







Climate Risk Management Plan

to assess historic places for climate change impacts and associated adaptation planning

☑ Singular historic place

Name of place

Ballinskelligs Castle



Figure 1 Aerial photograph of Ballinskelligs Castle, County Kerry Ireland Image © Discovery Programme, for CHERISH project

Assessment details	
Names and affiliations of assessors	Michael Connolly (Kerry County Council)
	Carsten Hermann & Vanessa Glindmeier (Historic
Version number of assessment	V1.0 DRAFT
Date of completion of assessment	29 May 2020
Assessment type	🖾 Advanced Level
	Standard Level
Comments on assessment process	Thank you to all attendees of the workshops in
	Ballinskelligs in the summers 2018 and 2019 and
	all other contributors to and reviewers of this
	Climate Risk Management Plan.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview Historic Place/Group of Historic Places/Place Categories

Name of historic place / place category to be analysed				
Ballinskelligs Castle				
Description of historic place	ce / place category an	d its wider s	surroundings	
Brief description of historic place / place category	Ruin of tower house datin masonry, unroofed	ng from 15 th /16	5 th century; upstanding	
Brief description of place's immediate surroundings	beach west and towards south, sea and water to r	historic Ballinsl orth and east.	kelligs Abbey/Priory to	
Brief description of places' wider environs	The place is situated on an isthmus stretching out into Ballinskelligs bay on its western shoreline, which opens in the southwest to the Atlantic Ocean. The direct view of the place to the ocean is obstructed by Horse Island, forming a barrier to south-westerly storms. Erosion prompted excavation of the site in 1988 and 1991, uncovering some archaeological finds. The place lies within a Special Area of Conservation, namely Ballinskelligs Bay and Inny Estuary			
Cultural heritage designati	ions			
Designation		Title		
Special Area of Conservation (SAC)Ballinskelligs Bay and Inny Estuar			Bay and Inny Estuary SAC	
Key cultural significance values				
Key value Rating				
The origins of the current settlen Ballinskelligs Castle (McCarthy Ca	The origins of the current settlement at Ballinskelligs are linked to 3 Ballinskelligs Castle (McCarthy Castle) which still survives. 3			

Overview Risk Assessment

Sumr Level	mary of Risk Register (incl. Advanced))	 Standard level: Risks ratings are 0-16 (inherent risk) Advanced level: Risk ratings are 0-64 (heritage risk) 			
List of	unacceptable risks				
state r rankeo	isks consider as unacceptable at the respective time h d by decreasing risk rating	norizons			
Impac [:] ID	t Description	Risk rating <i>Time horizon</i> <u>1</u> Today	Time horizon 2 2070	Time horizon 3 2100	
3	Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation	36	36	64	
2	Washing out of mortar core	27	27	36	
1	Saturated core of masonry wall	18	27	48	
Highes (state	st-ranked acceptable risks multiple if of the same rating at time horizon #1)				
ID	Description	Risk rating <i>Time horizon</i> 1 Today	Time horizon 2 2070	Time horizon 3 2100	
5	Vegetation growth on upstanding remains of Castle	9	9	4	
4	Freeze-thaw spalling of masonry surfaces of upstanding remains of buildings	6	6	0	
Summary of increasing risks					
Risk of damage from coastal erosion, wave action and wind & rain weathering is increasing due to increase in storm intensity and precipitation.					
Summary of decreasing risks					
Risk of days w	f damage from frost weathering and growth of plant r vith frost occurrence are decreasing and general rise i	oots is decreas n temperatures	ing as the nu s throughout	mber of the year.	

Effect of occurrence of impacts on key cultural heritage values					
Key values	Current rating	Revised rating	Comments		
The origins of the current settlement at Ballinskelligs are linked to Ballinskelligs Castle (McCarthy Castle) which still survives.	3	2	If castle ruins are damaged significantly If castle ruins are damaged substantially		
Conclusions					

Today, three risks are considered as unacceptable, namely

- #3 Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation
- #2 Washing out of mortar core
- #1 Saturated core of masonry wall

By 2100, the above mentioned three risks will rise even higher.

