

Università luav di Venezia Α

Т

Ш

The Tourism-driven strategic planning on Pilot Areas (T 3.18)

Filippo Magni, Federica Appiotti **IUAV University of Venice** Department of Design and Planning in a Complex Environment



CO-EVOLVE 3rd Steering Committee Meeting Valencia, 16th & 17th November 2017



Outline of the presentation

- 3.18 Output and deliverables
- Guidelines
- Proposed planning process
- Data and Information needs
- Timing and future steps







I. Output and Deliverables

T 3.18 Aim:

Identification of the **planning priorities** of each pilot areas and development of site-specific **strategic planning proposals**

3.18.1 – Guidelines for tourism-driven strategic planning Final Users: Local/Regional Authorities

3.18.2 – **Tourism-driven strategic planning on pilot areas** Final Users: Local/Regional Authorities







I. Output and Deliverables

T 3.18 Aim:

Identification of the **planning priorities** of each pilot areas and development of site-specific **strategic planning proposals**

3.18.1 – **Guidelines for tourism-driven strategic planning** Final Users: Local/Regional Authorities

Deliverable

An **operative guideline** for local/regional authorities able to explain how to construct tourism-driven strategic plan at local and regional level.



I. Output and Deliverables

T 3.18 Aim:

Identification of the **planning priorities** of each pilot areas and development of site-specific **strategic planning proposals**

3.18.2 – **Tourism-driven strategic planning on pilot areas** Final Users: Local/Regional Authorities

Deliverable

Set of tourism-driven **strategic plans**, one of each pilot areas. These strategic plans will be composed by a descriptive part and a cartographic appendix.



II. Guideline

Construction of Tourism-driven Strategic Planning Guideline

Strategic planning guideline applicable in each PA through site-specific actions will be designed starting from the most important documents about ICZM and sustainable coastal tourism.

The strategic guideline will be adapted to the **UNEP ICZM PROCESS - Roadmap towards coastal sustainability** (2012).





Università luav di Venezia

Τ

_ _ _

Ш

Α

II. Guideline



Traditional planning process

UNEP – ICZM process Guidelines



Τ

U

Α

V

III. Proposed Planning Process

1. Pilot areas main tourism-driven dynamics identification:

- Characterization of the PA on the MED context (D.3.16.1 + 3.7 + 3.13);
- Identification of the Key-Elements for Tourism strategies in each Pilot Area -(D.3.14 – 3.15 elaboration);
- Mapping of the PA and of the Key-Physical Elements (WP3 sub task + Area -**Coordinator**)
- Identification of main T&EF of PA (D.3.14 3.15 elaboration) -
- Identification and mapping of Key natural Resources (WP3 sub task + Area -**Coordinator**)





I Università luav - di Venezia U - A - V

All the needed information are obtained from the analysis of the threats and enabling factors conducted in the previous *WP3 Tasks and in 3.14 and 3.15 deliverable*.

Required *geo-spatial data* will be directly asked to the Area Coordinators



GIS DATA LAYERS

Many different types of data can be integrated into a GIS and represented as a map layer.

Examples can include: streets, parcels, zoning, flood zones, client locations, competition, shopping centers, office parks, demographics, etc.

When these layers are drawn on top of one another, undetected spatial trends and relationships often emerge. This allows us to gain insight about relevant characteristics of a location. Too many GAPS in the Indicators provided (?)



Т

U

Α

V

EXAMPLE - Pilot Area 6: Kastela bay coastal area

Threat 3.3: Littoralization and Urbanization

General framework (From Report 3.3.1)

During the last thirty years, the Croatian population depending on agricultural resources and production has been decreasing, while the proportion of urban population is continuously increasing and is expected to exceed 70% by 2050.

In coastal areas, where population increases considerably during the summer because of tourism activity, urbanization is not as intensive as in other Mediterranean countries (e.g. France, Malta). Regarding the policy framework, it is not considered adequate, however ICZM initiatives could be supported by existing sets of instruments related to spatial planning, such as urban areas sanation plans, transportation, communal and other infrastructure.

Pilot Area Specific available information (From Task Leaders)

Task Leader appreciation

What is the problem?

the data are missing/not provided or there are no specific problems in the area ?

