attica Perfecture, is 3. The total number of residents in the selected area was calculated. (100%)</li> </ul>
		Standard	
		Information source	Process documentation
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Level of involvement of users in urban planning NOA pilot steps/comments: Not available for existing neighborhoods
		Standard	Arnstein S., 1969, "A Ladder Of Citizen Participation", Journal of the American Institute of Planners 35 (4), p. 216-24. Chelzen Hélène and Jégou Anne, « À la recherche de l'habitant dans les dispositifs participatifs deprojets urbains durables en région parisienne : les éclairages de l'observation participante »,Développement durable et territoires [En ligne], Vol. 6, n°2   Septembre 2015, mis en ligne le 30 septembre 2015. Quartiers Durables Méditerranéens (Sustainable Mediterranean Neighbourhood) , an approach towards sustainable Mediterranean neighbourhoods in the Provence-Alpes-Côté d'Azur Region, envirobatBDM.
		Information source	Metered data
G8.3	Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps: Perceived safety of public areas during daytime and nighttime NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality.
		Standard	
☑ G8.5	Impact of overhead electric distribution system	Information source Assessment	Metered data CESBAMED calculation steps:
G8.3 ☑ G8.5	Perceived safety of public areas for pedestrians	Assessment method Standard Information source Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps: Perceived safety of public areas during daytin and nighttime NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out the Municipality. Metered data CESBAMED calculation steps:



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



a filment filment

method	Distance of overhead electric distribution system from buildings.				
	NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality. (Overhead high power cables over 100m from the buildings)				
Standard					



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

## D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - EIHP

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

**Responsible Partner:** Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



the second of th

## **URBAN SCALE ASSESSMENT**

### **SNTool structure**

A- BUILT URBAN	SYSTEMS
A1	Urban structure and form
A1.2	Urban compactness
A1.4	Residential density
A1.7	Conservation of Land
A2	Transportation infrastructure
A2.2	Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population
A2.10	Intermodality facilities
B- ECONOMY	
B1	Economic Structure and Value
B1.1	Affordability of housing property
B1.6	Percent of residential units in the neighbourhood that are vacant
B2	Economic activity
B2.2	Average annual per-capita income of residents
B3	Cost and Investment
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public buildings
B3.4	Levels of total public and private investment
C- ENERGY	
C1	Non-renewable energy
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised energy
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, on total final thermal energy consumption for buildings operation
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary energy consumption for building
	operations
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumption
D- ATMOSPHERI	C EMISSIONS
D1	Atmospheric emmissions
D1.2	GHG emissions from primary energy used in building operations
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility
E- NON - RENEW	ABLE RESOURCES
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points
E2.3	Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or
	recycling
E2.5	Composting and re-use of organic sludge
E3	Resource consumption, retention and maintenance



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E3.2	Consumption of non-renewable material resources for construction of infrastructure
F- ENVIRONMEN	т
F1	Environmental impacts
F1.1	Impact of construction activities on natural features
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping
F1.8	Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road
	system
F2	Outdoor environmental quality
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes
F3.6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures
F3.10	Ecological diversity in the area
G- SOCIAL ASPE	CTS CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services
G2 G2.1	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service
G2 G2.1 G2.4	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4 G4.2	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services Availability and proximity of key public services
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4 G4.2 G5	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services Availability and proximity of key public services Local Food
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4 G4.2 G5 G5.1	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services Availability and proximity of key public services Local Food Local production of food
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4 G4.2 G5 G5.1 G6	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services Availability and proximity of key public services Local Food Local production of food Management and community involvement
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4 G4.2 G5 G5.1 G6 G6.3	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services Availability and proximity of key public services Local Food Local production of food Management and community involvement Community involvement in urban planning activities
G2 G2.1 G2.4 G3 G3.1 G4 G4.2 G5 G5.1 G6 G6.3 G7	Traffic and Mobility Services Public transport service Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network Communication services Availability of a broadband communication network Public and private facilities and services Availability and proximity of key public services Local Food Local production of food Management and community involvement Community involvement in urban planning activities Society, Culture and Heritage

### **SNTool criteria selection rationale**

A - BUI	ILT URBAN SYSTEMS	
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1	Urban structure and form	Comment here
A1.2	Urban compactness	To improve current urban design rules and visual appearance of the neighborhood
A1.4	Residential density	To determine if residential density
A1.7	Conservation of land	To determine if all undeveloped land is needed for future residential use as defined by urban development plan
A2	Transportation infrastructure	
A2.2	Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students	To determine sufficient number of bus stops
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population	To determine required number of parking lots and avoid parking on the roads
A2.10	Intermodality facilities	To improve mobility for the inhabitants and induce use of alternative mobility principles
B - ECC	DNOMY	
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FISCH STAND

B1	Economic Structure and Value	
B1.1	Affordability of housing property	To determine if local population have financial resources for future residential development
B1.6	Percent of residential units in the neighbourhood that are vacant	To determine if there are housing properties still unused
B2	Economic activity	
B2.2	Average annual per-capita income of residents	To determine financial capacities of local population
B3	Cost and Investment	
B3.3	Use stage energy costs for public buildings	To determine if energy costs are entered in the national energy management software and the amount of costs
B3.4	Levels of total public and private investment	To determine levels of investments and if there is a potential to use them more targeted. No tracking of data for private investment
C - ENE	RGY	
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1	Non-renewable energy	
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	To determine energy demand of the area – modeled energy used
C1.4	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	To determine energy demand of the area – actual energy consumption used
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	To determine energy demand of the area, typical fuels used and assess the potential of on-site RES energy consumption – modeled energy used for thermal energy, actual energy consumption used for electricity
C2	Renewable and Decarbonised Energy	
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site relative to total final energy consumption for building operations	To assess current and future on-site RES energy production to reduce fossil fuel use
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary energy consumption for building operations	To assess current and future on-site RES energy production and reduce dependence on grid supply and reduce fossil fuel use
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumption	To assess current and future on-site RES electricity production and reduce dependence on grid supply
D - ATI	MOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
D1	Atmospheric emmissions	Comment here
D1.2	GHG emissions from primary	To assess current and future emissions related to energy
	energy used in building operations	consumption if energy refurbishment and RES measures are implemented
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility	To assess current and future emissions related to energy consumption if energy refurbishment, RES and e-mobility measures are implemented
E - NO	N - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1	Potable water, stormwater and greywater	
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	To assess level of water consumption
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	To assess level of water consumption in non-residential buildings
E2	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points	<i>To determine availability of recycling collection points for solid waste and future needs</i>
E2.3	Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling	To determine the local practice of construction waste management
E2.5	Composting and re-use of organic sludge	To determine the local practice of organic sludge re-use
E3	Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	
E3.2	Consumption of non-renewable material resources for construction of infrastructure	To determine if re-use or recycling of construction materials is a practice in the construction sector and if not, what are the obstacles
F - ENVI	RONMENT	
CRITERIO	ON	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1	Environmental impacts	
F1.1	Impact of construction activities on natural features	To determine the impacts of construction on natural features of the area and to define mitigation measures for future development
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	To determine the impacts of construction on soul permeability and to define mitigation measures for future development
F1.8	the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system	To determine local road traffic intensity
F2	Outdoor environmental quality	
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	To determine air quality measurement levels close to the neighborhood and compare measured values to allowed values
F3	Ecosystems and landscapes	
F3.6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures	To determine existence of private and public green areas quantity and shading capacity
F3.10	Ecological diversity in the area	To determine the qualitative features of ecological elements and to assess the impact of forest fire hazard
G- SOC	IAL ASPECTS	
CRITERIC	DN	REASON/MOTIVATION
G2	Traffic and Mobility Services	
G2.1	Public transport service	To compare mobility demand of residents to availability of public transport
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	To promote cycling and walking as an alternative to vehicle use by providing a safe and efficient mobility networks
G3	Communication services	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	To determine the percentage of households already connected to internet and future demand for broadband connection
G4	Public and private facilities and services	
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	To enable better access to key public human services through better mobility or use of communication network
G5	Local Food	
G5.1	Local production of food	To introduce concept of local food production as local construction rules in physical planning documents
G6	Management and community involvement	
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	To involve residents in formulation of local construction rules and projects in the neighborhoods
G7	Society, Culture and Heritage	
G7.1	Compatibility of urban design with local cultural values	To introduce more traditional construction features in the local construction rules and improve visual appearance of the neighborhood

## **SNTool weights rationale**

#### **ISSUES WEIGHTS**

ISSUE	WEIGHTING FACTOR (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS	1	Improvement of the built urban system needs to be initiated from the residents to improve upgrade and development of infrastructure
B- ECONOMY	1	It is aimed to improve management of the existing resources not only to increase the investments
C- ENERGY	3	Increase the rate of households to be involved in energy retrofit, on-site RES systems and EV
D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS	1	Improvement of air quality will be achieved by activities in other areas - energy refurbishment, on-site RES and e-mobility
E- NON - RENEWABLE RESOURCES	3	Improve local management of non-renewable resources
F- ENVIRONMENT	1	Improve ambient value and traditional features of the landscape
G- SOCIAL ASPECTS	3	Encourage local capacities and participation for future development of the neighborhood

#### **CATEGORIES WEIGHTS**

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Urban Structure and Form	5,7
A2- Transportation Infrastructure	6,1
TOTAL	12,2
B1- Economic Structure and Value	2.0





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B2- Economic activity	1,1
B3- Cost and Investment	1,6
TOTAL	4,6
C1- Non-Renewable energy	11,2
C2- Renewable and Decarbonised energy	10,4
C3- Energy recycling and storage	0
TOTAL	21,5
D1- Atmospheric emissions	13,3
TOTAL	13,3
E1- Potable water, stormwater and greywater	4,3
E2- Solid and Liquid Wastes	5,3
E3- Resource consumption, retention and maintenance	4,8
TOTAL	14,3
F1- Environmental impacts	4
F2- Outdoor environmental quality	2,4
F3- Ecosystems and landscapes	2,7
TOTAL	9
G1- Safety and Accessibility	0
G2- Traffic and Mobility Services	8
G3- Communication services	3,2
G4- Public and private facilities and services	3,2
G5- Local Food	3,2
G6- Management and community involvement	4,3
G7- Society, Culture and Heritage	3,2
G8- Perceptual	0
TOTAL	25

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

CESBA MED GF-U, sheet WeightsA: B= Impact of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS							
A1 - Ur	ban structure and form						
	CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.2	Urban compactness	2,14	3	2	4		
A1.4	Residential density	2,14	3	2	4		
A1.7	Conservation of land	1,42	3	2	4		
	TOTAL	5,7					
A2	Transportation infrastructure						
	CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A2.2	Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students	1,78	2	2	5		
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative to local population	2,14	3	4	2		
A2.10	Intermodality facilities	2,14	3	4	2		
	ΤΟΤΔΙ	61					

A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT.



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A State Stat

B- EC	ONOMY							
B1 - E	Economic Structure and Value							
	CRITERION	Weight	В		С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1 B1.6	Affordability of housing property Percent of residential units in the neighbourhood that are vacant	(76) 1,60 0,36						REAGONIMOTIVATION
B2 - F		2,0						
		Meight.	D		<u> </u>			
CRITE	RION	(%)	в		C	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B2.2	Average annual per-capita income of residents	1,06						
	TOTAL	1,1						
B3 - C	Cost and Investment							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. RE/	ASON/MOTIVATION
B3.3	Use stage energy costs for public buildings	0,53						
B3.4	Levels of total public and private	1,07						
	TOTAL	1,6						
C- EN	IERGY							
C1 - N	Ion-renewable energy							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. RE/	ASON/MOTIVATION
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	4,81	3	2	3			
C1.4	Total final electrical energy	3,21	3	2	2			
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	3,21	3	2	2			
	TOTAL	11,2						
C2 - F	Renewable and Decarbonised Ene	rgy						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. RE/	ASON/MOTIVATION
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	4,81	3	2	3			
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary energy consumption for building operations	3,21	2	2	3			
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumption	2,40	1	3	3			
		10,4						
D-AI								
D 1 –	Atmospheric emissions							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. RE	ASON/MOTIVATION
D1.2	GHG emissions from primary energy used in building operations	6,68	3	5	5			
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings,	6,68	3	5	5		-	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



private and public mobility

TOTAL		13,3					
E- NC	N-RENEWABLE RESOURCES						
E1 - P	otable water, stormwater and gre	ywater					
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.6 E1.7	Consumption of potable water for residential population Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems						
E2 - S	olid and Liquid Wastes						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points						
E2.3	Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re-use or recycling						
E2.5	Composting and re-use of organic sludge						
E3 Re	source consumption, retention a	nd mainte	enac	e			
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION

E3.2 Consumption of non-renewable 4,8 3 2 3 material resources for construction of infrastructure 4,8

F- Env	ironment						
F1 - En	vironmental impacts						
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.1	Impact of construction activities on natural features	1,07	2	2	3		
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	0,53	1	2	3		
F1.8	Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system.	2,40	3	3	3		
TOTAL		4,0					
F2 - Οι	utdoor environment quality						
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	2,40	3	3	3		
TOTAL		2,4					
F3 - Ec	osystems and landscapes						
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



TOTAL		2,7			
F3.10	temperatures Ecological diversity in the area	1,07	2	2	3
F3.6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient	1,60	3	2	3

G- SOCIAL	ASPECTS						
G2 – Traffi	c and Mobility Services						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G2.1	Public transport service	4,81	3	2	3		
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	3,21	2	2	3		
TOTAL		8,0					
G3 - Comm	nunication Services						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G3.1	Availability of a broadband communication network	3,21	2	2	3		
TOTAL		3,2					
G4 - Public	and private facilities and servi	ces					
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	3,2	2	2	3		
TOTAL		3,2					
G5 - Local	Food						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G5.1	Local production of food	3,2	2	2	3		
TOTAL		3,2					
G6 - Manag	gement and community involve	ment					
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	4,27	2	2	4		
TOTAL		4,3					
G7 - Societ	y, Culture and Heritage						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G7.1	Compatibility of urban design with local cultural values	3,21	2	2	3		
TOTAL		3,2					

### **SNTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN	STRUCTURE AND FORM				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE	
					e e





A1.2	Urban compactness	m3/ha	0:	
			0.	
Δ1 Δ	Residential density	nore/ha	0: 75	
A114		pers/lia	5: 110	
A1 7	Conservation of land	0/	0:2	
A1.7	Conservation of land	/0	5: 10	
	Walking distance to public transport		0: 500	
A2.2	for area workers and students	m	0:000	
			5: 150	
	On atreat and indeer northing		0: 50	
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking	%	0.50	
	spaces relative to local population		5: 100	
AD 40	listo me o do litr do cilitico o		0:2	
AZ.10	Intermodality facilities		5: 1	

B- ECONOMY								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
<b>D1 1</b>	Affordability of housing	0/	0: 12					
D1.1	property	/0	5: 50					
	Percent of residential units in the neighbourhood that are vacant		0: 4					
B1.6		%	5: 2					
B2.2	Average annual per-capita	%	0: 60					
	income of residents	70	5: 120					
B3 3	Operating energy costs for	euro/m <sup>2</sup> /vear	0: 100					
20.0	public buildings	caro/iii /ycar	5: 0					
B3 /	Levels of total public and	100	0: 1					
03.4	private investment	Eur/resident	5: 2					

C- ENERGY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building	kWh/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 100	
	operations		5: 50	
C1 4	Total final electric energy	$kM/h/m^2$	0: 75	
01.4	operations	KVV1/11	5: 50	
C1 7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	k\\/h/m <sup>2</sup> /v	0: 100	
		KVVI/III / y	5: 70	
	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations		0: 5	
C2.1		%	5: 30	
C2.4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary energy	0/	0: 5	
		70	5: 10	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	consumption for building operations		
C2 7	Share of renewable energy	0/	0: 20
62.7	energy consumptions	70	5: 35

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
D1.2	GHG emissions from primary energy used in building operations	kg CO2 eq./m2/yr	0: 22					
			5: 15					
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility	%	0: 150					
			5: 50					

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
E1 6	Consumption of potable water	m³/pp*day	0: 250					
L1.0	for residential population		5:100					
E4 7	Consumption of potable water	$m^{3}/m^{2}$	0: 5					
E1./	buildings	111 /111	5: 3					
F2.4	Solid waste and recycling collection points	0/	0: 85					
E2.1		%	5: 90					
	Percent of solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained annually in the area for re-use or recycling		0: 50					
E2.3		%	5: 80					
E2 5	Percent of organic sludge that is	0/	0: 30					
E2.3	the local area	/0	5: 100					
E3.2	Consumption of non-renewable	tonnes	0: 150					
	material resources for construction of infrastructure.	m2 of built area	5: 80					

F- ENVIRONMENT								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE				
F1.1	Preservation of land during and pre-construction phase	descript	0: Building a projects have pre-existing la the p	nd infrastructure construction had some negative impacts on and forms and vegetation over previous 3-year period				

A HI HAND A HI HAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			5: Building and infrastructure construction projects have had no perceptible negative impacts on pre-existing land forms and vegetation over the previous 3-year period
E1 2	Recharge of groundwater	0/	0: 20
Г1.3	landscaping	70	5: 80
F1.8	Impact of private vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system.	descript	<ul> <li>0: It is estimated that the use of private vehicles by the local population reaches the peak load capacity of the local road system, with some negative impacts on traffic speeds, air quality, pedestrian and bicycling environments, and the function of adjacent buildings.</li> <li>5: It is estimated that the use of private vehicles by the local population is considerably less than the peak load capacity of the local road system, and there are no significant impacts on traffic speeds, air quality, pedestrian and bicycling environments, and there are not significant impacts on traffic speeds, air quality, pedestrian and bicycling environments, and the function of adjacent buildings.</li> </ul>
E2 3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	Days/yr	0: 20
Γ2.3			5: 15
E2 6	Tree coverage for shade and	%	0: 20
гз.0	temperatures		5: 75
<b>F0</b> 40		<u>.</u>	0: The level of ecological diversity in the local area is like the larger urban area
F3.10	Ecological diversity in the area	%	5: The level of ecological diversity in the local area is considerably higher than the larger urban area

#### **G- SOCIAL ASPECTS**

CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEAS URE	BENCH MARK	RATIONALE
G2 1	Public transport service	0/_	0: 5	
02.1	Public transport service.	/0	5: 40	
00.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	m/100 inhabit ants	0:0	
G2.4			5: 500	
<b>0</b> 0 (	Availability of a broadband communication network	%	0: 50	
63.1			5:65	
010	Availability and proximity of kev	0/	0: 20	
G4.2	public human services	%	5: 70	
G5.1	Local production of food.	m <sup>2</sup> /100	0: 100	

A FLACK STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A REAL FOR STREET

		reside nts	5: 600
G6 3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	descri pt	0: 0
			5: 3
G7.1	Compatibility of urban design with local cultural values	descri	0:
		pt	5:

## **SNTool Criteria Specifications**

A- BUILT URBAN SYSTEMS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
	Urban compactness	Information source	Model	
A1.2		Assessment method	<ul> <li>Max constructed area (above ground):</li> <li>1,6 (residential use)</li> <li>2,0 (mixed use)</li> <li>x height 3 m = 4,8 - 6</li> <li>40% = developed</li> <li>10% = Green area + streets + parking Total constructed area 2,4 - 3</li> </ul>	
		Standard	Local physical plan, Art 23 (PPUG)	
	Residential density	Information source	Model	
A1.4		Assessment method	"Mravince 280 ha/ 1.628 stanovnika. = 0,17 residents / ha	
		Standard	National Census 2011 for number of residents Local physical plan for neighborhood surface	
	Conservation of land	Information source	Measured data	
A1.7		Assessment method	Total neighborhood area/ecological land area = 2%	
		Standard	Local physical plan for surfaces No specific reference on ecological land	
		Information source	measured	
A2.2	Walking distance to public transport for area workers and students	Assessment method	https://geoportal.dgu.hr	
		Standard	Development program of the city 2018-2025	
A2.9	On-street and indoor parking spaces relative	Information source	Measured data	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	to local population		<ul> <li>Counting the number of parking spaces in the neighborhood</li> <li>National Census 2011 for number of residents</li> </ul>
		Standard	Local physical plan (PPUG)
	Intermodality facilities	Information source	Measured data
A2.10		Assessment method	https://geoportal.dgu.hr
		Standard	No specific standard, estimation is given

B- ECONOMY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
	Affordability of housing property	Information source Assessment method	
B1.1		Standard	Annual report on average income per resident in the city Current market price for housing property National Census 2011 for average size of housing property
		Information source	Site visit
B1.6	Percent of residential units in the neighbourhood that are vacant	Assessment method	Site visit
		Standard	-
	Average annual per- capita income of residents	Information source	statistics
B2.2		Assessment method	Revenue per worker/number of residents in the neighborhood
		Standard	Development program of the city 2018-2025
		Information source	Partly data bank, partly measured
B3.3	Use stage energy costs for public buildings	Assessment method	Energy consumption collected from energy providers / EMIS system used to collect data on area public buildings;
		Standard	-
B3.4	Levels of total public and private investment	Information source	Monitored



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Assessment method	(Expenses for the acquisition of long-term assets / number of residents) x percentage of residents of the neighborhood
Standard	City budget, Expenses for the acquisition of long-term assets; National Census 2011 for number of residents

C- ENERGY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Information source	Modeled
C1.1		Assessment method	Definition of year of construction of buildings – three categories are prevailing, built before 1940, after 1970 and after 2006. For each category specific thermal energy demand is defined according Strategy for long-term energy refurbishment of building sector in Croatia. Total surface of buildings = number of households x average surface of household.
		Standard	Strategy for long-term energy refurbishment of buildings sector in Croatia
	Total final electrical energy consumption for building operations	Information source	Measured data
C1.4		Assessment method	Report from energy distributor
		Standard	-
	Total primary energy demand for building operation	Information source	Measured data
C1.7		Assessment method	Report from energy distributor
		Standard	-
	Share of renewable energy on-site relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Information source	Modeled
C2.1		Assessment method	Calculation of energy produced from on-site systems / specific final energy consumption (for specific construction period) x total surface of buildings).
		Standard	Strategy for long-term energy refurbishment of buildings sector in Croatia
C2 4	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total primary energy consumption for building	Information source	Modeled
62.4		Assessment method	(Calculation of energy produced from on-site systems x primary energy factor) / (specific





	operations		final energy consumption (for specific construction period) x total surface of buildings) x primary energy factor)
		Standard	Strategy for long-term energy refurbishment of buildings sector in Croatia Primary energy factors in Croatia
		Information source	Modeled
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumption	Assessment method	(Calculation of electric energy produced from on-site systems x primary energy factor) / total electricity consumption
		Standard	Data on electricity consumption from energy distributor

D- ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
	GHG emissions from primary energy used for in building operations	Information source	Modeled	
D1.2		Assessment method	Total energy consumption per fuel x GHG factor for specific fuel	
		Standard	GHG emissions factors in Croatia	
		Information source	Modeled	
D1.7	Total GHG Emissions from buildings, private and public mobility	Assessment method	Total energy consumption per fuel x GHG factor for specific fuel	
		Standard	GHG emissions factors in Croatia	

E- NON-RENEWABLE RESOURCES				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
	Consumption of potable water for residential population	Information source	Measured data	
E1 6		Assessment method	Water consumption / number of inhabitants	
E1.0		Standard	Data on water consumption from water distributor National Census 2011 for number of residents	
E4 7	Consumption of potable water for public non- residential building systems	Information source	Measured data	
E1./		Assessment method	Annual water consumption / surface of buildings	







		Standard	-
		Information source	Site visit
E2.1	Solid waste and recycling collection points	Assessment method	Inserting positions of waste bins in Google Earth tool and measuring distance from houses
		Standard	-
	Solid waste from construction and demolition projects retained in the area for re- use or recycling	Information source	Site visit
E2.3		Assessment method	Esitmation
		Standard	-
	Consumption of non- renewable material resources for construction of infrastructure	Information source	-
E3.2		Assessment method	-
		Standard	-

F- ENVIRONMENT								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS						
		Information source	Questionnaire for residents					
F1.1	Impact of construction activities on natural	Assessment method	-					
	features	Standard	Assessment criteria for the KPI					
	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Information source	Measured data					
F1.3		Assessment method	Calculation of surfaces of different finishing x permeability factor					
		Standard	Assessment criteria for the KPI					
	Impact of private	Information source	Questionnaire for residents					
F1.8	vehicles used by the local population on peak load capacity of the local road system	Assessment method	-					
		Standard	Assessment criteria for the KPI					







F2.3		Information source	Data from measuring station		
	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates	Assessment method	Annual measurement		
	<10 mu (PM10) over a one-year period	Standard	National standard		
	_	Information source	Measured data		
F3.6	Tree coverage for shade and management of local ambient temperatures.	Assessment method	Calculation of shaded surfaces of different finishing / total public surface		
		Standard	-		
		Information source	Questionnaire for residents		
F3.10	Ecological diversity in	Assessment method	Estimation		
	trie area	Standard	-		

G- SOCIAL ASPECTS							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS					
		Information source	Measurement on a map				
G2.1	Public transport service	Assessment method	Percentage of inhabitants that are within 400 meters walking distance of at least one public transportation service stop				
		Standard	-				
G2.4		Information source	Measurement on a map				
	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.	Assessment method	Total walkway meters of dedicated pedestrian paths and meters of bicycle path and "shared space" per 100 inhabitants.				
		Standard	-				
		Information source	Modeled data				
G3.1	Availability of a broadband	Assessment method	Esitmated number of users				
	communication network	Standard	Plan for development of broadband infrastructure in the city				
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key public human	Information source	Modeled data				
•	services	Assessment	Percentage of inhabitants in radius of 800 m				





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

		method	from key public services
		Standard	-
		Information source	Modeled data
G5.1	Local production of food	Assessment method	Calculation of area of vegetable gardens in the area
		Standard	-
		Information source	Questionnaire for residents
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning	Assessment method	Described based on the type of response / total number of responses
	activities	Standard	Assessment criteria for the KPI





A KING WAR AND A CONSIDER

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

## **COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS – URBAN SCALE**





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### Total number of criteria calculated

N° Criteria TOT	City of Turin	City of Udine	EVBDM	AURA- EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	UoM	NOA	EIHP	Average
Urban	34	46	16	19	33	59	66	44	38	32,83

Table1: Number of criteria that compose the 9 Regional Tools.

A first information derived from the analysis of the 9 Regional reports collected concerns the number of criteria selected by the partners to compose their Regional Tools. Obviously each of them has had to calculate the 16 mandatory KPIs and, to these criteria, others have been added to be calculated, depending on their regional needs.

The average value deriving from the analysis is equal to 33 criteria, obviously, as anticipated, it is an average value, in fact, some partners have limited the calculation to those which are mandatory or a little more, while others have composed regional instruments with over 60 criteria. The bar chart below shows the numerical distribution of the criteria one by one, for all the nine partners involved in the task; the range of fluctuation of values has as minimum value the 16 criteria selected by EnvirobatBDM and reaches the maximum value of 66 criteria selected and calculated by the University of Malta.



*Chart1: Bar chart showing the numerical distribution of criteria selected by each partner.* 







Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### Number of criteria per area

After quantifying the number of criteria selected by each partner, a distribution analysis of them was conducted so as to understand which areas are the most densely populated.

Thanks to the distributive analysis of the criteria within the different seven areas, it can be stated that the area most densely populated of criteria is Area G, related to Social Aspects, to follow Area C, related to Energy Aspects. Compared to the latter area, it is interesting to note that the number of selected criteria is quite homogeneous unlike the situation for Area G, where the disparity in the number of selected criteria is definitely clear.

N° of Criteria per Areas	City of Turin	City of Udine	EVBDM	AURA- EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	UoM	NOA	EIHP	Average
A	4	5	1	1	3	3	9	4	6	4,0
В	2	5	1	1	5	3	3	4	5	3,2
С	12	7	5	6	9	9	8	13	6	8,3
D	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1,3
E	4	8	2	4	3	8	7	4	6	5,1
F	4	8	2	2	7	8	14	7	6	6,4
G	7	11	4	4	5	16	24	11	7	9,9

 Table2: Numerical distribution of criteria making up the 9 Regional Tools, distributed in the seven areas. In the last

 column there are the weighted average values of this distribution.



*Chart2: Pie chart showing the percentage distribution of the criteria within the 7 areas making up the tool, resulting from the weighted average of the individual values of the 9 Regional Tools.* 





Mediterranean

the local and the local states of the second states

Almost similarly populated are Area E - Non - Renewable Resources and Area F - Environment, which have reciprocally the 13% and 17% of the total criteria. The remaining two areas, respectively named Area B - Economy and Area D - Atmospheric Emissions, are the least populated in term of criteria, among the reasons there is certainly the fact that these areas have already a small number of indicators within the Generic Framework, compared to the others, moreover, with regard to Area B - Economy, this appears to be generically less priority and relevant than the others.



*Chart3: On the left, the table shows the number of criteria existing in the Generic Framework broken down by area, subsequently compared with the average value obtained from the analysis of the 9 Regional Tools. On the right, the bar chart that graphically translates the results.* 

As can be seen by looking at the image above, the ratio between the total number of criteria in a specific area of the Generic Framework and the average of those calculated in the different Regional Tools is often not proportional. However, this graph allows us to understand that the most crowded areas are often those that already contain a large number of selectable criteria in the Generic Framework.





is containe

and the second states and the second states

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### Average value of Priority factors per KPIs

For each criterion included within the Regional Tool it was necessary to assign a priority factor concerning the Impact, the Extent and the Duration of the effect of that specific criterion, with the purpose of defining an order of importance and relevance between the criteria, being able to more easily complete the weighing operation.

The table below summarizes the average values obtained from the analysis of the 9 Regional Tools for each of the 16 KPIs, in relation to:

- B= Impact of the Potential effect (1-3)
- C= Extent of potential effect (1-5)
- D= Duration of potential effect (1-5)
- •

Code	Criterion	Value	Average
		B= Impact	2
Code         A1.7         B3.3         C1.1         C1.4         C1.7         C2.1	Conservation of Land	C=Extent	3
		ValueValueB= ImpactIC=ExtentD=Durationublic buildingsC=ExtentC=ExtentD=DurationD=DurationI	4
		B= Impact	2
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public buildings	C=Extent	2
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
C1.4	Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	2
C1.7		B= Impact	3
	Total primary energy demand for building operations	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final	C=Extent	3
	thermal energy consumption for building operations	D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building	C=Extent	5
	operations	D=Duration	5
		B= Impact	3
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	2



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A State Stat

		B= Impact	2
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	2
		B= Impact	2
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or	C=Extent	3
	landscaping	D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10)	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	4
		B= Impact	3
G2.1	Public transport service	C=Extent	2
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	C=Extent	2
		D=Duration	2





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### Weight per area

The weighting is one of the crucial aspect in the all process, it consists in the assignment of a weight to each criterion, category and issue. The weight is expressed as a percentage. This process allows to align the assessment tool to local environmental, social and economic priorities. The table below shows the percentage distribution of the weights assigned by the partners to the seven areas of the Generic Framework. As for the previous analyzes, also in this case we proceeded by averaging the values obtained by each single partner.

Weight of Areas	City of Turin	City of Udine	EVBDM	AURA- EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	UoM	NOA	EIHP	Average
А	11,6%	10,4%	18,9%	0,0%	6,5%	10,2%	13,5%	4,6%	12,2%	9,8%
В	1,7%	6,6%	5,0%	1,8%	9,1%	3,6%	1,8%	3,9%	4,6%	4,2%
с	41,1%	18,4%	30,5%	28,2%	26,7%	25,9%	16,2%	31,1%	21,5%	26,5%
D	6,9%	14,3%	23,6%	33,9%	7,3%	12,7%	5,8%	13,6%	13,3%	14,6%
Ε	6,9%	14,1%	3,4%	8,7%	7,3%	10,1%	11,7%	10,7%	14,3%	9,6%
F	18,3%	15,7%	9,4%	9,9%	31,3%	23,8%	28,7%	16,8%	9,0%	18,1%
G	13,4%	20,5%	9,1%	17,4%	15,4%	13,6%	22,3%	19,3%	25,0%	17,2%

Table3: Percentage distribution of the weights assigned by the partners to the seven Areas of the Tool. Cells in yellowrepresent the lower weight given while the green ones represent the higher weight.

The importance of the Area C - Energy within the Tool is immediately visible from the underlying horizontal bar chart. Almost all the partners attributed the greater weight to this area than all the others, justifying this choice precisely because of the importance that energy aspects have in the world in terms of environmental sustainability.



Chart4: Bar chart showing the average percentage weight obtained for each Area.


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



and the second and the second and

The average value attributed to Area C, which comes from the analysis conducted, corresponds to 26.5% over the total. In terms of percentage weight, immediately after Area C there is Area F - Environment, with an average of 18.1%. Not far from this last value there is the Area G - Social Aspects, which reaches an average of 17.2%, even in this case, the result is fairly homogeneous, in fact there were no significant numerical fluctuations between scores given by partners.

As is evident from the analysis carried out in the previous paragraph, despite the fact that the Area D -Atmospheric Emissions has few criteria taken into consideration, these still have a significant weight in the Tool, in fact, following the allocation of weights by the partners, the Area D is in any case enough influential in term of percentage, with 14.6% of importance compared to the total.

Area A - Built Urban Systems and Area E - Non-Renewable Resources, have achieved a similar percentage score, they appear to be less significant than the areas analyzed above but still have a percentage relevance within the Tool of about 10% each.