Overview Adaptation Planning

Summary of Adaptation Measures Register						
Impact / Measure ID	Adapta measur (short ti	tion re tle)	Adaptation type	Location where measure would be installed	Potential ef significance including mit	fect on cultural
Impact investiga	ited	Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation		t of soil underneath :ion	Impact ID	3
3/P1	Soft co protect	astal :ion	Protect	Surrounding castle remains along shoreline	beneficial	
3/S1	Repoin repair i in key l	t and masonry ocations	Strengthen	Masonry walls of castle ruins	beneficial	
3/D1	Rebuild	ling	Respond to Damage	Castle ruins	acceptably adverse without mitigation	
3/L1	Record damag docum	ing + e entation	Managing Loss	Castle ruins	neutral	
3/11	Survey feasibil	+ ity study	Manage Uncertainty	Castle remains	neutral	
Impact Washin investigated		Washin	g out of mortar c	core	Impact ID	2
2/P1	Hard co protect installir or gabi	bastal tion by ng a wall ons	Protect	On coastline around castle remains	beneficial	
2/S1	Render	ing	Strengthen	Castle ruins	beneficial	
2/D1	Repair respon budget	se +	Respond to Damage	Not applicable	beneficial	
2/11	Survey feasibil	+ ity study	Manage Uncertainty	Castle remains	neutral	

APPENDED ASSESSMENTS

Historic Places and Cultural Significance

Singular place, group of places or place categories

⊠ Singular historic place

Geographic information (singular historic place)				
Name of place	Place's address	Place's extent		
Ballinskelligs Castle	Ballinskelligs, County Kerry, Ireland	Upstanding remains of a towerhouse		

Historic place overview

Name of historic place to be analysed				
Ballinskelligs Castle				
Description of historic plac	ce and its wider surroundings			
Brief description of historic place	Ruin of tower house dating from 15 th /16 th century; upstanding masonry, unroofed			
Brief description of place's immediate surroundings	Beach west and towards historic Ballinskelligs Abbey/Priory to south, sea and water to north and east.			
Brief description of places' wider environs	The place is situated on an isthmus stretching out into Ballinskelligs bay on its western shoreline, which opens in the southwest to the Atlantic Ocean. The direct view of the place to the ocean is obstructed by Horse Island, forming a barrier to south-westerly storms.			
	Erosion prompted excavation of the site in 1988 and 1991, uncovering some archaeological finds.			
	The place lies within a Special Area of Conservation, namely Ballinskelligs Bay and Inny Estuary.			

Place elements

Place elements (Advanced Level)						
Identify place elements	Principal material / matter	Description / comments				
e.g. walls, roof, bridge, woodland,	e.g. live organic matter, peat,	if required				
building	stone, timber					
Walls of tower house remains	stone masonry	mortar-bedded				
Foundations	stone	Undercut by eroding				
		peninsula				
Pier	concrete	Nearly gone				

Cultural significance

Conservation policies							
ID	Document title	Author(s)			Versio	n	Date
1							
Cult	cural heritage designations						
Desi	gnation	Title		Referen	ce	Corr	nments
Spec	ial Area of Conservation (SAC)	Ballinskelligs Bay and Inny Estuary SAC		Site code 335 / Natura 2000 code IE0000335		for v	wildlife servation
Rati	ing of key cultural significan	ce values	;				
Key v	value	Rating	Comments /	reasons			
The o at Ba Ballir whic	origins of the current settlement Ilinskelligs are linked to nskelligs Castle (McCarthy Castle) h still survives.	3					

Implications of cultural heritage designations (Advanced Level)

Designation	Title	Conferred management implications
SAC	Ballinskelligs Bay and Inny Estuary SAC	No implications for the historic place itself, but restrictions might apply to the implementation of conservation measures