Pilot Area Coordinator appreciation and additional information if available: (Max 300 words)



11

U - - - -V

Ι

- - -

Image: second	RERASD DUNEA	rault	He		DeltaPo	ER	RE	MTH	RE	Coordinator				
PA1.0 Numal change in measured shore/beach area (n %) Percentage Iso Southern citics - 21 m/m Northern Spinal-315 m/m Northern Spin	6 Kasteli 7 Meretic	5B Herault Wes Coast	5A Frontigna	3B Polesin 4 Valencia Pot	3A Rosolin. 3B Polesin	2B Comacchi	2A Cattolic:	18 Keramo	1A Alexandroup	Measura	Pilot area-specif indicator:	Indicator referen	Key issues addressed	Section
$ PA1.2 \% \text{ shoreline subjected to erosion} \qquad Percentage 65\% 57\% 0\% 27\% 39\% 15\% 0 13\% 44\% 15\% $				/y 0	¢ n - 14.9 m/y 0.9 m/y	Southern Lido Spina,-315 m3/m, Norther Lido di Spina: - 36 m3/m	Southern Cattolica: +19 m ³ /m Northern Cattolica: 25 m ³ /m			Percentage	Annual change in measured shore/beach area (in %)	P.A1.1.		A. Threat
PA1.Climate changes and morphological stability P.A.1.3. Coastal area in degraded condition (low/medium/high) Low/Medium/High Nedelum Needium		44%	13%	0	39% 15%	27%	0%	57%	65%	Percentage	% shoreline subjected to erosion	P.A1.2.		
PA1.4. Size, density and proportion of the population living in coastal a low method percental e Image: coastal percental e <t< td=""><td>High Medium</td><td></td><td></td><td>low</td><td>di di</td><td>Southern Lido Spina: high, Northern Lido Spina: low</td><td>Low</td><td>Medium</td><td>Medium</td><td>Low/Medium/High</td><td>Coastal area in degraded condition (low/medium/high)</td><td>P.A1.3.</td><td rowspan="5">P.A1.Climate changes and morphological stability</td></t<>	High Medium			low	di di	Southern Lido Spina: high, Northern Lido Spina: low	Low	Medium	Medium	Low/Medium/High	Coastal area in degraded condition (low/medium/high)	P.A1.3.	P.A1.Climate changes and morphological stability	
PA1.5. Extreme events on the coast per year(number) Number s to 15									e	Number and percentag	Size, density and proportion of the population living in coastal a (year average and peak month)	P.A1.4.		
PA1.6. Coastal flooding events per year(number) Number 2 0 32 0 0,5 0,5 PA1.7. Estimated sea level rise (low, medium, high) Low/Medium/High Image: Sea level rise (low, medium, high) Image: Sea le	1 to 2	5 to 15	5 to 15		min 10, mai 32	22	17	5 to 15	5 to 15	Number	Extreme events on the coast per year(number)	P.A1.5.		
P.A1.7. Estimated sea level rise (low, medium, high) Low/Medium/High Image: Comparison of the second	1 to 2	0,5	0,5	0	min 10, ma 32	0	2			Number	Coastal flooding events per year(number)	P.A1.6.		
										Low/Medium/High	Estimated sea level rise (low, medium, high)	P.A1.7.		
P.A.2.1. Land occupied by artificial surfaces within the first 500m of coast (in %) Percentage										: (in %) Percentage	Land occupied by artificial surfaces within the first 500m of coas	P.A2.1.	P.A2.Littoralizati on and urbanization	<u>a</u>
P.A.2.Littorolizati P.A.2.2. % of area designated for tourism purposes Percentage										Percentage	% of area designated for tourism purposes	P.A2.2.		
urbanization P.A2.3. % of total coastal capacity used (average and peak) Percentage										Percentage	% of total coastal capacity used (average and peak)	P.A2.3.		
P.A2.4. Ridgeline or coastline continuity (% intrusion on ridge and coast ne) Percentage										ine) Percentage	Ridgeline or coastline continuity (% intrusion on ridge and coast	P.A2.4.		
P.A3.1. Total tourist numbers (mean, monthly, peak) (categorized by the Number of activity)										Number	Total tourist numbers (mean, monthly, peak) (categorized by th of activity)	P.A3.1.		
P.A3. Touristic P.A3. 2. Number of tourists per square meter of key site (e.g., at beacher attractions), per square kilometer of the destination, - mean number/peak period average										Number	Number of tourists per square meter of key site (e.g., at beache attractions), per square kilometer of the destination, - mean number/peak period average	P.A3.2.	P.A3.Touristic fluxes and	
copacity P.A3.3. Water use (total volume in liters or m3 consumed and liters per per day) Liters or m3										Liters or m3	Water use (total volume in liters or m3 consumed and liters per per day)	P.A3.3.	capacity	
P.A3.4. Number of shortage incidents per year or number of days per year on number on nu										Number	Number of shortage incidents per year or number of days per ye where there are water supply shortages	P.A3.4.		
P.A.4. Volume (m3) of litter collected per given length of shoreline m3										m3	Volume (m3) of litter collected per given length of shoreline	P.A4.1.	P.A4.Pollution	
and other P.A4.2. Rate of loss of protected areas Percentage Percentage										Percentage	Rate of loss of protected areas	P.A4.2.	and other	
P.A5.Conflicts among different P.A5.1. Total use of water by tourism sector (Tourism as a % of all users Percentage										Percentage	Total use of water by tourism sector (Tourism as a % of all users	P.A5.1.	P.A5.Conflicts among different	
uses and at see and line of the see of the see of the second seco										Percentage	Energy use by tourism industry as % of total	P.A5.2.	uses and at sea	
Interaction P.A5.3. % increase/decrease in land and housing prices over time Percentage										Percentage	% increase/decrease in land and housing prices over time	P.A5.3.	interaction	