As shown in the paragraph dedicated to the number of criteria per area, few criteria of Area B - Economy have been taken into consideration to compose the Regional Tools; in the same way, it appears how this category of criteria is kept less in consideration than the others also regarding the priority in terms of weight of the area. The Area B - Economy has in fact a percentage weight of only 4.2% in the Tool.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# Average value of Minimum/Best value

Average	7,6	20,8	33,0	3,5	95,0	18,3	44,9	13,8	173,7	55,6	20,4	73,8	22,3	84,1	32,6	10,7	94,2	39,8	5,5	2,1	21,7	74,4	26,9	10,5	36,1	93,3	33,8	104,6	41,1	91,1	0,3	4,8
đĦB	2	10	100	0	100	50	75	20	100	70	5	30	20	35	22	15	250	100	2	3	20	80	20	15	5	40	0	500	20	70	0	£
NOA	10	20	17,7	4,1	314	21,1	64,2	7,9	461,9	38,2	4	14	1	47	46	5	62,1	18,6	0,65	0,33	15	80	35	0	50	100	2	20	50	06	0	2
MoN	10	28	100	0	20	0	25	ъ	50	15	25	06	35	75	80	30	15	5			20	100			30	100	5	40	50	100	0	2
Sant Cugat	10	20	13,56	3,33	76,23	33,8	29,85	10,88	152	15	25	60	15	75	30	10	150	60	15	5	20	70	15	11	30	100	ъ	40	50	100	0	2
GEN CAT	4	15	20	10	75	20	20	20	225	70	25	90	15	75	30	10	150	40	15	5	20	70	15	11	30	100	20	80	30	80	0	5
AURA-EE	10	20	14	3,5	50	0	55	ъ	140	0	30	100	35	75	30	10	68	30	1,1	0,4	20	100	30	11	0	100	200	50	30	100	0	5
1virobatBD	15	30	14	3,5	40	0	12	0	40	0	25	100	25	200	20	5	40	20	5	2	20	70	30	11	50	100	15	40	30	100	0	ъ
City of Udine	7	42	10	3	80	10	23	ъ	72	50	25	50	35	75	13	11	47,45	23,7	1,3	0,6	40	60	35	0	60	100	43	129	30	80	3	5
City of Turin	0,5	2	7,4	4	70	30	50	20	322	242	20	100	20	100	22,5	0	65	61	1	0,5	20	40	35	25	70	100	14	42	80	100	0	5
Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value
Unit of Measure	6	<u>%</u>	c1 21	€/m /yr	1.1111 /2 /	kwn/m /yr		kwn/m <sup>-</sup> /yr	1.111/h /mr 2 /	kwn/m /yr	6	0/	6	0/	12 CO 02 /m <sup>2</sup> /m	kg cu2 eq./ III /yi		m / occupant/ yr		m/m	70	0/	dauchur	ik /c kpn	70	0/	m /100 i nhahi tante		6	/0	laval (ccora)	
Criterion	Communities of load	Conservation of Land		ose stage etter gy cost for public buildings	Total final thermal energy consumption for	building operations	Total final electric energy consumption for	building operations	Total primary energy demand for building	operations	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative	to total fillar therman energy consumption for huilding operations	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final	electric energy consumptions	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy	used in building operations	Consumption of potable water for	residential population	Consumption of potable water for public	non-residential building systems	Recharge of groundwater through	permeable paving or lands caping	Ambient air quality with respect to	par ucurates <10 mu (PIMILO) OVER a Une vear neriod	Dublic transport convice	רמחור המווצעטר גבו ארכב	Ourlity of and ortrine and hickelo antuorly	ממשוולא מו הבתבפתו שוום מונח מוכלרוב וובראמו אין ו	Month of the second	אימוומטווונץ מווט אי טאווווגע טו גבץ אבו אוכבא	Community involvement in urban planning	activities
Code	L 14	/.TA	C C0	0.00	5	CT.1	5	4. CT:4	5	CT-/	5	1.20	5	1.27	۲ ک	7.10	E1 6		L 1 7	C1./	C 1 J	C.1.1	C 7 3	C.7	1	1.20	5	4.70	C VU	7.45	C 95	C.UD

Table4: Benchmark e Best values set out by each partners for all the 16 KPIs.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Benchmarking consists in the definition of the scoring scale for each selected criterion. The benchmark is a quantification of the indicator's value corresponding to the minimum acceptable performance and the one that is considered the best at regional level. Benchmarks can't be the same at transnational level because the local conditions of each region are different (climate, building practice, standards, level of advancement in the sustainability field, etc..). The scoring scale used in CESBA MED Urban Tool ranges from -1 to 5, where zero represents the minimum acceptable performance, 5 the excellence, 3 the best practice and -1 a negative performance.

The assignment of a reference value for the benchmark and also for the best performance is not at all a simple matter. For some criteria, there are legal limits to refer to, or national or regional regulations but finding these values is not always easy. Each partner has defined a benchmark and a best practice value for each of the 16 KPIs of the Tool, the previous table summarizes them, trying to identify even an average value which, in most cases, is not particularly meaningful, since, the reference values are definitely different between one country and another.

The rationale adopted by partners and the data sources investigated to define the reference values for the analyzed KPIs are summarized below.

Code	Criterion	Benchmark Rationale/Data source
A1.7	Conservation of Land	-Local planning rules. -Study by the agricultural Chamber for the local master plan.
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public buildings	<ul> <li>-Hypothesis.</li> <li>-Passive or NZEB Building.</li> <li>-National Institute of Energy.</li> <li>-National Agency for new technologies, energy and sustainable economic development (ENEA).</li> </ul>
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	<ul> <li>-Passive or NZEB Building.</li> <li>-The average thermal consumption defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert).</li> <li>-ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-efficiency/buildings.</li> <li>-International Energy Agency.</li> <li>-EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>-National Institute of Energy.</li> <li>-(PAES), Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.</li> <li>-National Agency for new technologies, energy and sustainable economic development(ENEA).</li> <li>-TABULA EU Project: http://episcope.eu/building-typology/</li> <li>-UNI 11300.</li> </ul>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C1.4	Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	RT2012: New: Compliance with the new thermal regulations to come: RE 2020, level E1, respect for the share of electricity -Passive or NZEB Building. -EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling). -National Institute of Energy. -(PAES), Sustainability and Energy Action Plan. -EURAC Study http://www.eurac.edu/en/research/technologies/ renewableenergy/publications/Documents/EURAC.
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	<ul> <li>-RT2012.</li> <li>-Levels from the future national building regulation called E+C- (Energy+ Carbon -).</li> <li>-EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>-National Institute of Energy.</li> <li>-(PAES) Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.</li> </ul>
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	<ul> <li>Scores based on the City of Lyon Sustainable Cities Guide.</li> <li>Passive or NZEB Building.</li> <li>The average ratio of the DHW energy consumption to the thermal energy consumption defined using calculated data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert).</li> <li>EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.</li> <li>2020 EU Strategy.</li> <li>Association of Renewable Energy Professionals.</li> <li>-(PAES), Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.</li> <li>National Legislative Decree.</li> </ul>
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions	<ul> <li>-Scores based on the City of Lyon Sustainable Cities Guide.</li> <li>-Passive or NZEB Building.</li> <li>-EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings. Calculation of energy use for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>-2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013.</li> <li>-(PAES), Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.</li> <li>-2020 EU Strategy.</li> </ul>





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D1.2 Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations -Passive or NZEB Building. -EN 15603 (Energy performance Overall energy use and definition	of buildings - n of energy ratings).
D1.2 Used in building operations -EN 15603 (Energy performance Overall energy use and definition	of buildings - n of energy ratings).
Overall energy use and definition	n of energy ratings).
-(PAES) Sustainability and Energy	Action Plan.
-Environmental Agency.	
-National Statistical Authority.	
E1.6 E1.6 -Municipal sustainability report of	concerning
management of water.	
-Standard UNI PdR ITACA.	
-Environmental Agency.	
-National Statistical Authority.	
E1.7 E1.7 – Municipal sustainability report of	concerning
management of water.	
-Standard UNI PdR ITACA.	
-Local plan of urban planning and	d habitat.
F1.3 F1.3 -Municipal Regulation.	
-UNI PdR ITACA.	
-Observatory of the quality of the	e air.
-Statistical data.	
Ambient air quality with respect to -Municipal regulations.	
F2.3 particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year -European Air quality Standards.	
period -EU Directive limits.	
-Regional Agency for Environmen	nt Protection.
-Eco-Management and Audit Sch	eme (EMAS).
-Global Platform for Sustainable	Cities - Urban
Sustainability Framework.	
-Sustainable Cities Guide.	
G2.1 Public transport service -Specific study on the topic.	
-Mobility plan.	
-Technical study carried out by t	ne Municipality for
the public transport.	
-Global Platform for Sustainable	Cities - Urban
G2.4 Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	
-Sustainable Cities Guide.	
-Statistical Data.	
-Global Platform for Sustainable	Cities - Urban
G4.2 Availability and proximity of key services Sustainability Framework.	
-Sustainable Cities Guide.	
G6 3 Community involvement in urban planning	
activities	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# Standard for calculation

Exactly how it was important to define, in the previous paragraph, the reference data sources of the criteria in order to extrapolate benchmark and best practice data, in the same way, it is fundamental to identify the support tools useful to perform the calculations for the quantification of the value of the indicators.

The table below summarizes the main information sources and tools used by the partners to perform the calculations.

Code	Criterion	Information sources
		-Measured data: Local physical plan for surfaces.
A1.7	Conservation of Land	-Municipal Urban Plan.
		-Thematic map – Geographic Information System.
		-Data bank.
B3 3	Use stage energy cost for	-Models and simulation.
55.5	public buildings	-Energy cost from Bills.
		-Estimated, Statistical data.
		-Modeled: Definition of year of construction of buildings. For
		each category specific thermal energy demand is defined
	Total final thermal energy	according Strategy for long-term energy refurbishment of
C1.1	consumption for building	building sector.
	operations	-VRF systems monitor.
		-Data from SEAP, Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.
		-Overall city consumptions (Database from Covenant of Majors).
		-Measured: report from energy distributor.
	Total final electric energy	-National thermal regulation.
C1.4	consumption for building	-RE2020 regulation.
	operations	-Data from (PAES), Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.
		-Overall city consumptions (Database from Covenant of Majors).
		-Monitored data.
		Calculations are based on EN 12700
	Total primary energy demand	-Calculations are based on EN 13730.
C1.7		-Overall city consumptions (Database from Covenant of Majors)
		-Monitored data
		-/APE) Energy Performance Certification
		-Modeled
	Share of renewable energy on-	-Calculations are based on EN 13790
	site. relative to total final	-Municipal regulations.
C2.1	thermal energy consumption	-Data from (PAES). Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.
	for building operations	-Data from GSE – Manager Energy Services.
		-(APE) Energy Performance Certification.
		-Data on electricity consumption from energy distributor.
	Share of renewable energy on-	-Calculations are based on EN 13790.
C2.7	site, on final electric energy	-Municipal regulations.
	consumptions	-Data from (PAES), Sustainability and Energy Action Plan.
		-Data from GSE – Manager Energy Services.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

		-Modeled: GHG emissions factors in the country.
		-National Values of Emissions References Related to the Energy
	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building	Mix.
54.2		-National factors concerning emissions.
D1.2		-Estimated data.
	operations	-National Energy Power Station.
		-Overall city consumptions (Database from Covenant of Majors).
		-(APE) Energy Performance Certification.
		-Measured data: Data on water consumption from water
		distributor. National Census 2011 for number of residents.
E1.6	Consumption of potable water	-(French) Tool "Water calculator".
	for residential population	-Estimated data: Statistical data.
		-UNI PdR ITACA.
		-Measured data.
F1 7	Consumption of potable water	- (French) Tool "Water calculator".
E1./	for public non-residential	-Estimated data: Statistical data.
	building systems	-UNI PdR ITACA.
54.0	Deckenze of mennetication	-Measured data.
	Recharge of groundwater	-Thematic map – Geographic Information System.
F1.3	through permeable paving or	-Local context of the local plan of urban planning and habitat.
	landscaping	-Google earths images.
	Ambient air quality with	-Data from measuring station.
F2.3	respect to particulates <10 mu	-Observatory of the quality of the air.
	(PM10) over a one year period	-Statistical data.
		-Measurement on a map.
G2.1	Public transport service	-Google Maps.
		-Thematic map – Geographic Information System.
	Quality of podoctrian and	-Measurement on a map.
G2.4	biguele network	-Thematic map – Geographic Information System.
	DICYCLE HELWORK	-Municipal Satellite maps.
	Availability and provimity of	-Thematic map – Geographic Information System.
G4.2	Availability and proximity of	-Google Maps.
	key services	-Municipal Satellite maps.
<u> </u>	Community involvement in	http://www.participatorymethods.org/sites/
66.3	urban planning activities	participatorymethods.org/files/Arnstein%20ladder%201969.pdf
	· -	





A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND AND A FILL AND A FILL

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# **KPIs value**

Code	Criterion	City of Turin	City of Udine	EnvirobatBDM	AURA-EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	NoM	NOA	EIHP
A1.7	Conservation of Land	0,5	7,2	7	0	0	2,74	28	0	2
B3.3	Use stage energy cost for public buildings	8,2	9,7	no data	5,9	16,57	7,1	18	20,4	∞
C1.1	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	235	76,26	no data	41	54,82	47,51	16,1	155,4	64
C1.4	Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	78,2	17,43	no data	7	53,28	33,26	103,2	77,7	194
C1.7	Total primary energy demand for building operations	403	181,06	no data	53	172,16	124,63	233,8	396,3	147
C2.1	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal energy consumption	0,00003	3,01	no data	32	1, 25	1,59	65	3,4	m
C2.7	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions	1,23	3,11	no data	0	0,73	0,03	16	2,4	0
D1.2	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	86	34,36	no data	8	26,39	31,23	77	10,9	22
E1.6	Consumption of potable water for residential population	63,5	48,68	20	62	35,8	49,12	166	59,5	49
E1.7	Consumption of potable water for public non-residential building systems	0,8	0,924	no data	0,5	0,58	4.95	∞	0,65	3,82
F1.3	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	17,19	61,03	no data	30	0,12	15,37	25	31	79
F2.3	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year	118	22	8	11,5	5	6,67	11,5	38	N.A.
G2.1	Public transport service	100	90'06	26	80	100	100	60	100	40
G2.4	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	12,07	84,89	63,43	9400	115,54	16,18	2,3	188,8	138,9
G4.2	Availability and proximity of key services	100	97,33	100	100	100	100	100	100	0
G6.3	Community involvement in urban planning activities	0	0	3,5	3	2	2	3	N.A.	0





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# **KPIs score**

EIHP	0	0,01	0,17	-0,03	-0,03	-0,02	-0,02	0	0,16	-0,01	0,03	0	-0,05	0,08	-0,03	0
NOA	-1	-1	2,7	-1	0,8	- T	0,2	4,3	0,3	0,65	1,2	-1	5	5	Ŀ	N.A.
MoU	ß	ı	3,4	-1	-1	I	-1	0,4	I	3,5	0,3	I	2,1	-0,4	ß	ε
Sant Cugat	-1	3,2	3,4	-1	1,4	-1	-1	-1	5	ъ	-1	5	5	1,6	ъ	2
GEN CAT	-1	1,72	1,83	1,67	1,7	-1	-1	0,9	5	5	-1	5	5	5	5	£
AURA-EE	-1	æ	3	æ	3	0	-1	5	0	0	0	3	0	3	ъ	æ
EnvirobatBDM	-1	no data available	no data available	no data available	no data available	no data available	no data available	no data available	1	1	no data available	0	5	1,5	ъ	3,5
City of Udine	0,1	0,2	0,6	1,5	-1	1-	1-	-1	-1	2,7	2	1,9	3,8	2,4	Ŀ	0
City of Turin	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1,9	2	0,4	-1	5	-1	£	0
Criterion	Conservation of Land	Use stage energy cost for public buildings	Total final thermal energy consumption for building operations	Total final electric energy consumption for building operations	Total primary energy demand for building operations	Share of renewable energy on-site, relative to to total final thermal energy consumption for	Share of renewable energy on-site, on final electric energy consumptions	Total GHG Emissions from primary energy used in building operations	Consumption of potable water for residential population	Consumption of potable water for public non- residential building systems	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping	Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period	Public transport service	Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network	Availability and proximity of key services	Community involvement in urban planning activities
Code	A1.7	B3.3	C1.1	C1.4	C1.7	C2.1	C2.7	D1.2	E1.6	E1.7	F1.3	F2.3	G2.1	G2.4	G4.2	G6.3



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



- A the second and a contract

Testing activity has been very useful for the understanding of the feasibility of the KPIs calculation and of course, to figure out the potential problems arising from data acquisition and the ones related to the performing of the calculation. There are criteria whose data have been very difficult to be acquired by the partners involved in the activity, like for example the data related to the share of renewable energy on-site, relative to total final thermal and electric energy consumption for building operations, to the consumption of potable water for residential population, the use stage energy cost for public buildings and so on.

It's important to underline that this difficulty is strictly related to the fact that it's not easy to get data about existing buildings, may be built many years ago, on the contrary, for new buildings this aspect appears less problematic.

After the testing activity, all the Key Performance Indicators have been kept in the list.

With regard to the minimum and the best values proposed by partners for all the KPIs at Urban scale, in most cases they are very different from each other. This happens because the data sources used are not the same and consequently the benchmarks fixed are different one country from another. Sometimes, also when the data sources taken into account are the same, values set out are not so similar. For that reason, the average value calculated for each minimum and best value of every KPIs is not always so significant.

There are, however, few cases in which the results are very close to each other, for example, the criterion related to the permeability F1.3 - Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping has achieved from partners minimum and best values absolutely similar, using in most case as data source local urban plan of the municipal regulation. Also criteria F2.3 - Ambient air quality with respect to particulates <10 mu (PM10) over a one year period obtained comparable values

On the contrary, the energy criterion C1.7 - Total primary energy demand for building operations and the criterion E1.6 - Consumption of potable water for residential population, have obtained minimum and best values completely different and non-comparable and the same inconsistency is visible also for criterion G2.4 - Quality of pedestrian and bicycle network.

The section related to the standard for the calculation helps to understand what are the differences in the choice of the data source to be used to set benchmark and also the different tools used to perform the calculation of the criteria, justifying sometimes the numerical misalignments among partners.



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – TORINO

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

# **B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION**

Name of the Category

B1.1	Primary energy demand *
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *
B1.11	Embodied non renewable primary energy

# C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS

C1	Greenhouses gas emission
C1.3	Global warming potential
C.3	Solid an liquid waste
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations

# D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

D1	Indoor air quality and ventilation
D1.3	Formalndeyde concentration
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air
D1.5	CO2 concentration in indoor air
D2.1	Time outside of the thermal comfort rang
D2.2	Thermal comfort index

G- COST AND	ECONOMIC ASPECTS
G1	Coat and economics
G1.4	Use stage energy cost
G1.5	Use stage water cost





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# Mediterranean

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

# **B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand	Relevant for the new development Plan of the city
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	Relevant for the new development Plan of the cit
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	Relevant for the new development Plan of the city
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Relevant for the new development Plan of the
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	City — Relevant for the new development Plan of the cityy

# C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS CRITERION REASON/MOTIVATION C Construction and demolition waste C3.1 Construction and demolition waste Relevant for the new development Plan of the city Relevant for the new development Plan of the city Relevant for the new development Plan of the city

# **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

### CRITERION

### D

- D1.3 Formaldehyde concentration D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air D1.5 CO2 concentration in indoor air
- ----
- D2.1 Time outside of the thermal comfort rang
- D2.2 Thermal comfort index

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

General Safety issue Important for occupants' safety

Important for occupants' air quality

Important for occupants' confort

Important for occupants' confort



# the second of th



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION		
G1.4	Use stage energy cost *	KPI		
G1.5	Use stage water cost *	KPI		

# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
<b>B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES</b>	3	The Municipality considers Sustainable Urban
CONSUMPTION		Planning very relevant
		Consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	The Municipality considers Sustainable Urban
		Planning very relevant
		Consistency with the draft revision of the general regulation plan (P.R.G.) of the City
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	Relevant parameter linked with external air quality and health aspects
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	It is important to reduce the municipal budget

# CATEGORIES

B1- Total life cycle non renewable energy	45,0
B3- Use of materials	5,0
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	8,0
TOTAL	58
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	15,0
C3- Solid and liquid waste	8,0
TOTAL	23,0
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	8,0
D2- Thermal comfort	3,0
TOTAL	11
G1- Cost	8,0
TOTAL	8,0





Mediterranean

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION									
B1	B1 Energy								
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
B1.1	Primary energy demand	8	5	5	2	3	Give significant weight to energy issues		
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	8	5	5	2	3	Give significant weight to energy issues		
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	5	5	5	2	3	Give significant weight to energy issues		
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	8	5	5	2	3	Give significant weight to energy issues		
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	8	5	5	2	3	Importance of renewable energy (covenant of Majors) for the Municipality		
B1.11	Embodied energy	8	5	5	2	3	Important criterium, but limitate action in existing building		
<b>B</b> 3	Use of Materials								
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
B3.5	Recycled materials	5	4	3	2	3	Important criterium, but limitate action in existing building		
<b>B</b> 4	Use of potable water, s	tormwate	r and	greyw	ater				
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses	8	4	3	3	3	Importance of saving water		
TOTAL		58							

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS								
C1	C1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations	15	5	5	3	3	Reduction of CO2 is strictly linked to energy use: important	
C3 Solid and Liquid Waste								
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste	4	4	3	2	3	Increase reuse of local material in refurbishment	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations	4	4	3	2	3	Increase reuse of local material in refurbishment	
TOTAL		23						





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY								
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation							
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in							
	indoor air	4	1	3	3	2	Air quality is important for health issues	
D1.10	Ventilation rate	4	1	3	3	2		
D2	Air Temperature and R	elative Hu	midit	y				
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	3	1	3	3	2	Thermal comfort play significant role in energy saving	
TOTAL		11						

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS							
G1	Cost						
CRITERION		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	4	2	3	3	2	Energy cost reduction can be used for other investments
G1.5	Use stage water cost	4	2	3	1	2	Water cost reduction can be used for other investments
TOTAL		8					

# a. SBTool benchmarks rationale

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
<b>P11</b>	Primary	k\\/b/m2.v	0: 80	Close to actual value/TABULA			
D.1.1	demand	күүп/пп2 у	5: 30	Values from CasaClima ed ENEA			
B.1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	kWh/m2 y	0: 70	Values from CasaClima ed ENEA			
			5: 20	Values from CasaClima ed ENEA			
B.1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	kWh/m2 y	0: 30	Close to actual value			
			5: 20	EURAC Study			
B.1.5	Energy from renewable	%	0: 30	20% objectives 2020 from EU strategies			
	sources in total thermal energy consumption		5: 100	Excellent and ideal target			



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total		%	0: 40	20% objectives 2020 from EU strategies + increase for public building
elect energ cons	electrical energy consumption		5: 100	Excellent and ideal target
B.1.11	B.1.11 Embodied energy	MJ/m2	0: 2500	Estimated actual value (IUAV, prof. Carbonari)
			5: 1000	Estimated reduction
B.3.5	3.3.5 Recycled materials		0: 15	Estimated actual value (from existing examples) CAM edilizia, DM 11/10/2017
			5: 50	Insert your comment here
B.4.5 Water m3/c consumption for indoor uses		m3/occupant/year	0: 40	From EURAC, ENEA (reduction for non residential)
			5: 25	<50% reduction from actual estimated from EURAC

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
Greenhouse Gas		kgCO2eq/	0: 30	technical evaluation		
C 1.5	building's operations	m2 y	5: 0	Ideal target		
C 3.1 Construction and	Construction and	Kg/m2	0: 100	Usual practice		
	demonion waste		5: 20	Reduction of waste in a renovation situation		
C 3.2	Solid waste from building operations	%	0: 50	Actual analytical analisys		
			5: 80	Target value		

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
D 1.4	TVOC concentration in in indoor air	μg/m3	0: 5000	Measured data operating buildingshttp://www.minerva.u nito.it/Chimica&Industria/Monit oraggioAmbientale/A4/Confina ti7.htm			
			5: 1000	ECA report			
D 1.10	Ventilation rate	l/s m2	0: 10	Standard UNI 10339			
			5: 20	Technical evaluation			

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D 2.2	Thermal comfort index	%	0: 10	Literature value
			5: 0	Optimal value

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
61.4	Leo stago oporgu cost	E/m2 v	0: 20	Linked to energy target consumption
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	€/m2 y	5: 10	Linked to energy target consumption
G1.5	Use stage water cost	€/m2 y	0: 5	Linked to energy target consumption
			5: 1	Linked to energy target consumption

# b. SBTool Criteria Specifications

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
		Assessment method	Calculated on average values of similar buildings, Various EU project as reference and Covenant of majors
		Standard	UNI11300
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
		Assessment method	Calculated on average values of similar buildings, Various EU project as reference and Covenant of majors
		Standard	UNI 11300
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
		Assessment method	Covenant of Majors; parametric calculation for specific values



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Standard	No standards
		Information	Calculated data - Estimations
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Assessment method	No Energy from RES
		Standard	UNI 11300
		Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
<b>B1 6</b>	Energy from renewable sources in	Assessment method	CESBA Tool
Ы.0	consumption *		Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive)
		Standard	Decreto legislativo 28/2011, when usable.
		Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
		Assessment method	Literature data
B1.11	Embodied energy		EN 15978 "Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method".
			ISO 14040/44
		Standard	EN 15804 (Sustainability of construction
			works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products) <b>CAM Decreto</b>
		Information	Calculated data - Estimations
B3.5	Recycled materials	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps
		Standard	EN ISO 14021 (Environmental labels and declarations - Self-declared environmental claims - Type II environmental labelling) <b>CAM Decreto</b>
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
D4 5	Water consumption for indoor use		CESBAMED calculation steps
B4.5		Assessment method	Calculation from SMAT (local water distribution) and Covenant of Majors





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Standard

Local Addendum for Building code (allegati Energetici al regolamento edilizio)

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
		Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps; D.M. 26/6/2015
		Standard	UNI 11300 and D.M. 26/6/2015
		Information source	Estimations, literature
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste	Assessment method	Estimated actual value (IUAV, prof. Carbonari)
		Standard	no standards
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps
C3.2	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid	Assessment method	The seven reference categories of solid waste are: Paper, Plastic, Metal, Glass, Wet waste, Textiles, Special hazardous waste.
	waste categories *		Calculated from data collected by the Municipality and IREN

Standard

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	Information source	Metered data
		Assessment method	Literature data

A KING WAR AND A CANE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTER AND A CARE AND A CHARTER AND A

		Standard	EU Commision Report n 19, 1997
	Ventilation rate *	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data
D1.10		Assessment method	Estimated values for natural ventilation
		Standard	UNI 10339, (UNI EN 823), UNI 11300
D2.2	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) *	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
		Assessment method	Estimation, Fanger law
		Standard	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
G1.4	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	Information source	Metered data – Estimations
		Assessment method	Calculation based on actual energy cost and consumption from criteria B
		Standard	
G1.5	Water annual cost per usable floor area	Information source	Metered data – Estimations
		Assessment method	Average consumption and usable surface (data from Municipal GIS data base)
		Standard	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – City of Udine

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

A - SITE REGE	NERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE
A1	Site Regeneration and Development
A1.8	Use of native plant types
A1.10	Provision and quality of children's play area(s)
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking
A2	Urban Design
A2.1	Maximizing efficiency of land use through development density
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services
A3.12	Provision of on-site communal transportation system(s)
A2 A2.1 A3 A3.12	Urban Design Maximizing efficiency of land use through development density Project Infrastructure and Services Provision of on-site communal transportation system(s)

B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Total Life Cycle Non-Renewable Energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand	
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	
B2	Embodied Energy	
B3	Use of Materials	
B3.1	Degree of re-use of suitable existing structure(s) where available	
B3.5	Recycled materials	
B3.7	Easy of disassembly, re-use or recycling	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.3	Use of water for irrigation purposes	
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses	

C - ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Global Warming potential	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste.	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operation.	
C4	Impacts on Project Site	
C4.1	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	
C5	Other Local and Regional Impacts	
C5.7	Contribution to Heat Island Effect from roofing, landscaping and paved areas.	

D - INDOOR EN	VIRONMENTAL QUALITY
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D1.10	Ventilation rate
D2	Air Temperature and Relative Humidity
D2.2	Thermal comfort index
D3	Daylighting and Illumination
D3.1	Appropriate daylighting in primary occupancies areas
D4	Noise and Acoustics
D4.1	Noise attenuation through the exterior envelope

E - SERVICE Q	UALITY
E5	Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance
E5.5	On-going monitoring and verification of performance-

F - SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS					
F1	Social AspectsSocial Aspects				
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building				
F2	Culture and Heritage				
F2.4	Use of traditional local materials and techniques				

G - COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
G1	Cost and Economics			
G1.4	Use stage energy cost			
G1.5	Use stage water cost			

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

# A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

# CRITERION

A1.8 – Use of native plant types
A1.10 – Provision and quality of children's play area(s)
A1.12 – Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking
A2.1 – Maximizing efficiency of land use through

A2.1 – Maximizing efficiency of land use through development density

A3.12 – Provision of on-site communal transportation system(s)

## **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Reduce water consumption using native plants Evaluate the quality of children's playing areas Incentive use of bicycle

Reduce land consumption

Evaluate the public transport service

# **B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION**

### CRITERION

B1.1 – Primary energy demand

B1.2 – Delivered thermal energy demand

B1.3 – Delivered electric energy demand

B1.5 – Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption

### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

the local and the local and the second and

Criterion is mandatory Criterion is mandatory Criterion is mandatory Criterion is mandatory



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B1.6 – Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption

B1.11 – Embodied non-renewable primary energy

B3.1 – Degree of re-use of suitable existing structure(s) where available

- B3.5 Recycled materials
- B3.7 Easy of disassembly, re-use or recycling
- B4.3 Use of water for irrigation purposes
- B4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses

Criterion is mandatory

Not applicable Encourage the reuse of existing volumes

Not applicable Evaluate the degree of ease of reuse Evaluate the consumption of irrigation water Criterion is mandatory

# C – ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS

### CRITERION

C1.3 – Global Warming potential C3.1 – Construction and demolition waste. C3.2 – Solid waste from building operation.

C3.3 - Liquid effluents from building operations that are sent off the site.

C4.1 - Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.

C5.7 - Contribution to Heat Island Effect from roofing, landscaping and paved areas.