Cultural significance ratings of place elements (Advanced Level)

Place elements	Rating	Reasoning for rating
Walls of tower house remains	3 outstanding	Walls of tower house make up structure, if structure vanishes, there will be no more historic place
Foundations	4 exceptional	Foundations carry the historic place
Pier	0 neutral	No apparent cultural significance

Climate, hazards and impacts

Site observations, hazards and climate drivers (optional)

Observed damages and deterioration					
Damage and deterioration observed at historic place	Impact type	Environmental hazard associated with observations	Climate drivers		
Saturated core of masonry wall	□ damage ⊠ deterioration	Wave action, wind & rain weathering Wind & rain weathering	Water currents, precipitation, wind speed		
Washing out of mortar core	□ damage ⊠ deterioration	Wind & rain weathering	Wind speed, precipitation		
Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation	□ damage ⊠ deterioration	Coastal erosion	Water currents		
Freeze-thaw spalling of masonry surfaces of upstanding remains of buildings	□ damage ⊠ deterioration	Frost weathering	Precipitation, temperature fluctuations at freezing point		
Vegetation growth on upstanding remains of Castle	⊠ damage □ deterioration	Physical damage by growth of plant roots	Precipitation, temperature		

Hazard register

Hazard Regis	ter							(Advanced Level)			
Climate drivers	Climate drivers Climate trends			Environmental hazards Impact on historic place							
Description of variables	Observed trends	Projected trends	Description of observed or potential hazard	Change in rele observed	vance projected	Description of observed or potential impacts	Impact types	Affected location	Length of exposure to impact	Intensity of impact	Likelihood of impact to occur
Water currents, precipitation, wind speed	 mean annual precipitation increased, with greater increase in west of country wet days (rainfall greater than 0.2mm) and very wet days (rainfall greater than 10mm) increased in west Storm events seem to have got stronger. No evidence of sustained long- term trend of storminess over North Atlantic in the past, however, study spanning last four to six decades indicates increased storm activity north over North Atlantic, with negative tendencey southward 	 mean annual precipitation projected to decrease drier summers wetter winters increase in frequency of heavy precipitation events projected during winter and autumn maximum wind gusts are increasing frequency of storms is projected to decrease, but intensity increasing indication of increase in winter storm intensity over North Atlantic by 2100 projected increase in number of high magnitude storms, generating bigger associated surges (>1m) 	Wave action, wind & rain weathering	 ☑ increase □ decrease □ no change 	 increase decrease no change 	Saturated core of masonry wall	⊠ damage □ deterioration	Walls of tower house remains	 ☐ decreasing ☐ increasing ☐ no change 	 □ decreasing increasing □ no change 	 □ decreasing ⊠ increasing □ no change
Wind speed, precipitation	See above	See above	Wind & rain weathering	☑ increase□ decrease□ no change	⊠ increase □ decrease □ no change	Washing out of mortar core	☑ damage□ deterioration	Walls of tower house remains	☑ decreasing□ increasing□ no change	□ decreasing ⊠ increasing □ no change	 □ decreasing ⊠ increasing □ no change
Water currents	See above	See above	Coastal erosion	☑ increase□ decrease□ no change	⊠ increase □ decrease □ no change	Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation	⊠ damage □ deterioration	Foundations	 ☑ decreasing □ increasing □ no change 	 □ decreasing ⊠ increasing □ no change 	 □ decreasing ⊠ increasing □ no change