P.84.9

Cost of dredging operations per year (€)

Project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund

Università luav di Venezia - - -

Ι

U

literra	near	and any other states										-	Α	
3) co	-EVOLVE										-	· V	
	P.B1.1.	existence of a coastal planning management	YES/NO			No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	T
	P.B1.2.	terigin or protected and derended coastline	km			2	9,5	3	37	4,5	11,5	9	19.5	
	P.B1.3.	% of tourist area and intrastructure with sea	Percentage	-		100%	100%	40%		100%	60%	75%	>80%	-
	P.D1.4.	doscorerosion prevention and repair	€.			150.000	#########	250000			######		95.000	1
		mensures per venr (£)	-								Groins,	Groins,		
P.B1.Cast											breakw	breakwa		
	D D1 E	Typology of coastal defence measures (to					Groins,	Breakw			aters,	ters,		
	P.DI.J.	be selected from the list of the defence	Description			Breakwate	breakwate	ater,	Revet		dune	dune		
Protection		techniques described in Report 3.8.1)				rs, beach	rs,	groins,	ment,	Break	protecti	protectio	Revetm	
Measures						nourishme	nourishm	nourish	woode	water	on	n, beach	ent and	
	P.D1.0.	cost for the maintenance of defence		_		IIL	ent	FOOD	ii groin	5	Deach		3eawaii	
	0.04.7	meanings accurat (C)	€	_	-			50000			80000	50.000	40.000	-
	P.B1.7.	% of sites where coastal protection	Percentage			0	0		0	100%	10%	20%		
P.B2.Ecos ysems Protection		innuence (positive or negative) of defence				0	0		0	10078	1078	20%		-
	P.B1.8.	measures presence on tourist appeal of the	Low/Medium/Hi											
		area (Low/medium/high influence based on	gh			Medium	Medium	Neutral	Neutral		Medium	Medium		
	P B2 1	Extention protected areass mixm2 (classified												
		by level of protection, according to IUCN	km2											
	P.B2.2.	Area of natural and semi-natural habitat		_										-
		(based on Natura 2000 sites and EU habitats	km2											
	P.B2.3.	mean of population of key indicator species	Number											
		(measuring rise of fail of key indicators	Number											
	P.B2.4.	accommodation) on (suitable) treatment	Percentage											
	0.02.1		rereentage											
P B3 Wat	P.B3.1.	water saving (% reduced, recaptured of	Percentage											
er cycle	P.B3.2.	% loss from reticulated system	Percentage											
and	P.B3.3.	% water supply imported to region	Percentage											
depuratio	P.B3.4.	% of tourism establishments with water												
"		treated to international potable standards	Percentage											
								57 KM	57 KM					
	P.B4.1.	Density of public transport (route kms per	Number					per 100	per					
		km2)						(Rovigo	100 km2					
	-			Car,	Car,			(nongo		Bus,	Car,		Bus,	Airpla
	P.B4.2.	Modes of transport used by tourists to reach		Train,	Airplan	Bus, Train,		Car,		Car,	Train,	Car,	Car,	ne,
		destination (airplane, car, rail, bicycle,	Description	Airpla	e,	Car,		Train,	Car,	Ferry,	Airplan	Train,	Ferry,	Bus,
		walking, other)		ne,	Ferry	Airplane	Car	Bus	Bus	Airpla	e	Airplane	Airplane	Car
		Number of passengers transported by local									5800	5800		
	P.B4.3.	public transport for tourism / leisure	Ratio								(70 000	(70 000		
		purposes (compared to number of tourists									individu	individu		
P.B4.Tran		using individual transport)				1	-		662		maividu	maiviaa		+
sport and									(numb					
accessibili		% of accommodations, tourism facilities and							er					
ty	P.B4.4.	other tourist attractions accessible by public	Percentage						which					
		transport							refers					
									to					
									Delta		0,6	0,6		
	P.B4.5.	Ratio of travel expenses by public versus	Ratio											
		private transport inside the destination		_							0,15	0,15		
	P.B4.6.	environmentally sound transport planning	YES/NO											
	P.B4.7.		Number											-
	P.D4.0.	N of dredging operations needed per year	Number	-	+				+					+
4		Volume (m3) of sediments dredged per year	m3											1