## **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Criterion is mandatory Not applicable Criterion is mandatory To minimize the volume of waste water

To assess the extent to which natural groundwater in the site is recharged. Reduce the heat island effect

# **D – INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## CRITERION

D1.4 – TVOC concentration in indoor air
D2.2 – Thermal comfort index
D3.1 - Appropriate daylighting in primary occupancies areas
D4.1 - Noise attenuation through the exterior envelope

Criterion is mandatory

Criterion is mandatory To ensure an adequate level of daylighting in all primary occupied spaces. Evaluate the quality with respect to noise sources

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

# E – SERVICE QUALITY

**CRITERION** E3.1 - Effectiveness of facility management control system E5.5 - On-going monitoring and verification of performance **REASON/MOTIVATION** 

Evaluate the level of building control

Incentive monitoring of buildings

# F – SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.1 - Universal access on site and within the building	To assess the relative ease of access and use of facilities for persons with mobility or perceptual disabilities.
F2.4 - Use of traditional local materials and techniques	To assess the extent to which traditional local materials and construction techniques will used in the execution of the project.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# G – COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4 – Use stage energy cost	Criterion is mandatory
G1.5 – Use stage water cost	Criterion is mandatory

# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	Rigidity of the system
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	Political priority; PAC - PAES - EMAS
C – ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	Political priority; PAC - PAES - EMAS
D – INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain
E – SERVICE QUALITY	3	Political priority; PAC - PAES - EMAS
F – SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain
G – COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	Reduced power of intervention - Reduced intervention domain

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
B1 – In use energy consumptions	87
B3 – Use of materials	0
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	13
TOTAL	100
C1 – Greenhouse gas emissions	56
C3 – Solid and liquid waste	44
TOTAL	100
D1 – Indoor air quality and ventilation	0
D2 – Thermal comfort	100
TOTAL	100
G1 – Cost and economics	100
TOTAL	100

A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION										
B1 – In use energy consumptions										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
B1.1	9,0	5	4	2		Criterion is mandatory				
B1.2	9,0	5	4	2		Criterion is mandatory				
B1.3	9,0	5	4	2		Criterion is mandatory				
B1.5	9,0	5	4	2		Criterion is mandatory				
B1.6	9,0	5	4	2		Criterion is mandatory				
B1.11	11,3	5	5	2	0	Not applicable				
B3 – Use of materia	als									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
B3.5	5,4	4	3	3	0	Not applicable				
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
B4.5	8,1	4	3	3		Criterion is mandatory				

C - ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS									
C1 - Greenhouse gas emissions									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C1.3	13,5	5	4	3		Criterion is mandatory			
C3 - Solid and liquid waste									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C3.1	5,4	4	3	2	0	Not applicable			
C3.2	5,4	4	3	2		Criterion is mandatory			

D - INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY									
D1 - Indoor air quality and ventilation									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
D1.4	1,4	1	3	3	0	Not applicable			
D1.10	1,4	1	3	3	0	Not applicable			
D2 – Thermal comfort									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
D2.2	1,4	1	3	3		Criterion is mandatory			

G - COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS								
G1 - Cost and economics								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
G1.4	0.9	2	3	1		Criterion is mandatory		
G1.5	0.9	2	3	1		Criterion is mandatory		
TOTAL	100							





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
A1.8	The extent of vegetated landscaped	0/	0: 45	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
	plants.	/0	5: 70	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
A1.10	The existence and type of facilities for children's play and the quality of service provided	-	0: 0	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
			5: 5	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
A1.12	Amount of sheltered and unsheltered bicycle parking, location of bicycle parking facilities relative to building entrances	-	0: 0	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
			5: 5	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
A2.1 Development density of the project, expressed as the ratio of gross floor relative to the maximum permitted gross floor area on the site.	Development density of the project, expressed as the ratio of gross floor		0: 35	Current level
	%	5: 100	Maximum exploitation	
A 2 4 2	Existence and type of an on-site		0: 0	-
A3.12	public or communal transportation system	-	5: 5	-

B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
	Primany onorgy domand	kM/h/m2/u	0: 140	-	
D1.1	Fillinary energy demand	күүн/11/2/у	5: 23	Energy regulation	
D1 0	Delivered thermal energy demand		0: 80	-	
D1.2	Denvered mermai energy demand	күүн/11/2/у	5: 10	Energy regulation	
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	kWh/m2/y	0: 23	-	
			5: 5	-	
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	%	0: 25	-	
			5: 50	D.Leg. 28/11	
D1 6	B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in % total electric energy consumption %	0/	0: 35	-	
B1.6		%	5: 75	-	
D4 44	Embodied non-renewable primary	$l (M h m^2 h m$	0: -	Non applicable	
В1.11	energy	кvvn/m /yr	5: -	Non applicable	
D2 4	Degree of re-use of suitable	0/	0: 0	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
B3.1	existing structure(s) where available	%	5: 100	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	

A FIRE AND STONE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B3.5	Recycled materials	%	0: 15	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
			5: 50	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
Easy of disassembly, r	Easy of disassembly, re-use or	use or _	0: 0	Scenario
DJ./	B3.7 recycling		5: 5	Scenario
B4.3 Use of water for irrigation put		$m^3/m^2$	0: 0,20	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
	Use of water for imgation purposes	year	5: 0,05	-
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses	m <sup>3</sup> /occup ant/year	0: 47	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
			5: 23	-

C - ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
C1.3	Clobal Warming potential	kg CO2	0:28	-	
	Global Warning potential	eq./m²/yr	5: 5	Energy regulation	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste.	kg/m²/life	0: -	Non applicable	
		cycie stage	5: -	Non applicable	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operation.	%	0: 14	At least one	
			5: 100	All the services	
<u></u>	Liquid effluents from building operations that are sent off the site.	m3 / pp*yr	0: 0,13	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
03.3			5: 0	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
C1 1	Recharge of groundwater through	%	0: 40	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
04.1	permeable paving or landscaping.		5: 60	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
	Contribution to Heat Island Effect from roofing, landscaping and paved areas.		0: 0	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
C5.7		%	5: 100	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	

D - INDOOR	R ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	µg per cube meter	0: 2000	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
			5: 1000	<1500 limit CAM = 3
D1.10	Ventilation rate	l/s/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 0,35	UNI EN 15251 Table B.5 Cat III
			5: 0,49	UNI EN 15251 Table B.5 Cat I
D0 0	Thermal comfort index		0: 10	UNI EN ISO 7730 Class B
U2.2		-	5: 6	UNI EN ISO 7730 Class A

A HIS WALL CARE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D3.1	Appropriate daylighting in primary occupancies areas	%	0: 100	Reference law
			5: 125	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
D4.1	Noise attenuation through the exterior envelope	STC-Rw	0: 37	Standard window
			5: 45	Best window

E - SERVICE QUALITY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system	-	0: 0	Scenario	
			5: 5	Scenario	
E5.5	On-going monitoring and verification of performance		0: 0	Scenario	
		-	5: 5	Scenario	

F - SOCIAL	CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL	ASPECTS		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	-	0: 0	Scenario
			5: 5	Scenario
F2.4	Use of traditional local materials and techniques	%	0: 30	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
			5: 80	UNI PdR 13 ITACA

G - COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	€/m2/yr	0: 10,70	-	
			5: 1,75	Energy regulation	
G1.5	Use stage water cost	€/m2/yr	0: 1,55	-	
			5: 0,70	Energy regulation	

# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

CRITERION INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS	
A1.8 The extent of Information vegetated landscaped source Assessment by landscape are	chitect



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	area that is planted with native plants	Assessment method	The percent of landscaped area (excuding paved areas) planted with native species
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
	The existence and	Information source	Assessment by landscape architect
A1.10	type of facilities for children's play and	Assessment method	Evaluation scenario
	the quality of service provided	Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
	Amount of sheltered and unsheltered	Information source	Rilievo
A1.12	bicycle parking, location of bicycle	Assessment method	Evaluation scenario
	parking facilities relative to building entrances	Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
	Development density of the project,	Information source	PRGC
A2.1	expressed as the ratio of gross floor area above grade of the Design relative to	Assessment method	The ratio of gross floor area above grade of the Design relative to the maximum permitted gross floor area on the site
	the maximum permitted gross floor area on the site.	Standard	Current situation
	Existence and type of	Information source	Hours of public service
A3.12	communal	Assessment method	Evaluation scenario
	system.	Standard	Scenario

B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS		
B1.1	Primary energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	Information source	Consumption bills		
		Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values		
		Standard	Energy regulation		
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand per internal useful floor area per year	Information source	Energy bills		
		Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values		

A KINCH KING CARLONG



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A ST LEAST AND A STANDARD

		Standard	Energy regulation
	Delivered electric energy	Information source	Energy bills
B1.3	demand per internal useful floor area per year	Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values
		Standard	Reference of the law
	Share of renewable energy in	Information source	Monitoring of produced energy
B1.5	final thermal energy consumptions	Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values
		Standard	Reference of the law
	Share of renewable energy in	Information source	Monitoring of produced energy
B1.6	final electric energy consumption	Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values
		Standard	-
		Information source	Non applicable
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Assessment method	-
		Standard	-
		Information source	Executive projects
B3.1	Degree of re-use of suitable existing structure(s) where available	Assessment method	The percentage (by area) of existing sound structures that is planned to be re-used as part of the project
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
		Information source	Non applicable
B3.5	Wight of recycled materials on total weight of materials.	Assessment method	-
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
		Information source	Executive projects
B3.7	Easy of disassembly, re-use or recycling	Assessment method	Scenario
		Standard	Scenario
		Information source	Consumption bills
B4.3	Use of water for irrigation purposes	Assessment method	Volume of water on gross surface
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
B4.5	Potable water consumption per occupant per year	Information source	Consumption bills



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values
Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA

C – ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Energy bills	
C1.3	CO2 equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per	Assessment method	Calculated using the estimate based on the measures	
	year	Standard	Energy regulation	
	Mainht of woods and materials	Information source	Non applicable	
C3.1	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed	Assessment method	-	
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	Information source	Relief and georeferencing containers	
C3.2		Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values	
		Standard	-	
		Information source	Bills	
C3.3	Liquid effluents from building operations that are sent off the site.	Assessment method	The predicted volume of liquid waste per year to be sent off the site for treatment	
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	
		Information source	Design documents and on-site surveys	
C4.1	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	Assessment method	The predicted percentage of precipitation that is able to recharge groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA adapted	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Information source	Design documents and on-site surveys
C5.7	Contribution to Heat Island Effect from roofing, landscaping	Assessment method	Percentage of surface with a high reflection index
	and paved areas.	Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
		Otaridara	

# D – INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
		Information source	Not applicable
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	Assessment method	-
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
		Information source	Not applicable
D1.10	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	Assessment method	
		Standard	UNI EN 15251
		Information source	-
D2.2	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)	Assessment method	-
		Standard	UNI EN ISO 7730 Class B
	Daylighting and Illumination	Information source	Design documents
D3.1		Assessment method	Rapporto fra DF e DF lim
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA
D4.1	Noise attenuation through the exterior envelope	Information source	Design documents
		Assessment method	Ratio between DF and DF lim
		Standard	Standard window





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# E – SERVICE QUALITY

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system	Information source	Design documents
		Assessment method	Scenario
		Standard	Scenario
E5.5	On-going monitoring and verification of performance	Information source	Contract documentation.
		Assessment method	Scenario
		Standard	Scenario

F – SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Design documents	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	Assessment method	Scenario	
		Standard	Scenario	
		Information source	Design documents	
F2.4	Use of traditional local materials and techniques	Assessment method	The estimated percentage of traditional local materials	
		Standard	UNI PdR 13 ITACA	

G – COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS	
G1.4	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	Information source	Consumption bills	
		Assessment method	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	
		Standard	Energy regulation	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	Water annual cost per usable floor area	Information source	Consumption bills
G1.5		Assessment method	Water annual cost per usable floor area
		Standard	Energy regulation




Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - EnvirobatBDM

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

## **SBTool structure**

# A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
B1	Total life cycle non-renewable energy				
B1.1	Primary energy demand				
B.1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand				
B.1.3	Delivered electric energy demand				
B.1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption				
B.1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption				
B.1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy				
B3	Use of Material				
B3.5	Recycled materials				
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater				
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses				

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
C1.3	Global Warming potential		
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes		
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste		
C3.2	Solid waste from building operation		

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation			
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air			
D1.10	Ventilation rate			
D2	Air Temperature and Relative humidity			
D.2.2	Thermal comfort index			

### **E- SERVICE QUALITY**





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
G1	Cost and Economics		
G.1.4	Use stage energy cost		
G.1.5	Use stage water cost		

## **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMEN	IT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION				
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION			
B1.1 Primary energy demand	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
B1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment –			





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### B3.5 Recycled materials

B4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses

despite the different local approaches. KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches. KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS				
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION			
C1.3 Global Warming potential	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			
C3.2 Solid waste from building operation	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.			

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.	
D1.10 Ventilation rate	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.	
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.	

### **E- SERVICE QUALITY**

CRITERION

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STORE

S. C. Marie



1

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

### **G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS**

1

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.
G1.5 Use stage water cost	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes. The motivation is to share with partners a Passport that will allow us to discuss issues related to sustainable building assessment – despite the different local approaches.

# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	ΜΟΤΙVΑΤΙΟΝ	
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	
E- SERVICE QUALITY	1	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	1	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	0
A2- Urban design	0
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	0
SUB TOTAL- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	0
B1- Total life cycle non renewable energy	60
B2- Embodied energy	0
B3- Use of materials	5
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	7
SUB TOTAL ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	72
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	15
C2- Other atmospheric emissions	0
C3- Solid and liquid waste	10
C4- Impact on project site	0
C5- Other local and regional impacts	0
SUB TOTAL- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	25
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	1
D2- Thermal comfort	1
D3- Visual comfort	0
	0
SUB TOTAL- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2
E1- Safety and Security	0
E2- Functionality and emclency	0
E3- Controllability E4- Elevibility and adaptability	0
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	0
SUB TOTAL - SERVICE QUALITY	0
F1- Social aspects	0
F2- Culture and heritage	0
F3- Perceptual	0
SUB TOTAL - SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	0
G1- Cost and economics	2
SUB TOTAL - COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2
TOTAL	100

### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

sheet WeightsA: B= Intensity of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

B- ENERGY AND R CONSUMPTION	ESOURC	ES				
B1- Total life cycle non-renewable						
energy						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1 Primary energy demand	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
B1.2 Delivered	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used

the second of th





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

thermal energy demand						
B1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used

B2- Electrical peak demand									
B3-Use of Materia	al								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B3.5 Recycled materials	5%	2	4	3	5%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used			
B4- Use of potabl	e water,	stor	mw	ater a	nd gre	eywater			
CRITERION	Weight (	%)	В	С	D L	.F. L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B4.5 Potable water consumption for	7%		3	4	3				

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS								
C1- Greenhouse Gas	s Emissions	i						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
C1.3 Global Warming potential	15%	3	5	5	15%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used		
C3 - Solid and Liquid	d Wastes							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	5%	2	4	3	5%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used		
C3.2 Solid waste from building operation	5%	2	4	3	5%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used		

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY									
D1- Indoor Air Qua	D1- Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation								
CRITERION D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	Weight (%) 0,5%	<b>B</b> 3	<b>C</b> 1	<b>D</b> 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1%	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default values from CESBA MED PP were used			
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



indoor uses

Mediterranean © CESBA MED

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

D1.10 Ventilation	0,5%	3	3	3	1%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used
rate						

D2 - Air Temperature and Relative humidity								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D2.2 Thermal	1%	3	1	3	1%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were		
comfort index						used		

## **G-COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS**

G1- Cost and Economics								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	1%	3	2	3	1%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used		
G1.5 Use stage water cost	0.4%	1	2	3	0.4%	Default values from CESBA MED PP were used		

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

B- ENERGY AND RES	OURCES CONSUMPTION			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
B1.1 Primary energy	Primary energy demand	kW/h/m2/v	0: 48	Building regulation (2020)
demand	per area per year		3: 15	
			5:0	
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy	Delivered thermal energy demand per	kWh/m2/v	0: 40	consultant feedback
demand	area per year	····/y	3: 15	
			5:0	
D4 0 Dallara	Dell'and I destric		0.40	
B1.3 Delivered	Delivered electric	kM/h/m2/t	0: 40	consultant feedback
demand	area per year	κνντι/ττι∠/γ	3:15	
	-		5:0	
B1 5 Energy from			0.25	consultant foodback
renewable sources in	Share of renewable		0.20	
total thermal energy	energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	3: 80	
eensumption			5 : 100	
B1.6 Energy from	Share of renewable	0/	0: 10	consultant feedback
total electric energy	energy consumption	70	3: 100	

and the second and a second and a





consumption						
			5 : 200			
B1.11 Embodied non-	Embodied primary non-	MJ/m2	0: 180	values A1 to A3 on future		
energy	Tenewable energy		3: 108	building regulation E+C- or calcul on Elodie. Other		
			5 : 90	sources from HQE performance 2011 and the guide_bio_tech_l_energie_gri se_des_materiaux_et_des_ou vrages		
	Weight of recycled		0:5			
B3.5 Recycled materials	33.5 Recycled materials on total weight of materials		3: 45	Aura and Indi		
			5:75			
B4.5 Potable water	Mater consumption per	m3/occup	0: 40	Study Tribu/Ademe		
consumption for indoor uses	occupant per year	ant/year	3: 25			
			5:20			

C- ENVIRONMENTAL L	.OADINGS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
	CO2 equivalent		0:20		
C1.3 Global Warming potential	emissions per	kg CO2 eq./m2/yr	3: 10	Regulatory labels	
	area per year		5: 5		
	Weight of waste and		0: 1400		
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed	kg/m2/life cycle stage	3: 1000	Figures given in the CESBA protocol	
			5: 600		
C3.2 Solid waste	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste	%	0: 0,4	Criteria based on local	
operation	types within a 100 m		3: 0,7	practices in dense urban areas	
	distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories		5: 1		

D- INDOOR ENVIRO	NMENTAL QUALITY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
				the state of the	<b>K</b> er

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D1.4 TVOC	TVOC concentration in	ug/ma	0: 300		
air	indoor air	μg/ 113	3: >200	HQE Performance	
			5 : <200		
D1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate		0:0,5	Annex B of EN15251	
	normalized per useful	l/s.m2	3:0,7		
			5 : 0,9		
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage	%	0: 10	Annex A of ISO 7730	
	Dissatisfied	70	3: 7		
			5:5		

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
G1.4 Use stage	Energy annual cost per	cost per €/m₂.yr a	0: 15		
energy cost	usable floor area		3: 7	consultant feedback	
			5: 5		
G1.5 Use stage water	Water annual cost per	€/m₂ vr	0: 10		
cost	usable floor area	-,	3: 5	consultant feedback	
			5:3		

# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Models and simulation	
B1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per area per year	Assessment method	The calculation methods for each sub-indicator are given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards that form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 52000 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and	

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KING AND A KING AND A CONSIGNATION

			cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here. Interior lighting is not always covered by national regulations. As a result, the evaluator must clearly mention in his report that the consumptions were discarded or detail the specific method used. The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments).
		Standard	The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy	Delivered thermal energy demand per	Information source	Models and simulation
demand	year	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards that form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here.
		Standard	Ever (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here.
		Information source	Models and simulation
B1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per area per year	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards which form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 52000 (Energy performance of





			buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here. In the case of existing buildings, the electrical energy delivered must be evaluated
		Standard	preferentially from the data collected. EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling)
B1.5 Energy from	Share of renewable	Information source	Models and simulation
renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	energy in final thermal energy consumptions	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards which support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings.
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments
		Information	Models and simulation
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards which support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings. In the case of existing buildings, the share of renewable energy in total electricity consumption should be assessed from measurements. References and standards
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments
		Information source	Models and simulation
B1.11 Embodied non- renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non- renewable energy	Assessment method	The main reference standards for this indicator are ISO 14040/44, EN 15804 (Contribution of construction works to sustainable development - Environmental product declarations - Rules governing categories of construction products) and EN 1578 (Contribution of construction works to sustainable development - Evaluation of the environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method). The calculation of this indicator is based on the inventory of the different materials that make up the building (enkg), the nomenclature of material surveys. The document lists the quantities of different materials by type of constructive elements. The starting point is the DQE, estimated quantitative detail, which details the various elements of the project (foundations, columns, ). The







			nomenclature of material records describes the different materials that make up the elements of the building. Once the material survey has been completed, the indicator can be calculated. The different stages of the calculation are the following: -Compiling the masses of different materials; this compilation work must be at least 99% of the total mass of the building; -Identify the different elements of the buildings. A decomposition by material must be carried out. And the mass of each of the materials estimated: - Aggregate by material: the masses by type of elements must be added so as to obtain the total mass per material. Once the nomenclature of the material surveys carried out, it is possible to calculate the indicator by associating each material (in kg) with the corresponding gray energy factor (in MJ / kg). The total value of gray energy of non-renewable origin is then reported to the surface.
		Standard	EN 15978 (Contribution of construction works to sustainable development - Evaluation of the environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method)
		Information source	Models and simulation or material documents
B3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	Assessment method	To calculate the value of the indicator it is necessary to compile a Bill of Materials (BoM) that is a mass-based inventory of the different materials (kg) that compose a building. The BoM is organised according to main elements that a building is composed of. The starting point is the Bill of Quantities (BoQ) that specifies the elements of a building (e.g. foundations, columns). The BoQ comprises different categories of elements, which can have different functional performance characteristics. A BoM differs from a BoQ in that it describes the different materials (e.g. wood, steel, aluminium) that are contained in the various building elements. Once the BoM has been compiled, it is possible to calculate the value of the indicator. The following steps should be followed in order to characterize the indicator: - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to elaborated. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: the mass of all constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass of materials used in the building (A); - Identify the recycled content of each constituent material (in mass); - Aggregation by material: the recycled mass of







		Standard	all constituent materials should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total recycled mass of materials (B) used in the building; - The indicator's value is calculated as B/A (total mass of recycled materials on the total mass of materials). /
		Information source	Models and simulation
B4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Water consumption per occupant per year	Assessment method	The evaluator should include sanitary equipment (ie toilets, taps and showers) that consume water (ie dishwashers, washing machines). The unit consumptions of the different devices are determined from the industrial data. The specific factors of use must be established, as well as the number of days of occupation per year. The principle of calculating the consumption per occupant for faucets and showers is as follows: Total consumption (L / n of day of occ.) =? Unit consumption (L / min) x utilization factor x (min / nb of day of occ.) The calculation is the same for the consumptions related to the use of the toilets (the flushes replace the minutes). For hygiene, the bases of calculation are as follows: Total consumption (L / year) = unit consumption (L / m <sup>2</sup> ) area (m2) × annual washing number (year - 1) Total consumption (m3 / occupant. year) = total consumption must be specified (eg reclaimed water used for In the case of existing buildings, the indicator should be calculated from measured data. The measured consumption must be averaged over a period of 3 years.
		Standard	Tool "Water calculator"

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
		Information source	Models and simulation		
C1.3 Global Warming potential	CO2 equivalent emissions per area per year	Assessment method	Calculation of CO2eq emissions. for each building can be realized thanks to this formula: $E = [\Sigma (Qfuel, i \times LHVi \times Kem, i) + (Qel \times Kem, el) + (Qdh \times Kem, dh)] / SuQfuel, I = annualquantity of i-th fuel (m3 or kg)Qel = annual quantity of electricity from the grid(kWh)Qdh = annual amount of energy from the districtheating or cooling network (kWh)LHVi = lower calorific value of i-th fuel (kWh / m3or kWh / kg)Kem, i = CO2 emission factor eq. i-th fuel (kgCO2 / kWh)Kem, el = CO2 emission factor eq. electrical$		







			energy from the grid (kg CO2 / kWh) Kem, dh = CO2 emission factor eq. of the urban heat or cold network (kg CO2 / kWh) Su = total usable area of buildings
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments)
		Information source	Models and simulation
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Design phase (based on estimates): Estimates based on surveys of existing buildings that will undergo a major renovation or whose structure will be reused (life cycle stage B5). Estimates based on building deconstruction and demolition scenarios beyond the end of building use (life cycle stages C1 / 3, D).</li> <li>Construction (based on data collected on site): Data of deconstruction and demolition of a building to make room for a new construction (as part of the life cycle stages) .Data of partial deconstruction of a building for on-site reuse. On-site construction data for a new building and / or prefabrication / construction of some off-site elements (Life Cycle Steps A3 / 5)</li> <li>Completion (based on estimates supported by compliant plans): Estimates based on deconstruction and demolition scenarios of the building beyond the end of use of the building (life cycle stages C1 / 3, D)</li> <li>Post-delivery (based on commissioning and testing)</li> <li>Occupation (based on the envisaged performance)</li> <li>End of life (based on the envisaged performance): Details the measures taken in the design phase to facilitate the construction, reuse and recycling (stages of the C1 / 3, D) life cycle).</li> </ol>
		Standard	/
C3.2 Solid waste from building	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste	Information source	Plans of the area with the location of containers
ομειαιιστι	distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	Assessment method	<ul> <li>The seven solid waste reference categories are:</li> <li>-Paper</li> <li>-Plastic</li> <li>-Metal</li> <li>-Glass</li> <li>-Wet waste</li> <li>-Textiles</li> <li>-Dangerous</li> <li>Identify the availability and location of dedicated containers for each of the 7 categories of solid waste. Calculate the walking distance (m) of the main building door for each sorting equipment. Evaluate which of the 7 categories of solid waste can be collected within a 50m perimeter from the main entrance of the building (A) .The value of the indicator is given by the ratio: A / 7</li> </ul>





\_

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Standard

/

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Measured data	
D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air	Assessment method	The value of the indicator must be given by measurements in situ in occupancy (and after delivery). Measurements must be carried out on at least 10% of the apartments. These must be representative of the different types of housing, configurations and materials used. The samples must be taken from the living room and the smallest room of each apartment. The measuring equipment must be placed in the center of the room so as not to be too much influenced by the doors and windows and the air inlets. The sampling method shall be in accordance with ISO 16000-6 (Indoor Air - Part 6: Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air and Active Sampling Chambers on Tenax TA (R) Sorbent, Desorption thermal and gas chromatographic using MS or MS / FID) or equivalent. In the design phase, product testing can be used as a simplified data source. These emissions must be evaluated over a period of 28 days. They must be established in accordance with standard NF EN 16516 (Construction products - evaluation of the emission of dangerous substances - Determination of indoor air emissions). Test data is then required from the manufacturers and suppliers of the products concerned. All tests must be on compliant finished products. References and Standards EN 15251 (Indoor Environment Criteria for the Design and Evaluation of the Energy Performance of Buildings covering Indoor Air Quality, Thermal, Lighting and Acoustics) Resources: VOC and housing (up to 35 substances): "In the gas phase, the chemical compounds (VOCs) containing a multitude of substances of different chemical families, and are thus detected in the indoor environments in a more significant way than some aldehydes (including formaldehyde mainly and almost systematically), certain aromatic hydrocarbons including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes commonly called BTEX, but also VOCs belonging to the families of terpnenes ketores alcohols ethers of	







		Standard	Glycol "For information, the United States recommends a total VOC concentration of less than 200 µg / m3 as the comfort threshold and Germany recommends a target value of 300 µg / m3. measures of_19 "Air Atmo Alsace http: //www.atmo- alsace.net/medias/products/Campagne_de_mea sures_de_19.pdf ISO 16000-6 (Indoor Air - Part 6: Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air and Active Sampling Chambers on Tenax TA (R) Sorbent, Desorption thermal and gas chromatographic using MS or MS / FID) or equivalent. NF EN 16516 (Construction products - evaluation of the emission of dangerous substances - Determination of indoor air emissions).
		Information source	Estimation or measured method
D1.10 Ventilation rate	Ventilation rate normalized per useful floor area	Assessment method	Project stage: design A design simulation of the building's ventilation strategy in accordance with EN 16798-7 (Energy performance of buildings - Ventilation for buildings - Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration) shall be used to calculate the ventilation rate. According to Directive 2010/31/EU for the Energy Performance of buildings, a ventilation system is part of the technical building system. The simulation shall therefore always form part of the performance assessment for the typical use of a building as a whole. The ventilation rate (l/s/m2) must be calculated in all the main rooms, excluding circulation spaces and service rooms (i.e. toilets). The indicator must be calculated as weighted sum all the calculated ventilation rates: Indicator= $(\sum \  [V_i \times S_u(i)] \  )/(\sum S_u(i))$ Vi = Ventilation rate calculated in the i-th room (l/s/m2) Su,i = useful floor area of the i-th room (m2) Project stage: post completion The ventilation rate shall be tested as part of the commissioning process on site according to the methods described in Annex D of EN 12599. The average ventilation rate shall be reported. Measurements can be taken at a number of points in a system. The measurements shall be made for the related ducts and/or air terminals that supply air to the internal spaces as identified according to the guidance in section 2.1.2.2 of the reference standard.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



		Standard	<ul> <li>EN 16798-7 - Energy performance of buildings - Ventilation for buildings - Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration.</li> <li>EN 12599 - Ventilation for buildings - Test procedures and measurement methods to hand over air conditioning and ventilation systems.</li> </ul>
		Information source	Models and simulation or measured method
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied	Assessment method	In the design phase, PPD estimation can be done using simulation software. In the operation phase, the PPD can be measured. The value of the PPD shall be calculated or measured in accordance with EN 7730 (Ergonomics of the thermal environment - Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort by calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and by local thermal comfort criteria) for the seasonal and winter conditions. The PDP must be evaluated in all main living rooms and bedrooms. In the case of a collective dwelling, each configuration and orientation must be evaluated. The measuring point should be placed one meter from the center of the main window of each room. The following parameters must be used to characterize the value of the PDP: -clothing thermal resistance (clo) = 0.5-metabolic energy (met) = 1.2 To evaluate the value of the PDP on a building as a whole, the PPD values estimated or measured in each room must be aggregated by a weighted average: PPDm = Sum PPDi x Au, i / Sum Au, where: PPDi = PPD for the coin-th Au, i = useful area of the i-th room
		Standard	EN 7730 (Ergonomics of the thermal environment - Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort by calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and by local thermal comfort criteria

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Models and simulation	
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	Assessment method	The calculation can be based on estimates in the design phase. It must be based on consumption monitoring during the exploitation phase. The indicator can be used by different actors for different purposes. In the design phase, it can be used to estimate future operating costs. In the operating phase, it can be used to compare the real performance of the building with the estimated estimates. For existing buildings, the total annual cost of	



-



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



thermal and electrical consumption from energy bills must be realized over an average of 3 years.

### Standard

/

		Information source	Models and simulation
G1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	Assessment method	The calculation can be based on estimates in the design phase. It must be based on consumption monitoring during the operation phase. The indicator can be used by different actors for different purposes. In the design phase, it can be used to estimate future operating costs. In the operation phase, it can be used to compare the real performance of the building with the estimated estimates. For existing buildings, the total annual cost of water consumption from the invoices must be realized over an average of 3 years.
		Standard	/



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – AURA-EE

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

### **SBTool structure**

1

# A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION			
B1	Total life cycle non-renewable energy		
B1.1	Primary energy demand		
B.1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand		
B.1.3	Delivered electric energy demand		
B.1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption		
B.1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption		
B.1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy		
B3	Use of Material		
B3.5	Recycled materials		
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater		
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses		

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Global Warming potential	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operation	

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation		
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air		
D2	Air Temperature and Relative humidity		
D.2.2	Thermal comfort index		

A CHARTEN AND CONTRACT

### E- SERVICE QUALITY

1



1

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
G1	Cost and Economics	
G.1.4	Use stage energy cost	
G.1.5	Use stage water cost	

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION		
1			

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
B1.1 Primary energy demand	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B3.5 Recycled materials	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	
B4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3 Global Warming potential	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes
<b>C3.1</b> Construction and demolition waste	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### C3.2 Solid waste from building operation

KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

<b>D- INDOOR</b>	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	

CRITERION

D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air

**D2.2 Thermal comfort index** 

### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes

### E- SERVICE QUALITY

CRITERION

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

1

1

### F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes
G1.5 Use stage water cost	KPI are mandatory; KPI were sufficient for local purposes





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
E- SERVICE QUALITY	1	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	1	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	0
A2- Urban design	0
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	0
SUB TOTAL	0
B1- Total life cycle non renewable energy	60
B2- Embodied energy	0
B3- Use of materials	5
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	7
SUB TOTAL	72
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	15
C2- Other atmospheric emissions	0
C3- Solid and liquid waste	10
C4- Impact on project site	0
C5- Other local and regional impacts	0
SUB TOTAL	25
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	1
D2- Thermal comfort	1
D3- Visual comfort	0
D4– Acoustic comfort	0
SUB TOTAL	2
E1- Safety and Security	0
E2- Functionality and efficiency	0





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



S. C. Marine

E3- Controllability	
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	0
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	
SUB TOTAL	0
F1- Social aspects	0
F2- Culture and heritage	0
F3- Perceptual	0
SUB TOTAL	0
G1- Cost and economics	2
SUB TOTAL	2
TOTAL	100

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

sheet WeightsA: B= Intensity of the Potential Effect (1-3), C=Extent of potential effect (1-5), D=Duration of potential effect (1-5) CESBA MED SNTool, sheet WeightsB: LF = Local Factor

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION									
B1- Total life cycle non-renewable									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B1.1 Primary	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
energy demand B1.2 Delivered thermal energy	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
B1.3 Delivered electric energy	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
consumption B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
consumption B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	10%	2	5	5	10%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			

### **B2- Electrical peak demand**

B3- Use of Material								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
B3.5 Recycled materials	5%	2	4	3	5%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		

in the second states and the second states

B4- Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	7%	3	4	3		

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS									
C1- Greenhouse Gas Emissions									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C1.3 Global Warming potential	15%	3	5	5	15%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
C3 - Solid and Liqui	d Wastes								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	5%	2	4	3	5%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			
C3.2 Solid waste from building operation	5%	2	4	3	5%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant			

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY									
D1- Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D		L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D1.4 TVOC	1%	3	1	3		1%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were		
concentration in							relevant		
indoor air									
D2 - Air Temperatu	re and Rela	ative	humi	dity					
CRITERION	Weight (%)		В	С	D	L.F.	. L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D2.2 Thermal	1%		3	1	3	1%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were		
comfort index							relevant		

<b>G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPEC</b>	TS
-----------------------------------	----

G1- Cost and Economics								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	1%	3	2	3	1%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		
G1.5 Use stage water cost	0.4%	1	2	3	0.4%	Default values from CESBA MED PPs were relevant		

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A State Stat

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

B- ENERGY AND RES	OURCES CONSUMPTION	I		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
B1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per area per year	kWh/m2/y	0: 140	Result new collective dwellings RT2012
	I the I the J the		3: 50	
			5:0	Result new collective dwellings RT2012
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy	Delivered thermal energy demand per	kWh/m2/y	0: 130	Result new collective dwellings RT2012
demand	area per year		3: 45	Mid value
			5 : 30	Result new collective dwellings RT2012
B1.3 Delivered electric energy	Delivered electric energy demand per	kWh/m2/y	0: 140	Result new collective dwellings RT2012
demand	area per year		3: 50	Mid value
			5:0	Result new collective dwellings RT2012
B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	Primary energy demand of the building that is met by renewable sources on total primary energy demand	%	0: 10 3: 80	The minimum value given corresponds to the criteria given in the Greater Lyon Sustainable Habitat framework, which requires an active renewable energy production with a minimum high-performance building
			5 : 100	Autonomous building
B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0: 10	The minimum value given corresponds to the criteria given in the Greater Lyon Sustainable Habitat framework, which requires an active renewable energy production with a minimum
			3: 80	High-performance building
			5:100	Autonomous building
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	0: 10	The minimum value given corresponds to the criteria given in the Greater Lyon Sustainable Habitat



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			3: 80	framework, which requires an active renewable energy production with a minimum High-performance building
			5 : 100	Autonomous building for electricity
B1.11 Embodied non- renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non- renewable energy	MJ/m2	0: 900	Sources: CSTB report "Capitalization of the results of the HQE Performance experimentation, Statistical analysis, Action 22" of October 2013. 250kWhep/m <sup>2</sup> shon/an toute typologie confondue
			3: 630	175 kWhep / m²shon / year any typology taken together
			5 : 504	140 kWhep / m <sup>2</sup> shon / year any typology taken together
	Weight of recycled		0:5	Actual professional practice
B3.5 Recycled materials	materials on total weight of materials	%	3: 45	objective of professional practice
			5 : 75	objective of professional best practice
		m2/000up	0:00	
B4.5 Potable water consumption for	Water consumption per occupant per year	ant/year	0.00	Assumptions: for all dwellings, people present every day of the year, heavy use of all water uses, consumer equipment, over-occupancy - see Water Calculator tool - for 3121 m <sup>2</sup> SHAB, with 3
Indoor uses				occupants per dwelling and 50 dwellings
			3: 30	Water Calculator - 3121 m <sup>2</sup> SHAB, with 2.3 occupants per dwelling and 50 dwellings
			5 : 20	Water Calculator - 3121 m <sup>2</sup> SHAB with 2.3 occupants per dwelling and 50 dwellings