Precipitation, temperature fluctuations at freezing point	 See above for precipitation mean annual temperature increased seasonal temperatures increased number of frost days (temperature below 0C) decreased 	 See above for precipitation mean annual temperature projected to rise mean seasonal temperatures projected to rise winter night-time min temperature projected to increase 	Frost weathering	☑ increase☐ decrease☐ no change	 □ increase ⊠ decrease □ no change 	Freeze-thaw spallir upstanding remain
Precipitation, temperature	See above	See above	Physical damage by growth of plant roots	⊠ increase □ decrease □ no change	⊠ increase □ decrease □ no change	Vegetation growth of Castle

ng of masonry surfaces of	🛛 damage	Walls of tower house remains	\boxtimes decreasing	\boxtimes	\boxtimes decreasing
s of buildings	\Box deterioration		\Box increasing	decreasing	\Box increasing
			🗆 no change	\Box increasing	🗆 no change
				🗆 no change	
on upstanding remains	🛛 damage	Walls of tower house remains	\Box decreasing		\Box decreasing
	\Box deterioration		oxtimes increasing	decreasing	oxtimes increasing
			🗆 no change	oxtimes increasing	🗆 no change
				🗆 no change	

Risk register

Risk Register								(Advance	d Level)											
Impact			Place elements			Time horizon #1: Today			Time horizon #2: 50 years from today		2070		Time horizon #3: 80 years from today		2100					
Impact ID	Impact description	Environmental hazard	Place element affected	Significance rating	Vulnerability rating	Intensity rating	Likelihood rating	Severity rating	Inherent risk rating	Heritage risk rating	Intensity rating	Likelihood rating	Severity rating	Inherent risk rating	Heritage risk rating	Intensity rating	Likelihood rating	Severity rating	Inherent risk rating	Heritage risk rating
1	Saturated core of masonry wall	Wave action, wind & rain weathering	Walls of tower house remains	3	2	2	3	2	6	18	3	3	3	9	27	3	3	4	12	48
2	Washing out of mortar core	Wind & rain weathering	Walls of tower house remains	3	2	3	3	3	9	27	3	3	3	9	27	3	3	4	12	36
3	Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation	Coastal erosion	Foundations	4	3	2	3	3	9	36	3	4	3	12	36		4	4	16	64
4	Freeze-thaw spalling of masonry surfaces of upstanding remains of buildings	Frost weathering	Walls of tower house remains	3	1	1	2	1	2	6	1	2	1	2	6	1	0	1	0	0
5	Vegetation growth on upstanding remains of Castle	Physical damage by growth of plant roots	Walls of tower house remains	3	1	1	3	1	3	9	2	3	1	3	9	2	2	4	8	24

Summary of risk register

Summary of Risk Register (incl. Advanced Level)

Standard level: Risks ratings are 0-16 (inherent risk) Advanced level: Risk ratings are 0-64 (heritage risk)

List of unacceptable risks

state risks consider as unacceptable at the respective time horizons ranked by decreasing risk rating

ID Description Risk rating Time horizon Time Time 1 horizon 2 horizon 3 Today 2070 2100 3 Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation 36 36 64	Impact	Impact							
Time horizonTime horizon 2Time horizon 33Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation3636642Washing out of mortar core272726	ID	Description	Risk rating						
Image: 1 horizon 2 horizon 3 Today 2070 2100 3 Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation 36 36 64 2 Washing out of mortar core 27 27 26			Time horizon	Time	Time				
Today207021003Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation3636642Washing out of mortar core272726			1	horizon 2	horizon 3				
3Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation3636642Washing out of mortar core272726			Today	2070	2100				
walls and foundation 27 27 26	3	Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle	36	36	64				
2 Washing out of mortar sore 27 27 26		walls and foundation							
	2	Washing out of mortar core	27	27	36				
1Saturated core of masonry wall182748	1	Saturated core of masonry wall	18	27	48				

Highest-ranked acceptable risks

(state multiple if of the same rating at time horizon #1)

Impact	Impact								
ID	Description	Risk rating							
		Time horizon	Time	Time					
	1 horizon 2 horizon 3								
		Today	2070	2100					
5	Vegetation growth on upstanding remains of	9	9	4					
	Castle								
4	Freeze-thaw spalling of masonry surfaces of	6	6	0					
	upstanding remains of buildings								
Summa	Summary of increasing risks								

Risk of damage from coastal erosion, wave action and wind & rain weathering is increasing due to increase in storm intensity and precipitation.