€



Т

Ш

Α

NO GOVERNANCE INDICATORS PROVIDED

P.C. Govern ance	P.C1.Planni ng	P.C1.1.	Number of environmental, social, cultural and economic actions recommended in plan	Number						
		P.C1.2.	% environmental, social, cultural actions recommended in plan which have been implemented	Percentage						
	P.C2.Public Sector	P.C2.1.	Involvement of stakeholders in tourism planning activities (% of activities, number involved)	Number and percentage						
	P.C3.Private sector	P.C3.1.	Level of tourism sector involvement in public policy (advisory bodies, review panels etc)	Description						
		P.C3.2.	% tour operators and hotels with environmental strategy or policy	Percentage						
		P.C3.3.	% tourism managers with environmental training	Percentage						

Planning information are essential to construct an effective Strategic Planning Proposal. 3.12 Deliverable will be used a baseline about governance issues.

> Some specific information about governance at the local and regional level will be asked directly to the area coordinators



I Università luav - di Venezia U - A - V

2. Identification of PA's Strategic Planning objectives/priority

For each pilot areas, starting from the analysis conducted in the previous point, will be identified (and mapped, if possible) the main objectives clustered in relation to the **UNEP ICZM Guidelines – High level Objectives**

The Area Coordinators, together with us, must collect indications from the Local and Regional Authorities in charge of Tourism in the PAs, about the main area challenges and tourism priorities.

A guideline and template for the collection of the needed information will be provided to the Area Coordinators next week





Interreg

Mediterranean

CO-EVOLVE

I Università luav --- di Venezia U ---A ---V

1° Step – Definition of Sub-Objectives

Information will be asked to the Area Coordinators

2° Step – Intersection between identified objectives and existing situation

luav together with the Area Coordinators

3° Step – Definition of Tourism-driven priority for each Pilot Aera

Priority will be defined in accordance with the pilot Area Coordinators



Università luav di Venezia

Т

П

IV. Data information needs

FOR EACH PILOT AREAS:

- <u>Limits of the pilot area</u>
- Administrative limits of local authority (Municipalities & Regions)
- Land Use
- Infrastructures (streets, railways, etc...)
- <u>Buildings</u>
- Most significant local natural elements (rivers, forests, protected areas etc..)

If it's possible

<u>Coastal & maritime activities (starting from 3.6 UTH)</u>





Т

Ш

Α

V

IV. Data information needs

FOR ALL THE WP3 TASK LEADERS:

- Maps contained within the WP3 subtask report are based/constructed using accessible geographical data?
- If yes, is it possible to have it?

Data are useful for the characterization of pilot areaswithin the MED scale dynamics





Ι

U

Α

V

V. Timing and future steps

		2017	2018				
	November		November		Decen	nber	January
1. Summary and systematization (in table) of pilot area information							
2. Mapping and graphing, information on the med scale							
3. Integration of information with the priorities of individual areas							
4. Definition of strategical priorities at local and med scale (3.18.2)					3.18.1		
5. Definition of planning guidelines					3.18.2		





Università luav di Venezia _ _ _ - - -- - -

Τ

U

Α

V

CONTACTS

Name: Filippo Magni – Federica Appiotti Email: co-evolve@iuav.it Telephone: +39 3381741308 Web site of the partner: http://www.planningclimatechange.org/wp/en/