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS								
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS				
C1.3 Global Warming potential	CO2 equivalent	kg CO2 eq./m2/yr	0: 80	Emissions from the regulatory calculation				
	emissions per area per year		3: 10	Emissions from the regulatory calculation				

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			5: 5	Emissions from the regulatory calculation
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per	kg/m2/life	0: 1700	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
	area demolished or constructed	stage	3: 1200	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
			5: 600	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
C3.2 Solid waste	Ratio of the number of	%	0: 0,4	Criteria based on local
from building	collectable solid waste			practices in dense urban areas
operation	types within a 100 m		3: 0,7	Criteria based on local
	distance from the			practices in dense urban areas
	the reference solid		5: 1	Criteria based on local
	waste categories			practices in dense urban areas

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in	μg/ m3	0: 300	Recommendation of German Federal Agency	
	indoor air		3: 200	Local value	
			5:100	Local objective	
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied	%	0: 10	CESBA Default value	
			3: 5	Mid Value	
			5:0	Good quality	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
G1.4 Use stage	Energy annual cost per	Class lym	0: 15	Hypothesis: Collective building, cep <96 kWhep / m².year, collective gas boiler	
energy cost	usable floor area	€/m2/yr	3: 7	Hypothesis: Collective building with efficient thermal envelope, collective gas boiler	
			5: 5	Hypothesis: Collective building with high performance thermal envelope, collective gas boiler	
G1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m₂/yr	0: 13	Assumptions: for all dwellings, people present every day of the year, strong use of all	

a function of the second and a second and a second and a second a second a second a second a second a second a



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	water uses, consumer equipment, - see Water Calculator tool - 90 m3 / occupant / year, for 3121 m <sup>2</sup> SHAB, with 3 occupants per dwelling and 50 dwellings
3: 3,5	Water Calculator - 30 m3 / occupant / year, for 3121 m <sup>2</sup> SHAB, with 2.3 occupants per dwelling and 50 dwellings
5: 2,3	Water Calculator - 20 m3 / occupant / year, for 3121 m <sup>2</sup> SHAB, with 2.3 occupants per dwelling and 50 dwellings

# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
		Information source	Models and simulation	
B1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per area per year	Assessment method	The calculation methods for each sub-indicator are given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards that form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 52000 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here. Interior lighting is not always covered by national regulations. As a result, the evaluator must clearly mention in his report that the consumptions were discarded or detail the specific method used. The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments).	
		Standard	The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1	







B1.2 Delivered	Delivered thermal	Information	Models and simulation
demand	year	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards that form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here.
		Standard	Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here.
		Information	Models and simulation
B1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per area per year	Source Assessment method Standard	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards which form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling). In fact, the national calculation methods used to produce the energy performance certificates can be used here. In the case of existing buildings, the electrical energy delivered must be evaluated preferentially from the data collected. EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling).
B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in	Primary energy demand of the building	Information source	Models and simulation
total primary energy consumption	that is met by renewable sources on total primary energy	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the Energy
	-		





	demand		Performance of Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union. The CEN standards which form the basis of the calculation methods of most national regulations are: EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings. Overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments) and EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling).
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments)
B1.5 Energy from	Share of renewable	Information source	Models and simulation
renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	energy in final thermal energy consumptions	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards which support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings.
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments
		Information source	Models and simulation
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	Assessment method	The calculation method for this indicator is given by the CEN standards which support the implementation of the Directive on the energy performance of buildings. In the case of existing buildings, the share of renewable energy in total electricity consumption should be assessed from measurements. References and standards
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments
		Information source	Models and simulation
B1.11 Embodied non- renewable primary energy	Embodied primary non- renewable energy	Assessment method	The main reference standards for this indicator are ISO 14040/44, EN 15804 (Contribution of construction works to sustainable development - Environmental product declarations - Rules governing categories of construction products) and EN 1578 (Contribution of construction works to sustainable development - Evaluation of the environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method). The calculation of this indicator is based on the inventory of the different materials that make up the building (enkg), the nomenclature of material surveys. The document lists the quantities of different materials by type of constructive elements. The starting point is the DQE, estimated quantitative detail, which details the various elements of the project (foundations, columns). The nomenclature of material records describes the different materials that make up the elements of the building Once the material survey has been







			completed, the indicator can be calculated. The different stages of the calculation are the following: -Compiling the masses of different materials; this compilation work must be at least 99% of the total mass of the building; -Identify the different elements of the buildings. A decomposition by material must be carried out. And the mass of each of the materials estimated: - Aggregate by material: the masses by type of elements must be added so as to obtain the total mass per material. Once the nomenclature of the material surveys carried out, it is possible to calculate the indicator by associating each material (in kg) with the corresponding gray energy factor (in MJ / kg).
			origin is then reported to the surface.
		Standard	EN 15978 (Contribution of construction works to sustainable development - Evaluation of the environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method)
		Information source	Models and simulation or material documents
B3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials	Assessment method	To calculate the value of the indicator it is necessary to compile a Bill of Materials (BoM) that is a mass-based inventory of the different materials (kg) that compose a building. The BoM is organised according to main elements that a building is composed of. The starting point is the Bill of Quantities (BoQ) that specifies the elements of a building (e.g. foundations, columns). The BoQ comprises different categories of elements, which can have different functional performance characteristics. A BoM differs from a BoQ in that it describes the different materials (e.g. wood, steel, aluminium) that are contained in the various building elements. Once the BoM has been compiled, it is possible to calculate the value of the indicator. The following steps should be followed in order to characterize the indicator: - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to elaborated. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: the mass of all constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass of materials used in the building (A); - Identify the recycled content of each constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass of materials used in the building (A); - Aggregation by material: the recycled mass of all constituent materials should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total recycled mass of all constituent materials should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total recycled mass of materials (B) used in the building;







		Standard	- The indicator's value is calculated as B/A (total mass of recycled materials on the total mass of materials).
		Standard	7
		Information source	Models and simulation
B4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Water consumption per occupant per year	Assessment method	The evaluator should include sanitary equipment (ie toilets, taps and showers) that consume water (ie dishwashers, washing machines). The unit consumptions of the different devices are determined from the industrial data. The specific factors of use must be established, as well as the number of days of occupation per year. The principle of calculating the consumption per occupant for faucets and showers is as follows: Total consumption (L / n of day of occ.) =? Unit consumption (L / min) x utilization factor x (min / nb of day of occ.) The calculation is the same for the consumptions related to the use of the toilets (the flushes replace the minutes). For hygiene, the bases of calculation are as follows: Total consumption (L / year) = unit consumption (L / m <sup>2</sup> ) area (m2) x annual washing number (year - 1) Total consumption (m3 / occupant. year) = total consumption (L / year) x 0.001 (m3 / L) + occupancy time (occupant) Non-potable water consumption must be specified (eg reclaimed water used for In the case of existing buildings, the indicator should be calculated from measured data. The measured consumption must be averaged over a period of 3 years. Tool "Water calculator"

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS	
		Information source	Models and simulation
C1.3 Global Warming potential	CO2 equivalent emissions per area per year	Assessment method	Calculation of CO2eq emissions. for each building can be realized thanks to this formula: $E = [\Sigma (Qfuel, i \times LHVi \times Kem, i) + (Qel \times Kem, el) + (Qdh \times Kem, dh)] / SuQfuel, I = annualquantity of i-th fuel (m3 or kg)Qel = annual quantity of electricity from the grid(kWh)Qdh = annual amount of energy from the districtheating or cooling network (kWh)LHVi = lower calorific value of i-th fuel (kWh / m3or kWh / kg)Kem, i = CO2 emission factor eq. i-th fuel (kgCO2 / kWh)Kem, el = CO2 emission factor eq. electricalenergy from the grid (kg CO2 / kWh)Kem, dh = CO2 emission factor eq. of the urban$





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KING WAR AND A CAN BANK

			heat or cold network (kg CO2 / kWh) Su = total usable area of buildings
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments)
		Information source	Models and simulation
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed	Assessment method	<ol> <li>Design phase (based on estimates): Estimates based on surveys of existing buildings that will undergo a major renovation or whose structure will be reused (life cycle stage B5). Estimates based on building deconstruction and demolition scenarios beyond the end of building use (life cycle stages C1 / 3, D).</li> <li>Construction (based on data collected on site): Data of deconstruction and demolition of a building to make room for a new construction (as part of the life cycle stages) .Data of partial deconstruction of a building for on-site reuse. On-site construction data for a new building and / or prefabrication / construction of some off-site elements (Life Cycle Steps A3 / 5)</li> <li>Completion (based on estimates supported by compliant plans): Estimates based on deconstruction and demolition scenarios of the building beyond the end of use of the building (life cycle stages C1 / 3, D)</li> <li>Post-delivery (based on commissioning and testing)</li> <li>Occupation (based on the envisaged performance)</li> <li>End of life (based on the envisaged performance): Details the measures taken in the design phase to facilitate the construction, reuse and recycling (stages of the C1 / 3, D life cycle).</li> </ol>
		Standard	/
C3.2 Solid waste from building	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste	Information source	Plans of the area with the location of containers
operation	types within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	Assessment method	The seven solid waste reference categories are: -Paper -Plastic -Metal -Glass -Wet waste -Textiles -Dangerous Identify the availability and location of dedicated containers for each of the 7 categories of solid waste. Calculate the walking distance (m) of the main building door for each sorting equipment. Evaluate which of the 7 categories of solid waste can be collected within a 50m perimeter from the main entrance of the building (A). The value of the indicator is given by the ratio: A / 7
		Standard	/



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY** CRITERION **INDICATOR** SPECIFICATIONS Information Measured data source The value of the indicator must be given by measurements in situ in occupancy (and after delivery). Measurements must be carried out on at least 10% of the apartments. These must be representative of the different types of housing, configurations and materials used. The samples must be taken from the living room and the smallest room of each apartment. The measuring equipment must be placed in the center of the room so as not to be too much influenced by the doors and windows and the air inlets The sampling method shall be in accordance with ISO 16000-6 (Indoor Air - Part 6: Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air and Active Sampling Chambers on Tenax TA (R) Sorbent, Desorption thermal and gas chromatographic using MS or MS / FID) or equivalent. In the design phase, product testing can be used as a simplified data source. These emissions must be evaluated over a period of 28 days. They must be established in accordance with D1.4 TVOC standard NF EN 16516 (Construction products -TVOC concentration in evaluation of the emission of dangerous concentration in indoor air Assessment substances - Determination of indoor air indoor air emissions). method Test data is then required from the manufacturers and suppliers of the products concerned. All tests must be on compliant finished products. References and Standards EN 15251 (Indoor Environment Criteria for the Design and Evaluation of the Energy Performance of Buildings covering Indoor Air Quality, Thermal, Lighting and Acoustics) Resources: VOC and housing (up to 35 substances): "In the gas phase, the chemical compounds present are mainly Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) containing a multitude of substances of different chemical families, and are thus detected in the indoor environments in a more significant way than some aldehydes (including formaldehyde mainly and almost systematically), certain aromatic hydrocarbons including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes commonly called BTEX, but also VOCs belonging to the families of terpenes, ketones, alcohols, ethers of Glvcol ... "For information. the United States recommends a total VOC concentration of less than 200 $\mu$ g / m3 as the comfort threshold and




Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			Germany recommends a target value of 300 µg / m3. measures of_19 "Air Atmo Alsacehttp: //www.atmo- alsace.net/medias/products/Campagne_de_mea sures_de_19.pdf
		Standard	ISO 16000-6 (Indoor Air - Part 6: Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air and Active Sampling Chambers on Tenax TA (R) Sorbent, Desorption thermal and gas chromatographic using MS or MS / FID) or equivalent. NF EN 16516 (Construction products - evaluation of the emission of dangerous substances - Determination of indoor air emissions).
		Information source	Models and simulation or measured method
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied	Assessment method	In the design phase, PPD estimation can be done using simulation software. In the operation phase, the PPD can be measured. The value of the PPD shall be calculated or measured in accordance with EN 7730 (Ergonomics of the thermal environment - Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort by calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and by local thermal comfort criteria) for the seasonal and winter conditions. The PDP must be evaluated in all main living rooms and bedrooms. In the case of a collective dwelling, each configuration and orientation must be evaluated. The measuring point should be placed one meter from the center of the main window of each room. The following parameters must be used to characterize the value of the PDP: -clothing thermal resistance (clo) = 0.5-metabolic energy (met) = 1.2 To evaluate the value of the PDP on a building as a whole, the PPD values estimated or measured in each room must be aggregated by a weighted average: PPDm = Sum PPDi x Au, i/ Sum Au, where: PPDi = PPD for the coin-th Au, i = useful area of the i-th room EN 7730 (Ergonomics of the thermal
		Standard	environment - Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort by calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and by local thermal comfort criteria

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	IONS
G1.4 Use stage	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	Information source	Models and simulation
energy cost		Assessment	The calculation can be based on estimates in

a this was the second and a second and



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

		method	the design phase. It must be based on consumption monitoring during the exploitation phase. The indicator can be used by different actors for different purposes. In the design phase, it can be used to estimate future operating costs. In the operating phase, it can be used to compare the real performance of the building with the estimated estimates. For existing buildings, the total annual cost of thermal and electrical consumption from energy bills must be realized over an average of 3 years.
		Standard	/
		Information source	Models and simulation
G1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	Assessment method Standard	The calculation can be based on estimates in the design phase. It must be based on consumption monitoring during the operation phase. The indicator can be used by different actors for different purposes. In the design phase, it can be used to estimate future operating costs. In the operation phase, it can be used to compare the real performance of the building with the estimated estimates. For existing buildings, the total annual cost of water consumption from the invoices must be realized over an average of 3 years.
		Standard	·



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - GENCAT

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
A1	Site regeneration and development		
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking		
A2	Urban Design		
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)		
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services		
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services		
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles		

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Total life cycle non-renewable energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand	
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	
B1.7	Consumption of renewable energy for all building operations	
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy – Not applicable	
B2	Electrical peak demand	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	
B2.2	Scheduling of building operations to reduce peak loads on generating facilities.	
B3	Use of Material	
B3.5	Recycled materials – Not applicable	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses.	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C3.1	Construction and demolition waste – Not applicable
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations
C5	Other Local and Regional Impacts
C5.8	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation		
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air – Not applicable		
D1.5	CO2 concentration in indoor air		
D1.10	Ventilation rate		
D2	Air Temperature and Relative humidity		
D2.1	Time outside of the thermal comfort range		
D2.2	Thermal comfort index		

# E- SERVICE QUALITY

E1	Safety and Security			
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding			
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages			
E2	Functionality and Efficiency			
E2.5	Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.			
E3	Controllability			
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system			
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems			
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems			
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants			
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability			
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply			
E5	Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance			
E5.1	Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility systems			
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation			

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
F1	Social Aspects	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	

and the second second and



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A LAND AND A LAND A

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
G1	Cost and Economics	
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	
G1.5	Use stage water cost – Only for Residential occ.	

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

# A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITERION		REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	Assess the quality of bicycle pathways
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)	Assess the passive solar solutions potential
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services	Assess the capacity of recycled
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	Assess the use of private vehicle in the workers

B – ENEI	B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION								
CRITERI	ИС	REASON/MOTIVATION							
B1.1	Primary energy demand	Assess the energy consumption							
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	Assess the energy consumption							
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	Assess the energy consumption							
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy							
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy							
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy							
B1.7	Consumption of renewable energy for all building operations	Assess the potential of the implementation renewable energy							
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Assess the required power for building operations							
B2.2	Scheduling of building operations to reduce peak loads on generating facilities.	Assess the reduce the energy power							
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses.	Assess the water consumption for the building operations							



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS

	CRITERION	<b>REASON/MOTIVATION</b>
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	Assess the GHG emissions to reduce
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations	Assess the capacity of recycled
C5.8	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems	Assess the lighting polution

#### **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

	CRITERION
D1.5	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in indoor air
D1.10	Ventilation rate
D2.1	Time outside of the thermal comfort range
D2.2	Thermal comfort index

# **REASON/MOTIVATION**

Assess the quality of interior air Assess the quality of interior air Assess the thermal comfort Assess the thermal comfort

# E- SERVICE QUALITY

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	Assess the risk of flooding
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	Assess the auto-energy-capacity of the building
E2.5	Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.	Assess the work properly of the lift
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system	Assess the management system of facilities
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems	Assess the management system of facilites
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	Assess the management system of facilites
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants	Assess the management system of facilites
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Assess the management system of facilites
E5.1	Operating functionality and efficiency of key facility systems	Assess the management system of facilites
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	Assess the management

# F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building

Assess the universal access of the building

# **G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS**

# CRITERION

G1.4 Use stage energy cost

**REASON/MOTIVATION** Assess the cost of the energy



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	This is an existing building and therefore there is not much scope of action to influence in its design. Consultation with Local Committee Members
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. It is considered top priority. Consultation with Local Committee Members
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	It is very important due to directly affect the health of people. Consultation with Local Committee Members
E- SERVICE QUALITY	2	It is not considered priority, although it is important because it takes into consideration the relationship of people with that studied building. Consultation with Local Committee Members
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	3	It is considered top priority due to directly affect the quality of the workers. Consultation with Local Committee Members
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	This is a strategic axis with greater opportunity for action and improvement of results and directly linked to $CO_2$ emissions to reduce in the Climate and energy framework 2030. Consultation with Local Committee Members

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	25,00
A2- Urban design	25,00
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	50,00
TOTAL	100
B1- In use energy consumptions	77,78
B2- Embodied energy	11,11
B3- Use of materials	0,00
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	11,11
TOTAL	100
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	33,33
C2- Other atmospheric emissions	0,00

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C3- Solid and liquid waste	33,33
C4- Impact on project site	0,00
C5- Other local and regional impacts	33,33
TOTAL	100
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	50,00
D2- Thermal comfort	50,00
D3– Visual comfort	0,00
D4– Acoustic comfort	0,00
TOTAL	100
E1- Safety and Security	20,00
E2- Functionality and efficiency	10,00
E3- Controllability	40,00
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	10,00
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	20,00
TOTAL	100
F1- Social aspects	100
F2- Culture and heritage	0
F3- Perceptual	0
TOTAL	100
G1- Cost and economics	100
TOTAL	100

# **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE									
A1- Site Regeneration and Development									
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
A1.12	0,84	2	3	2	1	Confirmed			
A2- Urban Design									
A2.3	2,11	1	5	3	1	Confirmed			
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services									
A3.6	0,28	2	3	2	1	Confirmed			
A3.13	1,69	2	2	3		Confirmed			
TOTAL	4.92%								

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION									
B1-Total Life Cycle Non-Renewable Energy									
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
B1.1	7,02	5	5	2	1	Confirmed			
B1.2	7,02	5	5	2	1	Confirmed			
B1.3	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed			
B1.4	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed			
B1.5	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed			
B1.6	7,02	5	5	2		Confirmed			

A CHARTEN AND CONTRACT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B1.7	10,53	5	5	3		Confirmed
<b>B2-Electrical Pea</b>	k Demand					
B2.1	6,32	5	5	3	1	Confirmed
B4-Use of potable	e water, storm	water ai	nd gre	ywate	r	
B1.1	3,79	4	3	3	1	Confirmed
TOTAL	62,78%					

# **C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS**

C1-Greenhouse Gas Emissions									
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C1.3	13,2	5	5	3	1	Confirmed			
C3-Solid and Liquid Wastes									
C3.2	2,53	4	3	2	1	Confirmed			
C5-Other Local an	C5-Other Local and Regional Impacts								
C5.8	3,37	4	3	2	1	Confirmed			
TOTAL	19,07%								

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY										
D1-Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation										
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
D1.5	0,63	1	3	3	1	Confirmed				
D1.10	0,21	1	3	2	1	Confirmed				
D2-Air Temperature and Relative Humidity										
D2.1	0,63	1	3	3	1	Confirmed				
D2.2	0,63	1	3	3	1	Confirmed				
TOTAL	2.11%									

E- Service Quality								
E1-Safety and Security								
CRITERION	Weight(%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
D1.3	2,53	2	4	3		Confirmed		
D1.6	1,90	2	3	3		Confirmed		
<b>E2-Functionality</b>	and efficiency							
D2.15	0,63	1	3	3		Confirmed		
E3-Controllability	/							
E3.1	0,14	1	2	2		Confirmed		
E3.2	0,14	1	2	2		Confirmed		
E3.3	0,14	1	2	2		Confirmed		
E3.4	0,28	1	2	2		Confirmed		
E4-Flexibility and	Adaptability							
E3.1	1,26	1	3	3		Confirmed		
E5-Optimization	and Manteinance	e of Op	perating	g Perf	ormance			
E5.1	0,21	1	2	3		Confirmed		
E5.6	0,11	1	1	3		Confirmed		
TOTAL	7.97%							





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



F-SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS							
F1-Social Aspects							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
F1.1	1,90	2	3	3		Confirmed	
TOTAL	1,9%						

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS							
G1-Cost and Economics							
CRITERION	Weight (%	)	вС	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G.1.4	0,63	2	3	3		Confirmed	
G1.5	0,21	2	3	1		Confirmed	
TOTAL	0.84%						

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

A- URBAN STRUCTURE AND FORM							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE			
Δ1 12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members			
7.11 <b>5</b>	parking		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members			
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members			
			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members			
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members			
			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members			
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	Spaces / 100m <sup>2</sup>	0: 1,50	Confirmed by CLC members			
		100111	5: 0,50	Confirmed by CLC members			

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	RATIONALE		
B1.1	Primary energy demand	$kM/b/m^2/v$	0: 225	Confirmed by CLC members		
		күүп/тт /у	5: 70	Confirmed by CLC members		
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	kWh/m²/y	0: 22	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 12	Confirmed by CLC members		
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	$k M h m^{2/2}$	0: 75	Confirmed by CLC members		
		кvvn/m <sup>-</sup> /у	5: 20	Confirmed by CLC members		

A KING WAR AND A CANADA



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary	0/	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members
	energy consumption	%	5: 90	Confirmed by CLC members
B1 5	Energy from renewable		0: 30	Confirmed by CLC members
	energy consumption	%	5: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
B1.6	Energy from renewable	%	0: 40	Confirmed by CLC members
	electrical energy consumption		5: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
B1.7	Consumption of	kWh/m²/y	0: 2	Confirmed by CLC members
	renewable energy for all building operations		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
B2.1	Electrical peak demand	W/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
	for building operations		5: 20	Confirmed by CLC members
B4.5	Water consumption for	m <sup>3</sup> /per/y	0: 100	Confirmed by CLC members
	indoor uses (in use stage)		5: 20	Confirmed by CLC members

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
_	Global Warming	kaCO_ea/	0: 30	Confirmed by CLC members			
C.1.3.	emissions from primary energy	m2/y	5: 10	Confirmed by CLC members			
C.3.2.	Solid waste from building operations	0/	0: 15	Confirmed by CLC members			
		%	5: 100	Confirmed by CLC members			
C.5.8	Degree of atmospheric	%	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members			
	project exterior lighting systems		5: 0	Confirmed by CLC members			

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASUR E	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
D.1.5	$CO_2$ concentrations in indoor air	ppm	0: 600	Confirmed by CLC members		
			5: 500	Confirmed by CLC members		
D.1.10	Ventilation rate	l/s/m <sup>2</sup>	0: 6	Confirmed by CLC members		

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			5: 12	Confirmed by CLC members
D 2 4	Time outside of thermal	0/	0: 30	Confirmed by CLC members
D.2.1.	comfort range	%	5: 10	Confirmed by CLC members
	Thermal comfort index -	0/	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members
D.2.2.	PMV/PPD	%	5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members

E- SERVICE QUALITY	Y			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
E1.3	Risk to occupants and		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
	racinues from hooding		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E1.6	Maintenance of core	Days	0: 1	Confirmed by CLC members
	during power outages		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E2.5	Service quality and	Minutes	0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
	horizontal transportation systems in building.		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
	system		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
te			5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	m²	0: 25	Confirmed by CLC members
			5: 10	Confirmed by CLC members
E3.4	Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
			5:5	Confirmed by CLC members
E4.5	Adaptability to future		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
	energy supply		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E5.1	Operating functionality		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
	facility systems		5: 5	Confirmed by CLC members
E5.6	Retention of as-built		0: 0	Confirmed by CLC members
	documentation		5:5	Confirmed by CLC members

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.		0: 0 5: 5	Insert your comment here Insert your comment here			

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
G.1.4.	Use stage energy cost	€/m²/y	0: 60 5: 40	Insert your comment here Insert your comment here			

# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

# A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
	Provision and quality of	Information source	Maintenance staff
A1.12	bicycle pathways and parking	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Impact of orientation on	Information source	Maintenance staff
A2.3	the passive solar potential of building(s)	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting	Information source	Maintenance staff
	services	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
A3.13	Provision of on-site	Information source	Maintenance staff
	private vehicles	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
B1.1 Primary energy demand	Information source	Maintenance staff	
	Primary energy demand	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B1.3	Delivered electric demand	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
5	Energy from renewable	Information source	Maintenance staff
B1.4	sources in total primary energy consumption	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
		Information source	Maintenance staff
B1.5	sources in total thermal	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	energy consumption	Standard	NA
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total	Information source	Maintenance staff
	electrical energy	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	oonoumption	Standard	NA
B1.7	Consumption of renewable energy for all	Information source	Maintenance staff
	building operations	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Information source	Maintenance staff
	3 1 1 3 1	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses (in use	Information source	Maintenance staff
	stage)	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
Global Warming potential - GHG emissions from primary energy	Information source	Maintenance staff	
	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
	energy	Standard	NA
C.3.2. Solid waste from building operations		Information source	Maintenance staff
	Solid waste from building operations	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

A CHARTER AND CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C.5.8 Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by	Information source	Maintenance staff
	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
		Information source	Maintenance staff	
D.1.5	$CO_2$ concentrations in indoor air	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
		Information source	Maintenance staff	
D.1.10	Ventilation rate	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
		Information source	Maintenance staff	
D.2.1.	Time outside of thermal comfort range	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	
D.2.1. Ti	Time outside of thermal comfort range	Information source	Maintenance staff	
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA	

E- SERVICE QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
		Information source	Maintenance staff
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	Standard	NA	
Mai buil duri	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	Information source	Maintenance staff
		Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
E2.5 Service quality and efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building.	Service quality and	Information source	Maintenance staff
	efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	systems in building.	Standard	NA





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	Effectiveness of facility	Information source	Maintenance staff
E3.1	management control system	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	- <b>)</b>	Standard	NA
	Capability for partial	Information source	Maintenance staff
E3.2	operation of facility technical systems	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	·····	Standard	NA
		Information source	Maintenance staff
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Adaptability to future	Information source	Maintenance staff
E4.5	changes in type of energy supply	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA
	Operating functionality	Information source	Maintenance staff
E5.1	and efficiency of key facility systems	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
	5 5	Standard	NA
		Information source	Maintenance staff
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool
		Standard	NA

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building.	Information source	Maintenance staff	
	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
	Ŭ .	Standard	NA

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
<b>1.4</b> Use stage energy cost	Information source	Maintenance staff	
	Assessment method	According its factsheet in the SB-Tool	
		Standard	NA





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – SANT CUGAT

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

A – SITE REGI	ENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND
A1	Site regeneration and development
A1.8	Use of native plant types
A1.10	Provision and quality of children's play area(s)
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking
A2	Urban Design
A2.2	Reducing need for commuting transport through provision of mixed uses
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services
A3.8	Provision of split grey / potable water services
A3.10	On-site treatment of rainwater, stormwater and greywater
A3.14	Connectivity of roadways
A3.15	Provision of access roads and facilities for freight or delivery
B – ENERGY	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION
B – ENERGY B1	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.11	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.11 B2	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) * Electrical peak demand
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.6 B1.11 B2 B2.2	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) * Electrical peak demand Electrical peak demand for building operations
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.11 B2 B2.2 B3	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) * Electrical peak demand Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of materials
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.6 B1.11 B2 B2.2 B3 B3.5	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Energy (Not for Use phase) * Electrical peak demand Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of materials Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.6 B1.11 B2 B2.2 B3 B3.5 B4	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION Total life cycle non-renewable energy Primary energy demand Delivered energy demand Delivered electric demand Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) * Electrical peak demand Electrical peak demand for building operations Use of materials Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) * Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.6 B1.11 B2 B2.2 B3 B3.5 B4 B4.2	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION         Total life cycle non-renewable energy         Primary energy demand         Delivered energy demand         Delivered electric demand         Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption         Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *         Electrical peak demand         Electrical peak demand for building operations         Use of materials         Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *         Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater         Water consumption for indoor uses
B – ENERGY B1 B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6 B1.6 B1.11 B2 B2.2 B3 B3.5 B4 B4.2 B4.3	AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION         Total life cycle non-renewable energy         Primary energy demand         Delivered energy demand         Delivered electric demand         Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption         Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption         Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *         Electrical peak demand         Electrical peak demand for building operations         Use of materials         Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *         Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater         Water consumption for indoor uses         Use of water for irrigation purposes

C- ENVIRONI	IENTAL LOADINGS
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
C1.3	Global Warming Potential
C3	Solid and Liquid Wasters
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations
C4	Impacts on Project Site
C4.1	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping

# **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation



D1

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *
D1.5	CO2 concentration in indoor air
D2	Air Temperature and Relative humidity
D2.1	Time outside of the thermal comfort range
D2.2	Thermal comfort index * (Not calculated)

E- SERVICE QUALITY						
E1	Safety and Security					
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire					
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding					
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages					
E2	Functionality and Efficiency					
E2.6	Spatial efficiency					
E3	Controllability					
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control system					
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems					
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability					
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply					
E5	Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance					
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation					

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS						
F1	Social Aspects					
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building					
F2	Culture and Heritage					
F2.3	Impact of the design on existing streetscapes					
F3	Perceptual					
F3.7	Access to exterior views from interior					
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS						
G1	Cost and Economics					
G1.1	Construction cost					
G1.2	Operating and maintenance cost					
G1.4	Use stage energy cost					
G1.5	Use stage water cost					

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

# A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND **INFRASTRUCTURE**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1 Site regeneration and development A1.1 Use of native plant types	The city has a Green areas plan, which also apply to new buildings and refurbishment to minimize the effect of heat island.
A.1.10 Provision and quality of children's play area(s) A.1.11.Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	The city plan has different targets to achieve more bicycle pathways and improve the quality of children`s play areas.
	and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### A2 Urban Design

A2.1 Reducing need for commuting transport through Provisions of mixed uses

The aim is to encourage the designs that promote public and private electric and bicycle transportation, as they provide a direct saving of energy demand for transportation and an environmental improvement based on triple reduction: avoiding oil extraction, associated GHG emissions in use and noise pollution in cities.

A2.2 Impact of orientation on the passive solar Potential of building(s)

Passive strategies for all new buildings

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION							
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION						
<ul> <li>B1 Total life cycle non-renewable energy</li> <li>B1.1 Primary energy demand</li> <li>B1.2 Delivered energy demand</li> <li>B1.3 Delivered electric demand</li> <li>B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total</li> <li>primary energy consumption</li> <li>B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total</li> <li>thermal energy consumption</li> <li>B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total</li> <li>electric energy consumption</li> <li>B2 Electric peak demand</li> <li>B2.2 Electrical peak demand for building</li> <li>operations</li> </ul>	The aim of B1 criteria is to promote and reward the reduction of the energy consumption necessary for the heating of buildings (heating and cooling) and generation of hot water. Fossil fuels are currently the main energy resource on a global scale and are the causes, with their associated emissions, of a serious problem from the greenhouse effect.						
B4 Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater							
B4.3 Use of water for irrigation purposes	Sant Cugat city council has a water saving local ordinance to reduce the water consumption in all new and refurbished buildings since year 2002. The main reduction of tap water consumption in buildings comes through grey water reuse to indoor WC discharge and rain water tanks with also water from swimming pools to use outdoors for irrigation purposes.						
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS							
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION						
C1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions C1.3 Global Warming Potential	Calculation of GEH greenhouse gas emissions in buildings for Covenant of mayors SEAP template and Agenda 2030 in order to achieve the 40% reduction.						
C3 Solid and Liquid Wasters C3.2 Solid waste from building operations	The city has a segregating waste priority plan in order to increase the rate of separate collection and recycle of solid waste from building operation.						
C4 Impacts on Project Site C4.1 Recharge of groundwater through permeable	2018 new local water saving ordinance has a specific rule to improve the recharge of						
	A Standard Standard Strands Strands						

Interre Mediterranean CESBA MED Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



paving or landscaping

groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping in free areas of the plot.

# D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### CRITERION

D1 Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation D1.5 CO2 concentration in indoor air

D2 Air Temperature and Relative humidity D2.1 Time outside of the thermal comfort range

D2.2 Thermal comfort index

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

The selection of materials with low polluting emissions, the good illumination and ventilation of the interior spaces and an adequate purge process of the building before its occupation significantly reduce the risks to the health of its occupants.