Summary of decreasing risks

Risk of damage from frost weathering and growth of plant roots is decreasing as the number of days with frost occurrence are decreasing and general rise in temperatures throughout the year.

Effect of occurrence of impacts on key cultural heritage values								
Key valuesCurrent ratingRevised ratingComments								
The origins of the current settlement at Ballinskelligs are linked to Ballinskelligs Castle (McCarthy Castle) which still survives.32If castle ruins are damaged significantly111111								
Conclusions								
 Today, three risks are considered as unacceptable, namely #3 Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation #2 Washing out of mortar core #1 Saturated core of masonry wall 								

By 2100, the above mentioned three risks will rise even higher.

Adaptation Planning

Impa	Impact to be investigated						
Impact	description	Erosion and washing out of soil underneath Castle walls and foundation					
Associa	ated hazard	Coastal erosion					
Risk ra	ting	36					
Impact	ID	3					
Long	glist of adaptation	on measures					
PROTE	PROTECT						
P1	Soft coastal protection						
STRENGTHEN							
S1	Repoint and repair masonry in key locations						
RELOC	RELOCATE						
R1	R1 Not feasible						
RESPOND TO DAMAGE							
D1	D1 Rebuilding						
MANAGING LOSS							
L1	L1 Recording + damage documentation						
MANA	GE UNCERTAINTY						
11	Survey + feasibility	y study					

Adaptation measure appraisal							
Impact / Measure ID	3/P1						
Adaptation measure (short title)	Protection from erosion						
Details of measure (brief description)	Soft coastal protection through beach accretion – build up of sediment, large operation, pending model survey work						
Adaptation type	Protect						
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Surrounding castle remains along shoreline						

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Substantially reduced	Reduced by 25 points	
magnitude of impact on place	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Left unchanged	Not applicable	

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:

Potential effects on cultural significance					
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural	unacceptably adverse				
significance of the place	acceptably adverse subject to mitigation				
	acceptably adverse without mitigation				
	🗆 neutral				
	🗵 beneficial				
If the response above was "subject to n.a.					
mitigation", name examples for how this					
might be achieved.					
If the answer to the first query was upassents					

If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the t	Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:				
Potential economic, environmental and	d social effects (Advanced Level)				
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 				
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 				
Descriptive rating of social effects unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 					
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.				
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the					

Adaptation measure appraisal		
Impact / Measure ID	3/S1	
Adaptation measure (short title)	Masonry repair	
Details of measure (brief description)	Repoint and repair masonry in key locations – doable and would extend life	
Adaptation type	Strengthen	
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Masonry walls of castle ruins	

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
magnitude of impact on place	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Slightly reduced	Reduced by 10 points	

egardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:

Potential effects on cultural significance		
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural	unacceptably adverse	
significance of the place	acceptably adverse subject to mitigation	
	acceptably adverse without mitigation	
	🗆 neutral	
	🗵 beneficial	
If the response above was "subject to	n.a.	
mitigation", name examples for how this		
might be achieved.		
If the answer to the first query was upgeen table adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to		

If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:		
Potential economic, environmental and	d social effects (Advanced Level)	
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.	
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the		

Adaptation measure appraisal		
Impact / Measure ID	3/D1	
Adaptation measure (short title)	Recondition	
Details of measure (brief description)	Rebuilding – costly, but possible partially	
Adaptation type	Respond to Damage	
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Castle ruins	

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
magnitude of impact on place	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Substantially reduced	Reduced by 25 points	

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:

Potential effects on cultural significance		
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural	unacceptably adverse	
significance of the place	acceptably adverse subject to mitigation	
	\boxtimes acceptably adverse without mitigation	
	🗆 neutral	
	🗆 beneficial	
If the response above was "subject to	n.a.	
mitigation", name examples for how this		
might be achieved.		
If the answer to the first query was unaccentably adverse or was accentably adverse subject to		

If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:	
Potential economic, environmental and	social effects (Advanced Level)
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the	

Adaptation measure appraisal			
Impact / Measure ID	3/L1		
Adaptation measure (short title)	Documenting damage		
Details of measure (brief description)	Recording + damage documentation – going hand in hand with any investigative measures		
Adaptation type	Managing Loss		
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Castle ruins		
If adaptation type is Managin	g Loss, use belov	v table:	
Managing Loss apprais	sal		
How would the measure supp communities?	vould the measure support unities?		
Which specific communities w supported?	Which specific communities would be supported?		
Are the answers to the two questions above considered sufficiently relevant to explore measure further?		 Yes, explore this adaptation measure further No, file this idea of an adaption measure and proceed to next measure on long-list 	
If the answer to the last question was no, stop the appraisal of the measure concerned.			
Regardless of adaptation type	e, continue with	the table below:	
Potential effects on cu	Itural signific	ance	
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural significance of the place		 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If the response above was "subject ton.a.mitigation", name examples for how thisnialmight be achieved.nial		n.a.	
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.			

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:	
Potential economic, environmental and	d social effects (Advanced Level)
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the	

Adaptation measure appraisal			
Impact / Measure ID	3/11		
Adaptation measure (short title)	Survey analysis		
Details of measure (brief description)	Survey + feasibility study – needed for any damage management/maintenance		
Adaptation type	Manage Uncer	tainty	
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Castle remains		
If adaptation type is Manage	Uncertainty <i>, use</i>	e below table:	
Manage Uncertainty a	ppraisal		
How would the considered me uncertainty?	How would the considered measure reduce		
How would the considered mo other relevant measures?	easure support		
Are the answers to the two questions above considered sufficiently relevant to explore measure further?Yes, explore this adaptation measure furtherNo, file this idea of an adaption measure and proceed to next measure on long-list			
If the answer to the last question was no, stop the appraisal of the measure concerned.			
Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:			
Potential effects on cu	ltural signific	ance	
Descriptive rating of effect on significance of the place	cultural	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If the response above was "su mitigation", name examples for might be achieved.	If the response above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.n.a.		
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.			

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:		
Potential economic, environmental and	social effects (Advanced Level)	
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.	
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the		

Impact to be investigated			
Impact description		Washing out of mortar core	
Associa	ated hazard	Wind & rain weathering	
Risk rating		18	
Impact	ID	2	
Long	glist of adaptation	on measures	
PROTECT			
P1	Hard coastal protection by installing a wall or gabions		
STRENGTHEN			
S1	Rendering		
RELOCATE			
R1	Not feasible (see Impact/Measure #3/R1)		
RESPOND TO DAMAGE			
D1	Repair response + budget		
MANAGING LOSS			
L1	Rebuilding		
MANAGE UNCERTAINTY			
11	Survey + feasibility study (see Impact/Measure #3/I1)		

Adaptation measure appraisal		
Impact / Measure ID	2/P1	
Adaptation measure (short title)	Structure installation	
Details of measure (brief description)	Hard coastal protection by installing a wall or gabions maybe in small areas, cost allowing limited, dependant on excavation, 5k-10k to create protection on one side, but would have affect and push down currents towards Abbey/Priory, which then in turn will require further protection (vicious circle!)	
Adaptation type	Protect	
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	On coastline around castle remains	

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Significantly reduced	Reduced by 25 points	
magnitude of impact on place	Significantly reduced	Reduced by 25 points	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Left unchanged	Not applicable	

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:

Potential effects on cultural significance		
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural significance of the place	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If the response above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.	