# E- SERVICE QUALITY

#### CRITERION

E1 Safety and Security E1.2 Risk to occupants and facilities from fire E1.3 Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding E1.6 Maintenance of core building functions during power outages

E2 Functionality and Efficiency
E2.6 Spatial efficiency
E3 Controllability
E3.1 Effectiveness of facility management control system
E3.2 Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems.
E4 Flexibility and Adaptability
E4.5 Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply

E5 Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance E5.6 Retention of as-built documentation

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

To reduce to acceptable limits the risk that people involved in an emergency will suffer damages. Mainly in buildings of public concurrence. The regulations determine de security requirements corresponding to the security of people, the protection of the environment and the property.

To improve the building quality of new buildings.

To manage, metering and control the building operations in public buildings and also in new private ones. The aim is to obtained good quality data by sectorization for efficient consumption. To identify those buildings that can adapt quicker and cheaper to any future change of renewable energy systems.

To improve the quality of public buildings information by digitalising as-built documentation

# F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

#### CRITERION

F1 Social Aspects F1.1 Universal access on site and within the building F2 Culture and Heritage

F2.3 Impact of the design on existing streetscapes



# It is important for the city to control the impact of existing, refurbished and new buildings in the city landscape.

**REASON/MOTIVATION** 



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### F3 Perceptual

F3.7 Access to exterior views from interior

# **G-COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS**

#### CRITERION

- G1 Cost and Economics
- G1.1 Construction cost
- G1.2 Operating and maintenance cost
- G1.4 Use stage energy cost
- G1.5 Use stage water cost

#### **REASON/MOTIVATION**

To rate the construction cost of public buildings according to the degree of sustainability. To rate the operation cost on energy and water of all buildings according to their use and typology.

# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	The priority was given by CLC as a principal effort in urban planning.
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	Political priority; 2030 Agenda, SECAP, EMAS and PAM municipal activity plan.
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	Political priority; 2030 Agenda, SECAP, EMAS and PAM municipal activity plan.
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	Lower chances of intervention in buildings
E- SERVICE QUALITY	3	Political priority; 2030 Agenda, SECAP, EMAS and PAM municipal activity plan.
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	2	The priority was given by CLC
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	2	The priority was given by CLC

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	3,0
A2- Urban design	4,6
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	4,0
TOTAL A- Site regeneration and development, urban design and infrastructure	11,6
B1- In use energy consumptions	42,0
B2- Embodied energy	6,3
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	6,3
TOTAL B- Energy and resources consumption	54,9
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	13,2
C3- Solid and liquid wastes	2,5





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C4- Impact on project site	1,3
C5- Other local and regional impacts	3,4
TOTAL C- Environmental loadings	20,4
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	0,6
D2- Thermal comfort	0,6
D4- Acoustic comfort	0,2
TOTAL D- Indoor Environmental Quality	1,50
E1- Safety and Security	6,3
E2- Functionality and efficiency	0,2
E2 Controllability	0,3
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	1,3
TOTAL E- Service Quality	8,1
F1- Social aspects	1,9
F2- Culture and heritage	0,8
TOTAL F- Social Cultural and perceptual aspects	2,7
G1- Cost and economics	0,8
TOTAL G- Cost and economic aspects	0,8
TOTAL	100

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – Weight A-G

# A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE A1 - Site Regeneration and Development

CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A1.8	1.27	2	3	2	1	Default value		
A1.10	0.84	2	3	2	1	Default value		
A1.12	0.84	2	3	2	1	Default value		
A2 - Urban Design								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A2.2	2.53	3	3	2	1	Default value		
A2.3	2.11	1	5	3	1	Default value		
A3 - Project Infrastructure and Services								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A3.6	0.28	2	2	2	1	Default value		
A3.8	1.90	2	3	3	1	Default value		
A3.10	1.27	2	3	2	1	Default value		
A3.14	0.28	2	2	2	1	Default value		
A3.15	0.28	2	2	2	1	Default value		
τοται	11.6							

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION B1 - Total Life Cycle Non-Renewable Energy

CRITERION B1.1 B1.2 B1.3 B1.4 B1.5 B1.6	Weight (%) 7.03 7.03 7.03 7.03 7.03 7.03 7.03 7.03	<b>B</b> 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>C</b> 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	D 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	L.F. 4 4 1 1 5	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value Default value Default value Default value Default value Default value
B1.6 B2 - Electrical	7.03 peak demand	5	5	2	5	Default value
CRITERION B2.2	Weight (%) 6.33	<b>B</b> 5	С 3	D 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value

# B4 - Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater

CRITERION	Weight (%)	в	с	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B4.2	3.80	4	3	3	1	Default value
B4.3	2.53	4	3	2	1	Default value
TOTAL	54.9					

C - ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS C1- Greenhouse Gas Emissions								
CRITERION C1.3	<b>Weight (%)</b> 13.19	<b>B</b> 5	<b>C</b> 5	<b>D</b> 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
C3 – Solid and liquid wastes								
CRITERION C3.2	Weight (%) 2.53	<b>B</b> 4	С 3	D 2	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
C4 – Impacts on	project site							
CRITERION C4.1	<b>Weight (%)</b> 1.27	<b>B</b> 2	С 3	<b>D</b> 2	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
C5 – Other local	and regiona	l imp	pacts	;				
CRITERION C5.8 TOTAL	Weight (%) 3.38 20,4	<b>B</b> 4	С 3	D 2	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
D - INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY								
				<b>_</b>				
D1.5	0.63	<b>В</b> 1	3	<b>В</b> 3	L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
D2 - Thermal co	mfort							
CRITERION D2.1	Weight (%) 0.63	<b>B</b> 1	<b>С</b> 3	<b>D</b> 3	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value		
D4 – Noise and illumination								

the second s



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CRITERION	Weight (%)	<b>B</b>	<b>С</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>L.F.</b>	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
D4.1	0.21	1	3	1	1	Default value
TOTAL	1.50					

# **E- SERVICE QUALITY**

E1 – Safety and security							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
E1.2	1.90	2	3	3	1	Default value	
E1.3	2.53	2	4	3	1	Default value	
E1.6	1.90	2	3	3	1	Default value	

E2 – Functionality and efficiency						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.6	0.21	1	3	2	1	Default value

E3 – Controllability							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
E3.1	0.14	1	2	2	1	Default value	
E3.2	0.14	1	2	2	1	Default value	

E4 – Flexibility and adaptability						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E4.5	1.27	1	3	3	1	Default value

E5 – optimization and maintenance of operating performance						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E5.6	0.11	1	1	3	1	Default value
TOTAL	8,1					

F- Social, cultural and perceptual aspects F1 – Social aspects							
CRITERION F1.1	Weight (%) 1.90	<b>B</b> 2	С 3	D 3	L.F. 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
F2 – Culture and	l heritage						
CRITERION F2.3	<b>Weight (%)</b> 0.84	<b>В</b> 2	С 3	<b>D</b> 2	<b>L.F.</b> 1	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Default value	
τοται	27						

# **G-COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS**

G1 – Cost and economics							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
G1.4	0.63	2	3	3	1	Default value	
G1.5	0.21	2	3	1	1	Default value	
TOTAL	0.8						





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CONTRACTOR OF THE AND A CONTRACT

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

A- SITE REGENERATION	ON AND DEVELOPMENT,	URBAN DE	SIGN AND INFR	ASTRUCTURE
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
A1.8	Use of native plant types	%	0: 50 5: 100	Compliance of local water saving ordinance Compliance of local water saving ordinance
A1.10	Provision and quality of children's play area(s)	text	0: 0	Specific local city plan for children's play areas
			5: 5	Specific local city plan for children's play areas
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and	text	0: 0	Specific local city plan for mobility and parking areas
	parking		5: 5	Specific local city plan for mobility and parking areas
A2.2	Reducing need for commuting transport through provision mixed	text	0: 0	Only one occupancy type
			5:5	The project contents 3 or + occupancy types
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building (s)	e-w angle degree	0: 28	Current level, up to 30°.
			5:5	Long axis of the building is oriented within 5° of east-west
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services	text	0: 0	1 or + organic solid waste
			5 :5	4-5 organic and non-organic communal solid waste are located in the project
A3.8	Provision of split grey / potable water services	%	0: 0	Local water saving ordinance: No grey water systems are implemented in buildings generating less than 400 m3 of grey water a year.
			5 : 100	Local water saving ordinance compliance when a building generates more than 400 m3 of grey water/year.
A3.10	On-site treatment of rainwater, stormwater and greywater	%	0:25	Local water saving ordinance
		ł	5 : 100	Local water saving ordinance
A3.14	Connectivity of roadways	m	0: 200	Mean distance between intersections. CESBA MED reference



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			5:60	Current results
A3.15	Provision of access roads and facilities for freight or delivery	text	0: 0	Acceptable level of access for freight or delivery
			5:5	Convenient and direct access

B- ENERGY AND RESO				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
B1.1 Primary energy demand	Primary energy demand per area per year	kWh/m²/y	0: 292	CTE energy certificate regulation in buildings in Spain (for office buildings) G level (worst scenario)
			5 : 58	CTE energy certificate regulation in buildings in Spain (for office buildings) A level (BEST scenario)
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand per area per year	kWh/m²/y	0: 75	CESBA MED references and energy certification in tertiary buildings
			5 : 20	CESBA MED references and energy certification in tertiary buildings
B1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand per area per year	kWh/m²/y	0:70	CESBA MED references and energy certification in tertiary buildings
			5 : 30	CESBA MED references and energy certification in tertiary buildings
B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	Primary energy demand of the building that is met by renewable sources on total primary energy demand	%	0: 30	The minimum value given corresponds to the criteria given in local ordinance which requires an active renewable energy production with a minimum High-performance building
			5 :90	Autonomous building nZEB
B1.5 Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	%	0: 30	The minimum value given corresponds to the criteria given in local ordinance which requires an active renewable energy production with a minimum
			5 : 100	Autonomous building nZEB





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	%	0: 40	The minimum value given corresponds to the criteria given in local ordinance which requires an active renewable energy production with a minimum
			5 : 100	Autonomous building nZEB
B2.2. Electrical peak demand for BO	Electrical peak demand for building operations	W/m²	0: 40	CESBA assessment criteria for offices
			5 : 10	objective of professional best practice for nZEB buildings
B3.5 Recycled materials	Weight of recycled materials on total weight of materials (Not for Use phase) *	%	0: 15	Actual professional practice based on discussions with national local committee in Catalunya.
			5 : 50	objective of professional best practice
B4.2 Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Water consumption per occupant per year	m <sup>3</sup> /m²yea r	0: 11	From values for offices
			5:5	Consumption can be reduce a 75%
B4.3 Use of water for irrigation purposes	Water consumption per green area per year	m <sup>3</sup> /m²/ year	0: 0,20	CESBA assessment criteria
			5 : 0,05	CESBA assessment criteria

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
C1.3 Global Warming potential	CO2 equivalent emissions per	kg CO2 eq./m²/yr	0: 96,31	Emissions from Spanish energy certification G level in tertiary buildings	
potential	area per year		5: 19,26	Emissions from Spanish energy certification in A level tertiary buildings	
C3.2 Construction and demolition waste	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed (not	kg/m²/life cycle stage	0: 1700	Figures given in the CESBA protocol	

a fair and a fair and a fair a fair



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	calculated)			
			5: 600	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
C4.1 Recharge groundwater	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	% m <sup>2</sup> permeabl e area / m <sup>2</sup> total area	0: 50	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
			5: 90	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
C5.8 Atmospheric light	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems. (not calculated)	%	0: 25	Figures given in the CESBA protocol
	,		5: 0	Figures given in the CESBA protocol

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	TVOC concentration in indoor air (not calculated)	µg/ m³	0:1000	Recommendation of Catalonia government	
			5 :200	Local objective	
D1.5 CO2 concentration in indoor air	CO2 concentration in	ppm	0: 800	Minimum quality of air for commercial buildings, cinemas, theatres, restaurants, coffee shops, bar. Gym and computers room.	
			5 : 550	Minimum quality of air for offices, tertiary residences, libraries, schools and swimming pools	
D2.1 Time outside of the thermal comfort rang	Predicted Percentage Out of TC rang	%	0: 30	CESBA Default value	
			5 : 10	Good quality	
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (not calculated)	%	0: 10	CESBA Default value	
			5:0	Good quality	
D4.1 Noise attenuation through the exterior envelope	Attenuation degree trough the exterior envelope to environmental outdoors sound	dBA	0: 27,5	CESBA Default value	
			5 : 38,5	Good quality	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E- SERVICE QUALITY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
E1.2 Risk to	Risk of level for		0: 0	CESBA Default value		
occupants and facilities from fire.	vulnerable part of the building	text	5: 5	Best practice		
E1.3 Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	Probability of injury or death or major property damage in case of 100 year flood event	text	0: 0	High Probability of injury		
			5: 5	Very low Probability of injury		
E1.6 Maintenance of core building functions during	Probability of injury in case of an accidental or wilful explosion in or near the building	days	0: 2	Emergency plans compliance		
power outages			5: 5	CESBA MED default value		
E2.6 Spatial	The time to travel for lifts from the ground floor to the top floor	%	0: 85	CESBA MED default value		
emciency			5: 90	CESBA MED default value		
E3.1 Effectiveness of facility management	<b>յք</b>	text	0:0	CESBA MED default value		
control system			5:5	CESBA MED default value		
E3.2 Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems		text	0:0	CESBA MED default value		
			5:5	CESBA MED default value		
			0:0	CESBA MED default value		
E4.5 Adapting the building to a new fuel source will be possible with a		text				







Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

moderate level of<br/>renovations, but<br/>installing<br/>photovoltaics will<br/>require major<br/>renovations.SisCESBA MED default valuetext0:0CESBA MED default valueE5.6 Retention of as-<br/>built documentation.5: 5CESBA MED default value

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	text	0: 0	CESBA MED default value	
k.			5: 5	CESBA MED default value	
F2.3	Impact of the design on	text	0: 0	CESBA MED default value	
	existing streetscapes		5: 5	CESBA MED default value	
F3.7	Access to exterior views from interior	text	0: 0	CESBA MED default value	
			5:5		

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
G1.2 Operating and maintenance cost	Maintenance annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	0: 24	Current level in cost results		
			5: 15	Best result in public building		
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	0: 35	Worst scenario in current level		
			5:10	Best scenario in different public buildings		
G1.5 Use stage water cost	Water annual cost per usable floor area	€/m²/yr	0: 5	CESBA MED default value		
			5: 1	CESBA MED default value		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

A- SITE REGENERATI	ON AND DEVELOPMENT,	URBAN DESIG	N AND INFRASTRUCTURE
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICA	TIONS
		Information source	Measured data. Green area consulted on plans and type of plantation verified with City Hall.
A1.8	Use of native plant types	Assessment method	Determine the percentage of green area that contains native species and / or that do not require greater irrigation than alternative plants with respect to the total of gardenable space
		Standard	Master Plan of green areas
		Information source	Descriptive qualification
A1.10	Provision and quality of children's play area(s)	Assessment method	Determine what provision of spaces reserved for children is in the building and evaluate the quality of play facilities for children participating in the activity of the building. Assessment according to the criteria associated with each score, provided in the description of the indicator
		Standard	Master plan for children's play areas
		Information source	Bicibox web, city hall data and google maps to verify bicycle paths
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	Assessment method	Determine the extent and quality of space reserved for cycling to promote its use, considering the bike lane and bicycle parking lots. Check the bike lanes that reach the building, the distance the building is with respect to the bike lane network and distance to the bicycle parking lots, both individual and shared
		Standard	Mobility masterplan of the city
		Information source	Verification of the functional program of the buildings according to type of activity and consultation City council
	Reducing need for commuting transport through provision mixed	Assessment method	Determine the different uses that can accommodate the same building. Hosting more than one use implies that it is deigned
	-		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A2.2		Standard	in transportation since more than one activity could be carried out in the same place. Know and evaluate the functional programs of each building
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building (s)	Information source Assessment method Standard	Calculated data (AutoCAD or similar)google maps or orthomaps Calculate the angle of deviation of the main axis of the building with respect to the east- west orientation, with the highest rating being the closest to the east-west axis, understanding that they are the ones that receive the highest level of sunlight. Spanish technical code of the building CTE
		Information source	Calculated data from the city hall
A3.6	Provision of solid waste collection and sorting services	Assessment method	Determine the existence of selective recycling and the associated type of service. To know the recycling system that is implanted in each centre
		Standard	Solid waste master plan of the city
		Information source	City Hall: It is verified that none of the public buildings consists of a network of recovery and reuse of grey water.
A3.8	Provision of split grey / potable water services	Assessment method	To learn about the sanitation network system implanted in each building to determine if there is recovery of grey water. From 2002, it is compulsory to install a grey water reuse system in all new buildings generating more than 400 m3 of grey water per year.
		Standard	Local water saving ordinance
		Information source	City Hall: do any building consists of a network of recovery and reuse of grey water.
A3.10	On-site treatment of rainwater, stormwater and greywater	Assessment method	To determine the existence of treatment and exploration of rainwater and greyscale to reduce the consumption of drinking water in the building. To learn about the sanitation network system implanted in each building to determine if there is recovery of grey water. Local water saving ordinance it is compulsory for all new buildings since





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			2002.
		Standard	Local water saving ordinance, Catalonian Ecoeficiency decree
A3.14		Information source	City Hall: map of the city in AutoCAD format
	Connectivity of roadways	Assessment method	To measure the street distances to each intersection and calculate the average street and street stretch. Determining the average distance between street intersections evaluates how distances are long on foot near the building.
		Standard	Mobility master plan of the city
A3.15	Provision of access roads and facilities for freight or delivery	Information source	City Council and google to check the type of spaces / streets adjacent to the building
		Assessment method	To detect near each building if there are loading and unloading areas and / or spaces or service routes enabled for these purposes. If we know what kind, of areas, each building has around, the degree of ease of loading / downloading can be evaluated according to the criteria described in the indicator.
		Standard	Mobility master plan of the city and activity licences for business.

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS			
B1.1	Primary energy demand per area per year	Information source	City Hall: Consumptions in kWh of electricity and gas of 3 whole years of each building		
		Assessment method	Calculated using the measured values. 1) Calculation of the final energy of electricity and gas: annual consumption (kWh) of electricity and gas, making the average consumption of the last 3 years. 2) Final energy passage to primary electricity consumption and gas with a pass factor of 2.04 for electricity and 1,195 for gas.		
		Standard	The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1		
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand per	Information source	City Hall: Electricity and gas bills for a year of each building and information extracted		
	-		A FURTHER STATE		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



a fille a fille

	area per year		from audits carried out in similar tertiary buildings
		Assessment method	Determine the percentage of electricity consumption allocated to each type of service (lighting, climate, household appliances, office automation and others) according to type of building, to assign the corresponding percentage of the total electrical consumption to each building according to the invoice. Add the gas consumption extracted from each invoice Distribution consumption by use (to determine% of electricity intended for climate) Schools (tertiary buildings) Lighting 78% Heating 3% Electric appliances 5% Office automation and other 15% Pilot building: Casa de Cultura Lighting 52.00% Office equipment 22.57% Electromagnetic and elevator 3.43% Heating and excline 22.00%
		Standard	The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1 Governmental energy audit
		Information source	City Hall: Consumptions in kWh of electricity
	Delivered electric	Assessment method	Summary of the consumption of electrical kWh per year, for 3 years and calculation of the average annual consumption resulting from the 3 years analysed
B1.3 energy demand per area per year	Standard	The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1	
B1.4	Primary energy demand of the building that is met by renewable sources on total primary energy demand	Information source	City council: renewable energy (EERR) production data provided
		Assessment method	Calculation of the sum of energy produced with EERR in buildings and compared by percentage of the total primary energy of the building


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FILL AND AND A FILL AND A FILL

		Standard	The reference standard for the evaluation of lighting consumption must be EN 15193. References and standards Level (s) EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1
		Information source	City council: renewable energy (EERR)
B1.5	Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumptions	Assessment method	Calculation of the sum of energy produced with EERR in the building with respect to the total consumption destined to thermal use (% electric + gas). Each m2 of solar thermal plate is considered equivalent to a production of 700 kWh / m2 * 0.85 reduction coefficient of installation operation
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments). EN 52016-1 CTE HE4 spanish regulation RITE: regulation of thermal installations in building
		Information source	City council: renewable energy (EERR) production data provided. There is no production of electrical energy in any of the buildings evaluated
B1.6	Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption	Assessment method	Calculation of the sum of energy produced with EERR in the building with respect to the total consumption destined for electrical use.
		Standard	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and definition of energy assessments
		Information source	City council: to check electrical bills received for a whole year.
B2.2	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Assessment method	Review of electrical bills to detect the peak power values of each month and make the average of one year. Once the average peak value is determined, divide it between the surface of the building to calculate the power per m2
		Standard	Spanish technical code of the building CTE. Specific regulation
	Weight of recycled	Information source	Models and simulation or material documents
B3.5	materials on total weight of materials (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	The General Council of Technical Architecture of Spain has agreed to the implementation of the Statistical file of materials in the processing of the visa / registration of the executions of works



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	CO2 equivalent	Information source	City hall: consumptions in kWh of electricity and gas of 3 years of each building
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICA	TIONS
C- ENVIRONMENTAL I			
		Standard	Water saving local ordinance of the city. Master plan of green areas in the city.
B4.3	Water consumption per green area per year	Assessment method	Forecast of the volume of water for irrigation in the landscaped areas of the building, taking into account the possible use of grey or rainwater. Detect the landscaped area of each building, calculate the surface area and, in case of having an irrigation system, calculate the volume of water that is destined for this purpose.
		Information source	City council: Consultation on irrigation system implanted in each building and volume of water consumed. On a map of the city, cadastre or direct consultation at the Town Hall, calculate the area of the garden area of each building.
		Standard	Water saving local ordinance
B4.2	Water consumption per area per year	Assessment method	Calculation of the total annual water consumption of the building and average annual consumption for the last 3 years. The measured consumption must be averaged over a period of 3 years
		Information source	Measured data
		Standard	CTE- Spanish edification code technique. Bill of materials from the data on constructions type and materials used in project and execution phases.
			within the scope of the LOE, to obtain State statistical data of the materials used in these works. Catalonia has other forms adapted to the autonomous and state regulations on quality control (the "Quality Control Program" and the "Controlling relationship and its results"

Assessment method Calculate the total consumption in kWh of electricity and gas for a whole year (the average of 3 years is calculated) and the kWh pass factor equivalent to kg of C02 of

and the second second second

Mr. C.

10



emissions per

area per year

C1.3



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

			each type of energy is applied. The CatalanOffice for Climate Change (OCCC) haspublished on the climate change websitethe update of the tools for the calculation ofGHG emissions (version of March 2018),useful for calculating the GHG emissionsinventory of the year 2017.Conversion factorsKWhKgCO2 / kWhElectricity0.308Natural gas0.182Liquid fuels / transport0.274GLP0.234Red heat / cold0,000Biomass0,000Thermal solar0,000Geothermal0,000
		- · · ·	EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings: overall energy consumption and
		Standard	definition of energy assessments)
		Information	
C3.2	Weight of waste and materials generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed (not calculated)	source Assessment method	Visit the building / google maps or similar Relation of the amount of recyclable solid waste 50 meters away from the entrance of the building to the solid waste categories of reference. Count the number of containers destined for different waste, located at a distance less than 50 m from the entrance to the building. NOTE: 7 categories are specified in the description of the indicator but in the study municipality, they do not exist. The indicator is valued based on the maximum number of categories of Sant Cugat, which corresponds to 5: paper / packaging / organic / glass / rest Spanish Royal Decree 105/2008, of
		Standard	February 1, regulating the Production and management of construction and demolition waste
	Recharge of groundwater through permeable paving or landscaping.	Information source	City Council/Google maps: verification of the areas to be considered, along with tools like google maps.
C4.1		Assessment method	Percentage of precipitation rainwater that can return to the subsoil through pavement or permeable soil in the building's terrain. Detect the sum of areas with permeable floor / floor of each building, and calculate the percentage of area relative to the total of the plot where the building is located.
		Standard	Local water saving ordinances Metropolitan general plan





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



C5.8	Atmospheric light	Information source	City council: Relation of the external lighting installed in each centre and technical sheet of each luminaire that specifies the projection opening to determine if it is inside or outside the cone of 120°.
	Determine the degree of light pollution of the exterior lighting of buildings.	Assessment method	Determine the degree of light pollution of the exterior lighting of buildings. Calculation of the percentage of exterior lighting of the building that has a light projection outside of a 120-degree vertical cone.
		Standard	Spanish Royal Decree 1890/2008. Regulation of energy efficiency in outdoor lighting

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICA	TIONS
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	Information source	Measured data in new buildings. Not calculated.
		Assessment method	Determine the level of TVOC concentration of the interior air of the building. Extraction of sensor data installed in the building and calculation of the average result of the sensors placed
		Standard	Content in VOC according to UNE-EN ISO 11890-1 / 2 and ASTM D2369 standards. Issuance of VOC according to ISO 16000. Determination of the formaldehyde emission on wood derived boards according to UNE-EN 717
D1.5	CO2 concentration in indoor air	Information source	Measured data in buildings. Cliensol query platform with sensors in different places.
		Assessment method	Determine the level of CO2 concentration of the interior air of the building. Extraction of sensor data installed in the building and calculation of the average result of the sensors placed
		Standard	NTP 742: General ventilation of buildings. Good practices guide
	Time outside of the thermal comfort rang	Information source	CLIENSOL Consultation Platform (01/10 / 2018-30 / 11/2018 period)
D2.1		Assessment method	Determine the percentage of hours that are out of range of comfort, within the activity schedule of the building. Extraction of temperature sensor data installed in the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



			building and calculation of the percentage of hours that are out of range of comfort within the activity hours of the building and in accordance with the opening calendar of the building downtown
		Standard	NTP 322: Evaluation of the thermal stress risk: WBGT index. Good practices guide
		Information source	Not calculated
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	Assessment method	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD)
		Standard	UNI EN ISO 7730 Class B
		Information source	Design documents of the building
D4.1	Noise attenuation through the exterior envelope	Assessment method	Ratio between DF and DF lim. Determine the degree of attenuation that exterior exterior facing external environmental noise provides. Calculation of the acoustic transmission of the windows of the building according to the composition of glass.
		Standard	Table 4.3.2.1 of the CTE's constructive Spanish catalogue. Standard window

E- SERVICE QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICA	TIONS
	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire.	Information source	City council: verification that all buildings have a plan of fire strategy in compliance with current regulations.
E1.2		Assessment method	Revision of fire protection plans, detection and extinction and evacuation routes of the building.
		Standard	Spanish regulation Royal Decree 513/2017, of May 22, approving the Regulation of fire protection facilities. Emergency plans, self-protection plans, emergency measures in buildings.
	Rick to occupante and	Information source	City council: Plan "Identification of risk and basic structures" of Civil Protection where the flood areas of the municipality are specified.
E1.3	facilities from flooding	Assessment method	Based on the existing documentation on the probability of flooding of the zone near the building one of the risk categories for the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KINCH KING CONKING

			occupants described in the criteria of the indicator is established.
		Standard	Royal Decree 638/2016 in several aspects, among which is the management of flood risks through the identification of those uses and vulnerable activities in front of avenues
		Information source	Documentation of the building. Consult property (City Hall)
E1.6	Maintenance of core building functions during power outages	Assessment method	Determine the number of days the building can operate autonomously in case of fall of the facilities services (ventilation, climate, lighting, internal transport systems). Check if there are reservation systems in the building to act in case of emergency and calculate the days that these alternative systems can install autonomy in the building.
		Standard	ITC-BC-28: technical guide applied to local public concurrence
		Information source	Building plans provided by the property (Town Hall)
E2.6	Spatial efficiency	Assessment method	Determine the relationship between the total useful surface of the building and the useful functional surface, which excludes vertical circulation and technical premises. Calculate the percentage that represents the functional surface with respect to the total usefulness.
		Standard	CTE Spanish construction code in buildings
		Information source	Check property (Town Hall)
E3.1	Effectiveness of facility management control	Assessment method	Determine any type of monitored control that allows control of the installations.
	System	Standard	CTE Spanish construction code in buildings
		Information source	Check property (Town Hall)
E3.2	Capability for partial operation of facility technical systems	Assessment method	Determine the degree of sectorization of the facilities and viability of providing partial service according to zone or time.
		Standard	CTE Spanish construction code in buildings
E4.5	Adapting the building to a new fuel source will	Information source	Check property (Town Hall) and check through the existing buildings plans.
L4.J	be possible with a moderate level of	Assessment method	Determine the degree of viability to install a



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	renovations, but installing photovoltaics will require major renovations.		climate system that requires a fuel different from the existing one or the possibility of incorporating photovoltaic solar installation. Revise possible existing or available space reserves for future installations as well as checking the structural viability of the building to withstand new loads or renovations in the building.
		Standard	CTE Spanish construction code in buildings
		Information source	Check property
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation.	Assessment method	Verification of existing documentation and punctuation application based on the cataloguing of each criterion specified in the indicator. Determine the scope and quality of the "as built" documentation preserved for later use by the occupants of the building.

Standard

CTE Spanish construction code in buildings

the formation of the states of the states

ale and

#### **F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS** CRITERION **INDICATOR SPECIFICATIONS** Information Plans provided by the property (Town Hall) source Universal access on Assessment Accessibility to people with mobility site and within the method problems building F1.1 CTE Spanish construction code in buildings Standard and activity licence Information Check property (Town Hall) and check through the existing buildings plans source Determine the degree of integration with the environment, height, colour and type of materials. Visual inspection where height is reviewed Assessment regarding neighbouring buildings, facade Impact of the design on method F2.3 material, facade restriction with respect to existing streetscapes the street limit and facade composition with types of openings and alignment with neighbouring buildings. Standard CTE Spanish construction code in buildings Information Check property (Town Hall) and check source through the existing buildings plans Access to exterior views F3.7 from interior Assessment Evaluate the quality of the external views method available to an observer located in an



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	interior space of a main occupation. Calculate the distance from the interior of the adjacent room to the façade that has a window to the outside, to the nearest external obstacle to determine the visual amplitude
Standard	CTE Spanish construction code in buildings

CTE Spanish construction code in buildings

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICA	<b>FIONS</b>	
		Information source	Check property all bill consumption	
<b>G1.2</b> Op ma	Operating and maintenance cost	Assessment method	Costs of use of the building + cost of maintenance, by surface, to compare the cost of putting into operation the building evaluated (cost of energy, water and maintenance) with respect to one built under parameters of "acceptable pact". To have the expenditure for energy, water and maintenance (annual) and divide it by the total area constructed: the item "maintenance and repair of the building" has been considered + the consumption of the services of air conditioning, electricity, water and gas	
		Standard	Good practices guides for buildings	
	Use stage energy cost	Information source	Check property all bill consumption	
G1.4		Assessment method	Calculation of the average consumption of three years for the fuels destined to energy of the building, extracted from the invoices provided by the property	
		Standard	Insert text here	
G1.5	Use stage water cost	Information source	Check property all bill consumption	
		Assessment method	Calculation of the average water consumption of three whole years, extracted from the invoices provided by the property	
		Standard	Insert text here	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool – University of Malta

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

A – SITE REGE	ENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND FURE	
A1	Site regeneration and development	
A1.7	Use of vegetation to provide ambient outdoor cooling	
A1.8	Use of native plant types	
A1.9	Provision of public open space(s)	
A1.12	Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use	
A2	Urban Design	
A2.1	Maximizing efficiency of land use through development density	
A2.3	Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)	
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Total life cycle non-renewable energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand	
B1.2	Delivered energy demand	
B1.3	Delivered electric demand	
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.2	Water consumption for indoor uses	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations	
C5.1	Impact on access to daylight or solar energy potential of adjacent property	
C5.8	Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems	

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	
D1.5	CO2 concentration in indoor air	
D2.2	Thermal Comfort Index	

E- SERVICE QUALITY		
E1	Safety and Security	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	

and the second and the



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E1.7	Personal security for building users during normal operations	
E2	Functionality and Efficiency	
E2.2	Functionality of layout(s) for required functions	
E2.4	Provision of exterior access and unloading facilities for freight or delivery	
E2.5	Efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building	
E2.6	Spatial efficiency	
E2.7	Volumetric efficiency	
E3	Controllability	
E3.3	Degree of local control of lighting systems	
E3.4	Degree of personal control of technical systems by occupants	

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
F2	Culture and Heritage	
F2.2	Provision of public open space compatible with local cultural values	
F2.3	Impact of the design on existing streetscapes	
F2.4	Use of traditional local materials and techniques	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	
G1	Cost and Economics
G1.1	Construction cost
G1.4	Use stage energy cost
G1.6	Investment Risk

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.7 Use of vegetation to provide ambient outdoor cooling	Since Malta is densely populated, vegetation needs to be protected more and introduced more in new projects.
A1.8 Use of native plant types	Using native plant types would reduce the need for irrigation which will safeguard water as a resource.
A1.9 <i>Provision of public open space(s)</i>	Since Malta is densely populated, public open spaces are something which need to be safeguarded. To provide public space for gathering, relaxation and recreation of the population within the project and neighbourhood.
A1.12 Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and parking	Important to promote cycling and walking instead of the use of private car which generates traffic.
A1.13 Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use	Since traffic is a number one issue, more walkways and pedestrianized streets would discourage the citizens in using their own private vehicle.
A2.1 Maximizing efficiency of land use through	Area in Malta is very limited with regards to the

A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



development density	total population. This indicator is therefore vital for
	the conservation of space.
A2.3 Impact of orientation on the passive solar	Solar Energy is the best clean energy resource
potential of building(s)	for Malta, since Malta has lots of sunny days. It is
	the most common type of renewable energy used by the Maltese. Grants are currently being given to the citizens to encourage the installation of solar panels.

<b>B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION</b>	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1 Primary energy demand	Important to know the primary energy requirements per year to be able to reduce them.
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	The amount of energy that is being used for thermal energy is an important factor.
B1.3 Delivered electric demand	Same as in B1.2, this is a very important indicator to be able to reduce electrical energy consumption.
B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total	Renewable energy, specifically solar energy is
primary energy consumption	given a high priority by the government. Grants
	are currently being given to the citizens to encourage the installation of solar panels.
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	Renewable energy, specifically solar energy is given a high priority by the government. Grants are currently being given to the citizens to encourage the installation of solar panels.
B4 Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	Important to reduce the potable water consumption. This indicator is crucial to highlight the importance of re-using water when possible.
B4.2 Water consumption for indoor uses	Preservation of water is vital.

# **C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3 Global Warming Potential	Reduction of CO2 emissions is one the government's priorities to meet the relevant
	targets.
C3.2 Solid waste from building operations	Waste collection is currently being revamped in Malta. The organic bag has just recently been introduced and waste is collected every day with a schedule for: organic waste, recycled waste and inorganic waste.
C5.1 Impact on access to daylight or solar energy	To ensure that the height, bulk or location on the
potential of adjacent property	the access to direct deviat of an existing or
	future building on adjacent properties.
C5.8 Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused	To minimize the spillage of light into the
by project exterior lighting systems	atmosphere from ground-level sources.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



## **D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air	Important to assess the Total Volatile Organic Compounds concentration in the building for the health of occupants.
D1.5 CO2 concentration in indoor air	Important to assess the amount of CO2 in air to check whether enough air is being circulated.
D2.2 Thermal Comfort Index	This was done via a short questionnaire and it is important to know whether the occupants feel comfortable with the thermal setting of the environment.

## **E- SERVICE QUALITY**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2 Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	Important to assess the risk exposure of occupants and users of the building from fire and smoke.
E1.3 Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	Important to assess the risk to lives and property of potential flooding incidents.
E1.7 Personal security for building users during normal operations	Important to assess the extent to which building users are relatively secure in accessing and using the building.
E2.2 Functionality of layout(s) for required functions	Important to assess the appropriateness of interior layouts to functional requirements of tenancies or occupants.
E2.4 Provision of exterior access and unloading facilities for freight or delivery	Important to do an assessment of access and unloading facilities for delivery and removal of goods and waste material.
E2.5 Efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building	To assess the service quality and functional efficiency of vertical and horizontal transportation systems within a building.
E2.6 Spatial efficiency	Important to assess the efficiency of space utilization within buildings.
E2.7 Volumetric efficiency	Important to encourage the efficient utilization of space within buildings.
E3.3 Degree of local control of lighting systems	Important to ensure that lighting control system zones in non-residential occupancies are sufficiently small to ensure a satisfactory level of occupant control over lighting conditions.
E3.4 Degree of personal control of technical systems by occupants	Important to ensure a maximum degree of personal control over heating, ventilation and illumination systems.

## F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F2.2 Provision of public open space compatible with local cultural values	Important to ensure that public open space compatible with local cultural values is provided in large projects.
F2.3 Impact of the design on existing streetscapes	Important to assess the degree to which the

A CHARTER AND A CONTRACT



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND AND A FILL AND A FILL

	architectural design of the building exterior is harmonious relative to adjacent buildings.
F2.4 Use of traditional local materials and	Important to assess the extent to which traditional
techniques	local materials and construction techniques will
	used in the execution of the project.

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS								
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION							
G1.1 Construction cost	Important to assess the difference between the capital cost of the Design with that of a reference building designed according to standards of Acceptable Practice.							
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	Important to optimize the operating cost of buildings to reflect the potential for long term performance.							
G1.6 Investment Risk	Important to assess the extent to which the construction of the project has affected nearby property values and the investment risk.							



Mediterranean

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE	1	N.A
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	1	N.A
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	1	N.A
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	1	N.A
E- SERVICE QUALITY	1	N.A
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS	1	N.A
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	1	N.A

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	4.4
A2- Urban design	2.2
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	0
TOTAL	7
B1- In use energy consumptions	29
B2- Embodied energy	0
B3- Use of materials	0
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	2.6
TOTAL	31.6
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	11.6
C2- Other atmospheric emissions	0
C3- Solid and liquid waste	0
C4- Impact on project site	0
C5- Other local and regional impacts	10
TOTAL	23.6
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	1.3
D2- Thermal comfort	0.7
D3– Visual comfort	0
D4– Acoustic comfort	0
TOTAL	2
E1- Safety and Security	13.4
E2- Functionality and efficiency	2.4
E3- Controllability	0.7
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	2.2
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	1.9

a fille a fille



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



TOTAL	20.7
F1- Social aspects	3.5
F2- Culture and heritage	6.4
F3- Perceptual	2.2
TOTAL	12
G1- Cost and economics	3.1
TOTAL	3.1

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE								
Ax								
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION		
A1.7 Use of vegetation	0.87	3	2	2	3	N.A		
to provide ambient								
outdoor cooling								
A1.8 Use of native	1.31	3	2	3	3	N.A		
plant types								
A1.9 Provision of	0.44	3	1	2	3	N.A		
public open space(s)								
A1.12 Provision and	0.87	3	2	2	3	N.A		
quality of bicycle								
pathways and parking								
A1.13 Provision and	0.87	3	2	2	3	N.A		
quality of walkways								
for pedestrian use								
A2.3 Impact of	2.19	5	3	4	3	N.A		
orientation on the								
passive solar potential								
of building(s)								

B- ENERGY AND RESC	OURCES	CONS	SUMP.			
Bx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1 Primary energy demand	0	5	2	4	3	N.A
B1.2 Delivered energy demand	7.29	5	2	4	3	N.A
B1.3 Delivered electric demand	7.29	5	2	4	3	N.A
B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	7.29	5	2	4	3	N.A
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	7.29	5	2	4	3	N.A





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B4.2 Water	2.62	3	2	3		N.A
consumption for					3	
indoor uses						

C- ENVIRONMENTA	L LOADINGS	\$				
Сх						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3 Global Warming Potential	13.66	5	3	5	3	N.A
C3.2 Solid waste from building operations	0	3	2	3	3	N.A
C5.1 Impact on access to daylight or solar energy potential of adjacent property	6.56	5	3	4	3	N.A
C5.8 Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems	3.50	3	2	4	3	N.A

D- INDOOR ENVIR	ONMENTAL	. QU	ALITY			
Dx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
D1.4 TVOC	0.66	3	3	2	3	N.A
concentration in						
indoor air						
D1.5 CO2	0.66	3	3	2	3	N.A
concentration in						
indoor air						
D2.2 Thermal	0.66	3	3	2	3	N.A
Comfort Index						

E- SERVICE QUAL	ITY.					
Ex						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2 <i>Risk to</i> occupants and facilities from fire	2.54%	3	3	3	3	N.A
E1.3 Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	3.39	4	3	3	3	N.A
E1.7 Personal security for building users during normal	2.12	5	3	3	3	N.A







Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

operations						
E2.2 Functionality of layout(s) for required functions	0.28	3	2	1	3	N.A
E2.4 Provision of exterior access and unloading facilities for freight or delivery	0.14	3	1	1	3	N.A
E2.5 Efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation systems in building	1.69	3	3	4	3	N.A
E2.6 Spatial efficiency	0.28	3	2	1	3	N.A
E2.7 Volumetric efficiency	0.28	3	2	1	3	N.A
E3.3 Degree of local control of lighting systems	0.19	2	2	1	3	N.A
E3.4 Degree of personal control of techinical systems by occupants	0.38	2	2	2	3	N.A

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS							
Fx							
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
F2.2 Provision of public open space compatible with local cultural values	1.13	3	2	2	3	N.A	
F2.3 Impact of the design on existing streetscapes	1.13	3	2	2	3	N.A	
F2.4 Use of traditional local materials and techniques	1.13	3	2	2	3	N.A	

G- COST AND ECC	DNOMIC AS	PEC	ΓS			
Gx						
CRITERION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
G1.1 Construction	0.85	3	3	1	3	N.A
cost						
G1.4 Use stage	0.85	3	3	1	3	N.A
energy cost						
G1.6 Investment	0.38	3	3	1	3	N.A
Risk						

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

A- SITE REGENERATION	ON AND DEVELOPMENT,	URBAN DE	SIGN AND INFF	RASTRUCTURE
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
A1.7 Use of vegetation to provide	Ratio of total vegetated surface area (on ground		0: 0.4	N.A
ambient outdoor cooling	and on roots, and including trees), divided by total site area. The result is known as or Leaf Area Index.	Ratio	5: 1.0	N.A
A1.8 Use of native	The percentage of landscaped area		0:40%	N.A
piant types	(excluding paved areas) planted with native species.	%	5:100%	N.A
A1.9 Provision of	To provide public space	Score	0	N.A
public open space(s)	for gathering, relaxation and recreation of the population within the project and neighbourhood. (Score)		5	N.A
A1.12 Provision and quality of bicycle	Underground Sheltered bicycle path- 12 spaces +	Score	0	N.A
pathways and parking	showers		5	N.A
A1.13 Provision and quality of walkways	To assess the extent and quality of walkways for	Score	0	N.A
for pedestrian use	occupants and users. Pedestrian walkways	-		N.A
shaded by trees, bridges connecting to building, parking spaces leading directly to the building		5		
A2.3 Impact of	Deviation, in degrees (°) of	Score	0	N.A
orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s)	East-West (to ensure a maximum possible insolation).		5	N.A

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
B1.1 Primary energy demand		0/	0: value	N.A		
		70	5: value	N.A		
B1.2 Delivered energy			0:	N.A		

A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



demand		5:	N.A
B1.3 Delivered electric		0:	N.A
demand	•	5:	N.A
B1.4 Energy from		0:	N.A
renewable sources in			N.A
total primary energy		5:	
consumption	-	-	
B1.6 Energy from		0:	N.A
renewable sources in			N.A
total electric energy		5:	
consumption			
B4.2 Water consumption	l	0:	N.A
for indoor uses		5:	N.A

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
C1.3 Global Warming	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent		0: value	N.A		
- T Olemlar	useful floor area per year	%	5: value	N.A		
C3.2 Solid waste	Ratio of the number of		0:	N.A		
operations	collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	ι      ι	5:	N.A		
C5.1 Impact on	Percentage of nearest		0:	N.A		
solar energy potential of adjacent property	ht or face of an existing building, or a future building designed on an adjacent site in accordance with existing regulations that will be shaded by the	%	5:	N.A		
C5.8 Degree of	Percentage of total		0:	N.A		
pollution caused by project exterior lighting systems	ghtexterior light output thated bylies outside a verticalor120 degree cone, asnsindicated by drawingsand specifications.	%	5:	N.A		

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS		
D1.4 TVOC	TVOC concentration in		0: value	N.A		
indoor air	indoor air	%	5: value	N.A		



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR

D1.5 CO2 concentration in	5 CO2 Designs for HVAC		0:	N.A
indoor air	ASHRAE, CIBSE or other acceptable protocol during design phase; actual monitoring results during operations phase.		5:	N.A
D2.2 Thermal Comfort Index			0:	N.A
e e inter e indox			5:	N.A

E- SERVICE QUALIT	Y			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
E1.2 Risk to	Risk level for occupants		0	N.A
facilities from fire	in the most vulnerable part of the building.	Score	5	N.A
E1.3 Risk to	Probability of injury or death or major property		0	N.A
facilities from flooding	damage in case of 100- year flood event or other foreseeable flood risk	Score	5	N.A
E1.7 Personal	Measures that are likely		0	N.A
users during normal operations	ding to assure adequate rmal levels of actual and perceived personal security, according to design documentation	Score	5	N.A
E2.2 Functionality of	Goodness of fit of		0	N.A
functions	provided layouts (shape, ease of access) with functional	Score	5	N.A
E2.4 Provision of	Adequacy of the facility		0	N.A
unloading facilities	temporary storage	l		N.A
for freight or delivery	capacity and measures to prevent excessive noise and visual pollution from disturbing occupants	Score	5	
E2.5 Efficiency of	Availability of lifts for		0:	N.A
vertical or norizontal transportation systems in building	al or horizontal occupant use, taking portation for service and moving needs, and the time required to travel from the ground floor to the top floor (or vice versa) during peak periods:	Score		N.A
		30018	5:	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	provision, capacity and speed of horizontal passenger conveying systems.			
E2.6 Spatial	The ratio of directly		0:60%	N.A
	total net area in each occupancy. Total Net Areas exclude only structure and building envelope areas; Net Functional Areas (NFA) exclude interior garages, vertical circulation and building mechanical rooms.	%	5:90%	N.A
E2.7 Volumetric	The ratio of directly		0:60%	N.A
enciency	functional net areas to total net area in each occupancy. Total Net Areas exclude only structure and building envelope areas; Net Functional Areas (NFA) exclude interior garages, vertical circulation and building mechanical rooms	%	5:90%	N.A
E3.3 Degree of local	The area of typical		0	N.A
systems	perimeter areas in m2, as shown in design documentation.	Score	5	N.A
E3.4 Degree of	The degree of control		0	N.A
technical systems by occupants	environment systems that can be exercised by occupants, according to design documentation.	Score	5	N.A

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
F2.2 Provision of public open space	Expert assessment of the degree to which	%	0: value	N.A			
compatible with local cultural values	hace I the degree to which th local public open space provided in the project is consistent with local cultural values.		5: value	N.A			
F2.3 Impact of the design on existing	Expert assessment of the barmony of the		0	N.A			
streetscapes	eetscapes Design with adjacent existing buildings, in	Score	5	N.A			

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	features such as height, bulk, set-back from the street, window size and height, colour or type of materials.			
F2.4 Use of traditional local	Architect's estimate of the percent of the percent.		0:	N.A
materials and techniques	structural elements of the building will be constructed using traditional local materials and construction techniques.	%	5:	N.A

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
G1.1 Construction	Predicted construction		0: value	N.A	
	according to design documentation.	%	5: value	N.A	
G1.4 Use stage	Energy annual cost per	$\Gamma/m^2$	0:	N.A	
energy cost		E/M	5:	N.A	
G1.6 Investment Risk	Percent change in		0	N.A	
	properties within 200 m of the project boundaries, 12 months after the start of construction.	Score	5	N.A	

# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

#### A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS
A1.7 Use of vegetation to provide	of n to provide butdoor Ratio of total vegetated surface area (on ground and on roofs, and including trees), divided by total site area. The result is known as or Leaf Area Index.	Information source	Plans of building
ambient outdoor cooling		Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
A1.8 Use of native plant types	The percentage of landscaped area (excluding paved areas)	Information source	Plans of building



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	planted with native species.	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
A1.9 Provision of public open space(s)	To provide public space for gathering, relaxation and recreation of the	Information source	Plans of building
1	population within the project and neighbourhood. (Score)	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
A1.12 Provision and quality of bicycle pathways and pathing	Underground Sheltered bicycle path- 12 spaces + showers	Information source	Plans of building
parking		Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
A1.13 Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use	To assess the extent and quality of walkways for occupants and users. Pedestrian walkways	Information source	Plans of building
	shaded by trees, bridges connecting to building, parking spaces leading directly to the building	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
A2.3 Impact of orientation on the passive solar potential of building(s) Deviation, in degrees (°) of main building axis from East-West (to ensure a maximum possible insolation).	Deviation, in degrees (º) of main building axis from East-West (to ensure a	Information source	Plans of building
	maximum possible insolation).	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
B1.1 <i>Primary</i> energy demand		Information source	Measured data from meters.	
		Assessment method	Insert text here	
		Standard	Insert text here	
B1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand		Information source	Measured data from VRF meters.	
		Assessment method		
		Standard		
B1.3 Delivered electric demand		Information source	Measured data from meters.	
		Assessment method		
		Standard		
B1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy		Information source		
consumption		Assessment method		
		Standard		
B1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy		Information source		
consumption		Assessment method		
		Standard		
B4.2 Water consumption for indoor uses		Information source		





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Assessment method	
Standard	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
C1.3 Global Warming Potential	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent	Information source	Enemalta	
1	emissions per internal useful floor area per	Assessment method	Insert text here	
	year	Standard	Insert text here	
C3.2 Solid waste from building operations	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m dictance from the	Information source	Plans of building	
m distar building the refe waste c	building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories	Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
		Standard	N.A	
C5.1 Impact on access to daylight or solar energy	r Percentage of nearest face of an existing building, or a future building designed on an adjacent site in accordance with existing regulations that will be shaded by the subject building.	Information source	Plans of building	
property		Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
		Standard	N.A	
C5.8 Degree of atmospheric light pollution caused byPercentage of total exterior light output that lies outside a vertical	Information source	Plans of building		
lighting systems	project exterior120 degree cone, aslighting systemsindicated by drawingsand specifications.CO2 equivalentemissions per internaluseful floor area peryearyear	Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
		Standard	N.A	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air		Information source	Measured Data
	TVOC concentration in indoor air	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
D1.5 CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in indoor air	<b>.5 CO</b> <sub>2</sub> Designs for HVAC systems that conform to ASHRAE, CIBSE or other acceptable protocol during design phase; actual monitoring results during operations phase.	Information source	Measured Data
		Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
D2.2 Thermal Comfort Index		Information source	Questionnaire
		Assessment method	Short Questionnaire was distributed among occupants of the building.
		Standard	N.A

E- SERVICE QUALITY				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
E1.2 <i>Risk to</i> occupants and		Information source	Fire Assessment Plans of building	
facilities from fire	Risk level for occupants in the most vulnerable part of the building	Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
	part of the banang.	Standard	N.A	
E1.3 Risk to occupants and facilities from floodingProbability of injury or death or major property damage in case of 100- year flood event or other foreseeable flood risk.	Probability of injury or death or major property damage in case of 100-	Information source	Water Assessment Plans of building	
	Assessment method	Desk Analysis		
		Standard	N.A	
E1.7 Personal security for building users during normal	Measures that are likely to assure adequate	Information source	Health and safety plans of building	

A FLICK STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



operations	levels of actual and perceived personal security, according to design documentation.	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
E2.2 Functionality of layout(s) for required functions	Goodness of fit of provided layouts (shape, ease of access) with functional	Information source	Plans of building
	requirements.	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
E2.4 Provision of exterior access and unloading facilities for freight or delivery	Adequacy of the facility unloading and temporary storage	Information source	Plans of building
for neight of derivery	to prevent excessive noise and visual pollution from disturbing	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
	occupants.	Standard	N.A
E2.5 Efficiency of vertical or horizontal transportation	Availability of lifts for occupant use, taking into account down-time for service and moving needs, and the time required to travel from the ground floor to the top floor (or vice versa) during peak periods; provision, capacity and speed of horizontal passenger conveying systems.	Information source	Lift data
systems in building		Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
E2.6 Spatial efficiency	2.6 SpatialThe ratio of directlyfficiencyfunctional net areas to total net area in each	Information source	Building Plans
	Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
		Standard	N.A
E2.7 Volumetric efficiency	Total Net Areas exclude only structure and building envelope	Information source	Building Plans





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	areas; Net Functional Areas (NFA) exclude interior garages, vertical circulation and building mechanical rooms. The ratio of directly functional net areas to total net area in each occupancy.	Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
E3.3 Degree of local control of lighting systems	The area of typical lighting control zones in perimeter areas in m2, as shown in design documentation.	Information source	Building Plans
		Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A
E3.4 Degree of personal control of	The degree of control over key indoor environment systems that can be exercised by occupants, according to design documentation.	Information source	Building Plans
technical systems by occupants		Assessment method	Desk Analysis
		Standard	N.A

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS	
F2.2 Provision of public open space	Expert assessment of the degree to which	Information source	Building Plans	
compatible with local cultural values	public open space provided in the project	Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
	is consistent with local cultural values.	Standard	N.A	
F2.3 Impact of the design on existing streetscapes	Expert assessment of the harmony of the Design with adjacent existing buildings, in features such as height, bulk, set-back from the street window size and	Information source	Building Plans	
		Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
	height, colour or type of materials.	Standard	N.A	
F2.4 Use of traditional local materials and	Architect's estimate of the percent of the non- structural elements of the building will be constructed using traditional local materials and construction techniques.	Information source	Building Plans	
techniques		Assessment method	Desk Analysis	
		Standard	N.A	





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTER AND A CONSIGNATION OF THE CONSIGNATION OF THE CONSIGNATION OF THE CONSIGNATION OF THE CONSIGNATION OF

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS		
G1.1 Construction cost	Predicted construction	Information source	Design documentation	
cos	cost per unit area, according to design	Assessment method	Desk analysis	
	documentation.	Standard	N.A	
G1.4 Use stage energy cost	Energy annual cost per usable floor area	Information source	Design documentation	
		Assessment method	Desk analysis	
		Standard	N.A	
G1.6 Investment Risk Percent of market v propertie of the pro boundari after the construct	Percent change in market value of properties within 200 m	Information source	Property market studies	
	boundaries, 12 months after the start of construction.	Assessment method	Desk analysis	
		Standard	N.A	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - NOA

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

A – SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
A1	Site Regeneration and Development		
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.		
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services		
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎		
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles. 🖎		
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎		

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION			
B1	Energy		
B1.1	Primary energy demand *		
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *		
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *		
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *		
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *		
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations		
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *		
B2	Electrical peak demand		
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations		
B3	Use of Materials		
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *		
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater		
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *		

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	
D2	Air Temperature and Relative Humidity	
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



### E- SERVICE QUALITY

E1	Safety and Security
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🕱
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding 🕿
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🔌
E2	Functionality and efficiency
E2.5	Elevators 🔊
E3	Controllability
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) 😹
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 😹
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 😹
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems 😹
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply
E5	Optimization and Maintenance of Operating Performance
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation.

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
F1	Social Aspects	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS		
G1	Cost	
F1.4	Use stage energy cost *	
F1.5	Use stage water cost *	

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

#### A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	Facilitate the occupants
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	Facilitate the occupants
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles 🖎	Facilitate the occupants
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	Important for occupants' safety

## **B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	KPI
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	KPI
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	KPI
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	KPI
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy	KPI





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	consumption *	
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	Interesting and can be calculated
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	KPI
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	KPI

#### **C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS**

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	KPI
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	KPI
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations *	KPI

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION		
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	KPI		
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	KPI		
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	KPI		

#### E- SERVICE QUALITY

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2 E1.3 E1.4 E2.5	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake Elevators Ruiding Management System (RMS)	Important for occupants' safety Important for occupants' safety Important for occupants' safety Interesting and can be calculated
E3.1 E3.2	Building Management System (BMS) &	Important for building's energy consumption Important for building's energy
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🖎	Important for building's energy consumption
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems 🕿	Important for building's energy consumption
E4.5 E5.6	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply Retention of as-built documentation.	Interesting and can be calculated Useful

# F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION			
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building.	Important			
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS					
	CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION			
G1.4	CRITERION Use stage energy cost *	REASON/MOTIVATION KPI			





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 3)	MOTIVATION
A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION	3	
C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	3	
D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	2	
E- SERVICE QUALITY		
F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	1	

\* Issue weighting is from the "CESBA KPIs SBTool v1.0" tool

**COMMENT:** We do not have weighting factors for issues (issues are replaced by primary issue or system, which is factor D). Additionally, reporting of factor A is missing.

Primary issue or system directly affected	WEIGHT (1 to 5)	MOTIVATION
COST AND ECONOMICS	1	
FUNCTIONALITY AND SERVICABILITY	1	
WELL-BEING AND PRODUCTIVITY OF	2	
OCCUPANTS		
SOCIAL AND CULTURAL ISSUES	2	
LAND RESOURCES	3	
NON-RENEWABLE MATERIAL	3	
RESOURCES		
NON-RENEWABLE WATER	3	
RESOURCES		
HEALTH, SAFETY AND SECURITY OF	3	
INDIVIDUALS		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	4	
NON-RENEWABLE ENERGY	4	
RESOURCES		
GLOBAL CLIMATE	5	

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	1.3
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	5.2
TOTAL	6.5
B1- Energy	22.0
B2- Electrical peak demand	5.1
B3- Use of materials	-
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	1.4
TOTAL	28.5
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	26.5
C3- Solid and liquid waste	10.1



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



TOTAL	36.6
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	-
D2- Thermal comfort	0.5
TOTAL	0.5
E1- Safety and Security	3.6
E2- Functionality and efficiency	0.2
E3- Controllability	3.8
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	5.1
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	0.0
TOTAL	12.6
F1- Social aspects	4.3
TOTAL	4.3
G1- Cost	11.0
TOTAL	11.0

#### **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE							
A1 Site Regeneration and Development							
CRITER		Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A1.13	Provision and quality of walkways for pedestrian use.	1.26	3	2	1	4	Pedestrian and bicycle paths are important for future plans
A3 Project Infrastructure and Services							
CRITER	ION	Weight (%)	в	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎	2.83	3	3	1	4	Municipal transportation system is important for future plans
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles >>	0.47	1	3	1	2	Parking is less important
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	1.89	1	3	3	4	Exterior lighting is important for security reasons
TOTAL		6.4					

B - ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION							
B1	Energy						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B1.1	Primary energy demand	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal	0.94	3	3	4	1	Energy consumption is an important issue

and the second and the


Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	energy consumption						
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption	4.72	3	3	4	5	Installation of PV are of extremely importance for the Municipality strategic plan
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	3.77	3	3	4	4	Energy consumption is an important issue
B1.11	Embodied energy	1.05	5	1	4	1	Not so important for now
B2	Electrical peak demand						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	5.03	2	3	4	2	Not so important for now
<b>B</b> 3	Use of Materials						
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase)	-	4	2	3	2	Not very common practice
<b>B</b> 4	Use of potable water, st	ormwate	r and	greyw	vater		
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses	1.42	3	2	3	3	
TOTAL		28.2					

C- EN	C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS							
C1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations	26.21	5	2	5	4	Reduction of CO2 is an important issue	
C3	Solid and Liquid Wastes							
CRITE	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase)	-	4	2	3	3		
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations	10.01	4	2	3	4	Recycling is an important issue	
TOTAL	-	36.3						

D- IND	D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY							
D1	Indoor Air Quality and Ventilation							
CRITER	lion	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase)	-	1	3	3	3		
D1.10	Ventilation rate	0.94	2	3	2	3		
D2	Air Temperature and R	elative Hu	midit	у				



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



CRITERI	ON	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	0.47	1	3	2	3	
TOTAL		1.4					

E- SEI							
E1	Safety and Security						
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	1.42	1	3	3	3	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding	1.42	1	3	3	3	
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake	0.71	1	3	3	3	
E2	Functionality and effici	iency					
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E2.5	Elevators	0.21	1	2	1	4	
E3	Controllability						
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS)	0.16	2	1	1	3	
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS)	1.68	2	2	4	4	
E3.3	Control of lighting systems	1.26	2	2	4	3	
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems	0.63	2	2	2	3	
E4	Flexibility and Adaptab	oility					
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	5.03	3	2	4	4	Turning to PV systems is in future plans
E5	Optimization and Main	tenance of	f Ope	rating	Perfc	orman	ce
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	0.03	1	1	1	1	Of no importance for now
TOTAL		12.5					

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS								
<b>F</b> 1	F1 Social Aspects							
CRITER	RION	Weight (%)	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the	4.25	3	3	3	3		

A FILL FRANK STANK



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

4.2



TOTAL

building

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS								
G1	Cost							
CRITER	ION	Weight	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION	
		( /0)						
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	2.36	3	3	1	5	Reduction of energy cost is very important	
G1.5	Use stage water cost	8.49	3	3	3	3		
TOTAL		10.8						

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

A- SITE R	EGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, U	IRBAN DES	IGN AND INFF	RASTRUCTURE
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
			0:	Short network of pedestrian or bicycle paths or shared areas near the building
A1.13	Existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building	text	5:	Large network of pedestrian or bicycle paths or shared areas near the building leading to public transport stops, schools or public spaces
A3.12	Public/ Municipal transportation 🖎		0:	One stop of public/municipal transportation within 400m from the building, with travel frequency up to 15 minutes.
		text	5:	At least two stops of public/municipal transportation (covering different directions) within 400m from the building, with travel frequency up to 10 minutes.
	Provision of on-site parking facilities for	Snaces/6	0: 1	<i>ΦΕΚ 76/ΜΑΡΤΙΟΣ 2004</i> Official journal 76 / March 2004
A3.13	private vehicles 🔌	0m <sup>2</sup>	5: 1.3	Based on discussions with National Local Committee Members
A3.16	Exterior lighting. 🖎	toyt	0:	Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building with old lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort
		IEXI	5:	Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building with new lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort

# B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION CRITERION INDICATOR

UNIT BENCHMARK DERIVATIONS

HI CHERTON CONTRACTOR



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT

B1.1	Primary energy demand *	0: 310.6 <i>kWh/m</i> <sup>2</sup> 5: 87.6 <i>5</i> : 87.6 <i>6</i> : 9 <i>6</i> : 9 <i>6</i> : 9 <i>7</i> : 9 <i>8</i> :
		0: 69.1 Very difficult to get metered
		kWh/m <sup>2</sup> 5: 11.5 and privately owned non- residential buildings. Use of statistical / calculated data.
		<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while Score 5 to energy class
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	A+(33%  of class  B).
B1.2		dominant energy class and class B, were defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), for whole buildings, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. All end uses (space heating, space cooling and domestic hot water) were taken into account.
		0: 99.4 Very difficult to get metered
		kWh/m <sup>2</sup> 5: 29.1 <i>and privately owned non-</i> <i>residential buildings.</i> <i>Use of statistical / calculated</i> <i>data, especially for residential</i>
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand *	<u>Score 0</u> corresponds to the consumption of the dominant energy class, while <u>Score 5</u> to energy class A+ (33% of class B). Electricity consumption per building use for the dominant energy class and class B, were defined using data from the Energy Performance Certificates (EPC) electronic repository (buildingcert), for whole buildings, adapted for external (TEEKENAK) to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. All end uses (space heating, space cooling, domestic hot water, ventilation, lighting and auxiliaries) were taken into account.
		0: 16 Building use solar collectors for
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	% pre-heating 5: 80 Building use solar collectors for pre-heating and partial coverage



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



				of heating loads
D1 6	Energy from renewable sources in total	0/	0: 20	Very difficult to get metered
Ы.0	electrical energy consumption *	%	5: 100	Use of statistical/ estimated data
<b>D1 0</b>	Final total anargy for all building anarations	$kMh/m^2$	0: 168.5	Pasad on P12 and P12
D1.0	Final total energy for all building operations	KVV1//11	5: 40.6	Daseu UN DT.2 anu DT.3
<b>D1 11</b>	Embodied operate (Net for Use phase) *	$M l/m^2$	0: 6230	Based on discussions with
ы.п	Embodied energy (Not for Ose phase)	IVIJ/TTI	5: 3000	Members
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations *	W/m²	0: 225.3	From typical installed power for heating, cooling, mechanical ventilation, lighting, and equipment for office buildings
			5: 9	For nzeb buildings
	Weight of recycled materials on total weight		0: 3	Based on discussions with
B3.5	of materials (Not for Use phase) *	%	5: 40	Members and common practice in Greece
			0: 6.0	From typical values for offices
B4.5	Potable water consumption per occupant per year*	m³/occu pant	5: 1.5	Based on discussions with National Local Committee, consumption can be reduced to 75%

C- ENVIR	ONMENTAL LOADINGS				
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
			0: 7.5	Based on thermal and electricity	
C1.3	CO2 equivalent emissions per internal useful floor area per year *	kg CO2 eq/m²/yr	5: 2.0	estimated in B1.2 and B1.3. It is assumed that thermal energy is covered by fuel oil. <u>Score 0</u> corresponds to CO2 equivalent emissions for the thermal and electrical energy consumption of the buildings of the dominant energy class <u>Score 5</u> corresponds to the CO2 equivalent emissions for consumptions of energy class A+	
	Weight of waste and materials	ka/m²/lif	0: 120	As an assumption of 8 $m^3/100$ $m^2 X 1500 kg/m^3$	
C3.1	generated per 1 m2 of useful floor area demolished or constructed (Not for Use phase) *	e cycle stage	5: 36	70% x120kg/m <sup>2</sup> =1.8 kg/m <sup>2</sup> reuse, recycling and recovery of CDW should be reduced by 70% at 2020	
	Ratio of the number of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m		0: 57	Based on discussions with	
C3.2	distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories *	%	5: 100	National Local Committee Members and common practice	

A FISCH STAND



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not	$ua/m^3$	0: 1000	From punished material			
	for Use phase) *	μg/ 111	5: 200	Trom pullished material			
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	$1/a/m^2$	0: 0.29	Common practice in NR buildings for fresh air			
		1/5/111	5: 0.83	Based on National guidelines for fresh air for office buildings			
D2.2	Thermal comfort index *	0/	0: 25	Based on discussions with			
		/0	5: 5	Members			

E- SERVICE QUALITY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire	text	0:	The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Basic training of the occupants	
			5:	The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Periodical training of the occupants and fire drills. System connected to a BMS.	
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding s	text	0:	Area facing flooding problems (during the last 100years), building partially equipped, OR building in an area with no flooding problems (during the last 100years).	
			5:	Area facing flooding problems (during the last 100years), building fully equipped, which faced flooding incident successfully	
	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🕱		0:	Building has passed successfully, a pre-earthquake inspection.	
E1.4		text	5:	Building has passed successfully, a pre-earthquake inspection and fully complies with National Regulations. Periodical training of the occupants	
E2.5	Elevators 🕿	text	0:	Certified elevators, with regular maintenance but don't comply with regulations for disabled persons. Maximum waiting time about 45 sec.	
			5:	Certified elevators, with regular maintenance,	

A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND A





				complied with updated standards EN81.20, and with disabled persons' requirements. Maximum waiting time about 25 sec. System connected to a BMS.
			0:	The building is equipped with a BMS, but it doesn't provide monitoring of system operations, or diagnostic reporting.
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) 🖎	text	5:	The building is equipped with a BMS, capable of ensuring that building technical systems operate at peak efficiency during all operating conditions, and the system provides full monitoring of system operations, as well as diagnostic reporting.
	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) 🕿		0:	Central control system for heating, cooling and
E3.2		text	5:	Ventilation, on building level Central control system for heating, cooling, ventilation and lighting on zone level
			0:	Automatic control of lighting turning on and off per building
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🕿	text	5:	Automatic control of lighting turning on and off per zone. Daylight and occupancy sensors in all zones. System
			0:	Thermostatic control of terminal units or/and central
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems	text	5:	Automatic local control of terminal units per room. Thermostatic control per room for central heating systems.
	Ease in installing heating or cooling		0:	Adapting the building to a new fuel source will be possible with a moderate level of renovations, but installing photovoltaics will require
E4.5	equipment that require a different fuel/ energy carrier, or photovoltaic systems.	text	5:	major renovations. Adapting the building to a new fuel source or installing photovoltaics will require only minor adjustments to architectural, HVAC or electrical systems.
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	text	0:	A full set of systems manuals and complete as-built drawings will be been





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



provided. There will be a partial recording, reporting and documentation protocol for maintenance, but somewhat inconsistent with the size and complexity of the building. A full set of operations and maintenance documentation, including a full set of systems manuals, complete as-built drawings and an operations and maintenance guide will be provided in both hard-copy and electronic forms.