If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:		
Potential economic, environmental and	social effects (Advanced Level)	
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.n.a.		
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the		

Adaptation measure appraisal		
Impact / Measure ID	2/S1	
Adaptation measure (short title)	Reinforcement	
Details of measure (brief description)	Rendering – must be in relation to other works (e.g. repointing), bigger cost involved, may only be used on more exposed sites	
Adaptation type	Strengthen	
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Castle ruins	

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Slightly reduced	Reduced by 10 points	
magnitude of impact on place	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Slightly reduced	Reduced by 10 points	

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:

Potential effects on cultural significance		
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural	unacceptably adverse	
significance of the place	acceptably adverse subject to mitigation	
	acceptably adverse without mitigation	
	🗆 neutral	
	🗵 beneficial	
If the response above was "subject to	n.a.	
mitigation", name examples for how this		
might be achieved.		
If the answer to the first avery use unaccontably adverse or use accontably adverse subject to		

If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:		
Potential economic, environmental and	d social effects (Advanced Level)	
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 	
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.n.a.		
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the		

Adaptation measure appraisal		
Impact / Measure ID	2/D1	
Adaptation measure (short title)	Damage analysis	
Details of measure (brief description)	Repair response + budget – maybe with community input	
Adaptation type	Respond to Damage	
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Not applicable	

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
magnitude of impact on place	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Slightly reduced	Reduced by 10 points	

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below:

Potential effects on cultural significance		
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural	unacceptably adverse	
significance of the place	acceptably adverse subject to mitigation	
	acceptably adverse without mitigation	
	🗆 neutral	
	🗵 beneficial	
If the response above was "subject to	n.a.	
mitigation", name examples for how this		
might be achieved.		
If the answer to the first successing company to be adverse as use accepted by adverse out is at to		

If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, top the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:							
Potential economic, environmental and social effects (Advanced Level)							
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.n.a.							
If the answer to the first query was unacceptably adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the							

Adaptation measure appraisal						
Impact / Measure ID	2/L1					
Adaptation measure (short title)	Cost efficient rebuild					
Details of measure (brief description)	Rebuilding – costly, but possible partially					
Adaptation type	Managing Loss					
Location where measure would be installed (If working at Advanced Level, use <i>place elements</i> .)	Entire castle ruins					

Adaptation measure appraisal: Adjustment of ratings (Advanced Level)

Change to	Scale of change using refined responses of Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting intensity / vulnerability rating using data from Error! Reference source not found.	Adjusting heritage risk rating
exposure duration of place to impact	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
magnitude of impact on place	Left unchanged	Not applicable	
vulnerability of the place to impact	Substantially reduced	Reduced by 25 points	

If adaptation type is Managing Loss, use below table:

Managing Loss appraisal	
How would the measure support communities?	
Which specific communities would be supported?	
Are the answers to the two questions above considered sufficiently relevant to explore measure further?	 Yes, explore this adaptation measure further No, file this idea of an adaption measure and proceed to next measure on long-list
If the answer to the last question was no stor	the appraical of the measure concerned

If the answer to the last question was no, stop the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Regardless of adaptation type, continue with	the table below:						
Potential effects on cultural significance							
Descriptive rating of effect on cultural significance of the place	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
If the response above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this might be achieved.	n.a.						
<i>If the answer to the first query was unaccepta mitigation, with no suitable example identified measure concerned.</i>	bly adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to d in the second query, top the appraisal of the						
Regardless of adaptation type, continue with	the table below, if assessing at Advanced Level:						
Potential economic, environmental	and social effects (Advanced Level)						
Descriptive rating of economic effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
Descriptive rating of environmental effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
Descriptive rating of social effects	 unacceptably adverse acceptably adverse subject to mitigation acceptably adverse without mitigation neutral beneficial 						
If any of the responses above was "subject to mitigation", name examples for how this migh be achieved.	n.a. t						
If the answer to the first query was unaccepta	bly adverse or was acceptably adverse subject to						

mitigation, with no suitable example identified in the second query, stop the appraisal of the measure concerned.