F- SOCIA	F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS			
E1 1	Ease of access and use of facilities for	toyt	0:	All key facilities, including outdoor facilities, entry points and hallways, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually impaired persons			
F1.1	persons with mobility or perceptual disabilities.	text	5:	All key facilities, including outdoor facilities, entry points and hallways, are accessible to wheelchair users and visually impaired persons.			

5:

G- COST	AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS
		€/m2	0: 18.9	From benchmarking of B1.2 and B1.3 taking an average fuel and
G1.4	Annual energy cost per usable floor area *		5: 4.7	electricity cost. (taking +20% of total for taking into account energy cost for equipment and other installations)
			0: 0.59	From benchmarking of B4.5, taking into account 10
G1.5	Annual water cost per usable floor area *	€/m2	5: 0.15	occupants/100m2 and an average of water cost.

# **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	INS		
A1.13	Existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the	Information source	Qualitative indicator - Estimations		
				e.	





	building	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the existence and usability of bicycle and pedestrian paths around the building NOA pilot steps/comments: From area plots and from the on-site audit, three of the building's facades are facing "shared paths", connected to a larger network of "shared areas"
		Standard	
		Information source	Qualitative indicator – Estimations – Metered data
A3.12	Existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation near the building	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the existence and effectiveness of public/municipal transportation within 400m from the building NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data. From a study carried out by the Municipality for the public transport, the number and location of public transport stops was defined. (1 stop of a certain transportation line within 400m)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data - Estimations
A3.13	Ratio of exterior and interior parking spaces to the total usable area of non-residential occupancies (spaces/60 m2)	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps 1. Calculate the total usable area of non- residential occupancies 2. Calculate the total parking spaces (exterior and interior) 3. Calculate the ratio of total parking spaces per 60m <sup>2</sup> total usable area NOA pilot steps/comments: From metered data.from bluilding plots, the usable area was defined. From the on-site audit the number of total parking spaces was defined. (0.73)
		Standard	ΦΕΚ 76/MAPTIOΣ 2004 Official Journal 76 / March 2004
A3.16	Efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building	Information source Assessment method	Qualitative indicator – Estimations – Metered data CESBAMED calculation steps Estimation of the efficiency and adequacy of the exterior lighting and the public lighting around the building NOA pilot steps/comments:





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



From the on-site audit the number and type of exterior and public lighting was defined. (Adequate exterior and public lighting around the building, with old lighting fixtures, no visual discomfort)

Standard

CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations CESBAMED calculation steps National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), aligned with the EN standards series, can be used. In-built lighting may not be specifically covered in all national or regional calculation methods. As a result, either the omission from the calculations, or a separate calculation method if used, shall be noted in the reporting. The reference unit is one square meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s) Part 3 – 1.3.1).
B1.1	Primary energy demand *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for primary energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions.(442.4 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account for office buildings</b> EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings)
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand *	Information source Assessment	Energy requirements for lighting)     Metered data – Calculated data -     Estimations     CESBAMED calculation steps



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	method	Energy uses taken into account: heating, cooling, ventilation, domestic hot water National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), aligned with the EN standards series, can be used. The reference unit is one square meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s) Part 3 – 1.3.1). In case of existing buildings, the delivered thermal energy should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered delivered thermal energy demand (i.e. fuel consumption data) has to be calculated taking the average value over 3 years period. NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for thermal energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions.(100.1 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Hot water is not taken into account for office buildings</b>
		EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings
		- Overall energy use and definition of
		energy ratings)
	Standard	EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings)
		— Energy requirements for lighting)
	Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
	source	Estimations
		CESBAMED calculation steps National calculation methods used to meet performance requirements or to complete Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs),
		aligned with the EN standards series, can
		be used. The reference unit is one square
Delivered electric energy demand	Accomment	meter of useful internal floor area (Level(s)
	method	In case of existing buildings, the delivered
		electrical energy should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered delivered electric energy demand (i.e. electricity consumption data) has to be calculated taking the average value over 3 years period bills



B1.3





		NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for electric energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions (114.6 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account. Hot water is not taken into account for office buildings</b>
,	Standard	EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings) EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings — Energy requirements for lighting)
	Information	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Share of renewable energy in final thermal energy consumption of the building. In case of existing buildings, it should be evaluated by energy metering NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit there were no renewable sources for thermal energy installed on the building (0%)
	Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013. Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive)
	Information	Metered data – Calculated data -
Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Share of renewable energy in final electric energy consumption. In case of existing buildings, it should be evaluated by energy metering NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit there were no renewable sources for electric energy
	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption *







		Standard Information	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings) 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March 2013. Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive) Metered data – Calculated data -
		source	Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps During early design stages a screening tool may be used, but in later stages an hour- by-hour simulation program should be used
B1.8	Final total energy for all building operations	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, data for final energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions (214.7 kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>Comment: Lighting is taken into account. Hot water is not taken into</b>
		Standard	<ul> <li>account for office buildings</li> <li>EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings</li> <li>Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings)</li> <li>EN ISO 13790 (Energy performance of buildings)</li> <li>EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings</li> <li>— Energy requirements for lighting)</li> </ul>
		Information	Calculated data - Estimations
B1.11	Embodied energy (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps The following steps should be followed in order to compile the BoM: - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to be carried out. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: The mass for each constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass for each type of material. Once the BoM has been compiled, it is







			possible to calculate the indicator associating to each constituent material the relative embodied primary non- renewable energy by multiplying the specific mass (i.e. kg) with its corresponding embodied energy coefficient (i.e. MJ/kg). The total value of embodied primary non-renewable energy is finally normalized by the gross area of the building NOA pilot steps/comments:
		Standard	Not for Use phase EN 15978 "Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method". ISO 14040/44 EN 15804 (Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products)
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Review of contract documentation and sample equipment specifications by an ouside electrical engineer. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit, the installed power of heating, cooling and lighting systmes was defined. For equipment, typical values for office, taken from National Guidelines. An increasement of about 15% to include other systmes (i.e. elevators, circulators, pumps). (434.7 W/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	
		Information source	Calculated data - Estimations
B3.5	Recycled materials (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps - Compile the Bill of Quantities: A BoQ is compiled which comprises the building elements accounting for at least 99% of the mass of the building. - Identify the basic composition of each building element. A breakdown of its constituent materials has to elaborated. The mass of each constituent material has to be estimated; - Aggregation by material: the mass of all constituent material should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total mass of







			<ul> <li>materials used in the building (A);</li> <li>Identify the recycled content of each constituent material (in mass);</li> <li>Aggregation by material: the recycled mass of all constituent materials should thereafter be aggregated to obtain the total recycled mass of materials (B) used in the building;</li> <li>The indicator's value is calculated as B/A (total mass of recycled materials).</li> <li>NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase</li> </ul>
		Standard	EN ISO 14021 (Environmental labels and declarations - Self-declared environmental claims - Type II environmental labelling)
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
B4.5	Water consumption for indoor uses *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Includes the use of potable water for: drinking water; water for sanitation; water for cleaning; water for washing machine; water for dishwasher; domestic hot water. The user must include in the calculation the sanitary devices/fittings (i.e. toilets, taps and showers) and water using appliances (i.e dishwashers and washing machines). Consumption rates for different sanitary devices and fittings are determined through specific data from suppliers. The specific usage factors have to be established. The number of days that the building is expected to be occupied per year has to be defined by the user. See KPIs Card document for the principle of the per occupant potable water consumption calculation. In case of existing buildings, the potable area water consumptions should be evaluated using data from metering. The metered consumptions have to be estimated taking the average value over 3 years period bills. NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia, the number of applesance.
	_		total water consumption to the number of employees was calculated (6 m <sup>3</sup> /person)





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Standard

Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version. EN 15978 (Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings - Calculation method)

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps 1. For each building in the area calculate the emissions of CO2 eq. with the following formula:
C1.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from building's operations *	Assessment method	$E = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{fuell} \times LHV_i \times k_{em,di}) + (Q_{di} \times k_{em,di})\right]$ Qfuel, I = annual quantity of i-th fuel (m3 or Kg) QeI = annual quantity of electric energy from the grid (kWh) Qdh = annual quantity of energy from district heating/cooling (kWh) LHVi = lower heating value of the i-th fuel (kWh/m3 or kWh/Kg) Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the i-th fuel (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of the electric energy from the grid (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Kem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh) Xem, i = CO2 eq. emission factor of energy from district heating/cooling (Kg CO2/kWh)
		method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data based on National calculation merthod for the energy performance of building and the issuing of Energy Performance Certificates (semi- steady, monthly method). From an energy study carried out for the Municipal Unit of Ano Liosia using the national method, data for thermal and electrical energy consumption and heated area (external dimensions) for the public buildings within testing area were available, and also adapted for external to internal (CESBAMED) dimensions. Using the national conversion factors to COeq (20704.1 kg/GWh for fuel oil and 61123.9 kg/GWh for electricity), total CO2 equivalent





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KINCHER MARKEN

		Standard	of the building (9.1 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings). Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Design stage (based on estimations)</u> Estimations of waste based on surveys of existing buildings that will undergo major renovation or where the structure will be reused (life cycle stage B5). Estimations based on scenarios for deconstruction and demolition of the building at a future point in time beyond the end of its service life (life cycle stages C1/3, D). <u>Construction stage (based on data recorded from the site)</u> Data from deconstruction and demolition of (a) building(s) in order to clear a site for a new building construction (as part of a previous life cycle). Data from the part deconstruction of (a) building(s) in order to prepare useful parts for in-situ reuse. Data from construction on site of a new building and/or the prefabrication/construction of parts and elements off site (life cycle stages A3/5). Data from preparation of a building in order to facilitate a major renovation. <u>Completion stage (based on estimations</u> <u>supported by as-built drawings</u> ) Estimations based on scenarios for deconstruction and demolition of the building at a future point in time beyond the end of its service life (life cycle stages C1/3, D). NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
	Ratio of the number of collectable	Information source	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations CESBAMED calculation steps
C3.2	100 m distance from the building's entrance to the reference solid waste categories *	Assessment method	The seven reference categories of solid waste are: Paper, Plastic, Metal, Glass, Wet waste, Textiles, Special hazardous waste



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



1. Identify the availability and position of bins and containers for each of the seven solid waste categories. 2. Calculate the walking distance (m) from the building's main entrance to each identified bin or container. 3. Evaluate how many of the 7 categories of solid waste is possible to collect within a 100 m walking distance from the building's entrance (A). 4. Calculate the value of the indicator as : A/7 NOA pilot steps/comments: From calculated data. From an on-site audit in the testing area, the number and the type of collectable solid waste categories within a 100 m distance was defined. In this case there were single bins used to collect different types of waste that will be later separated at the waste facility. (57%)

Standard

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	DNS
		Information source	Metered data
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air (Not for Use phase) *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Post completion phase</u> Testing shall be carried out for a minimum of 10% of the apartments and be representative of any significant variations in the house or apartment typologies, configurations and materials. Samples shall be taken in the living room and the smallest bedroom of each property NOA pilot steps/comments: Not for Use phase COMMENT: TVOC concentrations have been in the past used as an indicator of the ability of combined VOC exposures to produce adverse health effects. This approach is no longer supported (e.g. ASHRAE), because the irritant potential and toxicity of individual VOCs vary widely, and measured concentrations are highly dependent on the sampling and analytical methods used. The available data do not allow establishing of thresholds for TVOC (ECA-IAQ







		Standard	European Collaborative Action, JRC) CEN/TS 16516 (Construction products - Assessment of release of dangerous substances - Determination of emissions into indoor air). EN 15251 (Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics). Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
		Information source	Metered data – Calculated data
D1.10	Ventilation rate *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>ONLY for ventilated buildings</u> The ventilation rate (I/s/m2) must be calculated in all the main rooms, excluding circulation spaces and service rooms (i.e. toilets). The indicator must be calculated as weighted sum all the calculated ventilation rates. NOA pilot steps/comments: Duilding pat machanically uppetilated
		Standard	Building not mechanically ventilated. EN 16798-7 (Energy performance of buildings - Ventilation for buildings - Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration)
		Information	Metered data – Calculated data - Estimations
D2.2	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps <u>Design stage (mechanically conditioned)</u> For all main occupied room: 1. Estimate PMV 2. Calculate PPD NOA pilot steps/comments: From short ohn site occupant survey. From on site audit there were some spaces with no heating system and there was difficulty in controlling internal conditions and natural ventilation. (18%)
υ2.2	(PPD) *	Standard	EN ISO 7730 – Ergonomics of the thermal environment – Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort using calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and local thermal comfort criteria. EN 16798-1:2017 - Energy performance of buildings - Part 1: Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



environment, lighting and acoustics -Module M1-6 (revision of EN 15251). Brussels: European Committee for Standardization. Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version. Brussels: European Commission

E- SERVICE	QUALITY		
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIO	ONS
		Information source	Metered data
E1.2	Risk to occupants and facilities from fire 🔌	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the level of compliance with the requirements for fire protection as well as of the occupnats training NOA pilot steps/comments: From and on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building fulfils the requirements for fire protection. Basic training of the occupants)
		Standard	Insert text here
		Information source	Metered data
			CESBAMED calculation steps Estimate the area's flooding risk as well as the building equipment.
E1.3	Risk to occupants and facilities from flooding >>>>	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Area often facing floodings, building properly equipped)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
		100000	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the building's anti-earthquaqe protection.
E1.4	Risk to occupants and facilities from earthquake 🖎	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Building has passed successfully, a pre-erthquaqe inspection. Basic training of the occupants)

Standard





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KINCH KINCH CARLANCE

		Information source	Metered data
E2.5	Elevators 🕿	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Assess the service quality and functional efficiency of elevators within a building, as well as their compliance with the existing regulations. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The elevator is certified, but it is too small)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
		Assessment	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications.
E3.1	Building Management System (BMS) ∖≊	method	NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building has no BMS)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E3.2	Building Energy Management System (BEMS) ∖s	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Building is equipped with a compensation system)
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E3.3	Control of lighting systems 🕿	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Visual inspection and review of specifications for lighting control zones, control types and locations NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (The building has no lighting control system)
		Standard	





		Information source	Metered data
E3.4	Local control of heating/cooling systems 🖎	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Type of mechanical and electrical equipment accessible by occupants, and the extent to which local systems can be operated and modulated by occupants. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Thermostatic control of terminal units)
		Standard	
		Information source	Estimations
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the ease or difficulty in installing heating or cooling equipment that require a different fuel, or to install photovoltaic systems. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Adapting the building to a new fuel source or installing photovoltaics will require only a minor level of renovations).
		Standard	
		Information source	Metered data
E5.6	Retention of as-built documentation	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps Evaluate the availability of architectural, mechanical and electrical drawings, and equipment manuals. NOA pilot steps/comments: From an on site audit and information from the corresponding department. (Building drawing, operation and maintenance manuals exist but are deficient)
		Standard	

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATI	ONS
F1.1	Universal access on site and within the building	Information source	Metered data
30		1	CISCON CONTRACTOR
			-



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



	CESBAMED calculation steps Assess the ease of access and use of facilities for persons with mobility or perceptual disabilities.
Assessment	· · ·
method	NOA pilot steps/comments:
	From an on site audit. (Outdoor facilities,
	entry points and ground-floor hallways, are
	accessible to wheelchair users and visually
	impaired persons)

Standard

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS			
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	ONS
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
			CESBAMED calculation steps In case of existing buildings, the total annual cost of actual thermal and electrical energy use from energy bills should be calculated taking the average energy cost over 3 years period.
G1.4	Energy annual cost per usable floor area *	Assessment method	NOA pilot steps/comments: Metered data not available for the public buildings of the Municipality. From the corresponding department of the Municipality, the annual water consumption was not officially reported, but estimated. From the architectural plans, the total internal surface of the building was defined. $(23.8 \notin m^2)$ COMMENT: All uses are taken into account, including equipment and installations (unlike energy related indicators). Usefull area with internal dimensions is used.
		Standard	Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version
		Information source	Metered data – Estimations
G1.5	Water annual cost per usable floor area *	Assessment method	CESBAMED calculation steps In case of existing buildings, the total annual cost of water use from water bills should be calculated taking the average water cost over 3 years period.
			NOA pilot steps/comments:
			A FUTCHER STATE



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



(0.37 €/m <sup>2</sup> ) COMMENT: Gross area with internal dimensions is used.

Standard Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **REGIONAL TOOL**

# D.3.4.3 Regional Tool - EIHP

Version 1.1

Date: March 2019



2.1: To raise capacity for better management of energy in public buildings at transnational level
Work package: WP3 TESTING
Activity: 3.4 Evaluation of test results
Deliverable: 3.4.3 – Regional CESBA MED SNTs

Responsible Partner: Andrea Moro, iiSBE Italia R&D





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **BUILDING SCALE ASSESSMENT**

# **SBTool structure**

A – SITE REGI INFRASTRUC	ENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND FURE
A1	Site regeneration and development
A1.7	Use of vegetation to provide ambient outdoor cooling
A1.10	Provision and quality of children's play area(s)
A3	Project Infrastructure and Services
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles

B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION		
B1	Total life cycle non-renewable energy	
B1.1	Primary energy demand	
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	
B1.5	Energy from renewable in total thermal energy consumption	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	
B2	Electrical peak demand	
B2.1	Electrical peak demand for building operations.	
B3	Use of material	
B3.5	Recycled materials – only in construction phase	
B4	Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater	
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses.	

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
C1 (	Greenhouse gas emissions	
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	
C3	Solid and liquid waste	
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste – not active for this phase	
C3.2	Solid waste from building operations	

# D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY D1 Indoor air quality and ventilation D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air – unable to measure D1.5 CO2 concentration in indoor air D1.10 Ventilation rate – only for mechanical ventilation D2 Air Temperature and Relative humidity D2.1 Time outside of the thermal comfort range D2.2 Thermal comfort index

att the set of the set



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# E- SERVICE QUALITY

E3	Controllability
E.3.4	Degree of personal control of technical systems by occupants.
E4	Flexibility and Adaptability
E.4.5.	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply

F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS		
F1	Social Aspects	
F1.2	Access to direct sunlight from living areas of dwelling units.	
F2	Culture and Heritage	
F2.4	Use of traditional local materials and techniques	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	
G1	Cost and economics
G1.4	Use stage energy cost
G1.5	Use stage water cost

# **SBTool criteria selection rationale**

A - SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
A1 Site regeneration and development		
A1.7 Use of vegetation to provide ambient outdoor cooling	To increase use of green zones (parks) for children playgrounds and green roofs.	
A1.10 Provision and quality of children's play area(s)	Have all places on one location to motivate children to play	
A3 Project Infrastructure and Services		
A3.13 Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles	Availability of parking spaces to avoid morning and afterwork crowds when parents come to their children	

### **B – ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION**

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
B.1.1 Primary energy demand	Use of ecological fuel
B.1.2 Delivered thermal energy demand	To improve well-being of occupants and reduce consumption and emission
B.1.3 Delivered electric energy demand	To reduce consumption and emission
B.1.4 Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption	To encourage use of renewable energy sources
B.1.5 Energy from renewable in total thermal energy consumption	To encourage use of renewable energy sources
B.1.6 Energy from renewable sources in total	To encourage use of renewable energy





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



electric energy consumption	sources
B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy	To lower consumption of energy in planning / construction phase with different material use.
B2.1. Electrical peak demand for building operations.	To ensure availability and safety of power grid on location, and ensure batter planning of power grid
B3.5 Recycled materials – only in construction phase	To ensure lower resources consumption during construction and decommissioning phase, and to increase use of recyclable resources

**B.4.5 Potable water consumption for indoor uses.** 

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS		
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION	
C1.3 Global Warming Potential	Lower GWP to reduce local and global pollution	
C3.1 Construction and demolition waste – not active for this phase		
C3.2 Solid waste from building operations	Increase awareness of necessity of waste separation	

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air – unable to measure	To ensue healthy space before space is used for primary purpose
D1.5 CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in indoor air	To ensure normal level of carbon dioxide during use phase
D1.10 Ventilation rate – only for mechanical ventilation	To ensure enough fresh air from mechanical ventilation during occupancy
D2.1 Time outside of the thermal comfort range	Increase high level of comfort in building during both winter and summer period
D2.2 Thermal comfort index	Measure comfort inside space

E- SERVICE QUALITY	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
E3.4 Degree of personal control of technical systems by occupants	To motivate installation of controllable systems that can be adjusted by occupant need
E4.5 Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Motivate planers to plan building that can easily run on multiple energy sources





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A KINCH CHARTER AND CONSIDER

# F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.2 Access to direct sunlight from living areas of dwelling units	Lowering consumption for lighting, increase daily lighting in building. Motivate planers to optimize orientation of building and shades.
F2.4 Use of traditional local materials and techniques	Motivate to use local materials and manufacturers in renovation/construction phase

# F- SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
F1.2 Access to direct sunlight from living areas of dwelling units	Lowering consumption for lighting, increase daily lighting in building. Motivate planers to optimize orientation of building and shades.
F2.4 Use of traditional local materials and techniques	Motivate to use local materials and manufacturers in renovation/construction phase

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS	
CRITERION	REASON/MOTIVATION
G.1.4 Use stage energy cost	Lowering energy cost during operation phase is best way to motivate owner
G.1.5 Use stage water cost	Lowering water cost during operation phase is best way to motivate owner



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



# **SBTool weights rationale**

ISSUE	WEIGHT (1 to 5)	MOTIVATION
Cost and economics	3	Although this should be main driver in most scenarios, this weight is set because of more important issue on global scale
Functionality and serviceability	2	Since main motivation of building is it functionality weight to this issue should be lower
Well-being and productivity of occupants	4	Ensure healthy space for all occupant and prevent health issue
Social and cultural issues	2	Preserve cultural heritage and improve social component
Land resources	3	Improve land use, better planning
Non-renewable material resources	3	Reduce local production of cement
Non-renewable water resources	3	Increase reusing water
Health, safety and security of individuals	3	-
Renewable energy resources	4	Increase use of renewable energy sources mainly
Non-renewable energy resources	2	Decrease use of non-renewable resources
Ecosystem(s)	3	Preserve local ecosystem
Local and regional atmosphere	2	Preserve local atmosphere and reduce air pollution from local pollutants
Global climate	5	Improving global climate should be main goal

CATEGORIES	WEIGHT (%)
A1- Site regeneration and Development	6,17
A2- Urban design	-
A3- Project Infrastructure and Services	1,45
TOTAL	7,62
B1- In use energy consumptions	40,34
B2- Embodied energy	-
B3- Use of materials	4,36
B4 – Use of water, stormwater and greywater	6,53
TOTAL	51,23
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions	15,13
C2- Other atmospheric emissions	-
C3- Solid and liquid waste	4,36
C4- Impact on project site	-
C5- Other local and regional impacts	-
TOTAL	19,48
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation	3,63
D2- Thermal comfort	4,36
D3– Visual comfort	-
D4– Acoustic comfort	-
TOTAL	7,99
E1- Safety and Security	-
E2- Functionality and efficiency	-





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



E3- Controllability	2,42
E4– Flexibility and adaptability	-
E5- Optimization and maintenance of operating performance	0,73
TOTAL	3,15
F1- Social aspects	2,18
F2- Culture and heritage	2,90
F3- Perceptual	-
TOTAL	5,08
G1- Cost and economics	5,45
TOTAL	5,45

# **CRITERIA WEIGHTS**

SBTool file A – WeightA-G

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE												
A1- Site regeneration and Development												
CRITERION	Weight (%)	Α	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
A1.7	3,46	2	3	2	4	3						
A1.10	3,90	3	3	2	3	3						
A3 - Project Infras	A3 - Project Infrastructure and Services											
A.3.13	1,73	3	2	2	2	3						
TOTAL	6,17											

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION												
B1- In use energy consumptions												
CRITERION	Weight (%)	Α	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION					
B1.1	4,03	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B1.2	4,03	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B1.3	4,03	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B1.4	8,07	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B1.5	8,07	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B1.6	8,07	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B1.11	4,03	2	5	5	2	2	Too much impacts on final decision					
B3 - Use of m	aterials											
B3.5	4,36	4	3	2	3	3						
B4 - Use of wa	ater, stormwa	ater a	and gr	eywat	ter							
B4.5	6,53	4	3	3	3	3						
TOTAL	51,23											

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS										
C1- Greenhouse gas emissions										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	Α	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
C1.3	15,13	5	5	3	5	2	Too much impacts on final decision			
C3 - Solid and liquid waste										
C.3.2.	4,36	4	3	2	3	3				
TOTAL	19,48									

the second s



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY											
D1- Indoor air quality and ventilation											
CRITERION	Weight (%)	Α	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION				
D1.4	0,73	1	1	3	4	3					
D1.5	2,18	1	3	3	4	3					
D1.10.	0,73	1	3	3	2	3					
D1- Indoor a	ir quality an	d ver	ntilati	on							
D2.1	2,18	1	3	3	4	3					
D2.2	2,18	1	3	3	4	3					
ΤΟΤΑΙ											

E- SERVICE	QUALITY										
E3- Controlla	bility										
CRITERION E3.4	Weight (%) 2,42	<b>A</b> 1	<b>В</b> 2	<b>с</b> 3	D 4	<b>L.F.</b> 5	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION Motivation to increase controllability of systems and comfort of occupant.				
E4- Flexibility	E4- Flexibility and adaptability										
E4.5 TOTAL	<i>0,7</i> 3 3,15	1	2	3	2	3					

# F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS

F1- Social aspects										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	Α	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
F1.2	2,18	1	3	3	4	3				
F2 - Culture a	nd Heritage									
F2.4	2,90	3	2	2	3	4	Support local and circular economy			
IOTAL	5,08									

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS										
G1 - Cost and Economic Aspects										
CRITERION	Weight (%)	Α	В	С	D	L.F.	L.F. REASON/MOTIVATION			
G1.4	3,27	2	3	3	3	3				
G1.5	2,18	2	3	2	3	3				
TOTAL	5.45									

A KING WAR AND A CARDON AND A



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A

# **SBTool benchmarks rationale**

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
A1 - Site Reg	eneration and Development				
A1.7.	Use of vegetation to provide ambient outdoor cooling.	%	0: 40%	Value taken from urban plan decreased by access roads and parking spaces	
			5: 95%	Max value if all surfaces are under vegetation	
A1.10	Provision and quality of children's play area(s).	-	0: description	Personal assessment	
			5: description	Personal assessment	
A3 - Project Infrastructure and Services					
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking	Spaces/cl	0: 1	Value taken from urban plan	
	facilities for private vehicles.	assrooms	5: 0,50	Double then minimal requirements	



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
B1 - Total L	ife Cycle Non-Renewable Ene	ergy			
			0: 90	Standard value for this region	
B1.1	Primary energy demand	kWh/m²a	5: 55	Value to achieve NZEB standard in Croatia	
<b>D4</b> 0	Delivered thermal energy	kWh/m²a	0: 50	Standard value for this region	
B1.2	demand		5: 10	Value to achieve NZEB standard in Croatia	
	Delivered electrical energy		0: 30	Standard value for this region	
B1.3	demand	kWh/m²a	5: 0	Entire production of electrical energy comes from RES (photovoltaics)	
<b>P1</b> 4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption.	%	0:20	Minimal value to for new buildings	
D1.4			5: 90	Nearly zero energy house, passive house	
<b>P1</b> 5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption.	%	0:20	Minimal value to for new buildings – not in standards	
В1.5			5: 90	Nearly zero energy house, passive house	
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption.	%	0: 5	Minimal value to for new buildings – not in standards	
			5: 90	Nearly zero energy house, passive house	
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	GJ/m²	0: 14	Standard value for existing building typology	
			5: 3	Value for new passive buildings	
B3 - Use of Materials					
B3.5	Recycled materials	%	0:5	Same as in tool. Unable to find relevant percentage for Croatia	
			5: 40	Same as in tool. Unable to find relevant percentage for Croatia	
B4 - Use of potable water, stormwater and greywater					
B4.5	Potable water consumption for	m³/occupant/ 0: a 5.	0: 5,5	Average consumption of water in schools	
	inaoor uses.		5: 2		





C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
C1 - Greenho	ouse Gas Emissions				
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	kg CO₂ eq/m²/a	0: 40	Average CO <sub>2</sub> emission for this region.	
			5: 5	Average for passive houses	
C3 - Solid and Liquid Wastes					
C3.2	Solid waste from building	0/	0: 28	Don't know what is final KPI, the one from "Testing protocol" or the one from last	
	operations.	70	5: 100	one from "Testing protocol" since it was already calculated.	