Adaptation Measures Register

Adaptation Measures Register							(Advanced Level)		
Impact investigate	ed	Erosion an Castle wal	id washing out Is and foundat	of soil undern ion	eath	Impact ID	3		
Impact / Measure ID	Adapta measu (short t	a tion re itle)	Adaptation type	Location where measure would be installed	Potentia including	al effect on cultural significance g mitigation example	Include in summary	Potential economic effects including mitigation example	Potential environmen including mitigation ex
3/P1	Soft co protec	oastal tion	Protect	Surrounding castle remains along shoreline	benefic	ial	⊠ include	acceptably adverse without mitigation	beneficial
3/S1	Repoir repair in key	nt and masonry locations	Strengthen	Masonry walls of castle ruins	benefic	ial	⊠ include	neutral	neutral
3/R1	Not fe	asible	Relocate				□ include		
3/D1	Rebuil	ding	Respond to Damage	Castle ruins	accepta	bly adverse without mitigation	🛛 include	acceptably adverse without mitigation	neutral
3/L1	Record damag docum	ding + ge nentation	Managing Loss	Castle ruins	neutral		⊠ include	neutral	neutral
3/11	Survey feasibi	′ + lity study	Manage Uncertainty	Castle remains	neutral		🛛 include	acceptably adverse without mitigation	neutral

tal effects	Potential social effects
ample	including mitigation example
	neutral
	beneficial
	beneficial
	neutral
	neutral

Impact Washing out of mortar core investigated Impact		Impact ID	2					
Impact / Measure ID	Adaptation measure (short title)	Adaptation type	Location where measure would be installed	Potential effect on cultural significance including mitigation example	Include in summary	Potential economic effects including mitigation example	Potential environmental effects including mitigation example	Potential social effects including mitigation example
2/P1	Hard coastal protection by installing a wall or gabions	Protect	On coastline around castle remains	beneficial	⊠ include	neutral	acceptably adverse without mitigation	beneficial
2/S1	Rendering	Strengthen	Castle ruins	beneficial	⊠ include	acceptably adverse without mitigation	neutral	neutral
2/R1	Not feasible	Relocate			□ include			
2/D1	Repair response + budget	Respond to Damage	Not applicable	beneficial	🛛 include	acceptably adverse without mitigation	beneficial	beneficial
2/L1	Rebuilding	Managing Loss	Entire castle ruins	acceptably adverse without mitigation	□ include	unacceptably adverse	acceptably adverse without mitigation	acceptably adverse without mitigation
2/11	Survey + feasibility study	Manage Uncertainty	Castle remains	neutral	🛛 include	acceptably adverse without mitigation	neutral	neutral

Summarising the adaptation measures

Summary of Adaptation Measures Register									
Impact / Measure ID	Adaptation measure (short title)		Adaptation type	Location where measure would be installed	Potential effect on cultural significance including mitigation example				
Impact Erosion investigated Castle v			and washing out valls and foundat	t of soil underneath :ion	Impact ID	3			
3/P1	Soft coastal protection		Protect	Surrounding castle remains along shoreline	beneficial				
3/S1	Repoint and repair masonry in key locations		Strengthen	Masonry walls of castle ruins	beneficial				
3/D1	Rebuild	ling	Respond to Damage	Castle ruins	acceptably adverse without mitigation				
3/L1	Recording + damage		Managing Loss	Castle ruins	neutral				
3/11	Survey + feasibility study		Manage Uncertainty	Castle remains	neutral				
Impact Washin investigated		g out of mortar c	core	Impact ID	2				
2/P1	Hard coastal protection by installing a wall or gabions		Protect	On coastline around castle remains	beneficial				
2/S1	Rendering		Strengthen	Castle ruins	beneficial				
2/D1	Repair response + budget		Respond to Damage	Not applicable	beneficial				
2/11	Survey feasibil	+ ity study	Manage Uncertainty	Castle remains	neutral				