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
D1 - Indoor A	Air Quality and Ventilation				
D4 4	TVOC concentration in indeer oir		0: 300	Guidelines	
D1.4		μg / Π	5: 0	Best practice without TVOC	
D1.5	CO₂ concentrations in indoor air.	ppm 0: 700 Allowed concentrat in space 5: 350 Outdoor concentrat	Allowed concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> in space		
	-		5: 350	Outdoor concentration of CO <sub>2</sub>	
D1.10	Ventilation rate.	l/s/m²	0: 2,77	Required by algorithm for mechanical ventilation	
			5: 6,00	High level of air quality	
D2 - Air Temperature and Relative Humidity					
D2.1	Time outside of the thermal	%	0: 30	Keep the same value as in tool	
	comfort range		5: 10	Keep the same value as in tool	
D2.2		0/	0: 25	Keep the same value as in tool	
	Thermal connort Index	%	% Keep the san 5: 5 to	Keep the same value as in tool	







E- SERVICE QUALITY					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
E3 - Contro	llability				
E3.4	Degree of personal control of		0: description	Assessment based on location status Assessment based on location	
	technical systems by occupants.		5: description	Assessment based on location status	
E4 - Flexibility and Adaptability					
E4.5	F4 5	Adaptability to future changes in		0: description	Assessment based on location status
	type of energy supply		5: description	Assessment based on location status	

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
F1 - Social Aspects					
F1.2	Access to direct sunlight from living	G % 0: 60 Guidelines for sch designed 5: 90 Optimal design of class	Guidelines for school designed		
	areas of dwelling units.		5: 90	Optimal design of classroom	
F2 - Culture and Heritage					
F2.4	Lo Use	Jse of traditional local materials	24	0: 10	Keep the same value as in tool
	and techniques	%	5: 25	Keep the same value as in tool	

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS					
CRITERION	INDICATOR	UNIT OF MEASURE	BENCHMARK	DERIVATIONS	
G1 - Cost and Economics					
G1.4		0: 7,50Average cost on location€ / m²5: 1,50S: 1,50Average cost for NZEB buildings	Average cost on location		
	Use stage energy cost.		5: 1,50	Average cost for NZEB buildings	
G1.5		E / m²	0: 0,50	Average cost of elementary schools	
	Use slage water cost.	€/m²	5: 0,20	Lowest cost of elementary schools	




Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A State Stat

## **SBTool Criteria Specifications**

A- SITE REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT, URBAN DESIGN AND INFRASTRUCTURE						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS			
A1.7.	Use of vegetation to	Information source	Satellite images of country ( <u>http://preglednik.arkod.hr/ARKOD-</u> <u>Web/</u> ) solin.hr/detaljni_plan/UPU%20NASELJE%2 0MRAVINCE%202-NOVI.zip			
	outdoor cooling.	Assessment method	<i>Measure on image green area of land plot and divide it with total area of land plot</i>			
		Standard				
		Information source	Satellite images of country (http://preglednik.arkod.hr/ARKOD- Web/)			
A1.10.	Provision and quality of children's play area(s).	Assessment method	Asses by description			
		Standard	Assessments with description			
		Information source	Urban plan of settlement solin.hr/detaljni_plan/UPU%20NASELJ E%20MRAVINCE%202-NOVI.zip			
A3.13	Provision of on-site parking facilities for private vehicles.	Assessment method	Total number of classrooms divided by total amount of parking spaces			
		Standard				



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

B- ENERGY AND RESOURCES CONSUMPTION						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS			
B1.1	Primary energy demand	Information source Assessment method Standard	Measured data of energy consumption on site or calculations Energy consumption for energy sources multiplied by primary energy factor Algorithm for calculation of energy			
			demand or measured data			
		Information source	Measured data of energy consumption on site or calculations			
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	Assessment method	Calculation of thermal energy demand or data measured on site			
		Standard	Algorithm for calculation of energy demand or measured data			
B1.3		Information source	Measured data on site, or in design phase by calculation			
	Delivered electrical energy demand	Assessment method	Measured data on site, or by algorithm for lightning			
		Standard	Measured data on site, or by algorithm for lightning			
		Information source	Measured data of energy consumption on site or calculations <u>https://www.rehva.eu/</u> , <u>https://mgipu.gov.hr/UserDocsImages//</u> <u>dokumenti/EnergetskaUcinkovitost/met</u> <u>eoroloski_podaci//Metodologija-</u> 2017.pdf			
B1.4	Energy from renewable sources in total primary energy consumption.	Assessment method	Energy consumption of each energy sources multiplied by renewable primary energy factor for each energy sources divided by energy consumption of each energy sources multiplied by total primary energy factor for each energy			
		Standard				
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption.	Information source	Measured data of energy consumption on site or calculations <u>https://www.rehva.eu/</u> , ,			



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A FLICK STAND

		Assessment method	https://mgipu.gov.hr/UserDocsImages// dokumenti/EnergetskaUcinkovitost/met eoroloski_podaci//Metodologija- 2017.pdf Energy consumption of each energy sources for heating multiplied by renewable primary energy factor for each energy sources divided by energy consumption for heating of each energy sources multiplied by total primary energy factor for each energy source for heating
		Standard	
		Information source	Measured data on location, or calculation
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electrical energy consumption.	Assessment method	Electrical energy produced on location divided by total electrical energy demand
		Standard	Energy performance of building
		Information source	BoQ, BoM
B1.11	Embodied non- renewable primary energy	Assessment method Standard	Amount of material embedded in building multiplied by embodied factor for each material divided by floor area of building EN 15978 "Sustainability of construction works - Assessment of environmental performance of buildings
		Information	- Calculation method"
		source	BoQ, BoM
B3.5	Recycled materials	Assessment method	Total weight of reused materials divided by total weight materials
		Standard	EN ISO 14021
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses.	Information source	Measured data on location



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



Assessment method	Total annually water used divided by occupants
Standard	-

C- ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS				
C1.3	Global Warming Potential	Information source Assessment method Standard	Measured data on location, or calculation <u>https://mgipu.gov.hr/UserDocsImages/d</u> <u>okumenti/EnergetskaUcinkovitost/mete</u> <u>oroloski podaci/FAKTORI primarne e</u> <u>nergije.pdf</u> Consumption of each energy source on location multiplied by CO <sub>2</sub> factor for each energy source			
C3.2		Information source	Tour around building			
	Solid waste from building operations.	Assessment method	Number of different can trash can / 7			
		Standard	-			

D- INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS				
D1.4	TVOC concentration in in indoor air.	Information source Assessment method Standard	Measurement on location after completion of building Measure with instrument on location after building completion EN 15251, <u>https://www.epa.gov/indoor- air-quality-iaq/technical-overview- volatile-organic- compounds#measurement</u>			
D1.5	CO₂ concentrations in indoor air.	Information source	Measurement on location or calculated			

a fille a fille





		Assessment method	Measure with instrument on location periodically or calculate in design phase		
		Standard	Measuring, ASHRE https://www.researchgate.net/publicatio n/289566619 Examining CO2 levels i n_school_classrooms		
		Information source	Measured with blower door test, algorithm, design https://mgipu.gov.hr/UserDocsImages/d okumenti/EnergetskaUcinkovitost/mete oroloski_podaci/Algoritam_HVAC_2017 .pdf		
D1.10	Ventilation rate.	Assessment method	Assessment from Algorithm or from design of HVAC system. Air exchange (m³/h) of HVAC system divided by total heated volume of building		
		Standard			
	Time outside of the thermal comfort range	Information source	Calculation based on simplified hourly method.		
D2.1		Assessment method	If demand for heating or cooling on each hour is higher than nominal power of heating or cooling system, then that hour is temperature outside thermal comfort range		
		Standard	Simplified hourly method EN 13790		
D2.2		Information source	Models and simulations <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publicatio</u> <u>n/255971260_SPREADSHEETS_FOR</u> <u>THE_CALCULATION_OF_THERMAL</u> <u>COMFORT_INDICES_PMV_AND_PP</u> D		
	Thermal comfort index	Assessment method	Measure temperature inside each space and calculate PPD, in winter and summer period		
		Standard	ISO 7730 – Fanger"s method -		







E- SERVICE QUALITY							
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICATIONS					
E3.4		Information source	From main design or walkthrough audit				
	Degree of personal control of technical	Assessment method	Asses from description				
	systems by occupants.	Standard	Assessment				
		Information source	From main design or walkthrough audit				
E4.5	Adaptability to future changes in type of energy supply	Assessment method	Asses from description				
		Standard	Assessment				

F- SOCIAL CULTURAL AND PERCEPTUAL ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS			
F1.2	Access to direct sunlight from living areas of dwelling units.	Information source	Calculation			
		Assessment method	Simple calculation of direct sunlight in each classroom. Also, should be applicable on schools.			
		Standard	Review assessment of design team or do own calculation			
F2.4		Information source	BoQ, BoM			
	Use of traditional local materials and techniques	Assessment method	Architect estimation of percent building elements (non-structural) that has been constructed using traditional local materials. (we think structural should be also include in design phase)			
		Standard	Estimation			





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CHARTER AND A CARDON AND A

G- COST AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS						
CRITERION	INDICATOR	SPECIFICAT	IONS			
G1.4	Use stage energy cost.	Information source Assessment method	Measured data (operational phase) calculated data (design phase) Collect bills for energy in operational phase and divide it with total floor area. Calculate amount of energy that should be spent, multiply it with unit price and divide it with total floor area.			
		Standard	Levels			
G1.5		Information source	Measured data (operational phase) calculated data (design phase)			
	Use stage water cost.	Assessment method	Collect bills for water in operational phase and divide it with total floor area. Calculate amount of water that should be spent, multiply it with unit price and divide it with total floor area, or compare it with similar buildings.			
		Standard	Estimation or measurement			





A FIRE AND STONE

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

# **COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS – BUILDING SCALE**





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

## Total number of criteria calculated

N° Criteria TOT	City of Turin	City of Udine	EVBDM	AURA- EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	UoM	NOA	EIHP	Average
Building	16	31	16	19	38	40	36	33	27	28,44

Table1: Number of criteria that compose the 9 Regional Tools.

Exactly as has been done for the urban scale analysis, a first information collected from the analysis of the 9 Regional reports concerns the number of criteria selected by the partners to compose their Regional Tools at building scale. Obviously each of them has had to calculate the 16 mandatory KPIs and, to these criteria, others have been added to be calculated, depending on their regional needs.

Compared to the urban analysis, a smaller number of criteria has been selected for the building scale with an average value of about 29 criteria. Also in this case some partners have limited the calculation to those which are mandatory or a little more, while others have composed regional instruments with about 40 criteria. The bar chart below shows the numerical distribution of the criteria one by one, for all the nine partners involved in the task; the range of fluctuation of values has as minimum value the 16 criteria selected by the City of Turin and EnvirobatBDM and reaches the maximum value of 40 criteria selected and calculated by Sant Cugat del Vallès.



Chart1: Bar chart showing the numerical distribution of criteria selected by each partner.

A KING AN

nt and





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

## Number of criteria per area

N° of Criteria per Area	City of Turin	City of Udine	EVBDM	AURA- EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	UoM	NOA	EIHP	Average
A	0	5	0	0	4	10	7	4	3	3,7
В	7	11	8	9	12	9	6	10	10	9,1
С	2	5	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	3,3
D	5	5	3	5	5	3	3	3	5	4,1
Ε	0	1	0	0	10	8	10	10	2	4,6
F	0	2	0	0	1	3	3	1	2	1,3
G	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	2	2,3

The table below describes the number of criteria selected by each partners for each areas of the building tool, it helps to understand the areas that are most densely populated and the criteria distribution.

 Table2: Numerical distribution of criteria making up the 9 Regional Tools, distributed in the seven areas. In the last

 column there are the weighted average values of this distribution.

Lots of partners have not selected criteria in areas A - site regeneration and development, urban, design and infrastructure, E – service quality and F – social, cultural and perceptual aspects and thanks to the distributive analysis of the criteria within the different seven areas, it can be stated that the area most densely populated of criteria is Area B, related to energy and resource consumption. The 32% of the all pie chart it's covered by criteria belonging from Area B, as it is possible to note from the chart below, to follow with almost the same percentage and relevance there are Area E with the 16%, Area D with the 14%, Area A with the 13% and Area C with the 12% of the all pie chart.



Chart2: Pie chart showing the percentage distribution of the criteria within the 7 areas making up the tool, resulting from the weighted average of the individual values of the 9 Regional Tools.



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

The areas with the lowest number of criteria are Area F - social, cultural and perceptual aspects with the 5% and Area G - cost and economic aspects with the 8%. Exactly as happened in the urban analysis, also in this case the economic aspects have less importance and relevance than the others. While, for what concerns Area F, probably, the reason why few criteria have been selected is related to the fact that lots of criteria in this area are gualitative and not – guantifiable and may be less relevant for the evaluation.

Mediterranea

CESBA MED

A comparative analysis between the total number of criteria that there are in the Generic Framework Building scale and the average value of the total number of criteria selected by partners for each of the seven areas has been produced.

The image below describes graphically the ratio of the two values in a specific area; as can be seen by looking at the bar chart, this ratio is often not proportional, like for example in Area A in which lots of criteria are contained into the Generic Framework but few have been selected by partners, the same happened for Area F, while in Area B, as stated in the previous analysis, a large number of criteria have been calculated by the partners involved in the task.



*Chart3: On the left, the table shows the number of criteria existing in the Generic Framework broken down by area, subsequently compared with the average value obtained from the analysis of the 9 Regional Tools. On the right, the bar chart that graphically translates the results.* 





Mediterranean

is C

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STAN

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

## Average value of Priority factors per KPIs

For each criterion included within the Regional Tool it was necessary to assign a priority factor concerning the Impact, the Extent and the Duration of the effect of that specific criterion, with the purpose of defining an order of importance and relevance between the criteria, being able to more easily complete the weighing operation.

The table below summarizes the average values obtained from the analysis of the 9 Regional Tools for each of the 16 KPIs, in relation to:

- B= Impact of the Potential effect (1-3)
- C= Extent of potential effect (1-5)
- D= Duration of potential effect (1-5)

Code	Criterion	Value	Average
		B= Impact	4
B1.1	Primary energy demand	C=Extent	4
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	4
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	C=Extent	4
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	4
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	C=Extent	4
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	4
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	C=Extent	5
	consumption	D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	4
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	C=Extent	4
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	4
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	C=Extent	4
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
B3.5	Recycled materials	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	5
C1.3	Global Warming potential	C=Extent	4
		D=Duration	4



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



and the second of the second o

		B= Impact	3
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
C3.2	Solid waste from building operation	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	C=Extent	2
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
D1.10	Ventilation rate	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	2
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	3
		B= Impact	3
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	2
		B= Impact	2
G1.5	Use stage water cost	C=Extent	3
		D=Duration	2



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



#### Weight per area

The weighting is one of the crucial aspect in the all process, it consists in the assignment of a weight to each criterion, category and issue. The weight is expressed as a percentage. This process allows to align the assessment tool to local environmental, social and economic priorities.

The table below shows the percentage distribution of the weights assigned by the partners to the seven areas of the Generic Framework at building scale. The average value is reported in the last column and it is calculated for every areas; it is meaningful of the importance given to the different aspects of the tool given by the partners involved in the activity. As stated in the previous paragraph, lots of partners haven't selected criteria in Area A, E and F, for that reason, many of them gave no importance to those areas by assigning the 0% of weight (easily identified by looking the yellow boxes in the table).

For an easy reading of the results of the table below, colors have been used to identify in green the higher percentage given by each partners to the area with the greatest importance in the tool and marked in yellow the area with the least relevance among the others six. In this way it is possible to see at a glance what areas are the ones with the higher weight and, in the same way, the ones with the lower importance for the partners involved in this task.

Weight of Areas	City of Turin	City of Udine	EVBDM	AURA- EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	UoM	NOA	EIHP	Average
А	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	4,9%	11,6%	7,0%	6,5%	7,6%	4,2%
В	58,0%	69,8%	72,0%	72,0%	62,9%	54,9%	31,6%	28,5%	51,2%	55,7%
С	23,0%	24,3%	25,0%	25,0%	19,5%	20,4%	23,6%	36,6%	19,5%	24,1%
D	11,0%	4,2%	2,0%	2,0%	2,1%	1,5%	2,0%	0,5%	8,0%	3,7%
Ε	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	7,9%	8,1%	20,7%	12,6%	3,2%	5,8%
F	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	1,9%	2,7%	12,0%	4,3%	5,1%	2,9%
G	8,0%	1,8%	2,0%	2,0%	0,8%	0,8%	3,1%	11,0%	5,5%	3,9%

Table3: Percentage distribution of the weights assigned by the partners to the seven Areas of the Tool. Cells in yellowrepresent the lower weight given while the green ones represent the higher weight.

Analyzing the results achieved by the comparison study, basically almost all the project partners involved in the activity have given the higher weight, and so the higher relevance, to Area B, the one related to the energy and resource consumption. More than the 55% of the total weight is devoted to this aspect that is, of course, very important in the evaluation of the sustainability of a building. Considering the areas with the higher percentage in term of weight, immediately after Area B there is Area C the one related to the environmental loading, with the 24% of importance. It is another really important aspect to take into account for almost all the partners.

While, all the other five areas of the Tool seem not to have a great importance; by looking at the percentages achieved, Area A has receive the 4,2%, Area D the 3,7%, Area E the 5,8% area G the 3,9% and

and and and a fear the stand of the





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

the area with the lowest weight is Area F, devoted to the social, cultural and perceptual aspects, with only the 2,9% of weight compared to the total.

By looking at the horizontal bar chart, the importance of the Area B within the Tool is immediately visible, almost all the partners attributed the greater weight to this area and to follow Area C, than all the others, justifying this choice because of the importance that energy aspects and environmental loading have in terms of environmental sustainability.



Chart4: Bar chart showing the average percentage weight obtained for each Area.

and the second and the second and

There is a very evident disparity among the results, only two areas (Area b and C) have been able to get even the 80% of the total percentage achievable, the others five have very low weighting percentage.

It is also interesting to note that the results achieved in these two areas are fairly homogeneous, in fact there were no significant numerical fluctuations between scores given by partners.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

## Average value of Minimum/Best value

Average	165,7	40,5	67,0	14,2	63,4	13,0	23,3	90,0	25,0	108,1	1964,8	919,4	9'0	54,3	34,2	13,8	43,1	5,9	1004,0	491,2	23,5	68,9	1414,3	385,7	3,3	6,7	15,6	3,3	22,8	9,7	5,1	1,2
EIHP	06	55	50	10	30	0	20	60	5	60	14	3	5	40	5,5	2	40	5			28	100	300	0	2,77	9	25	5	7,5	1,5	0,5	0,2
NOA	310,6	87,6	69,1	11,5	99,4	29,1	16	80	20	100	6230	3000	3	40	9	1,5	7,5	2	120	36	57	100	1000	200	0,29	0,83	25	ß	18,9	4,7	0,59	0,15
WoN																																
Sant Cugat	292	58	75	20	70	30	30	100	40	100			15	50	11	5	96,31	19,26	1700	600			1000	200			10	0	35	10	5	1
GEN CAT	225	70	22	12	75	20	30	100	40	100					100	20	30	10			15	100			9	12	25	5	60	40		
AURA-EE	140	0	130	30	140	0	10	100	10	100	006	504	5	75	06	20	80	5	1700	1200	0,4	1	300	100			10	0	15	5	13	2,3
EnvirobatBD M	48	0	40	0	40	0	25	100	10	200	180	6	5	75	40	20	20	5	1400	600	0,4	1	300	200	0,5	6'0	10	5	15	5	10	3
City of Udine	140	23	80	10	23	5	25	50	35	75			15	50	47	23	28	5			14	100	2000	1000	0,35	0,49	10	9	10,7	1,75	1,55	0,7
City of Turin	80	30	70	20	30	20	30	100	40	100	2500	1000	15	50	40	25	30	0	100	20	50	8	5000	1000	10	20	10	0	20	10	5	1
Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value	Minimum	Best Value
Unit of Measure	1.111.1.21	KWN/III / YF	1.111.121	KWN/m /yr	1.111 / <sup>2</sup> /	KWN/m /yr	70	0/	70	0/	2	LINU/IM	/0	٩	3/	m /occupant/year	1.2 CO 2.2 /m <sup>2</sup> /	kg co2 eq./m /yr	kg/m <sup>2</sup> /life cycle	stage	6	٩	1.3	m /Bri	11-1-2	l/s/m	/0	٩	E 12 1	€/m /yr	c1 21	€/m⁻/yr
Criterion	Driman anoral domand		Polivorad thormal analysis of the second sec		Dolivorod oloctric onormy domand		Energy from renewable sources in total thermal	energy consumption	Energy from renewable sources in total electric	energy consumption	Embodiod and manuable ariman anorm	בווומסמובמ ווסוו-ובוובאממוב מוווומו ל בוובו לא			Dotable water concumption for indeer uses		Clobal Warming actountial		Construction and domalition works		Colid worth from building anothering		T/OC constanting in antication		Vantilation rate		Thormal comfort index		llea starra anarrav sost	ose stage ettergy cost		USE SIGRE WAIEI LUSI
Code	1 1	1.10	C 10	7.TQ	C 1 2	C.10	D1 C	01.7	D1 6	0.10	D1 11	D1.11	D 2 C	0.00	DAC	C.#0	c 12	CT.)	5	C3.1	ι ε	7.07	2	D1.4	D1 10	NT.IU		7.20	1	+.TD	5	C'TD

Table4: Benchmark e Best values set out by each partners for all the 16 KPIs at building scale.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



As stated for the urban analysis, benchmarking consists in the definition of the scoring scale for each selected criterion. The benchmark is a quantification of the indicator's value corresponding to the minimum acceptable performance and the one that is considered the best at regional level and the assignment of a reference value is not at all a simple matter. Like for the urban scale investigation, for some criteria, there are legal limits to refer to, or national or regional regulations but finding these values is not always easy. A minimum and a best value have been defined by each partners for all the 16 KPIs of the Tool, as it is possible to note from the previous table summarizes them. This table also contains an average value of these two parameters and exactly how happened for the urban scale, these mean values in most cases are not particularly meaningful because the reference values are definitely different one country from another.

The rationale adopted by partners and the data sources investigated to define the reference values for the analyzed KPIs at building scale are summarized below.

Code	Criterion	Benchmark Rationale/Data source
B1.1	Primary energy demand	<ul> <li>Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.</li> <li>EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings).</li> <li>CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union.</li> <li>EN ISO 52000 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>New collective dwellings RT2012.</li> <li>Building regulation (2020).</li> <li>Energy Performance Certificates (EPC).</li> <li>Consumption bills.</li> <li>National Agency for new technologies, energy and sustainable economic development (ENEA).</li> <li>-TABULA EU Project: http://episcope.eu/building- typology/</li> <li>CasaClima.</li> </ul>
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	<ul> <li>New collective dwellings RT2012.</li> <li>Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.</li> <li>EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings - Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings).</li> <li>CEN standards that support the implementation of the Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union.</li> <li>EN ISO 52000 (Energy performance of buildings - Calculation of energy requirements for space heating and cooling).</li> <li>Building regulation (2020).</li> <li>Energy Performance Certificates (EPC).</li> <li>Consumption bills.</li> </ul>







		- National Agency for new technologies, energy and						
		sustainable economic development (ENEA).						
		- CasaClima.						
		- CEN standards that support the implementation of						
		the Directive on the Energy Performance of						
		Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union.						
		- EN 52000 (Energy performance of huildings						
		Overall energy consumption and definition of						
		energy assessments)						
		- EN ISO 56001 (Energy performance of huildings -						
		Calculation of onergy requirements for space						
		booting and cooling)						
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	New collective dwellings PT2012						
		- New collective dwellings R12012.						
		- Energy Performance Certificates (EPC).						
		- (Level(s) Part 3 $-$ 1.3.1).						
		- EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings -						
		Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings).						
		- EN ISO 13/90 (Energy performance of buildings).						
		- EN 15193 (Energy performance of buildings —						
		Energy requirements for lighting).						
		- Energy bills.						
		<ul> <li>Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.</li> </ul>						
		- EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings -						
		Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings).						
		- 2013/114/EU: Commission Decision of 1 March						
	Energy from renewable sources in total	2013.						
B1.5	thermal energy concumption	- Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive).						
		- EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings:						
		overall energy consumption and definition of						
		energy assessments).						
		- National regulation of thermal installations in						
		building.						
		- CEN standards that support the implementation of						
		the Directive on the Energy Performance of						
		Buildings (EPBD) in the European Union.						
		- EN 52000 Energy performance of buildings: overall						
		energy consumption and definition of energy						
		assessments.						
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total	- Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.						
	electric energy consumption	- EN 15603 (Energy performance of buildings -						
		Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings						
		- 2013/114/FU: Commission Decision of 1 March						
		2013						
		- Directive 2009/28/FC (RES Directive)						
		- Directive 2009/28/EC (RES Directive).						
		- Reference Autonomous building nZEB.						
D1 11	Embodied non renewable primary energy	- CSTB report "Capitalization of the results of the						
	Embouled non-renewable primary energy	nge Performance experimentation, Statistical						
		analysis, Action 22° of October 2013.						







		- ISO 14040/44, EN 15804 (Contribution of					
		construction works to sustainable development -					
		Environmental product declarations - Rules					
		governing categories of construction products).					
		- EN 15978 (Contribution of construction works to					
		sustainable development - Evaluation of the					
		anvironmontal performance of huildings					
		Calculation mothed)					
		Calculation method).					
		- EN 15804 (Sustainability of construction					
		works. Environmental product declarations. Core					
		rules for the product category of construction					
		products).					
		- EN ISO 14021 (Environmental labels and					
		declarations - Self-declared environmental claims -					
		Type II environmental labelling).					
B3.5	Recycled materials	- Autonomous and state regulations on quality					
		control concerning recycled materials.					
		- UNI PdR 13 ITACA.					
		- CAM edilizia, DM 11/10/2017.					
		<ul> <li>Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.</li> </ul>					
		- EN 15978 (Sustainability of construction works -					
		Assessment of environmental performance of					
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses	buildings - Calculation method).					
		- UNI PdR 13 ITACA.					
		- Consumption bills.					
		- EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings:					
		overall energy consumption and definition of					
		energy assessments)					
		- National calculation method for the energy					
		nerformance of huilding					
C1 3	Global Warming potential	- Energy Performance Certificates (EPC)					
C1.5		- EN 15603 (Energy performance of huildings -					
		Overall energy use and definition of energy ratings)					
		Level(c) Part 1.2 Pata version					
		- Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.					
		- National Office for Climate Change.					
		- Energy Dills.					
		- Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta Version.					
		- National Office for Climate Change.					
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste	- EN 52000 (Energy performance of buildings:					
		overall energy consumption and definition of					
		energy assessments).					
		- UNI PdR 13 ITACA.					
		- Plan of the availability and position of bins and					
		containers for each of the seven solid waste					
(2)	Solid waste from building operation	categories.					
CJ.2	Solid waste from building operation	- Google maps.					
		- National Decree regulating the Production and					
		management of construction and demolition waste.					







D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	<ul> <li>Recommendation of National Federal Agency.</li> <li>ISO 16000-6 (Indoor Air - Part 6: Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air and Active Sampling Chambers on Tenax TA (R) Sorbent, Desorption thermal and gas chromatographic using MS or MS / FID) or equivalent.</li> <li>EN 16516 (Construction products - evaluation of the emission of dangerous substances - Determination of indoor air emissions).</li> <li>EN 15251 (Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics).</li> <li>CEN/TS 16516 (Construction products - Assessment of release of dangerous substances - Determination of emissions into indoor air).</li> <li>Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.</li> <li>Content in VOC according to UNE-EN ISO 11890-1 / 2 and ASTM D2369 standards.</li> <li>Determination of the formaldehyde emission on wood derived boards according to UNE-EN 717.</li> <li>UNI PdR 13 ITACA.</li> </ul>
D1.10	Ventilation rate	<ul> <li>- EN 16798-7 (Energy performance of buildings - Ventilation for buildings - Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration).</li> <li>- UNI EN 15251 Table B.5 Cat III.</li> <li>- Standard UNI 10339.</li> </ul>
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	<ul> <li>EN 7730 (Ergonomics of the thermal environment</li> <li>Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort by calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and by local thermal comfort criteria.</li> <li>ISO 7730 Ergonomics of the thermal environment -</li> <li>Analytical determination and interpretation of thermal comfort using calculation of the PMV and PPD indices and local thermal comfort criteria.</li> <li>EN 16798-1:2017 - Energy performance of buildings - Part 1: Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics</li> <li>Module M1-6 (revision of EN 15251). Brussels: European Committee for Standardization.</li> <li>Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.</li> </ul>
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	- Energy bills. - Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.
G1.5	Use stage water cost	- Water bills. - Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.





Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



and the second and the

## Standard for calculation

Exactly how it was important to define, in the previous paragraph, the reference data sources of the criteria in order to extrapolate benchmark and best practice data, in the same way, it is fundamental to identify the support tools useful to perform the calculations for the quantification of the value of the indicators.

The table below summarizes the main support tools used by the partners to perform the calculations.

Code	Criterion	Information source
B1.1	Primary energy demand	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Measured data of energy consumption on site or calculations.</li> <li>Metered data.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> </ul>
B1.2	Delivered thermal energy demand	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Measured data of energy consumption on site or calculations.</li> <li>Metered data.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> </ul>
B1.3	Delivered electric energy demand	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Measured data on site.</li> </ul>
B1.5	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	<ul> <li>Metered data.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> <li>Monitoring of produced energy.</li> <li>Models and simulation.</li> </ul>
B1.6	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Statistical/ estimated data</li> <li>Metered data.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> <li>Monitoring of produced energy.</li> </ul>
B1.11	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Calculated data – Estimations.</li> </ul>
B3.5	Recycled materials	<ul> <li>Models and simulation or material documents.</li> <li>Calculated data – Estimations.</li> </ul>
B4.5	Potable water consumption for indoor uses	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>French Tool "Water calculator".</li> <li>Metered data – Estimations.</li> </ul>
C1.3	Global Warming potential	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> </ul>
C3.1	Construction and demolition waste	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Metered data –Estimations.</li> </ul>
C3.2	Solid waste from building operation	<ul> <li>Calculated data.</li> <li>Metered data –Estimations.</li> </ul>



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACT

D1.4	TVOC concentration in indoor air	- Measured data.
D1.10	Ventilation rate	<ul> <li>Measured with blower door test, algorithm.</li> <li>Metered data.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> </ul>
D2.2	Thermal comfort index	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Measured method.</li> <li>Estimations.</li> </ul>
G1.4	Use stage energy cost	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> </ul>
G1.5	Use stage water cost	<ul> <li>Models and simulation.</li> <li>Calculated data.</li> </ul>





A FIRE AND A FIRE AND A FIRE AND AND A FIRE AND A FIRE

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

## **KPIs value**

city of Turin City of L	City of Turin City of L	City of L	Jdine	EnvirobatBDM	AURA-EE	GEN CAT	Sant Cugat	NoN	NOA	EIHP
rimary energy demand 253	253		160,66	48,9	47,5	279,39	161,02	133,91	442,4	50,93
belivered thermal energy demand	170		108,48	27,6	36,5	18,47	54,05	14,68	100,1	42,19
belivered electric energy demand	21		19,26	15,1	23,3	128,26	63,42	63,54	114,6	28,34
inergy from renewable sources in total 0 hermal energy consumption	0	-	0	44	43	0	0	N.A.	0	100
inergy from renewable sources in total	0		0	0	0	1,68	0	25,2	0	0
imbodied non-renewable primary energy 0	0		N.A.	no data	No Data	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Not for use phase	9274
tecycled materials 0	0		N.A.	no data	No Data	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Not for use phase	3,27
otable water consumption for indoor uses	<i>LL</i>		52,23	no data	30	6,74	18,91	N.A.	9	6,02
slobal Warming potential	42,5		31,65	4	4,58	11,22	24,35	41,2	9,1	8,16
onstruction and demolition waste	0		N.A.	no data	No Data	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	Not for use phase	Not for us e phase
olid waste from building operation	60		0	20	0,42	100	100	N.A.	57	43
VOC concentration in indoor air	200		N.A.	no data	No Data	N.A.	N.A.	1474,4	Not for use phase	No Data
entilation rate 0,225	0,225		N.A.	no data	not calculated	12	N.A.	N.A.	I	No Data
hermal comfort index	5		Not detected	no data	No Data	7	N.A.	N.A.	18	48
Jse stage energy cost	17,7		12,07	no data	10	16,9	12,97	N.A.	23,8	6,38
Jse stage water cost 6,16	6,16		2,17	no data	3,5	1,01	0,74	N.A.	0,37	3,26





A FILL AND A FILL AND A FILL AND AND A FILL AND A FILL

Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas

#### **KPIs score**

EIHP	0,2	0,04	0,01	0,41	-0,08	60'0	-0,04	0,22	0,7	Not for use phase	0,05	not calculated	not calculated	-0,02	0,03	-0,02
NOA	4	-1	-1	- -	-1	Not for use phase	Not for use phase	0	-1	Not for use phase	0	Not for use phase	Not for use phase	1,8	4	2,5
NoM	4	5	5		-1	not calculated	not calculated	5	-0,3	not calculated	not calculated	ε	not calculated	not calculated	no value	no value
Sant Cugat	œ	3	3	4	4	No Data	Not for use n	ß	3,5	No Data	3	No Data	not calculated r	No Data	4	4
GEN CAT		1,8	-1	0	- 1	No Data	No Data	4,13	4,7	No Data	5	No Data	ы	4,5	0	No Data
AURA-EE	ß	3	c	0	-1	No Data	No Data	ß	£	No Data	0	No Data	not calculated	No Data	0	c
EnvirobatBDM	₽	2	0,5	T	-1	No Data	No Data	No Data	ß	No Data	S	No Data	0,5	no cooling	No Data	No Data
City of Udine	4	-1	-1	-1	-1	No Data	No Data	4	-1	No Data	-1	No Data	No Data	-1	-1	-1
City of Turin	0,4	2	2,5	0,1	2,5	-1	0,6	1,7	2,8	2,5	0,3	-1	0	1,5	2	2,5
Criterion	Primary energy demand	Delivered thermal energy demand	Delivered electric energy demand	Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption	Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption	Embodied non-renewable primary energy	Recycled materials	Potable water consumption for indoor uses	Global Warming potential	Construction and demolition waste	Solid waste from building operation	TVOC concentration in indoor air	Ventilation rate	Thermal comfort index	Use stage energy cost	Use stage water cost
Code	B1.1	B1.2	B1.3	B1.5	B1.6	B1.11	B3.5	B4.5	C1.3	C3.1	C3.2	D1.4	D1.10	D2.2	G1.4	G1.5



Priority Axis 2: Fostering low-carbon strategies and energy efficiency in specific MED territories: cities, islands and remote areas



and a fell the second and a second and

Thanks to the testing activity at building scale carried out by the nine partners involved in this task, some assumptions related to the selection of the Key Performance Indicators have been questioned. As it is possible to see from the results contained in the table concerning the minimum and best value, lots of partners have had many problems in finding data about the following criteria:

- B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy
- B3.5 Recycled materials
- C3.1 Construction and demolition waste
- D1.4 TVOC concentration in indoor air
- D1.10 Ventilation rate

In accordance with all the partners of the project, it has been decided to remove from the KPIs list criteria B3.5, C3.1 and D1.4, because of the general inability in calculating them due to the difficulty in finding data. Anyway, they are still in the Generic Framework at Building scale and so they are selectable and calculable in any future regional tool.

For what concerns criterion B1.11 Embodied non-renewable primary energy and criterion D1.10 Ventilation rate, they are both complex issues but, at the same time, a priority in the action program of Agenda 2030 and for that reason, despite the difficulties encountered in data acquisition and calculation, it has been decided to maintain them in the Building scale KPIs list.

With regard to the minimum and the best values proposed by partners for all the KPIs at Building scale, in most cases they are very different from each other. This happens because the data sources used are not the same and consequently the benchmarks fixed are different one country from another. Sometimes, also when the data sources taken into account are the same, values set out are not so similar. For that reason, the average value calculated for each minimum and best value of every KPIs is not always so significant.

There are, however, few cases in which the results are very close to each other, for example, the energy criteria B1.5 - Energy from renewable sources in total thermal energy consumption and B1.6 - Energy from renewable sources in total electric energy consumption, both for the minimum and best value they received similar and comparable values. Similarly, also criterion D2.2 - Thermal comfort index has achieved from partners minimum and best values absolutely similar, using in most case as data source Level(s) Part 1-2 – Beta version.

On the contrary, criterion B4.5 - Potable water consumption for indoor uses has obtained minimum and best values completely different and non-comparable and the same inconsistency is visible also for criterion B1.1 - Primary energy demand.

